

1 **Title: Effect of crop residue addition on soil organic carbon priming influenced by**
2 **temperature and soil properties**

3 **Authors**

4 Sangeeta Lenka^{1*}, Pankaj Trivedi^{2,3}, Brajesh Singh^{2,4}, Bhupinder Pal Singh⁵, Elise Pendall²,
5 Adrian Bass^{2,6}, Narendra Kumar Lenka¹

6 **Complete postal address(es) or affiliations**

¹Indian Institute of Soil Science, Nabibagh, Bhopal 462038, India

7 ²Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Penrith, NSW 2570

8 ³Bioagricultural Sciences and Pest Management, Colorado State University, Fort Collins,
9 Colorado USA 80523

10 ⁴Global Centre for Land-Based Innovation, Western Sydney University, Penrith, NSW 2570

11 ⁵NSW Department of Primary Industries, Elizabeth Macarthur Agricultural Institute, Menangle,
12 NSW 2568, Australia

13 ⁶School of Geographic and Earth Sciences, University of Glasgow, University Avenue, Glasgow
14 G12 8QQ.

15 ***Corresponding author:** Sangeeta Lenka, ICAR-Indian Institute of Soil Science, Nabibagh,
16 Bhopal 462038, India Email: sangeeta.lenka@icar.gov.in; sangeeta_2@rediffmail.com

17 Telephone: +91 755 2730946 fax: +91 755 2733310

18

19 **Abstract**

20 Priming of soil organic carbon (SOC) is a crucial factor in ecosystem carbon balance.
21 Despite its increasing importance in the changing global climate, the extent of influence of
22 temperature and soil properties on the priming effect remains unclear. Here, soil priming was
23 investigated using ^{13}C labeled wheat residues in two cultivated subtropical soils of Australia
24 (Vertisol and Luvisol) at four incubation temperatures (13, 23, 33 and 43°C). The priming effect
25 was computed from respired CO_2 and associated $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, which were measured periodically over the
26 52-day incubation period. Wheat residue addition resulted in greater priming effect in the Luvisol
27 (1.17 to 2.37% of SOC) than the Vertisol (0.02 to 1.56 % of SOC). The priming of SOC was the
28 highest at 23°C in the Luvisol, and at 43°C in the Vertisol, which indicates a variable positive
29 priming effect of temperature in different soil types. Wheat residue addition significantly increased
30 the temperature sensitivity (Q_{10}) of SOC mineralization in the Vertisol at temperature ranges below
31 33°C (i.e., 13-23 and 23-33°C) and had no significant effect in the Luvisol. A negative correlation
32 was observed between temperature and the Q_{10} values. Across soils, the Q_{10} of residue C was lower
33 than SOC suggesting that soil C is more vulnerable to climatic warming. This work demonstrates
34 that the magnitude of SOC priming by wheat residue and Q_{10} of SOC mineralization varied
35 significantly with soil type (Luvisol > Vertisol) and incubation conditions (temperature and time).
36 Given the current trend towards increasing atmospheric temperatures, future studies should
37 evaluate temperature effects on the priming of different pools of SOC induced by crop residue in
38 different agro-ecosystems.

39 *Key words:* SOC priming, Q_{10} , ^{13}C , Wheat residue, SOC mineralization, Temperature

40

41

42 **1. Introduction**

43 Understanding the potential impact of crop residue on soil organic carbon (SOC) storage is a
44 key focus to understand the magnitude of current and future global changes in temperature (Fang
45 et al., 2017; Lal, 2004; Thiessen et al., 2013). This is because crop residue is a significant source
46 of external carbon (C) input, while concurrently impacting native SOC mineralization (Fang et al.,
47 2018). Moreover, crop residue retention and application to soil is an integral component of
48 sustainable and conservation agriculture worldwide (Campbell et al., 2001; Corsi et al., 2012; Lal
49 and Kimble, 1997; Lenka et al., 2015)) with numerous benefits to soil properties, functioning and
50 processes (Chen et al., 2014; Fang et al., 2018; Sarker et al., 2018; Singh et al., 2014). Directly,
51 residues are the sources of energy and nutrients to the soil microbiome which may consequently
52 affect SOC and residue C mineralization (Shahbaz et al., 2017a). Therefore, crop residue addition
53 can either increase or decrease the mineralization of native SOC, termed the priming effect
54 (Kuzyakov et al., 2000; Shahbaz et al., 2017b; Zhang et al., 2013). The priming effect of crop
55 residue is hypothesized to be a function of abiotic factors including temperature and soil type
56 (Blagodatskaya and Kuzyakov, 2011). While increasing the temperature can increase the
57 mineralization of SOC in residue treated soil, the effect depends on the soil condition and
58 properties (Fang et al., 2018).

59 Multiple studies have elucidated the effect of residue C on SOC mineralization rate using crop
60 residues derived from various species (Guenet et al., 2010a; Liu et al., 2015; Mazzilli et al., 2014;
61 Nottingham et al., 2009; Shahbaz et al., 2017b; Wang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2013) . However,
62 SOC priming by crop residue as a function of temperature in different soils is still poorly
63 understood. Crop residue input has been observed to result in both positive and negative priming
64 effects. For example, negative priming could be the result of preferential residue C utilization over

65 SOC by the microbes that results in less SOC mineralization (Guenet et al., 2010a; Liu et al., 2015;
66 Wang et al., 2015). Nevertheless, crop residue input generally results in positive priming of native
67 SOC mineralization (Fang et al., 2018; Sarker et al., 2018; Shahbaz et al., 2017a; Wang et al.,
68 2015; Zhang et al., 2013). The possible mechanisms for positive SOC priming suggested by
69 previous studies include co-metabolism (Guenet et al., 2010b), preferential substrate utilization
70 (Gontikaki et al., 2013), soil nutrient mining (Fang et al., 2018), shifts in microbial community
71 structure that enhance native SOC mineralization (Fang et al., 2015), stimulation of microbial
72 biomass and activity (Liang et al., 2017; Thiessen et al., 2013; Xiao et al., 2015), microbial
73 necromass as a soil primer (Shahbaz et al., 2017b), and changes in production of extracellular
74 enzymes (Blagodatskaya and Kuzyakov, 2008; Rousk et al., 2015).

75 The extent and direction of SOC priming have been shown to be controlled by temperature
76 (Thiessen et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013; Fang et al., 2015). Generally, temperature changes the
77 rates of enzyme reactions through influencing activation energy (Davidson and Janssens, 2006).
78 Concurrently, temperature can directly affect microbial soil respiration (Curiel Yuste et al., 2007)
79 or change microbial community structure (Biasi et al., 2005) through influencing microbial
80 activity. Furthermore, temperature can affect microbial utilization of substrate C (Manzoni et al.,
81 2012), and thus to influence SOC priming. Critical to improving our understanding is assessing
82 the relationship of temperature on priming effects, an area where data is still scarce and
83 consequently difficult to generalise (Zhang et al., 2013). For example, in a long-term incubation
84 study, the addition of fresh plant materials increased the rate of SOC mineralization, with higher
85 temperatures resulting in a similar effect (Thiessen et al., 2013). In another study, addition of ¹³C-
86 labeled glucose induced stronger priming at 25°C than at 15°C (Li et al., 2017). The potential
87 effects of temperature on priming are likely to depend on substrate quality as well as soil type.

