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Database search (N = 19,486)  
CINAHL Plus, MEDLINE, PsycINFO, PubMed, SportDISCUS, Web of Science

Papers screened by title (N = 18,166)  
Excluded (N = 18,003)  
No reference to relationship between professional sports clubs and food and drink companies in title

Papers reviewed by abstract (N = 163)  
Excluded (N = 137)  
Examination of study showed no reference to relationship between professional sports clubs and food and drink companies

Full review of remaining papers (N = 26)  
Excluded (N = 20)  
Amateur sports clubs (children’s/community) (n = 5), Regional/national teams (n = 4), Miscellaneous reasons (n = 11)

Included studies in scoping review  
(N = 6)
Exploring the relationship between Big Food corporations and professional sports clubs: a scoping review

Abstract

Objective

Professional sport occupies a prominent cultural position in societies across the globe and commercial organisations make use of this to promote their products. This scoping review explores existing academic literature on the relationship between professional sports clubs and food and drink marketing and considers how this relationship may impact upon the public’s health.

Design

The scoping review searched six databases. Experts were also consulted. Records written in languages other than English were excluded. We also excluded records relating to mega events (e.g. Olympics, Football World Cup) and alcohol marketing, because of the attention already given to these.

Setting

Professional sports clubs.

Participants

N/A

Results

We identified 18,166 titles, reviewed 163 abstracts and read 26 full texts. We included six papers in the review. Four were from Australia and New Zealand. The Australasian literature largely focussed on the marketing of food and beverages to children and the potential impact on consumption. Single papers from researchers in Turkey and the US were identified. The Turkish paper analysed shirt sponsorship in football leagues internationally and showed food and beverage (including alcohol) companies were the most common sponsors. The US paper examined a mixed reaction to a football team named after an ‘energy’ drink.

Conclusions

Commercial relationships between professional sports clubs and ‘big food’ corporations have largely eluded scrutiny in much of the world. This review highlights the lack of public health research on these relationships. Research exploring the inter-dependent commercial practices of food and drink companies and professional sports clubs is urgently needed.
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Professional sports clubs, commercial determinants of health, food marketing, sponsorship.
Introduction

Social scientists are increasingly making a case for sport to be studied with greater scrutiny, as it is “a vast global field of social, cultural, economic and political activity which cannot be ignored”\(^{(1)}\). Public health has come later to consider the relationship between sport and its communities, and there is now a growing literature examining the relationship between sports clubs, their stadia and health\(^{(2)}\). From a commercial perspective, the ability of sports clubs to harness their badge/brand to engage fans is almost unique\(^{(3)}\). Sport can provide a sense of community and belonging, and support can be lifelong\(^{(4)}\). Thus, it is unsurprising that commercial organisations often choose to use sport to market their products and develop their brand. Clubs can provide access to audiences that are large, potentially receptive and relatively stable over time. From a public health nutrition perspective, some of these products are damaging to health. In 2006, the Fédération Internationale de Football Association (FIFA) World Cup had official partners of Budweiser beer, McDonald’s and Coca-Cola\(^{(5)}\). In 2012, the London 2012 Olympic and Paralympic Games were again sponsored by Coca-Cola and McDonald’s, with confectionary brand Cadbury also involved as a “tier two” sponsor, the sole supplier of chocolate and ice cream at the Games\(^{(6)}\). The link between the sugar industry and the Olympics has received international criticism from public health advocates\(^{(7)}\).

