Human 4E-T represses translation of bound mRNAs and enhances microRNA-mediated silencing
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ABSTRACT

A key player in translation initiation is eIF4E, the mRNA 5′ cap-binding protein. 4E-Transporter (4E-T) is a recently characterized eIF4E-binding protein, which regulates specific mRNAs in several developmental model systems. Here, we first investigated the role of its enrichment in P-bodies and eIF4E-binding in translational regulation in mammalian cells. Identification of the conserved C-terminal sequences that target 4E-T to P-bodies was enabled by comparison of vertebrate proteins with homologues in Drosophila (Cup and CG32016) and Caenorhabditis elegans by sequence and cellular distribution. In tether function assays, 4E-T represses bound mRNA translation, in a manner independent of these localization sequences, or of endogenous P-bodies. Quantitative polymerase chain reaction and northern blot analysis verified that bound mRNA remained intact and polyadenylated. Ectopic 4E-T reduces translation globally in a manner dependent on eIF4E binding its consensus Y30X4Lr site. In contrast, tethered 4E-T continued to repress translation when eIF4E-binding was prevented by mutagenesis of YX4Lr, and modestly enhanced the decay of bound mRNA, compared with wild-type 4E-T, mediated by increased binding of CNOT1/7 deadenylase subunits. As depleting 4E-T from HeLa cells increased steady-state translation, in part due to relief of microRNA-mediated silencing, this work demonstrates the conserved yet unconventional mechanism of 4E-T silencing of particular subsets of mRNAs.

INTRODUCTION

Regulation of translation is critical in the control of cell growth and proliferation. A key player in translation initiation is eIF4E, the mRNA 5′ cap-binding protein. Aberrant expression of eIF4E and its phosphorylation promotes tumorigenesis and has been implicated in cancer development. eIF4E recruits ribosomes to mRNA 5′ ends through specific and high-affinity binding to eIF4G. Translation initiation requires the rate-limiting binding of eIF4F (eIF4E, eIF4G and the RNA helicase eIF4A) to the m7G cap structure and is completed on initiation codon recognition by the preinitiation complex. eIF4E sandwiches the cap via conserved tryptophan residues, and its convex side interacts with the YXXXXLr sequence in eIF4G. General inhibitors of translation initiation known as eIF4E-binding proteins (4E-BPs) contain similar YX4Lr motifs, and thus can be considered as molecular mimics of eIF4G, which compete for the same binding site of eIF4E, blocking the formation of the translation initiation complex, when hypophosphorylated in quiescent cells (1–4).

Additional eIF4E-binding proteins have been reported that regulate specific mRNAs, the best characterized of these being the vertebrate 4E-Transporter (also known as eIF4NIF1) protein and its fly and worm homologues Cup and Spn-2 (Pqn-45 or IFET-1) that act in early development. In Xenopus oocytes, 4E-T is a component of the large 2–3 MDa CPEB RNP (ribonucleoprotein) translational repressor complex (5), which also contains Xp54 RNA helicase (rck/p54), and the RNA-binding proteins Pat1 and Rap55. Cytoplasmic polyadenylation element-binding protein (CPEB) binds and regulates the translation of maternal mRNA with 3′ UTR cytoplasmic polyadenylation elements, CPEs (6). Cup and Spn-2 repress translation of oskar, nanos and katanin mRNAs and their mutant alleles arrest oogenesis (7–15). Cup is enriched in nurse cell and oocyte RNP that contain oskar mRNA, Me31B (p54/rck) and eIF4E (9). Spn-2 localizes to the cytoplasm and P-granule RNP (10), ribonucleoprotein particles important for germ line development (16), and functions in conjunction with the broad-scale translational regulators CGH-1 (rck/p54), CAR-1.
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(Rap55) and PATR-1 (Pat1) (17). As with the much smaller 4E-BP proteins, binding of 4E-T and Cup to eIF4E prevents eIF4E-eIF4G interactions due to competition for the same binding site (18,19). Current models propose that 4E-T and homologues interact with 3’UTR-RNA-binding proteins including CPEB, Bruno, Smag and OMA-1/2 as well as with the cap-binding protein, but fail to recruit the small ribosomal subunit thereby resulting in a repressive closed loop (3).

Human 4E-T (h4E-T) was first characterized as a nucleocytoplasmic shuttling protein with a canonical YXXLφ sequence that mediates eIF4E binding, and its nuclear export/import via Crm1 (18). With the exception of the eIF4E-binding site and the NLS/NES sequences, the primary structure of this 985 amino acid long protein gives no additional hints regarding function. While its nuclear role remains unclear, recent studies have focused on its cytoplasmic function with the discovery that h4E-T is enriched in distinct foci, P-(rocessing) bodies (20–22). Ectopic 4E-T was shown to inhibit cap-dependent reporter mRNA translation, but not IRES-mediated translation, in the absence of changes to reporter mRNA levels in HeLa cells (21,22). However, 4E-T RNAi stabilizes AU-rich (ARE)-reporter and -cellular mRNAs, though not globin mRNA (21,22), altogether suggesting that 4E-T regulates specific mRNAs, possibly those in P-bodies.