88 The extent and direction of priming by crop residue input have been shown to be influenced by
89 variations in physical, chemical and/or biological properties of different soils, such as soil texture
90 (Krull et al., 2001; Mtambanengwe et al., 2004; Razafimbelo et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2016), SOC
91 content (Guenet et al., 2010b; Zhang et al., 2013), C:N ratio (Wang et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2016)
92 and nutrient availability (Wang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2007). Mineralization of SOC and crop
93 residue is generally slower in fine than in coarse textured soils (Hassink, 1992; Mtambanengwe et
94 al., 2004; Sarker et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2016; Yadvinder-Singh et al., 2005), because clay can
95 physically protect organic C in soil and may limit its microbial access (Hassink, 1992; Xu et al.,
96 2016). Therefore, soil texture may attenuate the SOC and residue C mineralization in soils with
97 high clay content. This concept contradicts the view of greater contribution of SOC content and
98 C:N ratio on higher SOC priming (Wang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2013;). In fact, most previous
99 studies have examined the priming effect of crop residues in one soil (Liu et al., 2015; Mazzilli et
100 al., 2014; Shahbaz et al., 2017a; Thiessen et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2013), thus
101 making it difficult to compare the effect in different soils, particularly at different temperatures
102 (Fang et al., 2018). Therefore, in this study, two contrasting cultivated soils (Vertisol and Luvisol)
103 originating from subtropical (Vertisol) and semi-arid (Luvisol) regions were used to co-investigate
104 the effect of crop residue in different soil types and at different temperatures on the priming of
105 SOC.

106 Crop residue quality also influences temperature sensitivity (Q_{10}) of SOC mineralization (Dai
107 et al., 2017; Karhu, 2010; Stewart et al., 2015). The temperature sensitivity of SOC mineralization
108 is referred to as Q_{10} and is defined as the rate of change in soil respiration as measured by soil CO_2
109 emission with a $10^\circ C$ increase in temperature (Karhu, 2010; Kirschbaum, 1995). Application of
110 crop residues to the soil increased Q_{10} of SOC mineralization in some studies (Benbi and Khosa,

111 2014; Dai et al., 2017; Thiessen et al., 2013; Wetterstedt et al., 2010) and reduced it in others
112 (Guixiang et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2013), potentially reflecting preferential
113 substrate C utilization during mineralization (Fierer et al., 2005; Hartley and Ineson, 2008; Leifeld
114 and Fuhrer, 2005). Because quality and quantity of substrate C dictates the activation energy
115 requirement of the decomposers and influences the Q_{10} of SOC mineralization (Davidson and
116 Janssens, 2006; Thiessen et al., 2013). It is apparent that the interactions of residue C with
117 incubation conditions like temperature and soil properties affect Q_{10} of SOC mineralization.
118 Incubation of soils under controlled conditions using ^{13}C labeled substrate is the most widely used
119 method for partitioning of the total respired CO_2 into C derived from crop residue and SOC
120 (Thiessen et al., 2013). In this study, we hypothesized that (1) the higher temperature will
121 accelerate positive priming of SOC by wheat residue, relative to lower temperatures, (2) the
122 priming would be higher in a clay- and C-rich Vertisol compared to a clay- and C-poor Luvisol,
123 and (3) the wheat residue application will increase the temperature sensitivity of SOC
124 mineralization.

125

126 **2. Materials and methods**

127 To test whether crop residue addition in soil can affect priming on SOC at different temperatures
128 and how these responses are modulated by soil types, we used a laboratory based soil incubation
129 approach. In this study, we incorporated a ^{13}C labeled wheat residue with two contrasting soils
130 (see below) from two separate long-term experiments in Australia (Sarker et al., 2018; Trivedi et
131 al., 2017) at four temperatures (13, 23, 33 and 43°C). These temperatures were selected because
132 aboveground mean annual maximum and minimum surface temperatures may reach above 30°C
133 and below 15°C in sub-tropical and semi-arid regions during different crop growing seasons
134 (Zimmermann et al., 2012). We partitioned different C sources in the CO_2 derived from the added
135 wheat residue C and native SOC using a two-pool C isotopic model (Balesdent and Mariotti, 1996).

136 *2.1. Study site and soil sample preparation*

137 The soils used for the incubation study were from a Luvisol and a Vertisol of Australia. The Vertisol
138 (clayey soil) was collected in November 2014 from a 46-year-old field trial conventional tillage,
139 stubble retained and nitrogen fertilized ($90 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) under a continuous wheat cropping
140 system at the Hermitage Research Station ($28^{\circ}12'S$, $152^{\circ}06'E$), Queensland (QLD). The native
141 vegetation in this soil type before the cropping system trial was a predominately C_4 grass
142 vegetation, while C_3 vegetation, mostly wheat crops, has contributed to SOC at this site, resulting
143 in a mixed C_3 – C_4 value of SOC, i.e. -19.05‰ (Table 1). A detailed description of the Hermitage
144 trial site is given in Dalal et al. (2011). The Luvisol (sandy clay loam soil) was collected in April
145 2015 from a long-term conservation agriculture cropping system experiment established in 2007
146 at the Cunderdin College of Agriculture ($117^{\circ}14'E$, $31^{\circ}38'S$) in Western Australia. The site has
147 predominately C_3 vegetation under a Mediterranean-type environment with a 20-year average
148 rainfall of 300 mm. The Luvisol at the selected experimental plots received high C inputs

149 (continuous cereals; no-tillage disc seeder) (Trivedi et al., 2017). A corer was used to randomly
150 sample the soil (0-10 cm depth) from three replicated plots under a wheat rotation treatment. The
151 three field replicates were taken as three replicated samples in the laboratory incubation
152 experiment. Fresh soils were immediately transported to the laboratory. All visible roots and wheat
153 residue materials were removed from the collected soil, and the samples were passed through a 2-
154 mm sieve to remove larger wheat residue fragments and particles. Soils were stored at 4°C until
155 further analysis. The concentration of C and N in the initial bulk soil and wheat residue was
156 determined by an elemental analyzer (LECO TruMac CN-analyzer, Leco Corporation, USA). The
157 $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signatures of SOC and wheat residue were determined using a stable isotope ratio mass
158 spectrometer (IRMS; Delta V, ThermoFinnigan). The physicochemical properties of the two soils
159 and wheat residue are given in Table 1.

160 *2.2. Production of ^{13}C -labeled wheat residue*

161 The procedure for isotopically labelling wheat residue is outlined in Fang et al. (2016). Briefly,
162 wheat was grown in a 2-hactare experimental plot located ~10 km east of Condobolin, New South
163 Wales, Australia. At the heading growth stage (September 2015), a smaller micro-plot (1.8-m
164 length \times 2.0-m width; 8 wheat rows) was covered with a transparent chamber, constructed with 25
165 mm thick PVC tubing and 200 μm thick clear high density polyethylene sheet (Gro-tuff HDPE,
166 89% light transmission, Cheltenham, Victoria, Australia). The extra HDPE sheet was buried inside
167 soil ditches (10-cm deep) and covered with moist soil to ensure good sealing of the chamber. The
168 wheat plants inside the chamber were then pulse labelled with 10.0 l $^{13}\text{CO}_2$ (99.0 atom% ^{13}C ,
169 Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Andover, MA, USA) (with ~ 300 to 3,000 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$
170 of maximum photosynthetic active radiation). The ^{13}C -labelled CO_2 was injected into the sealed
171 chamber through a flow meter (S325-15-170-F/M CO_2 , Influx Duff and Macintosh, Gascon

172 Systems, Sydney) at 300 to 500 cm³ min⁻¹. The chamber air was circulated by two battery operated
173 mini-fans. The chamber CO₂ concentration was monitored using a portable CO₂ probe (Vaisala
174 GMP 343, Helsinki, Finland), which temporarily reached ~700-800 ppm and then decreased. Air
175 temperature inside the chamber increased from 22.0 to 38.0°C within a few hours after the chamber
176 closure and started to decrease after 4 pm. After injection of ¹³C-labelled CO₂ at ~ 2 pm, the
177 chambers were kept sealed for ~ 20 h to maximize uptake of overnight respired ¹³CO₂, and were
178 opened after the night-accumulated CO₂ in the chamber decreased to < 200 ppm (~ 10 am). The
179 wheat plants continued to grow in the field until they were harvested 50 days after pulse labelling.
180 The plants were dried at 60°C. The wheat stem portion after removal of leaves was then separated
181 from the whole plant and ground to <2 mm prior to incubation in the soils (Fang et al. 2016). Total
182 C, total N, δ¹³C, and C:N ratio in the wheat stem are 46±0.071%, 0.54 ±0.001%, 583.58±15.054‰
183 and 85±0.099, respectively.