Mega events such as the Football World Cup and the Olympic Games are always likely to receive increased attention partly because of the huge audiences developed through global sports television broadcasting contracts worth millions of dollars\(^{(8)}\). Professional sports clubs also have large followings with football clubs such as Real Madrid and Manchester United having 105 million and 74 million followers on Facebook\(^{(9)}\) for example. Thus sports themselves and traditional sponsorship practice are under increased scrutiny\(^{(10)}\) and there is more understanding of how commercial organisations may use sports settings to target both children and adults. Studies have been undertaken in a number of countries across sports including an examination of national and regional sporting organisations in New Zealand\(^{(11)}\). This study found that where additional marketing activities were used to create repeat exposure for brands, these often targeted children. An international study across seven countries in Europe and Australasia in 2016 showed a positive association between exposure to alcohol sports sponsorship and alcohol consumption\(^{(12)}\). We know that food advertising on television impacts on children in particular and may negatively affect their snacking habits\(^{(13)}\).
When companies selling unhealthy products sponsor children’s sport, the concern may be even greater (14-16). In a review of the evidence presented to the World Health Organisation in 2006, it was shown that food and drink companies systematically target children, marketing chocolate, sweets, soft drinks and other foods high in fat, sugar and salt. This kind of food promotion has been shown to influence children’s consumption and other diet-related behaviours and outcomes (17).

Many fast food franchises use sport sponsorship to penetrate their local markets (18). Thus, children’s frequent participation in organised sport exposes them to high levels of food and beverage sponsorship promotions (19 20). This exposure in turn may influence their consumption of food and beverages based on positive attitudes towards sport sponsors. Kelly et al. (21) found junior sports players in Australia believed “food company sponsors are kind, generous and cool”.

As public health concerns around children’s health grows, and whilst childhood obesity continues to increase worldwide, advocates believe that fundamental changes will be required across the social and economic environment if we are to combat the rise in overweight and obesity, with the high consumption of unhealthy food seen as the greatest culprit (22). Whilst mega events have received attention, professional sports clubs, sometimes huge commercial brands with an ability to influence millions of fans globally, are not widely considered by public health despite their gatekeeping role in enabling brand sponsors to reach unparalleled audiences. In order for public health to influence the sponsorship choices and commercial arrangements that professional sport clubs choose to make, we need to understand the scale of the issue.

This scoping review was undertaken to identify how much is known about the relationship between professional sports clubs and food and beverage companies. In doing this, we sought to identify where the gaps may lie in our knowledge and highlight the need for any further research that may be required.

Methods
As this is an emerging area of academic interest, we anticipated the possibility that we would identify few relevant studies. In developing the scoping review we followed the framework proposed by Arksey and O’Malley (23).

Search strategy

In agreeing a search strategy, we used our existing knowledge of relevant literature and key words identified for specific papers. We discussed the strategy with colleagues, took an iterative approach for agreeing key concepts and words, and achieved a consensus before engaging in searches.

We agreed no restrictions on geography, age groups or gender. We searched for English language publications only, for practical reasons. Given our focus on professional sports clubs, we excluded individual sports men and women from our search, together with amateur or community clubs and national teams or sports governing bodies. We also excluded mega events such as the Olympics because of the attention already given to these events. Finally, we were aware that there is a growing literature around alcohol which has already received some attention on its association with sport and so excluded this from our review (12).

Key words used for our search are shown in Table 1 below. We used four concepts to exploring the relationships between Big Food corporations and professional sports clubs: ‘Professional Sports Clubs’, ‘Food and drink’, ‘Marketing’ and ‘Health Impacts’. For each concept, we identified a ‘what’. Thus, in the focus on ‘Professional Sports Clubs’ we used search terms such as ‘Sports Grounds’, ‘Football’, American Football’ and other popular sports; in ‘Food and Drink’, we searched for ‘Processed Food’, ‘Sweet Products’ and similar. The ‘who’ (i.e. the consumer or fan/supporter) remained consistent as they are the target audience of the Big Food corporations in their relationships with professional sports clubs.

In regard to health impacts, the ‘what’ included the major non-communicable diseases (which may be influenced negatively through the marketing of ‘unhealthy’ food and drink). Food and drink were more complicated, but we agreed common terms for fast food and processed
food, and included the names of major producers, Coca-Cola (Coke), Kentucky Fried
Chicken (KFC), McDonald’s and PepsiCo (Pepsi), because of their known longstanding
associations with sports sponsorship. In ‘marketing’, we included words describing the
various methods generally employed to market food and drink. Finally, we identified both
‘clubs’ to search for, but also the names of the largest team-based professional sports in the
English-speaking world (for example, football in the UK, American football in North
America and rugby in Australia).

