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Abstract: Marine biodiversity and ecosystem functioning – including seaweed communities – in the territorial waters of the UK and its Overseas Territories are facing unprecedented pressures. Key stressors are changes in ecosystem functioning due to biodiversity loss caused by ocean warming (species replacement and migration, e.g. affecting kelp forests), sea level rise (e.g. loss of habitats including salt marshes), plastic pollution (e.g. entanglement and ingestion), alien species with increasing numbers of alien seaweeds (e.g. outcompeting native species and parasite transmission), overexploitation (e.g. loss of energy supply further up the food web), habitat destruction (e.g. loss of nursery areas for commercially important species) and ocean acidification (e.g. skeletal weakening of ecosystem engineers including coralline algal beds). These stressors are currently affecting biodiversity, and their impact can be projected for the future. All stressors may act alone or in synergy. Marine biodiversity provides crucial goods and services. Climate change and biodiversity loss pose new challenges for legislation. In particular, there are implications of climate change for the designation and management of Marine Protected Areas and natural carbon storage by marine systems to help control the global climate system. The UK currently has legal obligations to protect biodiversity under international and European law.
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Introduction

Global trends and stressors affecting marine biodiversity and marine ecosystem functioning worldwide

The global marine environment is currently undergoing unprecedented change at multiple levels due to multiple stressors including climate change, overfishing, eutrophication, colonization by alien species, habitat destruction (e.g. by coastal developments) and marine litter. Biodiversity loss rarely occurs on its own, but it is usually a consequence of drivers acting either alone or in synergy. The purpose of this review is to summarize the current state of scientific knowledge on biodiversity loss, climate warming and ocean acidification, especially for the coastal and territorial waters of the UK, and the relevance and impacts of these developments for the UK’s society and economy – however, it should be highlighted that most of the issues discussed here are global.

Globally, the marine Living Planet Index (LPI) shows a 49% decline in populations of marine mammal, bird, reptile and fish species between 1970 and 2012, highlighting dramatic biodiversity loss (WWF 2015). Climate change and other forms of environmental change also pose new challenges to legislation. For example, the network of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) in UK waters was in some cases designed around some localized features which are potentially vulnerable to climate change, meaning that the on-going utility of MPAs as a conservation tool could be affected (MCCIP 2015). A general challenge for assessing most types of such change affecting the distribution and abundance of living organisms in the open oceans.
maritime environment is the shortage or complete lack of historic baseline data to assess environmental change, which has been termed the “shifting baselines concept” (Jackson et al. 2001, Knowlton and Jackson 2008).

Current trends and effects on UK interests

Key stressors currently impacting marine life in UK waters are (1) biodiversity loss, (2) ocean warming due to climate change, (3) overexploitation (in particular, overfishing) of certain target species affecting the food web, (4) plastic pollution and (5) alien species.

Biodiversity loss and implications for ecosystem functioning

Little knowledge exists about overall biodiversity loss in UK waters but significant range shifts are ongoing mostly due to climate change, which are expected to be compounded in the next decades by ocean acidification (see below). Globally, climate change alone is predicted to result in dramatic species turnovers of over 60% of the present biodiversity, implying ecological disturbances that potentially disrupt ecosystem services (Cheung et al. 2009b). Studying sedimentary, soft-bottom systems in the UK’s North Sea, a recent study into the links between changes in benthic biodiversity and ecosystem functioning (Frid and Caswell 2015) found that the relationship is idiosyncratic, but a degree of temporal stability in functioning is maintained such that the ecosystem services which such soft-bottom systems underpin would also be stable during decadal and longer-term changes. Further implications for marine carbon storage are discussed below.

Ocean warming due to climate change

The North Atlantic Current (Gulf Stream) creates a climatic anomaly by providing a more temperate climate than what would be expected at the UK’s latitude. This, in turn, is a determining factor for the biodiversity found in the North Atlantic and therefore around the UK and Western Europe. The North Atlantic Current is part of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC), abrupt changes of which have long been hypothesized to be a central driver for the onset and end of ice ages (Henry et al. 2016). Any change to the AMOC system and, consequently, rapid climate change, would have profound implications for both the terrestrial and maritime climatic conditions of the UK. Consequently, this has received considerable attention for the public.

While, at present, long-term changes impacting the UK are not detectable (McCarthy et al. 2015), the North Atlantic Current demonstrates the importance of ocean temperature for determining marine biodiversity. Ocean temperature is projected to rise by between 1.2°C and 3.2°C by 2100, depending on greenhouse gas emissions (Genner et al. 2017). However, an increased frequency of marine heatwaves is clearly detectable, as much in UK and European waters as worldwide (Oliver et al. 2018). Global average marine heatwave frequency and duration increased by 34% and 17%, respectively, resulting in a 54% increase in annual marine heatwave days globally. Importantly, these trends can largely be explained by increases in mean ocean temperatures, suggesting that further increases in marine heatwave days under continued global warming can be expected.

