Sub-Shot-Noise Transmission Measurement Enabled by Active Feed-Forward of Heralded Single Photons
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Harnessing the unique properties of quantum mechanics offers the possibility of delivering alternative technologies that can fundamentally outperform their classical counterparts. These technologies deliver advantages only when components operate with performance beyond specific thresholds. For optical quantum metrology, the biggest challenge that impacts on performance thresholds is optical loss. Here, we demonstrate how including an optical delay and an optical switch in a feed-forward configuration with a stable and efficient correlated photon-pair source reduces the detector efficiency required to enable quantum-enhanced sensing down to the detection level of single photons and without postselection. When the switch is active, we observe a factor of improvement in precision of 1.27 for transmission measurement on a per-input-photon basis compared to the performance of a laser emitting an ideal coherent state and measured with the same detection efficiency as our setup. When the switch is inoperative, we observe no quantum advantage.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevApplied.8.014016

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum mechanics quantifies the highest precision that is achievable in each type of optical measurement [1–3]. Single-photon probes measured with single-photon detectors are, in principle, optimal for gaining the most precision per unit intensity when measuring optical transmission [4]. Entangled multiple-photon states can be engineered to achieve quantum-enhanced precision in interferometry [3] and parameter estimation. However, in practice, optical loss and low component efficiencies prevent an advantage from being achieved using single-photon detectors [5]. One approach is to use postselection to ignore negative measurement outcomes that arise due to loss while recording only positive results. Postselection has proven to be highly successful for observing the underlying physics in proof-of-principle experiments while testing quantum technology [6]. For quantum metrology, however, postselection used on its own leads to more photons being exposed to a measured sample than are used for data analysis, and it therefore generally leads to worse overall performance than using classical strategies [7]. Another way to reduce the impact of lower component efficiency is to incorporate fast optical switching and an optical delay with schemes that are based on the heralded generation of quantum states [8]. Switching enables the use of a quantum state conditioned on the successful detection of a correlated signal—this is referred to as feed-forward and can be used to engineer quantum states that have increasing complexity and utility for quantum metrology [9,10].

Feed-forward is key for demonstrations of optical quantum computing [11], it has been used in experiments that increase the generation rate [12–16] and signal-to-noise ratio [17] of heralded single photons, it has been used to calibrate single-photon detectors [18], and it has also been applied to gather evidence of single-photon sensitivity in animal vision [19]. Jakeman and Rarity proposed in Ref. [8] using feed-forward with correlated photon pairs to enable sub-shot-noise optical transmission measurements when component efficiency is otherwise not sufficient to permit a quantum advantage in passive direct detection [20–22]. However, despite becoming identified as a key to more general multiphoton-entangled quantum-state engineering for quantum metrology [9,10], feed-forward has not been implemented for quantum-enhanced parameter estimation. Here, we implement the proposal featured in Ref. [8] (Fig. 1) to realize sub-shot-noise measurement of transmissivity, using single-photon detectors that are too low in efficiency to enable sub-shot-noise performance in a passive measurement.

II. THEORY

The transmissivity $\eta$ of a sample is, in general, estimated by measuring the reduction of light intensity from a known mean input value $N_{\text{in}}$ to a reduced mean value $N_{\text{out}}$ according to $\eta = N_{\text{in}}/N_{\text{out}}$. The precision with which $\eta$ can be measured is dependent on the type of light used to probe the channel. When estimating $\eta$ with an ideal
coherent-state probe \( |\alpha\rangle \), the precision will be given by
\[
\frac{1}{\Delta^2 \eta}_F = \nu \bar{N}_\text{in}/\eta (1 - \eta) > \frac{1}{\Delta^2 \eta}_a.
\] (1)