We searched six databases to identify relevant papers: CINALH Plus, MEDLINE, PsycINFO,
PubMed, SportDISCUS and Web of Science. Boolean operators were used as illustrated in
Table 1. We combined each block using ‘AND’. After initial trial searches, two authors
discussed and refined the search terms which were finalised as above in Table 1. In addition,
we used test papers to ensure our search correctly identified the relevant and appropriate
research papers.

**Literature selection and data synthesis**

The lead author carried out the first search and reviewed the titles generated. Two authors
then undertook an abstract review before agreeing the papers for full review. All the authors
participated in the process of reading and reviewing the 26 papers considered at this stage of
the process. Discrepancies were discussed by all three authors before agreeing the final six
papers to be included in the scoping review. The reference lists of included papers were
searched by hand for additional references that might fit the inclusion criteria.

Consulted experts suggested eleven papers to consider but none met the inclusion criteria
described. Of these, ten were drawn from marketing literature around North American
professional sport, but, whilst their titles sounded appropriate, once examined, none made
links between professional sports clubs and Big Food. The final paper excluded from the
eleven was Australian in origin and was considered \(^{(24)}\). However, although relevant to
discussions concerning sports sponsorship and examined views around this sponsorship, the
paper was excluded as it was about “elite and children’s sports” rather than professional
sports clubs.
We anticipated that potentially there could be substantial variety in the types of study design and data available in relevant papers. With this in mind, we aimed to synthesise studies thematically, by country or study design depending on what was most appropriate after all studies had been identified. When a review includes different study designs, established tools for assessing risk of bias or methodological quality are not appropriate. We therefore used a scoping review to address our aim and to enable us to see as wide a breadth of the relevant literature as possible.

Results

Figure 1 details the process described above and the results obtained at each stage. The database searches generated 19,486 items including papers. A further 11 papers were suggested by experts working in the area of public health and sport. The final number of items included in the search was 18,166 after duplicates were removed. The lead author then reviewed all 18,166 and retained 163 papers for abstract review. One hundred and thirty-seven papers were excluded at this stage as although sport and food or sponsorship were often indicated in titles, abstracts indicated that professional sports clubs were not included in their remit. Two authors then undertook the abstract review before agreeing 26 academic papers for full text review. Of the 26 papers selected for review, 14 originated from Australia and New Zealand. Twenty publications were excluded as they did not meet the inclusion criteria detailed above. These were excluded for a wide number of reasons, with the most common being the article focused more on aspects of commercial sponsorship of sport in general, rather than professional clubs.

The 20 papers excluded during full text review received careful scrutiny. The studies from Australia and New Zealand were particularly relevant to the wider discussion around sport and health, and suggested that the food and drink industry target their sponsorship to children’s sport within the community. The organisation of Australian sport reflects the federal system of government and includes national and state sporting organisations who are represented as peak or umbrella organisations. These peak organisations have received attention in Australian academic literature because of the media attention they generate, and
the consequent attention they receive from commercial sponsors. However, as regional bodies, they fall outside the remit of this scoping review.

Characteristics of included studies

Table 2 below lists the six papers which were included in the review showing their authors, study design, study countries of interest and main results. As is common in scoping reviews, study designs varied substantially. Two studies had an experimental design (25 26). Other study designs were a systematic review (27), a case study (28), a content analysis (29), and a survey of professional football team shirt sponsors (30). Three studies contained data from Australia (25 26 29), one from the USA and Austria (28), and two had a worldwide scope (27 30). Sports examined included football (soccer) (28 30), rugby league (25) and union (26), Australian football (25 26), basketball (25), netball (26), and cricket (25-27 29).

Main Findings

Overall, results highlighted the commercial potential of corporate actors from the food and beverage industry engaging with professional sport. Large quantities of money were available to clubs, with food and beverage companies the leading product category of sponsorship (30). The potential reach of sponsorship and advertising to sports fans was highlighted, as well as some evidence that young fans had internalised associations between teams and certain brands/products (25 26). Results also suggested that sponsorship could be lucrative for the clubs involved. A single study highlighted that sponsorship relationships could result in negative reactions from fans (28).