184 2.3. Incubation and sampling

185 The wheat residue (<2 mm) was thoroughly mixed with each of the two soils (<2 mm) and
186 homogenized prior to incubation. Briefly, the treatment consisted of: (a) 20 g of each of two soils
187 (dry weight basis) treated with 4.55 mg g⁻¹ soil ¹³C labelled ground wheat stem residue corresponding
188 to 5 t/ha residue in 470 ml glass jars; and (b) 20 g soil (dry weight basis) without wheat stem residue
189 (control). A blank glass jar without soil and residue was included for C isotopic mass correction and
190 accounting for the atmospheric CO₂ concentration present in the headspace of the incubation jars.
191 All treatments were replicated three times and incubated at four different temperatures, that is, 13,
192 23, 33 and 43°C. Soil moisture was adjusted to field capacity moisture content (-33 kPa pressure)
193 at the start of the incubation and was maintained periodically throughout the experiment by
194 weighing the jars and adding water to replace water lost to evaporation. After gas sampling, all

195 bottles were opened for 20 min to refresh headspace oxygen and CO₂ and then resealed with the
 196 caps. Headspace gases were sampled at regular interval on fixed days (1, 3, 6, 9, 13, 17, 24, 31, 38,
 197 45, and 52 days of incubation). The gas samples were drawn from the incubation jars using a syringe
 198 and immediately transferred to an evacuated gas vial. The unequal interval was designed to capture
 199 the asymptotic decrease commonly observed in incubation experiments (Townsend et al., 1997).
 200 Concentration of total headspace CO₂ was measured via gas chromatography (Agilent Technologies
 201 model 7890A). The C mineralization rate was calculated as the change in headspace CO₂
 202 concentrations (µg C) per gram soil (dry wt. equivalent) per unit incubation time (day). Relative
 203 abundances of ¹³/₁₂CO₂ were determined via Cavity Ring-down Spectrometry (CRDS) using a
 204 PICARRO G2201-*i* analyser with accuracy to ± 0.05% for CO₂ and 0.1‰ for ¹³C-isotopic
 205 composition of CO₂.

206 *2.4. Partitioning of CO₂-C from soil and wheat residue*

207 The isotopic composition of control soil and residue treated soil was calculated using the
 208 chemical and isotopic mass balance equation (Mary et al., 1992) as given below:

209 *Control soil*

210 The respired CO₂ from the control soil jar without residue constitutes CO₂ originating from SOC
 211 mineralization and the blank atmospheric CO₂. The isotopic composition of the control soil δ_{cs} can
 212 be calculated as:

$$213 \quad Q_{cs} = Q_{ts} - Q_{blank} \quad (1)$$

$$214 \quad \delta_{cs} = \frac{Q_{ts} \times \delta_{ts} - Q_{blank} \times \delta_{blank}}{Q_{cs}} \quad (2)$$

215 where, Q_{cs} is the amount of CO₂-C derived from the soil and δ_{cs} is its isotopic composition; Q_{ts} is
 216 the total amount of CO₂-C in the soil and δ_{ts} is its isotopic composition; and Q_{blank} is the amount of
 217 CO₂-C in the blank jar, and δ_{blank} is its isotopic composition.

218 *Residue treated soil*

219 The respired CO₂ from the residue treated soil jar (Q_{rs}) constitutes CO₂ originating from
220 mineralization of both SOC and residues and the blank atmospheric CO₂.

$$221 \quad Q_{rs} = Q_{trs} - Q_{blank} \quad (3)$$

222 The isotopic composition of residue-treated soil (δ_{rs}) can be calculated as:

$$223 \quad \delta_{rs} = \frac{Q_{trs} \times \delta_{trs} - Q_{blank} \times \delta_{blank}}{Q_{rs}} \quad (4)$$

224 where, Q_{rs} is the amount of CO₂-C derived from residue plus soil (after subtraction of the amount
225 of CO₂-C in the blank jar) and δ_{rs} is its isotopic composition; and Q_{trs} is the total amount of CO₂-
226 C from the soil plus residue, and δ_{trs} is its isotopic composition.

227 The proportion of residue derived CO₂-C (F_r) in the total CO₂-C evolved was determined using a
228 two pool model as described by Balesdent and Mariotti (1996):

$$229 \quad F_r = \frac{\delta_{rs} - \delta_{cs}}{\delta_r - \delta_{cs}} \quad (5)$$

$$230 \quad F_s = 1 - F_r \quad (6)$$

$$231 \quad Q_{res} = F_r \times Q_{rs} \quad (7)$$

$$232 \quad Q_{soil-r} = F_s \times Q_{rs} \text{ or } Q_{rs} - Q_{res} \quad (8)$$

233 where, F_s is the proportion of soil derived CO₂-C, Q_{res} is C mineralized from residue in the soil,
234 and Q_{soil-r} is C mineralized from the soil treated with residue.

235 *Priming effect*

236 The priming of SOC induced by wheat residue (Q_{Pr}) was calculated as (Kuzyakov and Bol, 2006):

$$237 \quad Q_{Pr} \text{ (Primed SOC by residue)} = Q_{soil-r} - Q_{cs} \quad (9)$$

238 where, Q_{soil-r} is C mineralized from native soil after residue application and Q_{cs} is C mineralized
239 from native soil without residue application.

240

241 *2.5. Temperature sensitivity of mineralization rate (Q₁₀)*

242 The temperature sensitivity of soil respiration (denoted as Q₁₀) represents the difference in
243 respiration over a 10°C interval measured during the incubation period for both temperatures. A
244 time series of Q₁₀ values for instantaneous mineralization rates of residue and soil C were
245 determined by the following Q₁₀ model (Kirschbaum, 1995):

$$246 \quad Q_{10} = \frac{R_{tT_2} \left(\frac{10}{T_2 - T_1} \right)}{R_{tT_1}} \quad (10)$$

247 where, R_t is the C mineralization rate at incubation time t; and T₁ and T₂ are two different
248 incubation temperatures.

249 *2.6. Statistical analysis*

250 All data were tested for normality and homogeneity of variance. Log-transformation was applied,
251 if the transformation improved the normality and variance substantially. The data were statistically
252 analyzed using SPSS software (version 21.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA); the significance level
253 was set at P = 0.01. Repeated measures ANOVA was employed to determine the effects of
254 sampling time, temperature and their interaction on observed properties viz., respiration rates, Q₁₀
255 and cumulative respiration. Tukey's HSD multiple comparison method was used to compare the
256 means. A two-way analysis of variance was performed for comparing the means of observed data
257 among treatments. Pearson correlation was performed to test the relationship between priming and
258 incubation temperature, temperature and Q₁₀ of SOC mineralization.