This is likely to have a number of effects, depending on future climate change scenarios, including on species distributions (Cheung et al. 2009b). For example, in the North Sea and on the Scottish west coast, a survey of >300 fish species has revealed that taxa with southern biogeographic affinities have increased in abundance since the mid-1990s (Beare et al. 2004), with trawl data suggesting that the North Sea is experiencing waves of immigration by exotic, southern species (e.g. red mullet, anchovy and pilchard). For marine macrophytes, a recent review of 42 studies (King et al. 2018a) found clear differences in thermal niche between geographically separated populations, suggesting that non-trailing edge populations may also be vulnerable to future warming trends and, given their limited dispersal capacity, such population loss may not be offset by immigration. It also highlighted how next generation sequencing (NGS) is allowing unprecedented mechanistic insight into plasticity and adaptation, in particular in the context of climate change-related impacts.

In Australian temperate reef communities, rapid climate-driven regime shift has resulted in loss of their defining kelp forests and replacement by persistent seaweed turfs (Wernberg et al. 2016). After decades of ocean warming, extreme marine heat waves forced a 100-km range contraction of extensive kelp forests and saw temperate species replaced by seaweeds, invertebrates, corals, and fishes characteristic of subtropical and tropical waters. This community-wide tropicalization fundamentally altered key ecological processes, suppressing the recovery of kelp forests.

Ocean warming will interact with other stressors, such as ocean acidification and increased storminess, which are well documented in UK and European seas
(Mackenzie and Schiedek 2007). The exact extent of the impacts is difficult to project as the specific combinations of stressors over the coming century are not yet known, but could be significant. For example, the combined impacts of these stressors may cause structurally diverse seaweed canopies, which are habitats to a wide range of flora, to be replaced by less diverse habitats dominated by non-calcified, turf-forming seaweeds (Brodie et al. 2014).

**Overexploitation**

Overexploitation (in particular, overfishing) is threatening many marine vertebrates – particularly large vertebrates and top predators such as tunas and sharks, which have seen large declines (Baum et al. 2003) – with UK waters being no exception from this global trend. A topical review about the impact of ocean warming on sustainable fisheries management has recently been published (Serpetti et al. 2017). After the collapse of the populations of large whales, whaling is currently only conducted by very few countries in limited areas and is no longer an issue in territorial waters of the UK and its Overseas Territories. Nevertheless, overfishing of certain target species at low trophic levels can also substantially affect the ecosystem, especially when such species constitute a high proportion of the biomass or are highly connected in the food web (Smith et al. 2011). In UK waters, cod and sand eel stocks have particularly suffered overexploitation. The latter case has had serious impacts on seabird populations (Frederiksen et al. 2013) – with the impact being compounded by the synergy of sand eel overfishing and the range shift of the copepod *Calanus finmarchicus*, a main food species of sand eel (see Seabird section below). In the case of cod, the combination of intense fishing pressure and climate change (discussed above) has led to a northward shift of distribution ranges (Engelhard et al. 2014). In the territorial waters around the Falklands and South Georgia, the management of the Patagonian toothfish stock is a good example of sustainable management of a species that has only recently received major commercial interest, resulting in a strongly expanded fishery (Collins et al. 2010). Wild seaweed stocks are currently not exploited at an industrial scale in UK waters.

**Plastic and nanoparticle pollution**

Plastic and nanoparticle pollution, both by large items such as fishing gear and the microscopic particles resulting from physical and chemical breakdown, has emerged as a major environmental issue affecting the world’s oceans (Andrady 2011) and the UK’s coasts and territorial waters are no exception from this (e.g. Gallagher et al. 2016, Horton et al. 2017). Plastic particles may directly impact marine animals by ingestion, mistaken for food particles, and subsequent choking of the digestive system, but also by entanglement leading to injury, drowning etc., and as absorbents of pollutants (Gregory 2009). They can also act as vectors of marine life, which may greatly increase the odds of alien species transfers (Barnes 2002, Gregory 2009). Significantly, sewage treatment plants of current design are not capable of eliminating significant inputs of microplastic pollution into freshwater and marine water bodies (Mason et al. 2016). Nanoparticles are a facet of this issue, the environmental impacts of which have received research interest only in recent years. Especially silver nanoparticles, which are finding increasingly wide applications from water treatment to textiles, have been found to impact plankton communities at all levels – including severe growth inhibition of cyanobacteria and eukaryotic phytoplankton, and significant changes of bacterial community composition (Burchardt et al. 2012, Doiron et al. 2012, Bielmyer-Fraser et al. 2014, Das et al. 2014, Baptista et al. 2015, Tsiola et al. 2018).

