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Abstract

The behaviour of a nanosecond pulsed dielectric barrier discharge (ns-DBD) plasma actuator with ambient pressure from 30 to 100 kPa was characterised with Schlieren images. Shock wave propagation speed and strength were recorded showing clear trends with decreasing ambient pressure. Higher ambient pressures result in stronger shock waves; this has been observed irrespective of the actuator thickness. This might be explained with fewer air molecules to ionize at lower ambient pressures and hence a lower temperature from the exothermal recombination reactions. The thickness of the dielectric barrier also influences the shock strength. In accordance with previous findings it was confirmed that a thinner dielectric barrier results in a greater shock strength.

NS-DBD shock waves were modelled numerically with OpenFOAM through a source term added to the energy equation, which controls the amount of thermal energy added to the near-wall deposition region. The compressible, unsteady sonicFoam solver was used with second order schemes. A mesh sensitivity study gives confidence that the solution is grid independent. The overall shock wave structure and propagation speed match well with experimental data. The heat addition required to reproduce experimental results
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varied with ambient pressure. Less heating of the near-wall region was needed with lower ambient pressures.
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1. Introduction

A typical dielectric-barrier-discharge (DBD) plasma actuator (PA) consists of two electrodes, one exposed to the free stream and one covered by a dielectric layer [1], see Figure 1. Dependent on the signal fed to the electrodes, two distinct characteristics of DBD plasma actuation are common: alternate-current (ac) and nanosecond (ns) - with different operating principles and mechanisms to influence the flow.

For the ns-DBD PA operation the electrodes are fed with very high voltage nanosecond pulses. During each pulse the gas between the electrodes is ionized and charged particles are attracted to the high voltage electrode, traveling along the dielectric as close to the electrode as possible [2]. As the pulse ends, the electrons are released moving in a direction opposite to the relative position of the high voltage electrode. Plasma streamers are formed and induce local changes to the temperature, density, and viscosity fields. Ultra-fast gas heating occurs in the region of plasma streamers [3] which dictate the effective heating region. The highest temperature is generated locally at the exposed electrode edge. As the streamer propagates along the dielectric it is accompanied by a surface heating rise [4, 5].

Electrons collide with air particles with enough energy to dissociate them. Their recombination is an exothermal process releasing large amounts of thermal energy [6] over very short time periods (about 1 μs). This increases the local volume temperature by an approximate factor of ten [7]. Temperature increase of hundreds of degrees Kelvin in a few dozen nanoseconds were recorded [8–11]. Due to the short time frame the gas does not expand, resulting in the increase of both pressure and temperature. The gas expands adiabatically generating a shock wave. The main mechanism of impact is the energy transfer to the near-wall gas in the form of a heat plume [5, 7, 12], which results in a shock wave. Strong local changes in temperature and viscosity accompanied by shock waves are the main method of interaction of the ns-DBD plasma actuator with the flow. This offers both a very high flow control authority potential and low power consumption [7]. Unlike ac-
DBD plasma actuators there is very weak ionic wind/momentum generated by ns-DBD plasma actuation [13].

![Figure 1: Schematic representation of typical dielectric barrier discharge plasma actuator configuration for ac and ns operation.](image)

The effect of geometry on shock wave strength was investigated in a previous study [14] with the results indicating that a thinner dielectric results in a stronger shock wave for given voltage and pulse frequency input. This was also shown in studies by Van den Broecke and Avallone [15, 16].

With the general processes governing the formation of ionized plasmas established through benchmark studies [1, 7, 17–19], the attention of many researchers moved to characterising plasma actuators at various conditions and classifying their suitability as a flow control application in a number of environments.

Thermal effects of ns-DBD actuators and more specifically their ability to mitigate aircraft icing was investigated by Lui and Chen [20, 21]. Winkel and others [15, 22] looked at the energy deposition of plasma actuators. Do Nascimento et al. [23] investigated the behaviour of DBD actuators in different gasses using argon (Ar), helium (He) and nitrogen (N) while helium was also used by Nastuta and Liu [24, 25]. A novel method to measure the temperature field was designed and tested on ns-DBD plasma actuators by Ukai et al. [26].

Pressure dependency of ac-DBD plasma actuators was studied by Valerioti et al. [27] for pressures from 17 to 900 kPa and others [28–30]. Their studies conclude that the body force produced by ac-DBD actuators has two maxima - one at sub-atmospheric pressures and one at super-atmospheric pressures. These peaks depend on voltage input and occur at pressures fur-
ther away from atmospheric conditions with increasing voltage input levels.