P-bodies, which contain mRNA, microRNAs, RNA-binding proteins/translational repressors and mRNA decay enzymes but not ribosomes, are thought to be involved in reversible translational repression including that mediated by microRNAs and in mRNA decay [reviewed by (23–25)]. To date, in mammalian tissue culture cells, eIF4E is the only translation initiation factor found enriched in P-bodies and 4E-T the only enriched eIF4E-binding protein. Strikingly, mRNP granules including P-bodies, maternal and neuronal mRNP granules, in organisms ranging from yeast, Plasmodium and trypanosomes to man, share overlapping, albeit not identical compositions, attesting to critical, conserved functions in gene regulation. Shared components of P-bodies/RNP granules include p54/rck, Rap55 and Pat1 (16,26).

The molecular details of the P-body pathway in gene expression control remain to be determined, and available evidence does not offer a simple functional conclusion. Individual components—for example, GW182 and rck/p54—have well-characterized important functions in general and in miRNA-mediated gene expression control. However, the visible presence of P-bodies is not required for reporter mRNA decay mediated by microRNAs, siRNAs or via the NMD pathway, nor for general mRNA decay/translational repression in yeast (27–29). On the other hand, ARE mRNAs have been shown to be degraded in mammalian P-bodies (30,31), and recent reports suggest that additional specific mRNA decay and/or translational repression may occur in these foci (32–35).

We were interested to investigate the role of P-bodies and eIF4E-binding in translational control mediated by 4E-T in mammalian cells, and to this end, we first delineated the sequences that target 4E-T to P-bodies. The deletion analysis of GFP-4E-T was based on comparison of sequences of vertebrate 4E-T proteins with their homologues in Drosophila (both Cup and the relatively uncharacterized CG32016 protein closer to human 4E-T than Cup) and Caenorhabditis elegans, and showed that conserved C-terminal sequences targeted 4E-T to P-bodies. In tether function assays, we showed that 4E-T represses translation of reporter mRNA in a P-body-and eIF4E-independent manner, and does not promote deadenylation. 4E-T mutated in its eIF4E-binding site modestly enhanced the decay of tethered mRNA, compared with wild-type 4E-T, due to increased binding of CNOT1/7 deadenylase subunits, likely accompanied by decapping. We also addressed the question of the cellular targets of 4E-T, and provide evidence that depleting 4E-T from HeLa cells significantly increased steady-state translation, in part at least due to partial relief of microRNA-mediated silencing.

**METHODS AND MATERIALS**

**Plasmids**

Plasmids of *Drosophila* Cup (8), CG32016 and human 4E-T (20) were gifts from Craig Smibert, Daniel St Johnston and Reinhard Luhrmann, respectively. Primers specified in Supplementary Table S1 were used to amplify their ORFs into appropriate mammalian vectors, typically in frame with either GFP or HA/NHA. In the former case, the ORFs were subcloned into EGFP-C1 and EGFP-N1 vectors (Clontech). While in general, the pattern of localization was similar for the constructs in both vectors, EGFP-C1 vectors showed a higher level of transfection and hence were used in all reported experiments. For the tethering constructs, the ORFs were first subcloned into pCI-HA or pCI-NHA (36), using XbaI and NotI sites and subsequently subcloned as a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) product with upstream HA-tag or λNHA-tag into EGFPC1 vector, from which GFP ORF was cut out using Nhel and XmaI. Control firefly luciferase (*Photinus pyralis* in pGL3) and *Renilla* luciferase (*Rotylechulus reniformis*; pRL-TK) with and without BoxB hairpins were described previously (36). The let-7 sites in the HCV IRES *Renilla* luciferase let-7 reporter plasmid in pRL-SV40 (37) were replaced with five BoxB elements by PCR using the *Renilla* Box B plasmid as template. FLAG-CNOT1 and FLAG-CNOT7 plasmids were reported previously (38,39). Protein coding regions were amplified by PCR and cloned into either yeast pGADT7 AD or pGBK7 vectors (BD Biosciences-Clontech) using the NdeI and XmaI sites. All PCR was performed with Pfu polymerase using standard conditions. Mutagenesis was carried out using the QuickChange system (Stratagene), with primers specified in Supplementary Table S1. All cloning and mutagenesis was verified by sequencing.