259 3. Results

260 3.1. Soil and residue C mineralization

261 The SOC mineralization was significantly influenced by the interactive effects of residue,
262 temperature, soil and time (Table S1). The cumulative SOC mineralization increased exponentially
263 and levelled off as the incubation proceeded (Fig. S1). The cumulative native SOC and residue C
264 mineralization (Fig. S1) increased in both soils as the incubation temperatures were increased.
265 Wheat residue addition triggered the mineralization of native SOC to CO₂ in both soils, which was
266 significantly greater at higher (43°C) than lower temperatures (13°C) (Fig. S1). However, residue
267 addition at lower incubation temperatures (13 and 23°C) had no significant effect on mineralization
268 of SOC in the Vertisol. The mineralization of residue C significantly ($p < 0.01$) increased with
269 incubation temperature (Fig. S1e and f) in each soil. The total residue C mineralization ranged
270 from 754.1 to 1264.3 $\mu\text{g-CO}_2\text{-C g}^{-1}$ soil in the Vertisol and 780.1 to 1274.2 $\mu\text{g-CO}_2\text{-C g}^{-1}$ soil in
271 the Luvisol. There was no difference in total residue C mineralization between the Vertisol and
272 the Luvisol.

273 The two soils differed in their C content therefore SOC mineralization was normalized by initial
274 SOC content of the soils (Fig. 1a, b, c and d). For the control Vertisol, 1.3, 2.7, 3.6, 5.0 % of initial
275 SOC was mineralized during the incubation at 13, 23, 33 and 43°C, respectively. The
276 corresponding values for the Luvisol were 1.9, 4.9, 8.4 and 11.2 % (Fig. 1c and a). Residue addition
277 caused a significant ($p < 0.05$) increase in the total amount of mineralized CO₂-C from both soils,
278 and the increase was higher for the Luvisol than the Vertisol. Similar to SOC, residue C
279 mineralization was normalized by residue C (Fig. 1e and f). The soil had no significant effect on
280 the proportion of cumulative residue C mineralization at all temperatures (Fig. 1e and f). The

281 cumulative mineralization of residue C increased with increasing incubation temperatures till 43°C
282 for the Luvisol, and remained constant after 33°C for the Vertisol.

283 3.2. Priming of SOC

284 Wheat residue addition significantly ($p < 0.05$) primed mineralization of SOC in both soils at all
285 incubation temperatures; however, the direction and magnitude of SOC priming changed over time
286 (Fig. 2a and b). Though all soils experienced positive priming initially, negative priming was also
287 observed (as reflected by a dip in the cumulative priming curve). Nevertheless, the net result was
288 positive priming at all incubation temperatures. The shift from negative to positive priming
289 occurred early at higher temperatures and later at lower temperatures. The magnitude of primed
290 SOC during the incubation period was very low at 13°C (*cf.* 33 and 43°C), particularly for the
291 Vertisol (Fig. 2). The total priming over the incubation period ranged from 112.9 to 229.6 $\mu\text{g C g}^{-1}$
292 soil in the Luvisol, and from 4.1 to 316.6 $\mu\text{g C g}^{-1}$ soil in the Vertisol. Like SOC mineralization,
293 the cumulative primed soil $\text{CO}_2\text{-C}$ was normalized by initial SOC and was expressed as percent of
294 SOC primed which ranged from 0.02 to 2.4% across soils and temperatures (Fig. 2c). Wheat
295 residue addition primed SOC mineralization, however the effect was different for the two soils.
296 The normalized priming was greater for the Luvisol than the Vertisol, especially at the two lower
297 temperatures (Fig. 2c). A significantly positive correlation ($p < 0.01$) was observed between
298 temperature and priming for the Vertisol, but no trend was observed for the Luvisol (Fig. 2c).

299 3.3. Temperature sensitivity of SOC and wheat residue C mineralization (Q_{10})

300 The main effects of temperature, soil type and the interaction effect of time, temperature
301 and soil were significant on the Q_{10} of SOC mineralization in the control soils (Table S2). The
302 average Q_{10} values of SOC mineralization ranged from 1.42 to 2.21 for the Luvisol and 1.41 to
303 1.75 for the Vertisol (Table 2). For the wheat residue treated soils, the Q_{10} of SOC mineralization

304 was significantly influenced by temperature, soil, time of incubation and their interactive effect
305 (Table S2). The average Q_{10} values of SOC mineralization in the residue treated soil ranged from
306 1.47 to 2.33 for the Luvisol and 1.44 to 2.41 for the Vertisol (Table 2). Residue application
307 significantly increased the average Q_{10} values of SOC mineralization for the Vertisol at the 13-23
308 and 23-33°C ranges. However, the effect of residue was insignificant on the Q_{10} of SOC
309 mineralization at 33-43°C for the Vertisol and for the Luvisol at all temperature ranges (Table S2).
310 The Q_{10} values of SOC mineralization for the control and residue treated soil significantly
311 decreased with increase in temperature from 13 to 43°C in both soils.

312 The Q_{10} of wheat residue C was significantly affected by the main and interactive effects
313 of temperature, soil and incubation time (Table S2). The Q_{10} values of the wheat residue C
314 mineralization were greater for the low temperature range (13-23°C) than the high temperature
315 range (33-43°C). The average Q_{10} values ranged from 0.81 to 1.13 at 13-23°C and 1.53 to 1.66 at
316 33-43°C. The Q_{10} values of wheat residue C were significantly higher for the Luvisol than the
317 Vertisol at 13-23 and 23-33°C. However, at 33-43°C, the Q_{10} values of wheat residue C
318 mineralization was significantly greater for the Vertisol than the Luvisol. These results indicated
319 that there was a negative correlation between temperature and Q_{10} of SOC mineralization and
320 residue C mineralization.

321 The Q_{10} dynamics of SOC mineralization in the control Vertisol decreased from the first
322 day of incubation to the 17th day and increased afterwards until the end of incubation at the 13–
323 23°C temperature range (Fig. 3). However, at 23–33 and 33–43°C, the Q_{10} values increased from
324 the first day of incubation and decreased after the 13th and 6th day at 23–33 and 33–43°C,
325 respectively. Similar variation of Q_{10} with incubation time was observed for the Luvisol.
326 Temperature had significant effects on the Q_{10} values of SOC mineralization in the control and

327 residue treated soils, and of residue-C mineralization at different time points of incubation. The
328 Q_{10} variability of residue-C mineralization decreased with increasing incubation time.