However, there is a lack of data on pressure and temperature dependency of ns-DBD plasma actuators. This study aims at characterising ns-DBD actuation with varying ambient pressures for sub-atmospheric cases for pressures of 30 to 100 kPa. The effects of pressure dependency on shock wave generation by ns-DBD plasma actuation were investigated experimentally and numerically. The ns-DBD plasma actuator is operated in a vacuum chamber, and Schlieren photography is used for shock wave visualisation.

2. Experimental set-up

Experiments were conducted at the University of Glasgow using the same equipment and basic set up as Ukai et al. [26] who used the same facility for their research.

The ns-DBD PA used in the study is shown in Figure 2. The exposed high-voltage and ground electrodes were 5 and 10 mm wide, respectively. The electrodes were made of 35 μm copper. The electrodes are separated by a dielectric material known as glass reinforced epoxy (GRE FR-4: Flame Retardant Type 4) with thicknesses of 0.4, 0.8, and 1.6 mm. There is no discharge gap between the electrodes. The lateral length of all electrodes is 85 mm. The ground electrode was fully covered by three layers of polyimide film, approximately 210 μm in total. The polyimide film covered the exposed electrode 7.5 mm from both lateral side edges to prevent plasma arcing on the sides of the actuator.

The actuators were made using double-sided photolithography. The masks, shown in Figure 2, for the process were created using CAD software. The copper comes with a photo-resist coating, which is exposed under a UV light for 30 seconds. It is then submerged in a developer bath that removes the resist that was exposed to the UV light. The developer is Seno 4006, a solution of Potassium hydroxide, and Disodium metasilicate. The board is next sprayed with a ferric chloride solution to remove the exposed copper. The whole process is usually concluded in about 1 minute for each side of the actuator. Once the copper is removed, the remaining photo resist is cleaned with acetone.

The discharge is driven by a high-voltage nanosecond pulse generator (Megaimpulse, model: NPG18/3500(N)) that supplies negative pulse polarity at a pulse rise time of approximately 4 ns. In the present study, an input
Figure 2: Example of the actuator used (not to scale): masks used for etching process (2a). Top: exposed, high voltage electrode. Bottom: covered, ground electrode. Image of actuator (2b) made of GRE dielectric with copper electrodes.
voltage of 12 kV (negative polarity) was used with a pulse frequency of 1 kHz controlled by a function generator (AIM & THURLBY THANDAR INSTRUMENTS, model: TG2000). The negative polarity produces large gross energy related to strong gas heating [31], thus negative polarity was used in this study. The supplied high-voltage pulses were transferred from the pulse generator to the exposed electrode by a 75 Ω coaxial cable. The ambient temperature was monitored using a K-type thermocouple with a data acquisition module system (National Instruments Corp., model: NI-9213, 24 bit) driven by LabVIEW. The pressure in a quadrilateral, stainless steel vacuum chamber with volume of 0.138 m$^3$ was controlled through a vacuum pump and was measured through a pressure transducer (Kulite, model XTE-190M, pressure range: 0 to 170 kPA Absolute).

The Schlieren technique with a standard Z-type optical arrangement was employed to visualise the qualitative density gradient above the ns-DBD plasma actuator. The Schlieren system consists of a continuous light source (Newport, model: 66921) with a 450 W Xe arc lamp, a condenser lens with a focal length of 70 mm, a pinhole, a pair of 203.3 mm diameter concave mirrors with a focal length of 1829 mm, a circular dot cut-off plate, an imaging lens, and a high-speed camera. The pinhole in front of the condenser lens creates a light spot that illuminates the first concave mirror. The light beam is then collimated by the first mirror. The collimated beam passes through a 137 mm diameter quartz window of the vacuum chamber and the test section where the ns-DBD PA is located. A second parabolic mirror reflects the beam onto optics and the camera. The circular dot plate is positioned at the focal point of the second mirror.

Two high-speed cameras, HPV-1 (Shimadzu) and Fast cam SA 1.1 (Photon), were used to capture the effect of pressure on the shock propagation speed and the shock strength. The images were required at a frame rate of 75 kfps (Photon) and 500 kfps (Shimadzu) with an exposure time of 1 second.