**Invasive alien species**

Invasive alien species are considered a major threat to biodiversity, especially in synergy with other drivers of change (Roy et al. 2014). The UK’s waters are not exempt from the arrival of alien marine species. A recent review (Roy et al. 2014) lists and ranks 52 alien species, of which 8 are considered invasive, with the majority of them originating from Asia. Impacts on native species can be due to predation/herbivory, competition, transmission of parasites and pathogens to native species, and genetic effects. In this study (Roy et al. 2014), the quagga mussel, *Dreissena rostriformis bugensis*, received maximum scores for risk of arrival, establishment and impact on native biodiversity, followed by two Asian-origin shore crabs (*Hemigrapsus takanoi*, *Hemigrapsus sanguineus*), American lobster (*Homarus americanus*) and American comb jelly (*Mnemiopsis leidyi*). A recent review lists 17 documented alien seaweeds in UK waters (Minchin et al. 2013), namely the brown algae *Colpomenia peregrina*, *Undaria pinnatifida* and *Sargassum muticum*, the red algae *Antithamnionella spirographidis*, *Antithamnionella ternifolia*, *Asparagopsis armata*, *Bonnemaisonia hamifera*, *Caulacanthus ustulatus*, *Heterosiphonia japonica*, *Pikea californica*, *Polysiphonia harveyi*, *Cryptonemia hibernica*, *Grateloupia subpectinata*, *Pikea californica*, *Polysiphonia harveyi*, *Cryptonemia hibernica*, *Grateloupia subpectinata*, *Pikea californica*, *Polysiphonia harveyi*, *Cryptonemia hibernica*, *Grateloupia subpectinata*, *Pikea californica*, *Polysiphonia harveyi*, *Cryptonemia hibernica*, *Grateloupia subpectinata*, *Pikea californica*, *Polysiphonia harveyi*, *Cryptonemia hibernica*, *Grateloupia subpectinata*, *Pikea californica*, *Polysiphonia harveyi*, *Cryptonemia hibernica*, *Grateloupia subpectinata*, *Pikea californica*, *Polysiphonia harveyi*, *Cryptonemia hibernica*, *Grateloupia subpectinata*, *Pikea californica*, *Polysiphonia harveyi*, *Cryptonemia hibernica*, *Grateloupia subpectinata*, *Pikea californica*, *Polysiphonia harveyi*, *Cryptonemia hibernica*, *Grateloupia subpectinata*.
Grateloupia turuturu, Sarcodiotheca gaudichaudi and Solieria chordalis, and the green alga Codium fragile ssp. fragile. Roy et al. (2014) consider the red alga Gracilaria vermiculophylla, which is not permanently established in UK waters yet, as a high-risk species with respect to likelihood of arriving, establishing and having an impact on native biodiversity in Britain over the next ten years. The same study ranks the red algae Aglaothamnion halliae and Antithamnion pectinatum as well as the green alga Caulerpa taxifolia as posing a medium risk in the same context.

**Ocean acidification**

Ocean acidification, by its physicochemical nature impacting the carbonate-bicarbonate equilibrium, primarily affects calcifying organisms. In UK waters, these include marine molluscs occurring in large beds such as blue mussels (West et al. 2016) and horse mussels (below), as well as coralline red algae (see below) and cold-water corals (Roberts et al. 2006). Physiological studies of the cold-water coral *Lophelia pertusa* have revealed a complex response pattern to increased acidity and CO$_2$ (Hennige et al. 2015).

**Sea level rise**

Sea level rise projections by 2100 range between 0.2 m (Alley and Joughin 2012) and 2 m (Alley and Joughin 2012), with regional differences (Willis and Church 2012). This is attributed to a combination of ice sheet/glacier melt, thermal expansion of sea water, and the balance between melting, snowfall, and the regular outflow of glaciers from the ice sheets (Willis and Church 2012). This has major implications for the UK as an island nation and its Overseas Territories. Low-lying estuarine areas are at particular risk to significant rises; this is associated with changes in sea surface temperatures, which have led to significant declines in the south for kelp species and increases in northern and central areas for some kelps and wracks (Yesson et al. 2015).

Seaweed beds are an iconic example for the marine biodiversity of the UK and its Overseas Territories and the changes and threats that it faces. Seaweeds provide significant habitats to marine organisms in UK waters. Large brown seaweeds, kelps (Laminariales) and wracks (Fucoides), are major structuring elements on rocky shores of the UK, forming large intertidal or subtidal, forest-like communities. Kelp forests in the UK represent a significant carbon stock, play a key role in energy and nutrient cycling in inshore waters and provide food and habitat for a wealth of associated organisms, including socio-economically important species (Smale et al. 2016). Such seaweed forests are important as nurseries for a plethora of marine animals including commercial fish and shellfish species, for coastal protection (Bartsch et al. 2008), and for coastal atmospheric/climatic processes (e.g. cloud formation as a consequence of iodine emissions; Küpper et al. 2011). In addition, the UK has significant quantities of calcifying seaweeds (called maerl or coralline algae) found primarily on the south, west and north coasts (van der Heijden and Kamenos 2015a). These contrast to the fleshy seaweeds since coralline algae have a calcium carbonate skeleton, meaning they may be more sensitive to ocean acidification (Yesson et al. 2015).