The performance of Fock states can be accessed by using correlated photon pairs generated from a spontaneous parametric down-conversion (SPDC) process. Signal photons of each correlated pair are sent directly for detection to probe a sample. The transmissivity of the photons through the sample can then be estimated which is used to probe a sample. The transmissivity of the photon-pair strategy using a switch. The first is loss of the idler photon in the photon source (including feed-forward optics) \( 1 - \eta_\text{source} \) and at the detector \( 1 - \eta_\text{det} \), which together with sample transmission \( \eta \) redefines the Klyshko efficiency \( \eta = \eta_\text{source} \eta_\text{det} \). For a single-photon Fock state, \( \rho = |1\rangle\langle 1| \), \( \eta \) modifies the state according to
\[
\rho \rightarrow \rho' = (1 - \eta_\text{det})|0\rangle\langle 0| + \eta_\text{det}|1\rangle\langle 1|,
\] (2)

which still follows a (sub-Poissonian) binomial distribution and therefore still outperforms coherent states per input photon. As loss increases \( \eta_\text{source} \eta_\text{det} \rightarrow 0 \), however, the measured photon-number distribution tends towards Poissonian. The second degradation mechanism is imperfect optical switching that allows unheralded photons to leak through the sample; this is quantified by \( 1 - \eta_\text{S} \). The third mechanism is dark counts in the signal detector that can herald false idler photons into the idler channel. The effect of dark counts becomes negligible when dark-count rates are low compared to signal-photon detection rates. We plot examples of the effect of loss and leakage in Fig. 2, in terms of the ratio between the precision achievable using a Fock state that has either been degraded by loss or incorrectly heralded with switch leakage, denoted \( 1/(\Delta^2 \eta)_F = \eta_\text{S}/(1 - \eta_\text{det}) \), and the precision achievable with a coherent-state probe \( 1/(\Delta^2 \eta)_a = 1/\eta \) with the same detector efficiency. This ratio is a figure of merit that determines when a quantum advantage is obtained—that is, when \( R = \eta_\text{S}/(1 - \eta_\text{det}) > 1 \). Note that this expression leads to the condition found in Ref. [8], where it was shown that, for obtaining a quantum advantage over using a coherent state, it is necessary that
\[
\eta_\text{I} + \eta_\text{S} > 1.
\] (3)
The experimental setup we use to implement the feedforward transmission measurement is shown in Fig. 3. Photon pairs are generated via collinear type-II SPDC using a periodically poled potassium titanyl phosphate crystal (PPKTP), pumped with a continuous-wave (cw) laser diode ($\lambda_p = 403.9$ nm) and spectrally tuned by controlling its temperature. The wavelengths of the signal and idler photons are $\lambda_s = 792$ nm and $\lambda_i = 824$ nm, each with a spectral width of $\pm 0.4$ nm. After down-conversion, the pump is removed using a 715-nm long-pass filter (LPF) and a 50-nm-wide bandpass filter (BPF) centered at 808 nm. Photon pairs are split deterministically using a polarization beam splitter (PBS), sending the idler photon through the delay line while the correlated signal photon is collected with a single-mode fiber and detected using an avalanche photodiode (APD).

The detected signal photon triggers an optical switch implemented with a Pockels-cell modulator composed of two lithium niobate crystals that rotate the polarization of an incoming photon by 90° when inactive and preserve the photon’s polarization when activated with 200 V. The rise time of this switch is 500 ns. To compensate for any polarization rotations of the delay fiber, the optical modulator is set inside a Sagnac loop, similar to the one reported in Ref. [25], to enable bidirectional operation independent of the input polarization. This strategy is chosen to avoid the higher loss associated with polarization-maintaining fiber and the need for active polarization stabilization. After switching, the idler photon is incident upon a variable-transmission element comprising a half wave plate (HWP) and a PBS to mimic a variable-transmission sample. Since the polarization of the idler photon is mixed after the optical-fiber delay, both the horizontal and vertical polarization components of the idler photon need to experience the same value of $\eta$—we obtain the same polarization by using a calcite beam displacer (BD) and a half wave plate to convert the two polarization components into two path modes with the same polarization. The loss introduced by these two components is 1.4% and is included in the overall system loss. Both modes then pass through the transmission element and are subsequently focused together.
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onto a free-space APD for detection. Before any measurements of transmission, we first characterize the performance of the setup. The efficiencies of the source without the switch are \( \eta_S = 41\% \) and \( \eta_I = 44\% \) for the signal and idler channels, respectively, corrected for dark counts but including \( \eta_{\text{source}} \) and \( \eta_{\text{det}} \sim 65\% \). After introducing the switch, the efficiency of the idler photon’s path (without a sample) is reduced to \( \eta_{\text{source} \eta_{\text{det}}} = 38\% \), which means that there is a loss of approximately 15\% in the Sagnac loop and the delay line. The Klyshko efficiency of the signal channel, \( \eta_S \), increases to approximately 90\%, which is less than the ideal \( \eta_S = 100\% \) due to the approximately 1-\( \mu \)s width of the switching window that permits unheralded photons to be leaked through the switch. The pump power is adjusted to minimize this effect, having a detection rate in the signal path of about \( 36 \times 10^3 \) counts/s, approximately \( 15.5 \times 10^3 \) counts/s in the idler channel, and a coincidence rate of about \( 14 \times 10^3 \) counts/s. By comparison, dark-count rates in the signal detector are low (about \( 0.6 \times 10^3 \) counts/s) and are accounted for when estimating \( \eta_I \) by subtracting their average number (characterized in the setup with signal- and idler-photon beams blocked) from the total number of detected counts recorded in our measurement. This means that the contribution from dark counts which can lead to false heralding events is about 1.5\%.