In order to measure the high voltage signal provided by the nanosecond pulse generator, the back-current shunt technique was used [16]. Due to the high voltage levels experienced through the core of the supply cable, the signal is instead measured from the current generated in the shielding of the coaxial cable. This is done using a series of resistors, connected in parallel to form a shunt resistor. This resistor is placed across a break in the ground of the coaxial cable and the voltage across this shunt resistor is measured. The current generated in the ground connection can be related to the voltage using equation 1 from transmission line theory:
\[ V_{\text{supply}} = \frac{L_{\text{characteristic}}}{I} \] (1)

where \( V_{\text{supply}} \) is the supply voltage, \( I \) is the current through the transmission line and \( L_{\text{characteristic}} \) is the characteristic impedance of the transmission line. For the coaxial cable used in this work, the characteristic impedance is 75 \( \Omega \). The resistance of the shunt resistor is designed to be low, in the order of milliohms in order for the generated voltage to be measured directly through an oscilloscope. The voltage measured can then be converted to the actual voltage supplied to the DBD plasma actuator using equation 2:

\[ V_{\text{actual}} = V_{\text{shunt}} \cdot \frac{L_{\text{characteristic}}}{R_{\text{shunt}}} \] (2)

where \( V_{\text{shunt}} \) is the voltage measured across the current shunt and \( R_{\text{shunt}} \) is the known current shunt resistance.

3. Numerical methods

A phenomenological model of ns-DBD plasma actuators is used in this study. The model is adapted from the method proposed by Gaitonde [32, 33] and is based on a heated volume region. Similar approaches are used by Zhao and Kinefuchi [19, 34]. Other researchers chose to create a more physical model of ns-DBD plasma including near wall electric field equations, electron and ion densities in the gas, and drift diffusion equations [35, 36]. Unfer and Boeuf [4] implemented a two dimensional ns-DBD model taking into account the drift diffusion and local field approximations. The latter is used to approximate the energy gained by charged particles from the electric field by locally balancing the gains with losses due to collisions with neutral molecules. The former assumes that a particle's momentum can be estimated by equating a particle's fluxes with the sum of a drift and a diffusion term. However, most researchers agree that given the timescales involved there is no added benefit from adding ion motion, electron diffusion, and chemical recombination processes to plasma modelling.

A numerical model of ns-DBD PA was implemented in OpenFOAM for the use with transient, density-based computational fluid dynamics (CFD)
calculations. A region of the mesh is selected for which a Gaussian temperature profile is prescribed to simulate the heat addition by the ns-DBD plasma actuators. See Figure 3 for the temperature profile prescribed to the heated region. The non-symmetric nature of the profile results in both a cylindrical and planar shock wave being generated. The length of the Gaussian profile was chosen based on the covered electrode width along which the plasma is generated. Discharge distance or streamer length, which actually govern the extent of the heated region, were not available from experiments to use.

A source term in the energy equation is added to control the amount of heat added to the selected volume. The Gaussian temperature profile is essential to capture the shock structure while the uniform and step profiles of temperature do not produce a good match [32]. In the current implementation, the pulse time, rest time, actuator length and width, and its starting point can all be set by the user. It is also possible to create multiple heated regions and investigate the effect of a number of actuators. The governing equation defining the Gaussian profile is added to a factor ($\chi$) of the ambient temperature:

$$T(x) = T_{amb} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2\pi x^3}} e^{x^2} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2\mu^2 x^2} (x - \mu)^2\right) T_{amb} \chi$$

(3)
The symbols $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are parameters used to alter the Gaussian profile and its mean value. In this study, $\lambda$ is set to 1 and $\mu$ to 0.3.

For this study, the sonicFoam solver in OpenFOAM was used due to its transient, compressible features. Calculations were performed with second order (Gauss limitedLinear) schemes and the pimple algorithm in laminar, quiescent conditions. The time step was 2 nanoseconds with the pulse time of the ns-DBD model set to 100 nanoseconds. The simulation was performed for a total of 60 microseconds with a write interval of 2 microseconds. Initially a mesh independence study was conducted to ascertain that simulation results would not be affected by mesh density. The ambient temperature was set to 293 K, corresponding to the ambient temperature during the experimental campaign.

4. Results

The voltage supplied to the actuators is shown in Figure 4 which also shows the 20% and 80% threshold lines. Those were used to evaluate the pulse width, rise time, and fall time of the signal. Measurements show a triangular signal with a pulse width of 6 ns, a rise time of 3.8 ns, and a peak, negative polarity voltage of 9.45 kV. The fall time seems to be longer than the rise time lasting 9 ns based on the 20% and 80% threshold values of the peak voltage. Current measurements were not taken during experiments.

![Figure 4: Voltage signal supplied to plasma actuators with the 20% and 80% threshold lines used to evaluate the pulse width, its rise and fall time.](image)

The ns-DBD actuator generates a distinct, cylindrical shock wave with
a tail which propagates from the actuator surface as is evident from the Schlieren images. There is also a clear planar wave which has been previously recorded in an experiment by Takashima [18]. Figure 5 shows these two waves for the case of an ambient atmospheric pressure and temperature within the experimental domain and an actuator thickness of 0.8 mm. The shock wave is shown for two times after actuation: 13.3 µs and 26.7 µs respectively.