**Cell culture and immunofluorescence**

HeLa cells were grown in DMEM+GlutaMAX (Gibco) with 10% fetal bovine serum. Cells were plated on a 20-mm coverslip in 35-mm plates (Thermo Scientific). Transfection was performed 24 h after the cells were...
seeded, using 4 μl of Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) and 2 μg of DNA constructs. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature, permeabilized using 0.5% Triton X-100 (Sigma) and then incubated with primary antibodies followed by staining with the appropriate Rhodamine-Red-X-conjugated (1:1000) (Jackson ImmunoResearch) secondary antibodies. Primary antibodies and dilutions were used as follows: 4E-T (1:200) (Abcam), eIF4E (1:1000) (Santa Cruz), rck/p54 (1:1000) (Bethyl Laboratories). To visualize the nucleus, cells were also stained with DAPI (1.25 μg/ml) for 10 s. Leptomycin B (in methanol) (Sigma) was used at a final concentration of 5 ng/ml for 5 h. Simultaneously, the same volume of methanol was added to the control cells. Coverslips were mounted with CitiFluor for visualization under the fluorescent Zeiss AxioImager M1 microscope or Leica SP5 confocal microscope. Each sample was analysed in triplicate. At least 100 transfected cells were analysed per sample.

Yeast two hybrid assay
pGBK-protein constructs were transformed into Saccharomyces cerevisiae AH109 cells as follows: 5 μl of salmon testes ssDNA (10 mg/ml), previously heated to 95°C for 10–20 min and cooled on ice, 100 μl of One-step-buffer (200 mM LiAc, 40% w/v PEG, 100 mM DTT), one yeast colony of ~2 mm diameter and 0.5 μl of plasmid DNA (50–250 ng) were mixed by vortexing and incubated at 45°C, 30 min before plating on selective medium (minimal SD base supplemented with 2% glucose and the required dropout solution, -Trp in this case). Plates were incubated at 30°C for 3–5 days until colonies were 2–3 mm in diameter. A single colony was selected and re-streaked onto a fresh plate. These singly transformed strains were used as a yeast stock in which to transform the pGAD-protein constructs. The second plasmid retention and high-stringency protein interactions, respectively.

GFP-Trap immunoprecipitation
GFP-Trap® Magnetic beads (Chromotek) (25 μl) were resuspended in 250 μl of ice-cold NET buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% v/v NP-40, 1 mM EDTA, 0.25% w/v gelatine, 0.02% v/v sodium azide) supplemented with complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) in hydrophobic tubes. Beads were washed by magnetic separation and lysates added (1000 μg in 1 ml NET buffer). Mixtures were incubated for 1.25 h at 4°C with constant rotation. Supernatants were removed after magnetic separation of samples, beads washed and protein eluted in 30 μl 1× SB. Fifteen microliters of input (40 μg) and output samples were loaded onto 15% SDS-PAGE or gradient gels and assessed by western blotting.

SDS-PAGE and western blotting
Our standard 15% SDS-PAGE and western blotting procedures have been described previously (40). On occasion, as stated, gradient gels (NuPAGE® Novex® 4–12% Bis-Tris Gel, Life Technologies™) were used. Blots were developed using ECL and the primary antibodies and dilutions were used as follows: 4E-T (1:200) (Abcam), eIF4E (1:1000) (Santa Cruz), Flag (1:1000) (Sigma), GFP (1:1000) (Santa Cruz), HA (1:2000) (Roche), rck/p54 (1:1000) (Bethyl Laboratories) and tubulin (1:2000) (Abcam).

Dual-luciferase tether function assay
HEK293 cells were grown in DMEM+GlutaMAX (Gibco) with 10% fetal bovine serum. Cells were plated on 35-mm plates (Thermo Scientific). Transfection was performed 24 h after the cells were seeded, using 3 μl of Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) and 1 μg of DNA constructs encoding GFP, Pat1b, 4E-T WT and mutants fused to either HA-tag (control) or λNHA, 5 μg of Renilla luciferase reporter mRNA with 5 boxB sites and 100 ng of control firefly luciferase reporter mRNA. When DNA amounts between constructs were varied (to achieve equivalent expression levels), they were substituted by respective amounts of pcDNA3 plasmid to make up 1 μg of DNA. After 48 h, cells were harvested and split between two tubes and used for either protein or RNA extraction. Protein extraction was performed using 1× Passive Lysis Buffer (Promega) on a rocker for 15 min at room temperature. Each sample was analysed in triplicate. The luciferase assay was performed as described (41), using the Dual-luciferase Assay System (Promega) and the Glomax 96 microplate luminometer. Levels of tethered proteins were optimized by varying transfected DNA concentrations, to give approx equal protein concentrations between HA/NHA pairs, and between different mutants/ truncated versions, as verified with western blotting using HA antibodies.