To verify that the source is heralding true single photons, we measure the second-order correlation function of the idler mode using the triple-coincidence method reported in Ref. [26], obtaining a value of \( g^{(2)}(0) = 0.031 \pm 0.002 \) [where \( g^{(2)}(0) = 0 \) corresponds to perfect single photons and \( g^{(2)}(0) = 1 \) corresponds to Poisson-distributed light]. We estimate the transmission of the sample \( \eta \) as the ratio between \( \eta_I \) measured at different sample transmission conditions and \( \eta_{\text{source} \eta_{\text{det}}} \), which we characterize by measuring \( \eta_I \) with the sample transmission set to \( \eta = 1 \). The statistical precision of the transmission estimate per probe input to the sample is given by the inverse of

\[
\Delta^2 \eta = \text{Var} \left( \frac{\eta_I}{\eta_{\text{source}}} \right) \bar{N}_{\text{probe}},
\]

where \( \bar{N}_{\text{probe}} \) is the average number of probe photons given by the number of detected idler photons (\( \bar{N}_I \)) corrected for the percentage of idler photons that are successfully heralded (\( \eta_S \)), absorbed photons by the sample (\( \eta_I \)), average number of dark counts of the detector (\( \bar{N}_D \)), and detector efficiency (\( \eta_{\text{det}} \)):

\[
\bar{N}_{\text{probe}} = \frac{\bar{N}_I}{\eta_{\text{det}} \eta_S} - \bar{N}_D.
\]

IV. RESULTS

In Fig. 4, we present the precision achievable with our feed-forward transmission measurement setup, with respect to the theoretical precision achievable with a coherent-state scheme using the same detector efficiency [27]. We make this comparison by computing the ratio of precision of the two schemes, as in Fig. 2, and we observe a factor of improvement of up to \( 1.27 \pm 0.08 \) for \( \eta = 0.97 \), and a quantum advantage is observable down to a sample transmission of \( \eta = 0.65 \). When we turn off the optical switch, the performance of the setup is far below that of the coherent-state strategy.

V. CONCLUSION

Using feed-forward for measurement is advantageous when it is desired to probe an object with a controlled number of photons [19,28,29]. Solid-state sources of photons, such as quantum dots, could also be used for such purposes. They can operate with megahertz emission rates [30], they can be used with high heralding efficiency [31], and they can emit higher energy photons [32] than those demonstrated in this paper—however, the higher specification solid-state photon sources currently require additional resources, particularly cryogenic cooling and narrow-band filtering from photonic-structure engineering, that can limit practicality and add cost to development. Practical application of using feed-forward with
spontaneous sources for measurement will be aided by improvements in the brightness of the source [10] and the switching speed. Increasing the precision obtainable per unit intensity will come with improvements in the loss budget of the setup and increasing detector efficiency. State-of-the-art SPDC sources using superconducting detectors have reported Klyshko efficiencies of 83% [33]; such an efficiency would already translate into about a fivefold advantage in precision in our setup. Incorporating the wavelength tunability available in SPDC sources can enable a sub-shot-noise measurement of spectral response [24]. The polarization-independent switch used in our experiment could also be useful as the feed-forward mechanism to engineer quantum states that are more complex and have more utility than single photons [9,10]. The data in this article are available online [34].
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