Extent of food and beverage advertising
Unlucan (30) classified the main shirt/jersey sponsors in the top (men’s) football leagues of 79 countries. The study highlighted the vast money available to clubs when working with a shirt sponsor, providing examples from a range of European football clubs. For example Unlucan noted that FC Barcelona earned around 25 million GBP per year from the Qatar Foundation for their shirt sponsorship in 2014-15 (this is dwarfed by the deal announced by Real Madrid with Emirates Airlines in September 2017 for $82 million dollars per year (31)). When shirt sponsorship was analysed, the sector with the largest number of shirt sponsors (149 from 969 teams – 15%) was food and beverage companies including alcohol producers. The next most represented industrial classification was travel and leisure companies which included gambling, such as online gambling, online casinos and other betting companies (120 companies – 12%). The study noted that “in some countries laws and regulations do not allow companies in industries like tobacco, gambling and alcohol to sponsor football/soccer clubs”, p51. It was also noted that some companies (such as Pepsi and McDonald’s) sponsor “several teams”, p48. The study concluded that in an environment of increasing costs, shirt/jersey sponsorship represented one of the most important revenue sources for football clubs.

Potential reach and fan responses

Jensen et al.’s (28) study suggested that professional clubs must approach sponsorship cautiously. Using a case study methodology, they detailed the negative reaction of fans to the renaming and branding of two football teams, after receiving sponsorship from Red Bull, an energy drink company. The study found opposition to renaming professional football teams after the Red Bull energy drink, and that this opposition came from politicians and civic leaders as well as fans.

The four remaining studies focused on fan exposure, with two studies specifically examining children’s recognition of professional sports club sponsors (25 26). Data from these studies were collected in Australia. Sherriff et al. (29) analysed sports sponsorship by food and alcohol companies in Australian cricket (in limited over competition specifically). They considered the proportion of time that the main sponsor’s (Kentucky Fried Chicken) logo was seen during three telecasts, the extent of paid advertising in the telecasts, and also included the associated ground advertising. They suggested sport sponsorship through telecasts was able to saturate family viewing time without any form of regulation. The main sponsor’s logo was visible in some form (including on equipment and clothing) for 44% of the game time in one telecast and 74% of the game time in the other.
Pettigrew et al. (26) used an experimental design with 164 5-12 year olds living in Perth, Western Australia to explore children’s implicit associations between popular sports and a range of sports sponsors (10 out of 23 of which were for unhealthy foods and beverages). Three quarters of the children (76%) were able to align at least one correct sponsor with the relevant sport. Just over half correctly matched an Australian Football League team with its fast-food chain sponsor. In addition, children appeared to associate certain sports with unhealthy food and beverages in general, even if they did not identify the correct unhealthy food and beverage sponsor.

Bestman et al. (25) used similar techniques to measure the implicit recall of team sponsorship by children aged 5-12 years. The sponsors (two unhealthy food) of seven sporting teams were used covering the sports of rugby league, Australian Football League, basketball and cricket. Of the 85 children included in the study, 77% were able to identify at least one correct shirt sponsor, with 9-12 year olds significantly more likely to recall sponsors than the younger children. Similar to Pettigrew et al. (26) associations between sponsorship and teams was identified at both the brand and product level. In addition, teams that were identified as being most liked by children were sponsored by brands selling unhealthy foods.

Carter et al. (27) undertook a systematic review to identify and critically appraise research on food environments in sports settings. They found fourteen English-language studies, of which ten were from Australia and one from New Zealand. Studies included in the review were mainly concerned with junior level and amateur sports clubs, and thus these studies’ findings were not relevant to this scoping review. A single study (Sherriff et al. (29)) was identified as meeting the inclusion criteria for the scope of our review, and has been discussed above.