RNA extraction and quantitative PCR
RNA was extracted with Trizol (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instructions and treated two times with RQ1 DNase I (Promega). RNA concentration was quantified using Nanodrop (Nanodrop Technologies). Two micrograms of RNA were converted to cDNA using primers specified in Supplementary Table S1 and AMV reverse transcriptase (Promega). Quantitative RT-PCR reactions were performed using SYBR Green I (Sigma) mix in a Rotor-Gene 6000 (Corbett research) with the following cycling conditions: 95°C, 10 min; 40 × (95°C, 10 s; 55°C, 15 s; 72°C, 20 s). To confirm specificity, amplification was followed by melting temperature analysis and agarose gel electrophoresis. In addition, minus reverse transcriptase controls were included to rule out contamination by genomic DNA. The relative change in mRNA levels was analysed using Rotor-Gene 6000 software package (Corbett Research/Qiagen). The
fold change in mRNA levels was calculated relative to the control and normalized to GAPDH mRNA in triplicates in three independent experiments.

Northern blotting
RNA was extracted using the RNAeasy kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was denatured with glyoxal before electrophoresis on a 1.1% agarose gel and blotted overnight on to Hybond-N (Amersham) according to manufacturer’s instructions. The labelling probe was prepared using Amersham megaprime DNA Labelling System (GE Healthcare) and deoxyctydine 5-triphosphate, [α-32P] (Perkin Elmer). Probes corresponded to the full-length open reading frames, obtained by restriction digests and gel extraction (Qiagen kit). Hybridization of the probe was performed overnight in 5× SSC, 5× Dedhard’s, 0.5% SDS, 0.1% sodium pyrophosphate, 100μg/ml heparin solution at 65°C. The blot was washed 2× 20 min at 60°C. Quantification was performed using Molecular Dynamics Storm 840 and ImageQuant software. Individual bands were measured and the background (an equal area either above or below each band) was subtracted. GAPDH RNA was used as a loading control.

RNAi interference and let-7 luciferase assay
For siRNA knock-down experiments, Dharmacon control siRNA 3 was obtained from Thermo Fisher. Ambion silencer select siRNAs against 4E-T [s32162 (no.1) and s32161 (no. 2)] and LSm1 were obtained from Life Technologies, and TNRC6A/B siRNAs were obtained from Life Technologies (TNRC6A-s26154; TNRC6B-s23060). HeLa cells were grown in DMEM with 10% FBS, with 30 000 cells seeded per well in 24-well plate format. siRNA (30 nM) was transfected with Dharmafect 1 transfection reagent (Thermo Fisher) as per manufacturer’s instruction. Subsequent transfection of luciferase reporter plasmids were carried out using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies) 48 h after siRNA transfections were performed as described (42). After a further 24 h, cells were lysed with passive lysis buffer (Promega) and luciferase activity was measured with Promega dual luciferase reporter assay system. The relative luciferase activity of each well was obtained by dividing the activity of Renilla luciferase, with or without let-7 miRNA target sites, against the activity of firefly luciferase, which served as transfection control for each well. Data were collected from three independent experiments; error bars represent pooled standard deviation of each biological repeat.

RESULTS
Bioinformatic and localization analyses identify the Drosophila homologue of h4E-T
In human tissue culture cells, 4E-T localizes to P-bodies and is required for P-body integrity (20,21,43). To obtain initial hints regarding the region that promotes 4E-T accumulation in P-bodies, we performed multiple protein sequence alignments of 9 vertebrate 4E-T proteins (Danio rerio, Oryzias latipes, Xenopus laevis, Xenopus tropicalis, Bos taurus, Rattus rattus, Mus musculus, Homo sapiens and Gallus gallus) using ClustalW and Emboss Needle programs. Alignments between the vertebrate 4E-T proteins revealed a high degree of conservation throughout their sequences (for example, human and mouse proteins share 90% identical residues and 95% similar ones), which did not allow us to focus on any particularly conserved regions.

Therefore, we expanded the analysis by including Drosophila melanogaster Cup, which is related to h4E-T in sequence, that regulates translation by interacting with 3′ UTR-binding proteins and eIF4E, and co-localizes with Me31B (rck/p54) in cytoplasmic particles in nurse cells (see ‘Introduction’ section). However, interestingly, despite their apparent common role in translational control, they do not share high sequence homology (13% identical, 22% similar residues overall); except for the canonical eIF4E-binding site and a region located towards the N-terminus of h4E-T and more centrally in Cup, which we named the Cup Homology Domain (CHD) (Figure 1A, Supplementary Figure S1B). In addition, both proteins have C-terminal stretches of Q residues, particularly notable in Cup.

