Calibration of Novel Protein Biomarkers for Veterinary Clinical Pathology: A Call for International Action
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Research into the identification and use of protein biomarkers for use in veterinary clinical pathology has produced numerous potential analytes that could become common tests in the future. One problem that has to be overcome in the general acceptance of a novel biomarker is that differing standards for calibration may be developed by individual laboratories or the diagnostic companies that will provide kits for widespread use. This has been apparent in the development of acute phase protein biomarkers such as canine C-reactive protein. In order to overcome this problem an international initiative is required to ensure that assays developed in separate laboratories would have a consistent calibration protocol so that results produced are equivalent. International reference preparations for serum protein analysis for each relevant species should be established for use as primary standard in the calibration of biomarkers for veterinary diagnosis.
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INTRODUCTION

Biomarkers are defined by the Biomarker Working Group of the National Institutes of Health Director's Initiative on Biomarkers and Surrogate Endpoints as “A characteristic that is objectively measured and evaluated as an indicator of normal biological processes, pathogenic processes, or pharmacologic responses to a therapeutic intervention” (1). This covers many if not all analytes in diagnostic laboratories, however in veterinary clinical pathology, the major recent biomarker developments which have been valuable for improving the diagnosis of disease in domestic animals have been in the identification, validation and assay development for protein biomarkers especially in serum, or plasma.

Over the last few decades, there have been investigations into a number of protein biomarkers the concentration of which have the potential for use in diagnostic laboratories and potentially for point-of-care testing in veterinary practices. Thus, proteins such as, C-reactive protein, serum amyloid A, α1 acid glycoprotein, adipokines, cytokines, telomerase, paroxonase, pancreatic lipase, GLUT1, cardiac troponin, brain natriuretic peptide, VCAM-1 and LCAM1 are already in use or their potential has been identified for monitoring a wide variety of conditions including innate immunity, neoplastic disease and cardiac medicine in both companion and production animals. Tremendous strides have been made in the discovery and application of veterinary biomarkers and these have been reviewed elsewhere (2–4).
However, there is a major hurdle to overcome in the implementation of novel biomarkers for veterinary diagnosis, especially for methods that could be implemented around the world. There is no international agreement for harmonization of assay calibration for veterinary biomarkers. This means that there is no accepted means to calibrate a specific assay for a novel biomarker. Therefore, a potential problem can arise in provision of consistent results and alignment of clinical interpretation, especially if two or more laboratories use differing calibration standards. If a globally agreed protocol can be developed to overcome this shortcoming in veterinary clinical pathology, then all laboratories and of equal importance all diagnostic kit manufacturers would have access to the same accepted standard material for calibration so that results would then be comparable between methods.

Before outlining a solution to this problem it is instructive to illustrate the means whereby biomarkers have been developed in the recent past. For example, following the development of assays for canine C-reactive protein (cCRP) over the last 40 years illustrates how problems can arise. Current technological approaches can accelerate the discovery of further biomarkers and integral to further advances would be a regulatory system for international harmonization of the standards used in assay calibration. It is also instructive to illustrate how standards for calibration have been developed for clinical biochemistry in human medicine.

BIOMARKER DEVELOPMENT

The incentive to establish a novel biomarker has varied origins. It may be by a deliberate investigation to identify a biomarker for a specific disease, it may be a serendipitous discovery of a protein that can be the target for a new biomarker test, or it may be by comparison to established biomarkers in human medicine. It was the latter that formed the basis for the development of cCRP as a marker of innate immunity in dogs is probably not fully exploited and will benefit from multi-centric determination of benefits and limitations. This is highlighting that it is essential for comparison between research results from different laboratories that a common basis is used for calibration of cCRP assays. Then reference ranges, clinical decision point and clinical findings will be similar whichever diagnostic kit is utilized in a clinical biochemistry laboratory or in practice point-of-care instrument.

The development, validation and use of cCRP has therefore been a 30 year process. Current develops in the discovery of novel biomarkers has been accelerating, but for implementation of other new tests will face similar barriers as have been encountered for cCRP. Thus, it is opportune to develop a process that would be applicable to all new assays for veterinary biomarkers, not only for biomarkers in serum but also with the exciting prospects for monitoring disease with non-invasive samples for instance by use of biofluids such as saliva (13–15), or milk (16, 17) as the matrix for examination.

A major driver to the discovery of new veterinary biomarkers is the application of recent advances in proteomics using liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry (18) so making it more imperative that a process is developed to deal with calibration standardization. In relevant example in dogs, such advanced technology has identified biomarkers associated with canine babesiosis (19) while in another study biomarkers for treatment monitoring of canine leishmaniosis (20) have been discovered. Feline biomarkers have also been investigated by proteomic study in research on familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (21). In farm animal medicine the use of proteomics has generated substantial interest in biomarker development, especially for disease such as bovine mastitis where an on-farm biomarker test could be particularly valuable (22). For global utilization of such advances, harmonization of assay calibration will be important, if not essential.