329 **4. Discussion**

330 *4.1. Soil organic C priming and mineralization*

331 Our first hypothesis that wheat residue addition will accelerate positive priming of SOC at
332 higher compared to lower temperatures was true for the Vertisol only. By contrast, for the Luvisol,
333 the magnitude of positive priming decreased with increase in temperature above 23°C. It is known
334 that the input of crop residues would likely to increase substrate availability, microbial growth and
335 extracellular enzyme activities in both soils (Thiessen et al., 2013; Blagodatsky et al., 2010; Fang
336 et al., 2018; Shahbaz et al., 2017b; Wutzler et al., 2008). However, the increased residue-induced
337 positive priming from 13 to 43°C for the Vertisol may be attributed to greater microbial growth
338 and activity (Fang et al., 2018) and higher SOC content in the Vertisol than Luvisol (Table 1). This
339 may have caused constant microbial accessibility and decomposability of relatively stable
340 (resistant) SOC fractions induced by the residue input after the depletion of labile SOC in the
341 Vertisol. A similar mechanism was also proposed by Kuzyakov (2010), who predicted that
342 incubation temperature may mediate SOC priming, for example, increasing temperature can
343 accelerate most enzyme activities, thus increasing SOC mineralization (Thiessen et al., 2013).
344 Furthermore, low temperatures could retard soil microbial activity, as evident from the
345 significantly lower cumulative CO₂-C mineralization at 13°C relative to the higher temperatures
346 in both soils (Fig. S1). This suggests that due to the retarded microbial activity at low temperatures,
347 soil microbes may not be able to decompose resistant SOC fractions, such as in the Vertisol, thus
348 decreasing positive SOC priming (Kuzyakov, 2010; Thiessen et al., 2013). On the other hand, for
349 the Luvisol, the decrease in positive priming with increasing temperature was possibly due to high

350 cumulative SOC mineralization in both control (without residue) and residue treated Luvisol for
351 the temperatures $>23^{\circ}\text{C}$ (Fig. S1). This indicates an equivalent accessibility and decomposability
352 of stable SOC fractions in the Luvisol induced by the increasing temperatures with or without the
353 input of residues. A similar observation of higher priming effect below 20°C than above 20°C was
354 reported by Zhang et al. (2013) and Kuzyakov (2010). Another potential explanation could be a
355 quick loss of labile C above 23°C during the first day of incubation (Fig. 1). The first 1 % of initial
356 SOC is assumed to be labile C across all incubation temperatures (Conant et al., 2008). At
357 temperature $>23^{\circ}\text{C}$, the loss of labile SOC during the initial phase of residue mineralization could
358 have changed the soil microbial biomass stoichiometry (C:N), because residue retention is known
359 to increase microbial N content *via* the residue induced microbial N immobilization (Wang et al.,
360 2018). It seems that all these above mentioned soil microbial processes may have occurred rapidly
361 in the Luvisol (*cf.* Vertisol). Thus, we assume that preferential microbial substrate utilization
362 (Blagodatskaya and Kuzyakov, 2011; Shahbaz et al., 2017b; Thiessen et al., 2013) and changes in
363 microbial community structure (Anderson et al., 2011) may have decreased SOC priming by the
364 residues at temperature above 23°C in the Luvisol. The microbial enzyme activities may also shift
365 to degrade relatively resistant C (Zimmermann et al., 2012). Such potential shifts in microbial
366 communities and their enzymes to degrade the relatively resistant SOC may have contributed to
367 the change in the magnitude of native SOC priming by wheat residue with increasing temperature.

368 In this study, we observed a switch from positive to negative priming and then again positive
369 across incubation temperatures in both soils. The initial positive priming may be attributed to
370 growth of r-strategists that respond quickly to newly available C sources (Kuzyakov et al., 2000;
371 Kuzyakov, 2010; Fang et al., 2018), while mineralizing labile pools of residue C and SOC. The
372 switch from positive to negative priming observed in some treatments during the intensive phase

373 of residue mineralization could be ascribed to preferential utilization of easily available residue-
374 derived C compared to native SOC (Blagodatsky et al., 2010; Thiessen et al., 2013; Shahbaz et al.,
375 2017a). Further, in later stages, K-strategists may predominate, and are likely to co-metabolize
376 resistant SOC fractions (Wu et al., 1993; Kuzyakov, 2010). The decline in microbial biomass after
377 the initial phase of rapid residue mineralization results in accumulation of microbial necromass
378 which would act as a soil primer to induce native SOC mineralization (Kallenbach et al., 2016;
379 Rousk et al., 2015; Shahbaz et al., 2017b).

380 To study the effect of different soil properties on priming, our second hypothesis was that
381 priming would be higher in the Vertisol compared to the Luvisol because the Vertisol has higher
382 SOC and clay content than the Luvisol. However, our results differed from our hypothesis because
383 the priming was higher for the Luvisol than the Vertisol. According to Zhang et al. (2013), the
384 difference in properties of the two soils, for example, the nature of organic-clay mineral
385 complexation, texture, clay content and C:N ratio could be the probable reason for the different
386 magnitude of SOC priming. Previous studies have suggested that higher stabilization of SOC
387 through organo-mineral associations in a smectite clay-rich soil would limit the accessibility of
388 SOC to microorganisms (von Lützow and Kögel-Knabner, 2009; Fang et al., 2014). This can be a
389 more important mechanism for lowering the residue induced priming of SOC for the Vertisol than
390 Luvisol. This corresponds to observations of Fang et al. (2015) where a biochar treated Inceptisol
391 (sandy soil) had higher positive priming than a biochar treated Vertisol under similar temperatures.
392 Therefore, our finding strongly indicates that the magnitude and direction of priming depends on
393 incubation temperature and soil types.

394 4.2. Q_{10}

395 In this study, wheat residue addition significantly ($p < 0.01$) increased the Q_{10} of SOC
396 mineralization in the Vertisol, thus supporting our third hypothesis, although there was no
397 difference in the Q_{10} between the control and residue treated Luvisol. This indicates that the Q_{10}
398 of SOC mineralization in the residue treated soil was influenced by soil type, as reported previously
399 (Dai et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2016). This different response may be attributed to differences in
400 physico-chemical properties between the two soils, for example, C:N ratio, texture and pH (Table
401 1). The results from the Vertisol agree with observations of previous studies that suggested that
402 the addition of a C substrate increased Q_{10} (Benbi and Khosa, 2014; Dai et al., 2017; Davidson and
403 Janssens, 2006; Gershenson et al., 2009; Sandeep et al., 2016; von Lützow and Kögel-Knabner,
404 2009; Zhu and Cheng, 2011).

405 In the current study, addition of wheat residue increased SOC mineralization (Fig. S1) and
406 this process may have enhanced the growth and activity of microbes and consequently
407 immobilization of N (Giardina and Ryan, 2000; Wang et al., 2016). Furthermore, the increase in
408 Michaelis-Menten parameter (K_m) with a decrease in soil available nitrogen and immobilization
409 (Eberwein et al., 2017) could have increased the Q_{10} values (German et al., 2012) for SOC
410 mineralization in the residue treated Vertisol. Another probable mechanism could relate to the
411 addition of low quality wheat residue (C:N = 85:1), which may increase the activation energy
412 requirement for SOC mineralization with a corresponding increase for the Q_{10} values (Gershenson
413 et al., 2009; Thiessen et al., 2013). However, our findings contradict the reports that addition of
414 external organic inputs decreased Q_{10} of SOC mineralization (Wang et al., 2016).

415 In the control soil without residue addition, higher Q_{10} was observed in the Luvisol than
416 Vertisol at temperature below 33°C. The Q_{10} of the control Vertisol was lower despite having
417 higher SOC content and C:N ratio than the control Luvisol (Table 1). This could be probably due

418 to higher SOC mineralization in the clay-poor Luvisol than the clay-rich Vertisol (Fig. S1). Soil
419 texture is the primary driver for mineralization of SOC (Mtambanengwe et al., 2004; Xu et al.,
420 2016). This could be because the higher specific surface area of clay minerals in the clay-rich
421 Vertisol may physically and chemically protect SOC from microbial and enzymatic mineralization
422 *via* organo-mineral interactions (Six et al., 2002; Xu et al., 2016).