**Discussion**

This scoping review found very few papers examining the health-related dimensions of commercial sponsorship by food and beverage companies of professional sports clubs. We therefore can conclude that this is an area that has received little scrutiny from an academic public health perspective.
The six included papers covered a variety of sports and highlighted that sponsorship by
brands selling unhealthy products is common, and that this exposure is likely to create an
association between clubs and sponsors amongst fans. As stated in the introduction, there
appears to have been greater academic examination of sponsorship by brands selling
unhealthy food in Australia. These Australian studies have been particularly concerned about
the impact on children and young people of this kind of sponsorship. To develop the
understanding of these findings, there is a clear case to be made for further studies to be
undertaken worldwide on the impact of sports sponsorship on buying preferences.

The papers identified primarily related to sports clubs in high income countries, although
Unlucan’s study of football shirt sponsorship covered 79 football leagues. Given this, our
review does not reflect the global reach of many sports clubs. The English Premier League
(the richest football league in the world) broadcast in 212 territories attracting approximately
4.7 billion views per season (32), highlighting that English football clubs’ relationships with
junk food and drink brands is likely to influence behaviour beyond the United Kingdom,
including in low income countries. Cricket is another sport with a significant global following
including in India and Pakistan. Although no studies were found linking Indian professional
sports clubs with Big Food corporations, Silk and Andrews (33) describe how Indian cricket
and its star performers have been used to promote both Coca-Cola and Pepsi.

It is surprising that advertising and sponsorship by unhealthy foods and beverages in
professional sports clubs has largely escaped scrutiny within the academic public health
literature, despite commercial determinants of health being added to those originally listed in
the Ottawa Charter (34). Kickbusch (35) argues that in the 21st century, we need to address the
interface of the political and commercial determinants of health; in other words, how society
and government are affected by powerful transnational companies and their marketing. She
wrote that in the mid-1980s we underestimated globalised corporate and marketing power
and gave as an example how access to beer was provided at the FIFA World Cup in Brazil at
the insistence of the FIFA General Secretary despite this being contrary to Brazilian law. In
this example, the commercial clout of sponsorship overrode a state’s law, reflecting the
debate globalisation theorists have about the erosion of state power in an era of global
capitalism (36-38).
Gilmore et al. (39) discuss how corporations may contribute to the global burden of disease. Food and alcohol companies often use corporate social responsibility to enhance reputation and to promote brands. And it can be argued that sport is a useful vehicle for the marketing of food companies. We have highlighted that more research has been carried out in Australia and New Zealand concerning sport and health than in other countries, and it is argued that the consumption of live sport is mirrored by the consumption of high quantities of “meat pies, chips and beer” in these countries (40). However, researching the commercial determinants of health in sport is still a relatively new area. There is likely to be further scrutiny of shirt sponsorship in particular as individual players (41) and some fans (42) may object to some industries’ involvement from a wide range of perspectives. Since Jensen et al. (28) published their Red Bull study, the energy drink company has founded a team known as RB Leipzig now playing in the top tier of the German Bundesliga. Both RB Leipzig and Red Bull Salzburg qualified for UEFA’s Champions League in 2017/18 raising questions about ownership and fair sporting competition (43). RB Leipzig has also raised concerns from German football fans who dismiss them as a “plastic club” whose purpose is to help sell fizzy drinks (44). Crompton (45) highlights that the primary source of reputational risk for a commercial sponsor in sport (such as Red Bull) may be the “increased public sensitivity to the negative health impacts of some product categories, most prominently those of tobacco, alcohol, gambling and products that are high in fat, salt or sugar”, p.420.

Professional football shirt sponsorship by gambling and the online betting and casino industry has received attention recently (46 47) and this has become a political issue with the British Labour Party promising to end gambling industry shirt sponsorship should it form the next government (48). Just as ethical debate about the appropriateness of sponsorship is being applied to mega events and whether policies can be applied to provide healthier environments (49), this scrutiny is likely to be applied to individual professional clubs and leagues in the future.