CALIBRATION FOR REFERENCE STANDARDS FOR PROTEIN BIOMARKERS

For the majority of assays currently employed in veterinary clinical biochemistry, their calibration is well-established. For
small molecules such as ions, metabolites such as urea and glucose and steroid hormones, the molecules are identical across species. For enzymes such as alkaline phosphatase their activity is measured by the same enzyme reactions in all species so that calibration does not present a problem. Similarly albumin and total protein are measured by chemical reactions common in all species and their calibration is well-established.

Specific protein biomarkers present a different problem as the proteins often vary across different species due to differences in their genome and protein conformation. Furthermore, differences in the matrix of the sample between species may, interacting with species specific protein, cause differences in the calibration of assays. Therefore, when measured by immunoassay, the method of choice for most protein biomarkers, antibody reactions will differ between species and there is also variation between the reactions of antibody from differing sources. Furthermore, a gold standard calibrator of a pure sample of the protein biomarker may only be available by tedious purification procedures which commonly do not yield preparations of 100% purity. Recombinant protein or synthesized peptides can be used for production of calibration standards either polyclonal or monoclonal antibody and calibration standards can be based such synthesized protein but they may not react as native protein. For instance glycosylation may be absent in synthesized protein or the native conformation may not be achieved reducing antibody interaction. These features mean that assays based on purified protein or recombinant protein may give different calibrations when used in immunoassay standard curves. A freely available calibration standard for use in assay development would overcome these hurdles to biomarker development.

The problem that can arise from the lack of an internationally recognized primary calibration standard preparation can be illustrated by the study conducted by Munoz-Prieto et al. (12) in which canine serum with differing concentrations of cCRP were used as quality controls (QC) to determine the precision of four different commercially available assays for this biomarker. In assessment of the interassay coefficient of variance (CV) the high level QC sample gave acceptable CV values for all four assays of < 12.86% but the mean ± SD values varied by 50% ranging from 97 ± 12 mg/L to 150.8 ± 14.3 mg/L1. For the medium level QC sample CVs were all <11% but the mean values varied by 240% ranging from 28.7 ± 3.1 to 70.4 ± 12.5 mg/L. For the low QC all the CVs were <15% but the mean values varied by 383% ranging from 3.18 ± 0.19 mg/L to 12.2 ± 1.28 mg/L on the same QC sample. Canine CRP reference ranges based on samples from healthy dogs in the same region (~10 mg/L) as the lowest of these QC samples (7, 23). A difference of approaching 400% between assays is not ideal and could lead to false negative or false positive results if a range from one assay were to be used for result interpretation from another cCRP assay method.

There have been few if any similar investigations comparing assays for other novel protein biomarkers, however there has been recent studies of results from assays of bovine haptoglobin in investigation of bovine respiratory disease that illustrate the problem caused by lack of an international calibration standard. A meta analysis of papers in the literature reporting on the use of bovine haptoglobin as a biomarker of this condition (24) identified 314 studies although only 23 met inclusion criteria for the meta analysis. Of these 52% of the investigations used an ELISA method from one manufacturer with a consistent calibration standard which gave reference range in healthy cattle of 0–0.15 mg/ml1 with a median haptoglobin concentration of 2.6 mg/ml in cattle with bovine respiratory disease (25). In contrast another recent investigation (26) using an ELISA from a different manufacturer described the serum concentration of haptoglobin in healthy cows as being <10 ng/ml1 with serum concentrations 115–163 ng/ml in animals with bovine respiratory disease thus showing a 104 difference in the reported values in the disease cases between results obtained by different commercially available assays. It is important that such variations are eliminated especially future development of novel protein biomarkers as they transfer from research laboratories to use in clinical assessment.

Similar problems have been encountered and overcome by scientists in human clinical biochemistry. Solutions have been applied by international agreement to the benefit of the diagnostic industry so that whichever method and manufacturer’s product is used, specific protein assay kits are calibrated against a common standard which is openly available to any producer with the result that all assays for a particular serum biomarker should give a similar result.

Collaborative initiatives under the auspices of the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry (IFCC) set a precedent that has been in place for over three decades. In 1994 the IFCC established an international Reference Preparation for Proteins in Human Serum (RPPHS) (27, 28) which provided a pool of human serum with a known concentration of the major biomarkers in the serum determined as the mean value of all laboratories in the collaboration. This has been updated with the most recent version being ERM-DA470k (29, 30). In veterinary science, there was an initiative to generate similar reference preparations for calibration of acute phase protein in bovine and porcine serum (31) and these are still available from the University of Copenhagen and University of Zaragoza, respectively. Although these reference preparations have been available knowledge of their existence was not widely disseminated and in future it will be important that all potential users should be aware of the availability of such preparations.

However, not all protein assays for use in veterinary clinical pathology present a problem in calibration. For instance, assays for canine troponin I (cTnI) the cardiac biomarker, are often based on antibodies produced against the human cTnI protein but the high degree of conservation of cTnI in structure across species (32) enables the use of these assays in canine cardiac cases with calibration against the human protein. Such assay development where the human calibrator is well-established and validated or where there is agreement among diagnostic kit manufacturers on the nature of the calibrator, would not need further attention.