423 The Q_{10} of SOC mineralization is significantly affected by temperature in both treated and
424 control soils. A negative correlation was observed between Q_{10} and temperature. The greater
425 temperature sensitivity of SOC mineralization at lower temperature range (13-23°C) than the
426 higher temperature range (23-33 and 33-43°C) in our study is consistent with other studies (Bao
427 et al., 2016; Benbi and Khosa, 2014; Gutinas et al., 2013; Karhu, 2010; Kirschbaum, 1995; Suseela
428 et al., 2012). The decline in the Q_{10} of SOC mineralization with increase in temperature suggests
429 that the stimulation effect of warming on SOC mineralization will be lower and can partly reduce
430 C losses in these soils (Del Grosso et al., 2005). Therefore, this will result in a less positive
431 feedback to climate change than previously expected for subtropical or semi-arid cultivated soils.

432 The Q_{10} values of control soils observed in our study, ranging from 2.57 to 1.29 (Luvisol)
433 and 2.05 to 1.34 (Vertisol), agree with Q_{10} values reported by Fang et al. (2014) for similar soils
434 in these regions. In the current study, a significant increase in Q_{10} with time was found at the lowest
435 temperature range (13-23°C) in the residue treated and control soils. Our results partly agree with
436 a previous incubation study that observed an increase in Q_{10} with time at both cold and warm
437 temperatures (Thiessen et al., 2013). In the current study, the increase of Q_{10} values with time at
438 low temperature could be the result of slow depletion of labile SOC and subsequent mineralization
439 of resistant SOC fraction with higher activation energy and Q_{10} values at the later phase of
440 incubation. Whereas, for temperatures above 23°C in the residue treated and control soils, the Q_{10}

441 dynamics either decreased or remained constant. Therefore, the trend of changes in Q_{10} dynamics
442 seems to be influenced by incubation temperature and substrate quality (Curiel Yuste et al., 2007;
443 Thiessen et al., 2013).

444 **5. Summary**

445 In conclusion, wheat residue addition increased the priming of SOC in two cultivated,
446 subtropical or semi-arid, soils with contrasting organic C and clay contents. The magnitude of
447 priming was higher for the low-C and clay-poor Luvisol than the high-C and clay-rich Vertisol at
448 all temperatures, indicating that the Vertisol is more resistant to priming. On the other hand, the
449 priming of SOC mineralization caused by wheat residue increased with temperature for the
450 Vertisol but decreased with temperature for the Luvisol, which may be linked to the timing of
451 microbial accessible resistant SOC fractions in the soils. Wheat residue addition significantly
452 increased the Q_{10} of SOC mineralization at lower temperature ranges (13-23 and 23-33°C) for the
453 Vertisol only. The significant negative correlation between Q_{10} and temperature for SOC and
454 residue-C mineralization means a lower positive feedback response to climate change in high-C,
455 smectite-rich soils relative to low-C kaolinitic soils.

456 **Acknowledgement**

457 The senior author acknowledges with gratitude the research funding provided by the
458 Australian government through its Endeavour Research Fellowship. She also acknowledges
459 support from the Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment at the Western Sydney University to
460 offer the honorary academic appointment. We also would like to thank Claudia Keitel of the
461 University of Sydney for the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ analysis. We also thank anonymous reviewers and the editor for
462 their useful and constructive comments.

463

464 **References**

- 465 Anderson, C.R., Condron, L.M., Clough, T.J., Fiers, M., Stewart, A., Hill, R.A., Sherlock, R.R.,
466 2011. Biochar induced soil microbial community change: Implications for biogeochemical
467 cycling of carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus. *Pedobiologia (Jena)*. 54, 309–320.
468 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2011.07.005>
- 469 Balesdent, J., Mariotti, A., 1996. Measurement of soil organic matter turnover using ¹³C natural
470 abundance, in: Boutton, T. W., Yamasaki, S. (Eds.), *Mass Spectrometry of Soils*. Marcel
471 Dekker, New York, pp. 83-109.
- 472 Bao, X., Zhu, X., Chang, X., Wang, S., Xu, B., Luo, C., Zhang, Z., Wang, Q., Rui, Y., Cui, X.,
473 2016. Effects of soil temperature and moisture on soil respiration on the Tibetan plateau.
474 *PLoS One* 11, 9–15. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165212>
- 475 Benbi, D.K., Khosa, M.K., 2014. Effects of Temperature, Moisture, and Chemical Composition
476 of Organic Substrates on C Mineralization in Soils. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 45, 2734–
477 2753. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2014.950423>
- 478 Biasi, C., Rusalimova, O., Meyer, H., Kaiser, C., Wanek, W., Barsukov, P., Junger, H., Richter,
479 A., 2005. Temperature-dependent shift from labile to recalcitrant carbon sources of arctic
480 heterotrophs. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 19, 1401–1408.
481 <https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.1911>
- 482 Blagodatskaya, E., Kuzyakov, Y., 2011. Priming effects in relation to soil conditions -
483 mechanisms. *Encycl. Agrophysics* 657–667. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-3585-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-3585-1_128)
484 [1_128](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-3585-1_128)
- 485 Blagodatskaya, E., Kuzyakov, Y., 2008. Mechanisms of real and apparent priming effects and their
486 dependence on soil microbial biomass and community structure: Critical review. *Biol. Fertil.*

487 Soils 45, 115–131. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-008-0334-y>

488 Campbell, C.A., Selles, F., Lafond, G.P., Zentner, R.P., 2001. Adopting zero tillage management:
489 Impact on soil C and N under long-term crop rotations in a thin Black Chernozem. *Can. J.*
490 *Soil Sci.* 81, 139–148. <https://doi.org/10.4141/S00-035>

491 Chen, X., Wang, X., Liebman, M., Cavigelli, M., Wander, M., 2014. Influence of residue and
492 nitrogen fertilizer additions on carbon mineralization in soils with different texture and
493 cropping histories. *PLoS One* 9, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0103720>

494 Conant, R.T., Drijber, R.A., Haddix, M.L., Parton, W.J., Paul, E.A., Plante, A.F., Six, J., Steinweg,
495 M.J., 2008. Sensitivity of organic matter decomposition to warming varies with its quality.
496 *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 14, 868–877. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01541.x>

497 Corsi, S., Friedrich, T., Kassam, A., Pisante, M., Sà, J. de M., 2012. Soil organic carbon
498 accumulation and greenhouse gas emission reductions from conservation agriculture: a
499 literature review., *Integrated Crop Management* 16, FAO, Rome, Italy, pp. 89.