To further investigate the degree of functional conservation between human 4E-T and Cup, we compared their subcellular localization when tagged with GFP in human tissue culture cells. To this end, the full length Cup cDNA sequence was cloned into the EGFP-C1 vector and protein localization was examined using immunofluorescence microscopy. Cells were immunostained with rck/p54 antibodies as a marker for endogenous P-bodies. In contrast to h4E-T-GFP, which localized to P-bodies in >90% of cells, as well as being free in the cytoplasm mirroring the endogenous protein distribution, Cup-GFP was found diffused in the cytoplasm with weak nuclear...
localization, but showing no enrichment in P-bodies (Figure 1B).

That Drosophila Cup did not behave like 4E-T in HeLa
wells was to an extent surprising, since other related
proteins such as Pat1b and HPat localize to P-bodies in
HeLa and S2 cells, respectively (40,45), but could simply
reflect the lack of appropriate fly cofactors in mammalian
cells. Nevertheless, these observations prompted us to
examine a Cup-related fly protein, the product of the
poorly characterized CG32016 gene (hereafter named
Dm4E-T), which is expressed in all larval and adult
organs and tissues, with highest levels in the ovary
(FlyBase Encode). Human 4E-T and Dm4E-T share
20% identical and 32% similar residues, with the most
conserved regions in the N-terminal third of the
proteins, and the C-terminus. In contrast, the most
conserved region between h4E-T and Cup lies in the
central third of Cup, which contains the eIF4E-binding
site and the CHD (Figure 1A; Supplementary Figure S1).
Strikingly, unlike Cup, Dm4E-T-GFP showed P-body localization in the majority of transfected HeLa
cells (Figure 1B), as well as diffuse cytoplasmic and weak
nuclear localization. Moreover, Leptomycin B treatment
of the transfected cells, to prevent Crm1-dependent
cellular export (46), showed that Dm4E-T, as well as
human 4E-T, are nucleocytoplasmic shuttling proteins,
with full nuclear retention observed in >80% of transfected
cells, whereas DmCup remained partitioned
to the number of substitutions per site.

The 4E-T C-terminus, downstream of a.a. 694, is
required for its localization to P-bodies

To identify the regions of human 4E-T that mediate its
P-body localization, we examined the cellular localization
of 4E-T-GFP protein variants in HeLa cells, truncated
from either the N- or the C-terminus. To define where
to truncate 4E-T, we used the multiple sequence alignment
of human, X. laevis and Drosophila 4E-T and C. elegans
Spn-2 proteins generated by the T-COFFEE advanced
programme and ClustalW, secondary structure prediction
(using Jpred 3 and PSIPRED), domain predictions (using
DOMAC) and prediction of order and disorder regions
(using POODLE). The protein sequence was truncated
before or after the conserved regions identified in this
search (Supplementary Figure S1C).

We initially tested C-terminally truncated proteins,
with an intact eIF4E-binding site. The mutants truncated
downstream of amino acids 845 and 716 localized to
P-bodies as well as the full-length protein (Figure 2A).
In contrast, 4E-T truncated at 694 a.a. was dispersed in
the cytoplasm in >89% of transfected cells, and in the
remaining 11% of the cells, it localized weakly to
P-bodies in the sense that they were smaller and fewer
compared with full-length 4E-T (Figure 2A). Moreover,
this truncation affected P-body integrity in a dominantly
negative manner, as 59% of transfected cells did not have
detectable endogenous P-bodies (Figure 2B).