Seaweed distribution in UK waters has undergone significant changes in recent decades; this is associated with changing sea surface temperatures, which have led to significant declines in the south for kelp species and increases in northern and central areas for some kelps and wracks (Yesson et al. 2015). A recent review (Brodie et al. 2014) has covered potential changes in different vegetation communities of the North Atlantic, predicting that warming will kill off kelp forests in the south and that ocean acidification will reduce coralline algal created habitat in the north. More specifically, the southern kelp species *Laminaria ochroleuca* is expected to proliferate on the Channel Coast, increasingly replacing *Laminaria digitata* and *Laminaria hyperborea*. Indeed, surveys have confirmed that the replacement of *L. hyperborea* by *L. ochroleuca* has started on the Channel Coast, with results suggesting that local biodiversity patterns and ecological processes (e.g. timing of productivity and trophic pathways) on shallow subtidal reefs may be altered by shifts in the relative abundances of habitat-forming kelp species (Smale et al. 2015). More recent work showed that the...
substitution of *L. hyperborea* by *L. ochroleuca* as a habitat-forming species can lead to impoverished communities (Teagle and Smale 2018) and reduced resilience of kelp canopies to wave and storm action (Smale and Vance 2016). A physiological study predicts that *L. ochroleuca* may be released from its summer cold limit in winter but still be prevented from moving up the shore due to desiccation in the summer. *Laminaria ochroleuca* will, however, likely be able to move into tidal pools (King et al. 2018b). Endophytic algae are an important aspect of kelp ecology, but very little is known about this in the context of global change (Eggert et al. 2010). Seagrasses are expected to proliferate in west and north European waters (summarized in Figure 1; Yesson et al. 2015). While there is evidence that maerl-forming coralline algae may initially persist in the future under ocean acidification, the carbon rich deposits they create (composed of dead algae) may dissolve (Brodie et al. 2014, van der Heijden and Kamenos 2015a) and they may be outcompeted by faster growing fleshy seaweeds (Kroeker et al. 2013).

Fish stocks and, consequently, fisheries resources in the territorial waters of the UK and its Overseas Territories are being profoundly impacted by climate change. It is well documented that climate change is leading to distribution shifts in marine fishes in UK waters (Perry et al. 2005) – in particular, species with southern affinities have been strongly expanding in the North Sea since the 1990s (Beare et al. 2004). Overall, the species richness of the fish fauna seems to increase because of climate change (Hiddink and ter Hofstede 2008), while key species such as cod are declining in UK waters and shifting their range northwards towards Arctic waters (Clark et al. 2003, Blanchard et al. 2005). Globally, a survey of 132 national economies revealed that many of the world’s poorest countries are particularly vulnerable to climate change-induced impacts of climate change on fisheries (Allison et al. 2009).

Cold-water coral reefs are fragile, rich and long-lived ecosystems, which are particularly significant in the area of the continental margin and offshore sea mounts of the UK and neighboring international waters (Roberts et al. 2006). They face double human impacts from bottom trawling, which can damage these fragile structures, and increasing ocean acidity, which together may have devastating consequences (Roberts and Cairns 2014). Filter feeders have a major role in the functioning of the UK’s seabed ecosystems. In this context, an important community, horse mussel beds (*Modiolus modiolus*), currently appear as a designated feature in ten Marine Protected Areas. They form beds and reefs which stabilize the seabed, creating a home for many other creatures and good feeding grounds for young fish. Bottom trawls and dredges, particularly those used for scallops, are known to have caused widespread and long-lasting damage to some horse mussel beds. Based on modelling projections, it is feared that this feature will no longer be present in the UK network of MPAs by 2100 due to rising sea temperatures (Gormley et al. 2013).

The UK’s coasts are home to large and iconic seabird populations, which occupy high levels in coastal food webs. Major changes are expected for the UK’s seabird fauna. The puffin (*Fratercula arctica*), tourist magnets e.g. of the Shetland Islands for example, has been declining in the UK and beyond (Frederiksen et al. 2013). This is strongly correlated with the climate-related decline of a major copepod species, *Calanus finmarchicus* constituting the basis of the food web that puffins and other seabird species rely on (Frederiksen et al. 2013). Conversely, the little egret (*Egretta garzetta*), not recorded as a breeding bird until 1997 and considered a species with warm-temperate affinities, has recently strongly expanded its range into the UK (Wood and Stillman 2014, MCCIP 2015).