500 Curiel Yuste, J., Baldocchi, D.D., Gershenson, A., Goldstein, A., Misson, L., Wong, S., 2007.
501 Microbial soil respiration and its dependency on carbon inputs, soil temperature and moisture.
502 *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 13, 2018–2035. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01415.x>

503 Dai, S.S., Li, L.J., Ye, R., Zhu-Barker, X., Horwath, W.R., 2017. The temperature sensitivity of
504 organic carbon mineralization is affected by exogenous carbon inputs and soil organic carbon
505 content. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 81, 69–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2017.06.010>

506 Dalal, R.C., Wang, W., Allen, D.E., Reeves, S., Menzies, N.W., 2011. Soil Nitrogen and Nitrogen-
507 Use Efficiency under Long-Term No-till Practice. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 75, 2251.
508 <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2010.0398>

509 Davidson, E.A., Janssens, I.A., 2006. decomposition and feedbacks to climate change 440.

510 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04514>

511 Del Grosso, S.J., Parton, W.J., Mosier, A.R., Holland, E.A., Pendall, E., Schimel, D.S., Ojima,
512 D.S., 2005. Modeling soil CO₂ emissions from ecosystems. *Biogeochemistry* 73, 71–91.
513 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-004-0898-z>

514 Eberwein, J., Shen, W., Jenerette, G.D., 2017. Michaelis-Menten kinetics of soil respiration
515 feedbacks to nitrogen deposition and climate change in subtropical forests. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 1752.
516 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01941-8>

517 Fang, Y., Nazaries, L., Singh, B.K., Singh, B.P., 2018. Microbial mechanisms of carbon priming
518 effects revealed during the interaction of crop residue and nutrient inputs in contrasting soils.
519 *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 24, 2775–2790. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14154>

520 Fang, Y., Singh, B., Singh, B.P., 2015. Effect of temperature on biochar priming effects and its
521 stability in soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 80, 136–145.
522 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.10.006>

523 Fang, Y., Singh, B.P., Badgery, W., He, X., 2016. In situ assessment of new carbon and nitrogen
524 assimilation and allocation in contrastingly managed dryland wheat crop–soil systems. *Agric.*
525 *Ecosyst. Environ.* 235, 80–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.10.010>

526 Fang, Y., Singh, B.P., Matta, P., Cowie, A.L., Van Zwieten, L., 2017. Temperature sensitivity and
527 priming of organic matter with different stabilities in a Vertisol with aged biochar. *Soil Biol.*
528 *Biochem.* 115, 346–356. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2017.09.004>

529 Fang, Y., Singh, B.P., Singh, B., 2014. Temperature sensitivity of biochar and native carbon
530 mineralisation in biochar-amended soils. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*
531 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2014.02.018>

532 Fierer, N., Craine, J.M., Mclauchlan, K., Schimel, J.P., 2005. Litter quality and the temperature

533 sensitivity of decomposition. *Ecology* 86, 320–326. <https://doi.org/10.1890/04-1254>

534 German, D.P., Marcelo, K.R.B., Stone, M.M., Allison, S.D., 2012. The Michaelis-Menten kinetics
535 of soil extracellular enzymes in response to temperature: A cross-latitudinal study. *Glob.*
536 *Chang. Biol.* 18, 1468–1479. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02615.x>

537 Gontikaki, E., Thornton, B., Huvenne, V.A.I., Witte, U., 2013. Negative Priming Effect on
538 Organic Matter Mineralisation in NE Atlantic Slope Sediments. *PLoS One* 8, 1–9.
539 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0067722>

540 Guenet, B., Leloup, J., Raynaud, X., Bardoux, G., Abbadie, L., 2010a. Negative priming effect on
541 mineralization in a soil free of vegetation for 80 years. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 61, 384–391.
542 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2010.01234.x>

543 Guenet, B., Neill, C., Bardoux, G., Abbadie, L., 2010b. Is there a linear relationship between
544 priming effect intensity and the amount of organic matter input? *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 46, 436–
545 442. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2010.09.006>

546 Guixiang, Z., Jiabao, Z., Lin, C., Congzhi, Z., Zhenghong, Y., 2016. Temperature and Straw
547 Quality Regulate the Microbial Phospholipid Fatty Acid Composition Associated with Straw
548 Decomposition. *Pedosphere* 26, 386–398. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160\(15\)60051-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(15)60051-0)

549 Gutinas, M.E., Gil-Sotres, F., Leiros, M.C., Trasar-Cepeda, C., 2013. Sensitivity of soil respiration
550 to moisture and temperature. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 13, 445–461.
551 <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-95162013005000035>

552 Hartley, I.P., Ineson, P., 2008. Substrate quality and the temperature sensitivity of soil organic
553 matter decomposition. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 40, 1567–1574.
554 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.01.007>

555 Hassink, J., 1992. Effects of soil texture and structure on carbon and nitrogen mineralization in

556 grassland soils. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 14, 126–134. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00336262>

557 Kallenbach, C.M., Frey, S.D., Grandy, A.S., 2016. Direct evidence for microbial-derived soil
558 organic matter formation and its ecophysiological controls. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 13630.
559 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13630>

560 Karhu, K., 2010. Temperature sensitivity of soil organic matter decomposition in boreal soils,
561 *Dissertationes Forestales*.

562 Kirschbaum, M.U., 1995. The temperature dependence of soil organic matter decomposition, and
563 the effect of global warming on soil organic C storage. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 27, 753–760.
564 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717\(94\)00242-S](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(94)00242-S)

565 Krull, E., Baldock, J., Skjemstad, J., 2001. Soil Texture Effects on Decomposition and Soil Carbon
566 Storage. *NEE Work. Proc.* 103–110.

567 Kuzyakov, Y., 2010. Priming effects: Interactions between living and dead organic matter. *Soil*
568 *Biol. Biochem.* 42, 1363–1371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.04.003>

569 Kuzyakov, Y., Bol, R., 2006. Sources and mechanisms of priming effect induced in two grassland
570 soils amended with slurry and sugar. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 38, 747–758.
571 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.06.025>

572 Kuzyakov, Y., Friedel, J.K., Stahr, K., 2000. Review of mechanisms and quantification of priming
573 effects. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 32, 1485–1498. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(00\)00084-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00084-5)
574 5

575 Lal, R., 2004. Soil carbon sequestration to mitigate climate change. *Geoderma*.
576 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.01.032>

577 Lal, R., Kimble, J.M., 1997. Conservation tillage for carbon sequestration. *Nutr. Cycl.*
578 *Agroecosystems* 49, 243–253. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009794514742>

579 Leifeld, J., Fuhrer, J., 2005. The Temperature Response of CO₂ Production from Bulk Soils and
580 Soil Fractions is Related to Soil Organic Matter Quality. *Biogeochemistry* 75, 433–453.
581 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-005-2237-4>

582 Lenka, S., Lenka, N.K., Singh, R.C., Manna, M.C., Misra, A.K., Rautaray, S.K., 2015. Tillage and
583 Manure Induced Changes in Carbon Storage and Carbon Management Index in Soybean–
584 Wheat Cropping System in the Vertisols of Central India. *Natl. Acad. Sci. Lett.* 38.
585 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40009-015-0384-2>

586 Liang, X., Yuan, J., Yang, E., Meng, J., 2017. Responses of soil organic carbon decomposition
587 and microbial community to the addition of plant residues with different C:N ratio. *Eur. J.*
588 *Soil Biol.* 82, 50–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2017.08.005>

589 Liu, E., Wang, J., Zhang, Y., Angers, D.A., Yan, C., Oweis, T., He, W., Liu, Q., Chen, B., 2015.
590 Priming effect of ¹³C-labelled wheat straw in no-tillage soil under drying and wetting cycles
591 in the Loess Plateau of China. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 13826. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep13826>

592 Manzoni, S., Taylor, P., Richter, A., Porporato, A., Ågren, G.I., 2012. Environmental and
593 stoichiometric controls on microbial carbon-use efficiency in soils. *New Phytol.* 196, 79–91.
594 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04225.x>

595 Mary, B., Mariotti, A., Morel, J.L., 1992. Use of ¹³C variations at natural abundance for studying
596 the biodegradation of root mucilage, roots and glucose in soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 24, 1065–
597 1072. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717\(92\)90037-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(92)90037-X)

598 Mazzilli, S.R., Kemanian, A.R., Ernst, O.R., Jackson, R.B., Piñeiro, G., 2014. Priming of soil
599 organic carbon decomposition induced by corn compared to soybean crops. *Soil Biol.*
600 *Biochem.* 75, 273–281. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.04.005>

601 Mtambanengwe, F., Mapfumo, P., Kirchmann, H., 2004. Decomposition of organic matter in soil

602 as influenced by texture and pore size distribution. Managing nutrient cycles to sustain soil
603 fertility in sub-Saharan Africa. 261–276.