Figure 5: Schlieren image of distinct, cylindrical and planar shock wave generated from the ns-DBD actuator of 0.8 mm thickness at ambient (atmospheric) conditions. The location of the plasma actuator is shown with the ground electrode coloured grey, the dielectric barrier coloured white, and the high voltage electrode coloured black, respectively.

4.1. Shock propagation

Propagation speed of the shock wave is calculated based on the location of the shock front in consecutive Schlieren images and the time between these images. The location of the shock front is estimated by analysing the profile of the image intensity values across it and then searching for the maximum value in a purpose written post-processing code.

The following six plots, figs. 6 to 11, show the shock propagation speed and the distance travelled by the shock front with time for the three actuator thicknesses (t = 0.4, 0.8, and 1.6 mm).

4.2. Shock strength

The shock strength was estimated based on the intensity values of the captured Schlieren images. An image processing software was used to combine a number of Schlieren images showing the propagation of a single shock wave in one image. Next, a purpose written code analysed the intensity of
Figure 6: Shock propagation based on shock front location: time vs velocity. Actuator thickness: 0.4 [mm].

Figure 7: Shock propagation based on shock front location: time vs distance. Actuator thickness: 0.4 [mm].
Figure 8: Shock propagation based on shock front location: time vs velocity. Actuator thickness: 0.8 [mm].

Figure 9: Shock propagation based on shock front location: time vs distance. Actuator thickness: 0.8 [mm].
Figure 10: Shock propagation based on shock front location: time vs velocity. Actuator thickness: 1.6 [mm].

Figure 11: Shock propagation based on shock front location: time vs distance. Actuator thickness: 1.6 [mm].
each pixel on the image along a vertical line from the centre of the actuator surface to the top of the image. Peak values of intensities and their corresponding vertical location are also extracted. Peak intensity values, $I$, are normalised by the background intensity, $I_b$, of each image. Image intensity was obtained from ten images of shock propagation. Normalised shock intensity, $I/I_b$, has a mean value of 5.11 (for a pressure of 60 kPa and a dielectric thickness of 0.4 mm) with a standard deviation of 0.243. The standard deviation is significantly smaller (below 5%) than the mean, therefore there is a high degree in repeatability. An example of this process is shown in Figure 12.

![Figure 12: Example of output from Matlab code. Top left: Combined Schlieren images of the propagation of a single shock wave with the vertical line (from 215:5 to 215:250) indicating the position intensities are extracted from. Top right: Pixel intensity profile along line from 215:5 to 215:250. Bottom left: Pixel intensity profile with identified peak values that correspond to the shock wave fronts. Bottom right: Normalised shock strength $I/I_b$ vs vertical distance [pixels] from actuator surface.](image)

Figure 12 shows the intensity profiles of ten instances of recorded shock waves. Profiles indicate little spread in shock strength and wave front speed for recorded shock waves. The intensity values closest to the actuator region, $230 < Y < 250$ pixels, show strong gradients in the vicinity to the discharge in what is known as the generated heat plume [6].

For the three actuator thicknesses used, 0.4, 0.8, and 1.6 [mm], the process above was repeated for Schlieren images capturing ten separate shock waves for each pressure value in the vacuum chamber. The results presented below
Figure 13: Normalised intensity profiles, $I/I_b$, along vertical line across ten shock wave propagation images.

are the average of all ten instances. Graphs, in figs. 14 to 16, show the normalised shock strength, $I/I_b$, vs the vertical distance from the actuator surface. The pressure ranges from 30 to 100 kPa.

Figure 14: Normalised shock strength $I/I_b$ vs vertical distance from actuator for a pressure range of 30 to 100 kPa. Actuator thickness is 0.4 [mm].
Figure 15: Normalised shock strength $I/I_b$ vs vertical distance from actuator for a pressure range of 30 to 100 kPa. Actuator thickness is 0.8 [mm].

Figure 16: Normalised shock strength $I/I_b$ vs vertical distance from actuator for a pressure range of 30 to 100 kPa. Actuator thickness is 1.6 [mm].
4.3. Numerical ns-DBD model

The implementation was tested with a simple 2D case in a quiescent flow. Flow solutions show a shock wave propagating through the domain with the same distinct features observed in experiment. The results were post-processed with a purpose written code to determine the exact shock front location at different time steps. Figure 17 shows the formed shock wave structure at a time of 10 microseconds after discharge. The Figure presents a strong pressure gradient (17a) and the density field (17b). The progression of the shock wave front through the numerical domain is depicted in Figure 18.