Marine storage of organic carbon, also called blue carbon, is the organic carbon stored in coastal and marine ecosystems. Seagrass meadows, salt marshes and mangroves have long been recognized as major players in marine carbon burial due to the deposition of organic carbon in their biomass (Krause-Jensen et al. 2018). While this body of literature has been growing globally, little has been published with a specific scope on UK territorial waters. The scope here will be on seagrass meadows, coralline red algal communities and seaweeds (macroalgae) – and the expectable changes due to human stressors. Seagrasses are ecosystem engineers in seabed communities in many tropical to temperate seas of the world (Waycott et al. 2006). Besides having major roles as shelters and nurseries (Ermgassen et al. 2016), seagrass communities are highly significant for benthic carbon sequestration (Trevathan-Tackett et al. 2015) and their decline has been implicated in loss of carbon storage capacity (Marba et al. 2015, Mazarrasa et al. 2017a,b). Conversely, revegetation by seagrass cover can reestablish benthic storage capacity (Marba et al. 2015). Future fleshy-macroalgal derived carbon burial may increase as waters warm and CO₂ concentrations increase causing increased macroalgal biomass over the coming century, although the exact impact of multiple stressors still remains uncertain (Brodie et al. 2014). While the role of coralline algal deposits in carbon burial is beginning to be recognized (van der Heijden and Kamenos 2015b), this is only recent and a better understanding exists for the role of non-coralline macroalgae in this...
context (Krause-Jensen and Duarte 2016). Importantly, carbon buried by coralline algal deposits may come from autochthonous fleshy macroalgal breakdown which is subsequently trapped by those deposits, but little quantitative evidence is available at present (Krause-Jensen et al. 2018). Damage of the deposits and the live thalli (e.g. by trawling or ocean acidification) has the capacity to release stored carbon and/or remove the capacity of coralline algal deposits to bury further carbon (van der Heijden and Kamenos 2015b) and this will interact with the impacts of multiple stressors on allochthonous fleshy macroalgal carbon (see above).

Figure 1: Predicted change in northeast Atlantic benthic marine flora if CO2 emissions continue.
In the Arctic region (Fig. 1A), warming will be detrimental to cold-adapted species, and acidification will corrode maerl (M.). Pacific species, for example, *Alaria marginata* (Am), will invade as polar ice melts, competing with native species such as *Laminaria hyperborea* (Lh) and *Alaria esculenta* (Ae). Fleshy invasives, for example, *Sargassum muticum* (Sm), will move north competing with fucoids, for example, *Fucus distichus* (Fd), in the intertidal. Acidification will corrode epiphytic calcified algae, for example, *Titanoderma pustulatum* (Tp), and increased CO2 levels will stimulate growth of diatoms (D.) (magnified circles) and seagrasses such as *Zostera marina* (Zm). In the Boreal region (Fig. 1B), which comprises much of the UK’s coasts, *Laminaria hyperborea* (Lh) forests will be increasingly dominated by *Laminaria ochroleuca* (Lo), with the loss of *Alaria esculenta* (Ae) and fucoids, for example, *Fucus vesiculosus* (Fv) and the continued spread of invasive *Undaria pinnatifida* (Up), *Sargassum muticum* (Sm), and *Grateloupia turuturu* (Gt). As in the Arctic, maerl beds will be corroded, seagrasses will thrive, but epiphytic calcified algae will be reduced or replaced with diatoms and filamentous seaweeds (magnified circles).
Overall, the 14 UK Overseas Territories are subject to all of the drivers of change discussed above, in varying degrees depending on their location. Key issues in the context of this review include climate change, biodiversity loss, and alien/invasive species. The coastal and maritime areas of UK Overseas Territories are large. While the European UK has an Economic Exclusion Zone of 773,676 km², that of all UK Overseas Territories is 6,031,910 km² (National Archives 2013).

While the UK is entirely located in the cold-temperate North Atlantic, UK Overseas Territories represent diverse climate zones and biomes from polar to tropical – from the Antarctic to the Equator. Many of these areas have had moderate or virtually no local human impact so far and constitute prime wilderness areas. To some extent, the high conservation value of these areas has been recognized by the creation of very large MPAs e.g. around the British Indian Ocean Territory (BIOT; Sheppard et al. 2012) or, most recently, the proposal for the creation of a large MPA around Ascension Island. Similar to our recent work in the southwestern Antarctic Peninsula (Mystikou et al. 2014) or the Canadian Arctic (Küpper et al. 2016), scientists monitoring environmental change in most if not all UK Overseas Territories are likely confronting the shifting baselines problem (Jackson et al. 2001, Jackson 2008, Knowlton and Jackson 2008), i.e. due to the lack of reliable datasets of community composition prior to the onset of major environmental change, it may be difficult to assess the impacts of the change on the community in question. Recent studies (Asensi and Küpper 2012, Tsiamis et al. 2013) have highlighted the value of historic datasets in assessing the changes in seaweed-dominated coastal ecosystems.

Compared to other UK Overseas Territories, the marine biodiversity and inshore ecology of Ascension Island has been relatively well-studied. A synopsis is provided by the papers stemming from the 2012 Painted Shrimp Expedition led by the South Atlantic Environmental Research Institute (SAERI; Brickle et al. 2017). In comparison to the above, much less – if any – knowledge exists for other UK Overseas Territories such as Pitcairn, Anguilla or the BIOT. However, as UK trends appear to be reflected globally (van der Heijden and Kamenos 2015a), indications are that the UK Overseas Territories will face similar threats to their biodiversity.