604 Nottingham, A.T., Griffiths, H., Chamberlain, P.M., Stott, A.W., Tanner, E.V.J., 2009. Soil
605 priming by sugar and leaf-litter substrates: A link to microbial groups. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 42,
606 183–190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2009.03.003>

607 Razafimbelo, T., Chevallier, T., Albrecht, A., Chapuis-Lardy, L., Rakotondrasolo, F.N.,
608 Michellon, R., Rabeharisoa, L., Bernoux, M., 2013. Texture and organic carbon contents do
609 not impact amount of carbon protected in Malagasy soils. *Sci. Agric.* 70, 204–208.
610 <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-90162013000300009>

611 Rousk, J., Hill, P.W., Jones, D.L., 2015. Priming of the decomposition of ageing soil organic
612 matter: Concentration dependence and microbial control. *Funct. Ecol.* 29, 285–296.
613 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12377>

614 Sarker, J.R., Singh, B.P., Cowie, A.L., Fang, Y., Collins, D., Dougherty, W.J., Singh, B.K., 2018.
615 Carbon and nutrient mineralisation dynamics in aggregate-size classes from different tillage
616 systems after input of canola and wheat residues. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 116, 22–38.
617 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.09.030>

618 Shahbaz, M., Kuzyakov, Y., Heitkamp, F., 2017a. Decrease of soil organic matter stabilization
619 with increasing inputs: Mechanisms and controls. *Geoderma* 304, 76–82.
620 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.05.019>

621 Shahbaz, M., Kuzyakov, Y., Sanaullah, M., Heitkamp, F., Zelenev, V., Kumar, A., Blagodatskaya,
622 E., 2017b. Microbial decomposition of soil organic matter is mediated by quality and quantity
623 of crop residues: mechanisms and thresholds. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 53, 287–301.
624 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-016-1174-9>

625 Singh, R.C., Lenka, S., Singh, C.D., 2014. Conservation tillage and manure effect on soil
626 aggregation, yield and energy requirement for wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in vertisols.
627 Indian J. Agric. Sci. 84.

628 Six, J., Conant, R.T., Paul, E.A., Paustian, K., 2002. Stabilization mechanisms of soil organic
629 matter: Implications for C-saturation of soils. *Plant Soil* 241, 155–176.

630 Stewart, C.E., Moturi, P., Follett, R.F., Halvorson, A.D., 2015. Lignin biochemistry and soil N
631 determine crop residue decomposition and soil priming. *Biogeochemistry* 124, 335–351.
632 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-015-0101-8>

633 Suseela, V., Conant, R.T., Wallenstein, M.D., Dukes, J.S., 2012. Effects of soil moisture on the
634 temperature sensitivity of heterotrophic respiration vary seasonally in an old-field climate
635 change experiment. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02516.x>

636 Thiessen, S., Gleixner, G., Wutzler, T., Reichstein, M., 2013. Both priming and temperature
637 sensitivity of soil organic matter decomposition depend on microbial biomass - An incubation
638 study. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 57, 739–748. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.10.029>

639 Townsend, A.R., Vitousek, P.M., Desmarais, D.J., Tharpe, A., 1997. Soil carbon pool structure
640 and temperature sensitivity inferred using CO₂ and ¹³CO₂ incubation fluxes from five
641 Hawaiian soils. *Biogeochemistry*. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1017942918708>

642 Trivedi, P., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Jeffries, T.C., Trivedi, C., Anderson, I.C., Lai, K., McNee,
643 M., Flower, K., Pal Singh, B., Minkey, D., Singh, B.K., 2017. Soil aggregation and associated
644 microbial communities modify the impact of agricultural management on carbon content.
645 *Environ. Microbiol.* 19, 3070–3086. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13779>

646 von Lützow, M., Kögel-Knabner, I., 2009. Temperature sensitivity of soil organic matter
647 decomposition-what do we know? *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 46, 1–15.

648 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-009-0413-8>

649 Wang, H., Boutton, T.W., Xu, W., Hu, G., Jiang, P., Bai, E., 2015. Quality of fresh organic matter
650 affects priming of soil organic matter and substrate utilization patterns of microbes. *Sci. Rep.*
651 5, 10102. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep10102>

652 Wang, M., Pendall, E., Fang, C., Li, B., Nie, M., 2018. A global perspective on agroecosystem
653 nitrogen cycles after returning crop residue. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 266, 49–54.
654 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.07.019>

655 Wang, Q., He, T., Liu, J., 2016. Litter input decreased the response of soil organic matter
656 decomposition to warming in two subtropical forest soils. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 33814.
657 <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep33814>

658 Wetterstedt, J.Å.M., Persson, T., Ågren, G.I., 2010. Temperature sensitivity and substrate quality
659 in soil organic matter decomposition: Results of an incubation study with three substrates.
660 *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 16, 1806–1819. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02112.x>

661 Wu, J., Brookes, P.C., Jenkinson, D.S., 1993. Formation and destruction of microbial biomass
662 during the decomposition of glucose and ryegrass in soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 25, 1435-1441.
663 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717\(93\)90058-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(93)90058-J)

664 Wutzler, T., Reichstein, M., Wutzler, T., Colimitation, M.R., 2008. Colimitation of decomposition
665 by substrate and decomposers? a comparison of model formulations. *Biogeosciences*
666 *Discussions* 5, 163-190.

667 Xiao, C., Guenet, B., Zhou, Y., Su, J., Janssens, I.A., 2015. Priming of soil organic matter
668 decomposition scales linearly with microbial biomass response to litter input in steppe
669 vegetation. *Oikos* 124, 649–657. <https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.01728>

670 Xu, X., Shi, Z., Li, D., Rey, A., Ruan, H., Craine, J.M., Liang, J., Zhou, J., Luo, Y., 2016. Soil

671 properties control decomposition of soil organic carbon: Results from data-assimilation
672 analysis. *Geoderma* 262, 235–242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2015.08.038>

673 Yadvinder-Singh, Bijay-Singh, Timsina, J., 2005. Crop Residue Management for Nutrient Cycling
674 and Improving Soil Productivity in Rice-Based Cropping Systems in the Tropics. *Adv.*
675 *Agron.* 85, 269–407. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113\(04\)85006-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(04)85006-5)

676 Zhang, W., Wang, X., Wang, S., 2013. Addition of External Organic Carbon and Native Soil
677 Organic Carbon Decomposition: A Meta-Analysis. *PLoS One* 8.
678 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0054779>

679 Zhang, X., Li, L., Pan, G., 2007. Topsoil organic carbon mineralization and CO₂ evolution of three
680 paddy soils from South China and the temperature dependence. *J. Environ. Sci.* 19, 319–326.
681 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742\(07\)60052-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(07)60052-7)

682 Zhu, B., Cheng, W., 2011. Rhizosphere priming effect increases the temperature sensitivity of soil
683 organic matter decomposition. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 17, 2172–2183.
684 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02354.x>

685 Zimmermann, M., Bird, M.I., Wurster, C., Saiz, G., Goodrick, I., Barta, J., Capek, P., Santruckova,
686 H., Smernik, R., 2012. Rapid degradation of pyrogenic carbon. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 18, 3306–
687 3316. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02796.x>

688