The evidence of how food and drink and alcohol marketing through sponsorship of community and professional sport in Australia may influence children’s food and drink choices has also led to advocacy from charities wanting Government action on this type of marketing and new approaches to sport sponsorship (50). Spectators at sporting events often cannot understand why healthier food is not promoted when players themselves are portrayed role models for health (51). There is certainly a case for public health advocacy to address and
champion the public good when food corporations use commercial levers to promote products which may be harmful to human health (52) even if care may need to be taken in the approach to this advocacy (53).

This scoping study considered food and drink sponsorship of professional sports clubs. There are wider concerns in the public health community about how food companies build brand images and target parents and children (54 55) through Corporate Social Responsibility initiatives. This scrutiny is likely to apply to sport and the appropriateness of alcohol, gambling and food and drink sport sponsorship (56).

Strengths and limitations

The strength of this study is that it has highlighted that the examination of food and beverage sponsorship in professional sport is an under-researched area. By using a scoping review design, we were able to accommodate a range of study designs and data into our synthesis. The search strategy was comprehensive and we believe that we captured the relevant academic literature.

A potential study weakness was that the databases we searched are primarily concerned with evidence-based peer-reviewed papers, and therefore it is possible that relevant articles in the grey literature may have been missed. Only English-language sources were retrieved and reviewed which was also a limitation. Although the scoping review design allowed us to be inclusive in terms of including a wide variety of study types, it was challenging to synthesise such disparate studies. A study such as Jensen et al. (28) used a case study approach, with relatively unclear methods, and therefore it was difficult to assess the extent to which this could be considered a research study. In addition, the other studies of fan exposure (25 26 29) were relatively small scale, with limited generalisability.

A further limitation is that due to the heterogeneity of the included studies, we could not conduct a risk of bias assessment of the included studies. Finally, we only considered professional sports clubs in this study. Individual ‘celebrity’ sportsmen and women are used to endorse a wide range of products in order to influence consumption (57) and this deserves further study.
Conclusions

Given the prominence sport plays in international cultures, it can provide corporations with an unparalleled opportunity to promote their brands and products on a global stage. It is no surprise that some of the wealthiest food and drink companies will use this reach by trading on the relationships and status in the community that professional sports clubs often possess. This scoping review demonstrates that there is only a very limited evidence base in this field. If advocates are to address the commercial determinants of health in sport, a wider body of evidence will be required to convince others of the potential of unhealthy food and beverage sponsorship to impact negatively on health. As has been described, fans themselves have a view on ‘their’ clubs and the brand relationship between supporters and clubs is complex. More studies that reveal how food and drink marketers work, and the audiences they carefully select, will lead to a wider discussion about the ethics of sport sponsorship, whether the sponsor is a fast food company, a gambling corporation or an alcohol product. This discussion is likely to include a debate about regulation and legislation by sports leagues, governing bodies of sport and by government, particularly when the audience of most sports includes children and young people. More comprehensive studies on the relationship between commercial corporations and sport are therefore urgently required.
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Table 1: Scoping review by keyword/s search