Climate change is the major issue confronting biodiversity in UK Overseas Territories at higher latitudes. Antarctic marine species have been found to have extreme sensitivity of biological function to temperature (Peck et al. 2004). South Georgia is unique in many respects and particularly worth mentioning. Located at the Antarctic Convergence, biota include many taxa which are at their northern range limit (Antarctic species) but also numerous endemics as well as cold-temperate species which are at the southernmost range limit. Antarctic species and endemic species in South Georgia will likely come under increased pressure in South Georgia with progressing climate change (Hogg et al. 2011). In the same context, the Falkland Islands are significant for large breeding populations of penguins and seals, including the largest breeding population of gentoo penguins (Pygoscelis papua) in the world. Population variability of the latter has been found to be driven by climate (Baylis et al. 2012). Increasing heat episodes are having a toll on recruitment of non-burrowing penguin species (especially gentoos). The Falklands are also home to a very rich marine flora, with very large stands of giant kelp (Macrocystis) and expansive coralline algal reefs (Figure 2). Overall, the flora still has to be considered underexplored in the light of the many new records of algal taxa from recent work and numerous more expected to come (Mystikou et al. 2016).

Maerl beds and calcifying algae are likely of high importance in several UK Overseas Territories, but their extent, biodiversity and role in ecosystem functioning are very much unexplored. They should clearly be a priority for research and conservation in these areas. Around Ascension Island, both non-geniculate coralline red algae and maerl are the major reef builders, where corals are almost completely absent (Price and John 1978, Price and John 1980, Tsiamis et al. 2017). Likewise, extensive coralline red algal beds are common in the hard-bottom understory of the kelp forest around the Falklands (see Graphical Abstract), but little more is known about them.
Mangroves occur in the UK Overseas Territories of Anguilla, Bermuda, Montserrat, the British Virgin Islands, the British Indian Ocean Territory, and the Turks and Caicos Islands. Mangroves have paramount importance for coastal protection, as nurseries, and for carbon sequestration. Their conservation significance in these territories has long been recognized (Bacon and Alleng 1992). Especially in Bermuda, mangroves have been the subject of several studies (Pfingstl et al. 2014, Pellerin et al. 2015, Outerbridge et al. 2017, Wolsak et al. 2018). A study in Bermuda reports an association of a mangrove-dwelling mite with the epiphytic alga Microspora sp. (Chlorophyceae; Syamjith and Ramani 2013), and another investigates the value of mangroves and seagrass beds as fish nurseries (Huibers et al. 2008). There is concern about mangrove retreat in Bermuda in the context of rising sea level (Ellison 1993). Mangroves in the Turks and Caicos Islands have been used in the context of developing remote sensing techniques for this habitat type since the 1990s (Green et al. 1998a,b).

Coral reefs in Bermuda have received some attention with respect to their ecosystem value (average $722M USD pa; Sarkis et al. 2010). While the total economic valuation of Bermuda’s coral reefs does not contain all goods and services, it does include tourism ($405.9M USD pa), coastal protection ($265.9M USD pa), recreation/cultural ($36.5M USD pa), amenities ($6.8M USD pa), fisheries ($4.9M USD pa) and research/education ($2.3M USD pa). The total economic value of Bermuda’s coral reefs is estimated to constitute 12% of Bermuda’s GDP (Sarkis et al. 2010). In the Turks and Caicos Islands, coral reefs were estimated to contribute $47M USD pa largely from tourism ($18.2M USD pa), fisheries ($3.7M USD pa), coastal protection ($16.9M USD pa) and biodiversity ($4.7M USD pa), constituting 7.8% of GDP (Carleton and Lawrence 2005).

In marine systems, alien/invasive species seem to be much less studied in UK Overseas Territories than in their terrestrial systems. For the Falkland Islands and Ascension, very few alien marine species have been recorded, with no obvious, major impact. In contrast, in the Overseas Territories in the Caribbean (Anguilla, Montserrat, the British Virgin Islands and the British Cayman Islands), the invasive, omnivorous lionfish is having major, detrimental impacts (Rocha et al. 2015, Hixon et al. 2016). As a predator, it overconsumes native, ecologically important species, in particular in coral reef systems. In numerous cases, invasive mammalian predators on land continue to severely impact native seabird populations (Hilton and Cuthbert 2010). Priority islands for conservation action against mammalian predators include Gough (which according to one published prioritization scheme is the highest-ranked island in the world for mammal eradication), St. Helena and Montserrat, but also on Tristan da Cunha, Pitcairn and the Falkland Islands (Hilton and Cuthbert 2010). One of the few studies covering alien seaweeds in UK Overseas Territories mentions the brown alga Cystoseira compressa and the filamentous red alga Womersleyella setacea, which is considered one of the worst marine invasive species worldwide (Schneider and Lane 2007).