Figure 17: Numerically modelled ns-DBD plasma actuator: (17a) pressure contours of shock front, (17b) density contours of shock front.

Figure 18: Progression of shock wave with the ns-DBD model implemented in OpenFOAM. Black coloured region at the bottom of the computational domain schematically depicts the heated volume region. This is not to scale. Dimensions of the heated volume are 10 x 1 x 10^{-5} x 1 mm in the x, y, and z direction, respectively.

The parameter $\chi$ controls the amount of the temperature being added to the heated region. Increasing $\chi$ yields a stronger shock wave with higher
velocities (Figure 19). The model is compared to experimental results obtained. Plots, in figs. 20 to 22, show the shock wave propagation speed, distance vs time, for pressures of 30, 70, and 100 kPa, respectively.

Figure 19: Effect of parameter $\chi$ on Mach number of shock front at ambient conditions.

Figure 20: Comparison of experimental and numerical shock wave propagation for ambient pressure of 30 kPa.
5. Discussion

Previous studies by Roupassov et al. [7] and Takashima et al. [18] showed that ns-DBD generated compression waves propagate with an approximately sonic velocity. This is confirmed by the shock propagation recorded through
Schlieren images in this study as is evident from the constant slope lines in figs. 7, 9 and 11. These results indicate that there is very little variation for different ambient pressures. However, from figs. 6, 8 and 10 it is evident that the initial propagation velocity near the discharge is considerably higher though the waves remain sonic. The Mach number increases near the surface due to the high temperature which results in higher wave speeds for Mach = 1. This has also been noted by other studies [7, 18].

From the results obtained, it is clear that a higher ambient pressure leads to a stronger shock strength. This has been observed for all three actuator thicknesses. With a higher pressure, the air density increases so that there are more particles being ionized by the electric field. Due to the higher number density of particles, it is believed that more exothermal recombination reactions take place which increases the shock strength of the generated shock wave. The effect of ambient pressure on ultra-fast gas heating is not clear.

A second observation is that the actuator thickness also influences the shock strength considerably. As the resistance of the electric circuit is proportional to the actuator’s thickness, this has a direct effect on the strength of the electric field generated by the ns-DBD PA. The electric field strength determines the rate/extent of the ionization of air particles and hence the shock wave strength is enhanced. Therefore, the thinner actuator leads to a greater shock strength.

As is evident from the results, the numerical ns-DBD model captures the overall shock structure and propagation speed accurately. The numerical simulation results compare well with our experiments matching the shock front propagation determined from the Schlieren experiments. The amount of temperature addition required to match experimental results of shock propagation are given in Table 1. It outlines the temperature values added to the near wall region for the simulation of ns-DBD generated shock waves. It seems that a lower temperature profile is needed at lower pressure values. This probably means less flow control authority is available at lower ambient pressures.

6. Conclusions

Shock wave propagation speed and strength were recorded showing clear trends with decreasing ambient pressure. Higher ambient pressures result in stronger shock waves; this has been observed irrespective of the actuator
Table 1: Temperature values added to the near wall region for the simulation of ns-DBD generated shock waves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure [kPa]</th>
<th>(\chi)</th>
<th>T max [K]</th>
<th>T avg [K]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>410.319</td>
<td>295.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>654.657</td>
<td>299.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>898.995</td>
<td>304.071</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Temperature values corresponding to \(\chi\) parameters used for CFD.

thickness. This might be explained with fewer air molecules to ionize at lower ambient pressures and hence a lower temperature from the exothermic recombination reactions which produce thermal energy. This finding has, potentially, implications on using plasma actuators at altitude i.e. on airborne vehicles where the ambient pressure is naturally lower than at sea level. Although, the effect of ambient temperature would also have to be considered. To the authors’ knowledge, no such experiment linking plasma actuator performance to altitude is available in the literature. The data further shows that the thickness of the dielectric barrier also influences the shock strength. In accordance with previous findings it was confirmed that a thinner dielectric barrier results in a greater shock strength.

The overall shock wave structure and propagation speed from CFD match well with our experiments allowing the conclusion that a phenomenological plasma model is sufficient to capture the dominant features of ns-DBD plasma actuators. The heat addition required to reproduce experimental results varied with ambient pressure. Less heating of the near-wall region was needed with lower ambient pressures. This probably means less flow control authority is available at lower ambient pressures.
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