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>What</th>
<th>Who</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional Sports Clubs</td>
<td>Stadi* OR “Sport grounds” OR “Club” OR “Clubs” OR “Football” OR “Soccer” OR “Rugby League” OR “Rugby Union” OR “Cycling” OR “Motor racing” OR “Netball” OR “Basketball” OR “Baseball” OR “American Football” OR “Aussie Rules Football” OR “Gaelic Football” OR “Cricket” OR “Hockey” OR “Ice-hockey”</td>
<td>“Fans” OR “Supporters” OR “Staff” OR “Employees” OR Communit* OR “Children” OR “Kids” OR “Viewers” OR “Spectators”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food and drink</td>
<td>“Food” OR Drink* OR “Fast food” OR “Processed food” OR “Junk food” OR “Unhealthy food” OR “Sweet products” OR Chocolate* OR “Beverage” OR “Sugary drinks” OR “Sugary beverages” OR “Chips” OR “Pies” OR “Cereals” OR “Catering outlets” OR “Catering concessions” OR “Food stalls” OR “Coca-Cola” OR “Coke” OR “Pepsi” OR “PepsiCo” OR “McDonald’s” OR “Kentucky Fried Chicken” OR “KFC” NOT “alcohol*”</td>
<td>“Fans” OR “Supporters” OR “Staff” OR “Employees” OR Communit* OR “Children” OR “Kids” OR “Viewers” OR “Spectators”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td>Advert* OR Sponsor* OR “Public relations” OR “Corporate social responsibility” OR Brand* OR Promotion* OR “Food promotion” OR “Drinks promotion” OR “Broadcasting” OR “Stand names” OR “Ground names”</td>
<td>“Fans” OR “Supporters” OR “Staff” OR “Employees” OR Communit* OR “Children” OR “Kids” OR “Viewers” OR “Spectators”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health impacts</td>
<td>Health* OR “unhealthy” OR “Public health” OR “Population health” OR Health promotion* OR Health educ* OR “Noncommunicable diseases” OR “Chronic diseases” OR “Cardiovascular disease” OR “Coronary heart disease” OR “Ischaemic heart disease” OR “Ischemic heart disease” OR “Stroke” OR “Cancer” OR Diab* OR Obe* OR “Oral health” OR “Teeth” OR “Cholesterol”</td>
<td>“Fans” OR “Supporters” OR “Staff” OR “Employees” OR Communit* OR “Children” OR “Kids” OR “Viewers” OR “Spectators”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2: Summary of the papers included for review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study design and methods</th>
<th>Study countries of interest</th>
<th>Sport/s considered</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bestman et al.</td>
<td>Experimental study conducted using projective techniques to measure the implicit recall of team sponsorship relationships of 85 children aged 5-12 years.</td>
<td>Australia (New South Wales)</td>
<td>Rugby league, Australian Football, basketball and cricket</td>
<td>Three quarters (77%) of the children were able to identify at least one correct shirt sponsor. Results showed that age had an effect on number of shirt sponsors correctly recalled with 9-12 year olds being significantly more likely than 5-8 year olds to correctly identify team sponsors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carter et al.</td>
<td>Systematic review of the availability and marketing of food and beverages to children through sports settings.</td>
<td>Worldwide (English language)</td>
<td>Various (only cricket relevant)</td>
<td>The review identified fourteen studies with most studies originating from Australia (n = 10). Literature exploring food environments was limited. Club policies focused on the impact of health promotion funding rather than the impact of sponsorship in sports settings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jensen R et al.</td>
<td>Case study of the reaction to Red Bull naming its soccer teams after the corporation. A descriptive report reviewing the responses of media observers and fans.</td>
<td>Austria USA</td>
<td>Soccer (football)</td>
<td>The reaction to Red Bull naming its soccer teams after the corporation and prominently displaying the company logo on team uniforms is a mixed one among media critics and fans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pettigrew et al.</td>
<td>Experimental study conducted using projective techniques with 164 children aged 5-12 years to align sponsors with the relevant sport.</td>
<td>Australia (Perth)</td>
<td>Variety including Australian Football, Rugby Union, soccer, Netball, Cricket</td>
<td>Three quarters (76%) of the children were able to align the sponsors with the relevant sports. 54% of the children matched the most popular sport (an Australian Football League team) with its fast food sponsor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherriff et al.</td>
<td>Content analysis of three cricket telecasts (including playing time and advertising breaks) considering the sponsor’s logo, paid and associated ground advertising.</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Cricket</td>
<td>The main sponsor’s logo was visible on a range of equipment and clothing that resulted in it being clearly identifiable from 44% to 74% of game time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlucan</td>
<td>Survey of main jersey (shirt) sponsors of 1147 football/soccer clubs in 79 countries top leagues. Industry Classification Benchmark used to classify industries of sponsors.</td>
<td>Worldwide</td>
<td>Soccer (football)</td>
<td>Companies are major sponsors of football/soccer clubs. Jersey (shirt) sponsorship where main sponsors have their names on the front of the shirt is an important source of revenue for clubs. This study showed that food and beverage company sponsorship (including alcohol) is the most common (149 companies). 257 (22%) teams are sponsored by the consumer goods industry.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cambridge University Press