These results suggested that the region spanning 694–
716 a.a., in 4E-T, conserved in vertebrate homologues, as
well as in Dm4E-T and CeSpn2, but absent from Cup, is
important for P-body localization. We thus tested the
effect of deleting it from the full-length sequence, but
surprisingly, 4E-TΔ694-716 (ΔA segment; green in
Figure 2A) still strongly localized to P-bodies, similarly
to wild-type 4E-T, demonstrating that the deleted region
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**Figure 1.** Drosophila CG32016 is closer in sequence and cellular local-
ization to human 4E-T than to Cup. (A) Cartoon of human 4E-T,
Drosophila CG32016 (Dm4E-T) and Cup proteins, indicating degree of
conservation between N-terminal third, middle third and
C-terminal third regions (% identical, and similar residues in brackets
according to EMBOSS needle program). Unfilled circle indicates the
approximate location of the canonical eIF4E-binding site, and the filled
circle that of the Cup homology domain. (B) Fluorescence imaging of
HeLa cells transfected with GFP-4E-T, GFP-Cup and GFP-Dm4E-T/
CG32016, also stained with antibodies against the P-body
marker protein rck/p54, and with DAPI. Scale 10 μm. (C) Phylogeny
tree of human (NM_001164501), mouse (BC033410), X. laevis
(NM_001093241, C. elegans 4E-T (Spn-2, NM_065508), Drosophila
Cup (CG11181, NM_078769) and 4E-T (CG32016; NM_166798)
proteins according to phylogeny.fr (44). Branch length is proportional
to the number of substitutions per site.
contributes to but is not absolutely required for localization (Figure 2A). Thus, we speculated that in the absence of the A sequence, a domain downstream of 716 a.a. may play a redundant role in P-body localization. To test this, we examined the GFP-4E-T-C1 protein truncated at a.a. 972 and 845. Both these mutants localized less efficiently to P-bodies than the parent GFP-4E-T-C1 protein (Figure 2A). GFP-4E-T-C1-(1-972) was detected in P-bodies in 57% of cells, though P-bodies were smaller and usually fewer compared with those detected with GFP-4E-T WT and -4E-T-ΔA. At least 75% of cells transfected with 4E-T-ΔA-(1-845) did not contain any GFP P-bodies, with the remaining cells having significantly smaller and fewer foci compared with the full-length protein. However, 75% of the cells contained endogenous P-bodies, showing that 4E-T-ΔA-(1-845) (renamed 4E-T-ΔC) does not strongly affect P-body integrity (Figure 2B, Supplementary Figure S3). Further C-terminal truncations [4E-T-ΔA-(1-808) and 4E-T-ΔA-Δ(808-845)] did not localize to P-bodies in >80% of transfected cells, but also showed partial nuclear localization and were not used further.

Figure 2. The C-terminus of 4E-T promotes its localization in P-bodies. (A) Summary scheme of N- and C-terminal truncated constructs of GFP-4E-T, with table indicating localization of truncated proteins to P-bodies, or to nuclei. Strong localization indicates localization in a large number of foci in 80% of cells or more, weak localization indicates localization in fewer, smaller foci. DN is dominant negative for endogenous P-bodies. (B) Fluorescence imaging of HeLa cells transfected with full-length and indicated truncated versions of GFP-4E-T, also stained with antibodies against the P-body marker protein rck/p54, and with DAPI. Scale, 10 μm. (C) Western blotting analysis of HeLa cells transfected with indicated 4E-T proteins developed with GFP and tubulin antibodies, as loading control.
To validate these results, we investigated 4E-T-GFP proteins lacking N-terminal regions. Truncated mutants whose ORFs started at a.a. 181 and 354 retained their ability to localize to P-bodies, similarly to full-length 4E-T, confirming that C-terminal sequences were required for localization while eIF4E-binding was not (Figure 2A). 4E-T-(601-985) showed weaker P-body localization, indicating the contribution of additional residues upstream of residue 601. However, as 4E-T-(667-985) and 4E-T-(695-985) proteins localized to nuclei in ∼ 80 and 95% of cells, respectively (Figure 2A), it was not possible to determine the minimal sufficient sequence for P-body localization. All mutant proteins were expressed to similar extents, as shown by western blotting with anti-GFP antibodies (Figure 2C).

These results show that the sequences necessary for 4E-T residency in P-bodies are located in its C-terminus, downstream of a.a. 694. Moreover, two types of localization defective mutants were obtained—permissive [4E-T-ΔC; in other words 4E-T-Δ694-716-(1-845)] and nonpermissive (4E-T 1-694) for endogenous P-bodies. 4E-T recruits endogenous eIF4E to P-bodies via C-terminal sequences and YXXXXLL

We then wished to test the effect of wild-type and mutant 4E-T proteins on endogenous eIF4E distribution. First, using the yeast two hybrid assay, we verified the interaction between human 4E-T and eIF4E proteins. Mutagenesis of the three key residues of the eIF4E-binding site YXXXXLL led to complete loss of binding in yeast (Figure 3A). Similarly, using the GFP-Trap pull-down assay (see ‘Materials and Methods’ section) with lysates prepared from transfected HEK293 cells, mutation of the critical tyrosine alone (Y30A) or in combination with the two leucine residues (YLL -> AAA), referred to as 4Emut, prevented the robust binding of eIF4E to 4E-T (Figure 3B). Next, we showed that recruitment of eIF4E to P-bodies by 4E-T requires its P-body localization domain, arguing that this recruitment is a direct effect of ectopic 4E-T. In untrasfected cells, eIF4E detected with a mouse monoclonal antibody was found largely diffuse in the cytoplasm, with enrichment in a few foci (Figure 3C, top panels). 4E-T-GFP redistributed a significant fraction of cytosolic eIF4E to P-bodies, but the mutant version ΔC, which does not localize to P-bodies (Figure 2B), had no significant effect on eIF4E distribution (Figure 3C, middle panels). As predicted, and in line with previous studies (21,22), eIF4E distribution observed by both fluorescent and confocal microscopy was unaltered by GFP-4E-T-Y30A, though this localized to P-bodies as efficiently as the wild-type protein (Figure 3C, Supplementary Figure S3).