Ocean acidification can be expected to have a major impact in seabed ecosystems of UK Overseas Territories in the future, given the high importance of calcifying organisms (especially coralline algae and corals). Analogous to the UK’s territorial waters in Europe, this process will likely be more pronounced at higher latitudes (in particular, in the British Antarctic Territory, South Georgia and the Falkland Islands) than towards the Equator.

In recent years, the Caribbean has been marked by mass coral mortality due to bleaching (loss of algal symbionts; e.g. Eakin et al. 2010, Alemu and Clement 2014), and the UK Overseas Territories in this region are no exception to this. Loss of coral reefs and their replacement by turf algal-dominated communities constitutes a significant phase shift and major loss of biodiversity in the areas affected. This is widely accompanied by a decline in reef fish abundance (Paddock et al. 2009).

Consequences of biodiversity loss for UK interests

UK marine biodiversity may be worth up to ~£2670 billion to the UK (Beaumont et al. 2006) including the services it provides. This figure was calculated based on 2002–2004 valuation of goods and services provided to the UK; those valuations were determined using the total economic valuation framework data derived from peer reviewed literature, Defra Sea Fisheries Statistics 2004, European Parliament Report 2004 and Hebridean Whale and Dolphin Trust (Beaumont et al. 2008). These services include: food provision, raw materials, leisure and recreation, resilience and resistance, nutrient cycling, gas and climate regulation, bioremediation, disturbance prevention and alleviation, cultural heritage, cognitive values (e.g. information used in education and medicine), and biologically mediated habitats (habitat created by living organisms which other organisms use e.g. seagrass and coraline algae; Beaumont et al. 2008).

At present, there is a paucity of consistent quantitative data available to assess the exact projected loss in biodiversity due to particular aspects of climate change (Ramírez et al. 2017); this is especially true for
the impacts of services we discuss below. However, as a guide, meta-analysis approaches suggest species turnovers of up to 60% implying ecological disturbances that may disrupt ecosystem services (Cheung et al. 2009a). Significantly, biodiversity hotspots often occur in the areas most affected by projected climate change; in particular, biodiversity changes in the North Sea will likely respond most to increasing sea surface temperatures (Ramírez et al. 2017). For context in terrestrial systems climate change induced biodiversity losses are expected to cause 15–37% of species being committed to extinction (Thomas et al. 2004) with worst case scenarios even leading to possible large scale species extinctions (Bellard et al. 2012).

Here we focus on some of the consequences of stress-driven changes in biodiversity with particular focus on the loss of the carbon storage capacity by marine systems which is facilitated by their high biodiversity.

- Carbon storage: Carbon storage falls within nutrient cycling in many assessments of carbon’s role in ecosystem service provision. For example, marine nutrient cycling provides £2320 billion of ecosystem services in the form of nutrients available for life and also those that increase marine productivity (i.e. making nutrients available to all levels of the food chain where they are required for the survival of marine organisms; Beaumont et al. 2006). It is only recently that the value of blue carbon has been recognized with marine vegetated systems, including seagrass communities, salt marshes and coralline algal beds, being important in organic carbon burial due their own biomass or that of other organisms associated with them (van der Heijden and Kamenos 2015a). Thus, at present, little research exists on its valuation in the UK. However, its scope is highly significant, for example, around half the carbon that enters the oceans (~80% of global carbon) is stored in marine blue carbon repositories (Duarte et al. 2013).

  The mechanisms of damage include changing species distributions (so carbon is no longer stored efficiently), dissolution of habitats that store carbon by ocean acidification (Brodie et al. 2014, van der Heijden and Kamenos 2015a), extreme climatic events (Arias-Ortiz et al. 2018) or mechanical destruction of such communities (Serrano et al. 2016). The consequences of damage to blue carbon ecosystems is a reduction in removal of atmospheric CO2, further exacerbating global warming and ocean acidification (Duarte et al. 2013). In addition, the removal of these ecosystems leads to a significant loss in coastal protection and thus a loss in land and further carbon (e.g. via loss of coastal salt marshes; Fitton et al. 2016). Globally, the loss of blue carbon ecosystems due to climate change represents a storage capacity loss of 7–29 Tg CO2 per year (Duarte et al. 2013) resulting in an estimated £5–34 billion per year loss of CO2 sequestration alone (Pendleton et al. 2012). In the UK, coastal habitats alone (e.g. saltmarshes) are estimated to contribute £1bn in CO2 sequestration, however, that may fall to £0.25bn by 2060 if habitat loss continues (Beaumont et al. 2014).