1 Units are used as in the papers quoted.
A PROPOSAL FOR INTERNATIONAL HARMONIZATION OF VETERINARY BIOMARKER CALIBRATION

Procedures for developing these reference preparations for assays, where they are needed, are described in Table 1 and involves the following protocol for calibrators for assays for a specific species. A pool of serum of the species should be prepared containing the analyte being measured at a concentration aligning with the upper region of standard curves in use. The pool would be made by or transferred to the coordinating laboratory. Aliquots would be distributed to laboratories where the relevant protein biomarker assays are in use and the laboratories will analyse serum for the biomarkers of choice. Results will be returned to a central data analyst at the coordinating laboratory with details of the assay method and kit manufacturer. An all laboratory mean for each protein biomarker in the serum will be determined. After agreement on the mean value of each protein biomarker the pooled serum will be used as a primary calibrator of all assays.

The current climate for developing veterinary biomarkers with increased recognition of their potential value in diagnosis, and the increasing involvement of commercial organizations developing diagnostic testing for the global community, make this an opportune time for there to be an international initiative for addressing the problem of a lack of veterinary reference preparations for animal species. The requirement for species specific reference preparations but would in the first instance focus on canine and feline serum proteins to supplement the previous development of bovine and porcine serum preparations.

The International Society of Animal Clinical Pathology (ISACP), as a society with international reach has agreed to sponsor this process by initiating a working group to define a clear plan of how this can be facilitated. The working group would be composed of veterinary academic and diagnostic industry representatives including representatives from national and supra-national organizations in the field, such as the American College of Veterinary Clinical Pathology, the Japanese College of Veterinary Clinical Pathology, the European College of Veterinary Clinical Pathology and other related organizations. The Working Party would be charged with the responsibility to prepare a detailed plan for establishing International Veterinary Biomarker Reference Preparations. An outline of the Working Party proposal is given in Table 2 and consists of the following.

The Working Party proposal is given in Table 2 and consists of the following.

TABLE 1 | A protocol for preparation and standardization of biomarker calibrators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Prepare a pool of serum suitable for the programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Dispatch aliquots to laboratories where the protein biomarker assays are in use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Each laboratories will analyse serum for the biomarkers of choice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Results will be returned to a central data analyst at the coordinating laboratory with details of the assay method and kit manufacturer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>The all laboratory mean for each protein biomarker in the serum will be determined.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>After agreement on the mean value of each protein biomarker the pooled serum will be used as a primary calibrator of all assays.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>The pooled serum will be stored securely at 3 locations at −80°C in aliquots and made available to any laboratory and diagnostic manufacturer for use as a laboratory primary standard against which working standards can be calibrated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2 | A proposal for an international working party for standardization of calibration of veterinary biomarkers.

**Formation of the working party**

1. Members delegated from ISACP, JSVCP, ESVCP, ASVCP, and other national associations in order to relate discussion to their members.
2. Members from industry to liaise with all veterinary biomarker companies.
3. Experts appointed in protein biomarker analysis, including scientific (protein biochemistry, molecular biology), in veterinary clinical pathology and in statistical analysis.

**Initial activity of the working party**

4. Identify biomarkers where standards are required.
5. Define standard operating procedures for preparation analysis and distribution of international reference material for veterinary biomarker assays.
6. Identify participating laboratories and a coordinating center.
7. Seek funding from industry and academia—including experts in metrology, Institute for Reference Material and Measurements for production of European Reference Material, Institute for Biological Standards.
The coordinator of the process confirmed. Funding will be required to undertake this programme and could be sought from industry, who will benefit directly from having consistent and recognized biomarker calibration, academia via grant application to national and international funding agencies and societies devoted to improving animal health and welfare.

CONCLUSION

The development and widespread use of veterinary protein biomarkers is increasing but for regular use by diagnostic laboratories across the world it is important that methods use common reference preparations for calibration of standards and generation of standard curves for quantification of the biomarkers in clinical samples. In order to enable the development of species specific reference preparations an international harmonization programme is proposed that will allow assays to be developed to a global calibration level so that there should be comparable results obtained from investigation of a similar sample whichever assay method or diagnostic kit is used. The nature and extent of such a programme is open for debate among clinical pathologists in research, clinical laboratories and industry with the ultimate aim of improving and harmonizing biomarker assays for veterinary diagnosis.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The author confirms being the sole contributor of this work and has approved it for publication.

FUNDING

This work was supported by BBSRC while developing the concepts described in the paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks the many colleagues with whom he has collaborated in three decades of investigation on acute phase biomarkers for veterinary clinical biochemistry and for support of the BBSCRC Follow on Fund BB/M022021/1 while developing these concepts for standardizing veterinary biomarkers.

REFERENCES


Conflict of Interest Statement: The author declares that he has had research links and advisory roles with commercial companies producing kits for veterinary diagnostic laboratories.

Copyright © 2019 Eckersall. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.