Tethered 4E-T represses translation, in a P-body-independent manner

Next, we used the tethered function assay to ask what effect recruiting wild-type and mutant 4E-T to the 3' UTR of a reporter mRNA had on its expression and stability. We used the λN-BoxB system, with Renilla luciferase as the test mRNA with five 3' UTR BoxB hairpins and firefly luciferase as the co-expressed control mRNA, lacking 3' UTR elements. 4E-T expression constructs, and control constructs, contained a combined λN-HA (NHA) element or an HA tag alone upstream and in frame with the main ORF (Figure 4A). Typically,
the two luciferase plasmids and one of the 4E-T proteins or control protein plasmids were co-transfected into HEK293 cells for these experiments, to benefit from their higher transfection efficiency. The levels of expression of these HA/NHA proteins were adjusted to be approximately constant, as monitored by western blotting with HA antibodies (based on data in Supplementary Figure S6, discussed in further detail below). After 48 h, lysates were prepared and assayed in the Dual Luciferase assay system, and RNA was extracted for qPCR of reporter mRNA levels, relative to GAPDH. Results are usually reported as ratios of RLuc/FLuc activities and mRNA levels in the presence of HA-tagged or NHA-tagged proteins.

First, we compared the effects of tethering 4E-T to that of two control proteins, the P-body protein Pat1b and GFP (Figure 4B). Previously, tethered Pat1b was shown to result largely in the decay of bound mRNA in mammalian cells (47,48). Both NHA-Pat1b and NHA-4E-T reduce normalized luciferase activity, relative to their HA versions, and to the two GFP proteins (Figure 4C). In an additional specificity test, we demonstrated that the effect of NHA-4E-T on tethered mRNA required the BoxB hairpins in the 3′ UTR of Rluc mRNA (Supplementary Figure S4). qPCR of the reporter mRNA levels showed that NHA-4E-T largely reduces expression of tethered mRNA at the level of translation (Figure 4D), in contrast to Pat1b, which reduced both translation and mRNA levels, in line with previous studies using MS2 and PP7 tethering systems rather than λN (47,48).

Next we asked whether P-body localization was involved in the translational repression by 4E-T, by contrasting the wild-type protein with the localization impaired mutants (Figure 5). Both NHA-4E-T ΔC and NHA-4E-T(1-694) repressed translation (rather than enhancing decay) of bound mRNAs as effectively as the full-length protein relative to NHA-GFP (Figure 5B and E), suggesting that P-body localization of the tethering protein was not involved in repression, and also that the integrity of endogenous P-bodies was not important for this silencing mechanism.

4E-T represses bound mRNA in an eIF4E-independent manner

Next, we wished to investigate the effect of preventing eIF4E binding to tethered 4E-T on its ability to repress translation. The previous experiments indicated that control mRNA (FLuc) activities were reduced in cells transfected with HA/NHA GFP, Pat1b and 4E-T plasmids, developed with HA and tubulin antibodies. The ratios of Renilla and firefly luciferase activities (top) and mRNAs (relative to GAPDH) (bottom) for HA/NHA-GFP, -Pat1b and -4E-T, with the HA results set to 1.

Figure 4. 4E-T represses translation of tethered mRNA. (A) Schematic cartoon of the tether function assay. (B) Western blot analysis of HEK293 cells transfected with HA/NHA GFP, Pat1b and 4E-T plasmids, developed with HA and tubulin antibodies. (C) Ratios of Renilla and firefly luciferase activities (top) and mRNAs (relative to GAPDH) (bottom) for HA/NHA-GFP, -Pat1b and -4E-T, with the HA results set to 1.
reduce the expression of Renilla-BoxB mRNA, in contrast to NHA-GFP. The panel showing the luciferase activity ratios confirms the previously reached conclusion that 4E-T and Pat1b both reduce expression of bound mRNA (Supplementary Figure S6). By reporting the separate luciferase data, we further verify that 4E-T, unlike Pat1b, has both a general effect on protein synthesis translation, as shown by others (21,22), and an additional repressive effect when tethered.