- Climate regulation: In addition to the role of carbon storage in climate regulation, marine biodiversity is, in part, controlled through biogeochemical cycling by marine biota. For example, emission of sulphur-based gases in the coastal zone (Burdett et al. 2015) may contribute to climate regulation (for example stabilizing climate; Charlson et al. 1987). As with carbon storage, loss of such services will impact the rate of climate change.

- Coastal protection: Within the UK, coastal habitats account for ~£50 billion of services including coastal protection from marine ingress, for example, by protecting coastal communities during storm surges (Fitton et al. 2016). Saltmarshes alone are predicted to reduce in extent by 25% by 2100 exposing coastal communities to flooding losing up to 25% of the £1bn services, including carbon storage, they provide (Beaumont et al. 2014).

- Aquaculture and fisheries: UK aquaculture is worth £800 million across all species (www.seafish.com), while fisheries landings into the UK by the home fleet in 2015 were valued at £775 million (Marine Management Organisation 2015). The stressors described above are likely to change the species targeted by both in UK waters, potentially causing a loss of employment (Beaumont et al. 2006) and possibly affecting food supply chains. Projected levels of ocean warming would lead to relocation of cod (Drinkwater 2005) while projected ocean acidification would cause increased fragility in mussel shells (Fitzer et al. 2014), making them unsuitable for aquaculture. Not all species are likely to be impacted by warming and ocean acidification.

- Raw materials: Biodiversity is responsible for the provision of materials including seaweeds which are commonly used as soil conditioners (Brodie et al. 2014) as well as fish meal which is used as an aquaculture food source (Beaumont et al. 2008) and marine genetic resources which come from the raw materials collected (Leary et al. 2009). Changes to the type of seaweed available (see above) could affect not only
direct unemployment of the harvesters, but indirectly the aquaculture industry (De Silva and Soto 2009).

– As marine genetic resources often come from raw materials, the stressors affecting biodiversity, for example, are also likely to affect marine genetic resources such as those used for medicinal applications (Beaumont et al. 2006).

– Bioremediation: Marine biota can modify anthropogenic waste via burial, dilution and detoxification, effectively removing these wastes from the environment (e.g. significant breakdown of oil spills by marine bacteria; Gutierrez et al. 2013). The impact of climate change on this process is uncertain as valuations for the UK do not exist.

– Recreation: Biodiversity supports human use of the marine environment (e.g. fishing and SCUBA diving). MPAs alone are estimated to provide £3.9 billion in services (Kenter et al. 2013). The monetary value of the loss of these services extended to the whole UK coastlines is expected to be significantly higher as MPAs only cover 4% of the UK’s seas and valuations have not been made of Overseas Territories, where extensive MPAs exist (e.g. St. Helena).

– Should marine biodiversity decline, this would result in reduced technological and medicinal applications with subsequent economic implications (Beaumont et al. 2006).

– Ability of marine environments to recover (resilience): As biodiversity declines due to ocean warming, marine systems lose their ability to recover from other disturbances (e.g. pollution). This is particularly hard to value, as it interacts with many of the above impacts with complex outcomes. For example, in Australia, warming reduced the ability of habitat forming seaweeds to recover from the stressor as juveniles could not compete ecologically (Wernberg et al. 2010). Overall, reduced resilience due to reduced climate change will exacerbate the other impacts of reduced biodiversity as systems will be very slow to recover.

Legislation

Climate change and biodiversity loss pose new challenges for legislation, in particular for legislation intended to promote sustainable marine resource management (Frost et al. 2016). The implications of climate change for the designation and management of MPAs are major (see above). This is a significant field for policymakers, but it is beyond the scope of the present review. For further, detailed reading, the reader is referred to a recent review paper (Frost et al. 2016).

The significance of natural carbon removal from the global system to help control climate change is such that international legislation now exists to respond to this. At present legal mechanisms exist for encouraging the mitigation of climate change through natural vegetated systems. These mechanisms are focused mainly on terrestrial vegetation; for example the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC); Reducing Emissions through Decreased Deforestation (REDD+) and National Appropriate Mitigation Actions (NAMAs). Indeed, the UNFCCC has the Clean Development Mechanism which allows emission-reduction projects in developing countries to generate certified emission reduction credits. For the UK, coastal eco-engineering approaches that provide impetus for increased growth of UK blue carbon repositories may be an approach to ensure continued carbon sequestration (e.g. the provision of marine protection to enhance growth of carbon sequestering ecosystems). One of the challenges for effective conservation legislation in the UK is the occurrence of large-scale cold-water coral reefs in international waters close to, but outside, the UK’s national jurisdiction (Roberts and Cairns 2014). This reflects the importance of international agreements relating to biodiversity protection.

At present the UK is subject to international and European law and has signed agreements relating to marine biodiversity. At the international level, this includes the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD, https://www.cbd.int/) and the UN Sustainable Development Goal 14 (Life Below the Sea) to ensure the conservation and sustainable use of the oceans and marine resources by reducing pollution and strengthening their resilience against a background of climate change by management, enhanced scientific collaboration and sustainable resource use.
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