We then tested the effect of mutating the 4E-T eIF4E-binding site YX4L on translation, having previously verified loss of eIF4E binding using GFP-Trap immunoprecipitation (Figure 3). As expected, preventing eIF4E binding in 4E-T abolished its inhibition of control FLuc activity, but, surprisingly, the mutant was able to reduce RLuc activity (Supplementary Figure S6). In a subsequent experiment in which comparable levels of 4E-T proteins were expressed, the ratio of RLuc/Fluc activities (which in effect cancels out the general inhibitory effect of unbound 4E-T on both luciferase mRNAs) indicates that the eIF4E-binding mutant of 4E-T represses bound mRNA at least as well as the wild-type protein, if not significantly more (Figure 5C). We also noted that NHA-4EmutΔC and 1-694, which both neither bind eIF4E nor localize to P-bodies efficiently, repress translation of bound mRNA similarly to the NHA-4Emut protein. Moreover, all three 4Emut proteins resulted in modest reduction in bound mRNA levels relative to the wild-type protein, presumably responsible for the decrease in tethered mRNA activity (Figure 5F). We conclude that 4E-T represses bound mRNA translation independently of interacting with eIF4E, while general protein synthesis is only affected by 4E-T that sequesters eIF4E.

The N-terminal 1-180 4E-T fragment does not repress bound mRNA

To independently assess the role of eIF4E binding in 4E-T translational repression, we cloned the N-terminal 1–180 fragment of 4E-T downstream of NHA. This fragment contains the eIF4E-binding site and binds eIF4E in a pull-down assay (Figure 6A) but lacks both NLS and NES sequences (Figure 2A). In the tether function assay, NHA-4E-T1-180 behaves as the full-length 4E-T protein in terms of its effects on general protein synthesis, as expected, but does not additionally repress bound RLuc mRNA (Figure 6 and Supplementary Figure S6). NHA-4E-T-Y30A served as an eIF4E-binding mutant control in this experiment, which did not affect Fluc mRNA activity but repressed tethered mRNA (Figure 6B and C resp.). Thus tethering a protein fragment capable of binding eIF4E does not promote repression, further confirming the previous findings that 4E-T does not need to interact with eIF4E to repress bound mRNA.

To further delineate the regions required for repression, we also subcloned a Mid-domain (180–601) containing the conserved CHD, and the C-terminal (602–985) domain...
activities. \(\text{C}\) activities was determined; with \(\text{panel D}\) showing their relative luciferase plasmids, and the level of firefly (\(\text{B}\)) and Renilla luciferase proteins as indicated were transfected into HEK293 cells alongside GFP, and -4E-T wild-type, eIF4E-binding mutant (Y30A) and 1–180 compared. M is a molecular weight protein standard. \(\text{(Figure 5C)\), altogether we conclude that N- and Mid-domains need to be contiguous to exert repression. Moreover, the CHD does not appear to play a significant role in the silencing mechanism, with the caveat that it may provide a binding site for a 3' UTR-binding protein, functionally replaced in the tethering assay by the lambda N peptide.}

### eIF4E binding stabilizes bound mRNA

The results so far demonstrate that loss of eIF4E binding does not relieve repression of reporter mRNA by tethered 4E-T, and modestly destabilizes reporter mRNA. mRNA decay is typically initiated by deadenylation promoted by the CCR4-NOT (CNOT) complex followed by decapping and 5' exonuclease action (49,50). To examine the polyadenylation status of reporter mRNA bound by wild-type and mutant 4E-T, we performed northern blot analysis with RNA samples extracted from cells transfected with tethering plasmids. RNA samples were left untreated, or treated with oligo(dT) and RNase H to remove the poly(A) tail. The results show that tethered 4E-T does not significantly deadenylate reporter mRNA, compared with tethered GFP; in both cases a size shift is seen between untreated and treated samples. A similar shift is seen in the case of mutant 4E-T, which does not bind eIF4E, though we consistently noted a lower Renilla luciferase signal, relative to that of GAPDH, indicative of mRNA destabilization (Figure 7A and B). We thus tested the possible interaction of GFP-4E-T with the CNOT deadenylase complex, composed of ~10 subunits including the large CNOT1 bridging subunit and two catalytic ones, CNOT6 (Ccr4) and CNOT7 (Pop2), using FLAG-tagged CNOT1 and CNOT7. In both cases, we noted a weak interaction between GFP-4E-T and the FLAG-CNOT subunit, which was considerably enhanced in the case of GFP-4Emut, which does not bind eIF4E (Figure 7C and D). Importantly, there was no major difference in expression levels of GFP-4E-T and GFP-4Emut or of their enrichment by GFP-Trap. While the underlying reason for this enhanced interaction between 4E-T and CNOT1/7 in the absence of eIF4E binding is not known, it is in line with our previously observed increase of reporter mRNA decay bound by 4Emut (Figure 5F). In summary, tethering wild-type 4E-T to reporter mRNA does not result in its deadenylation. In the case of mRNAs bound by a mutant 4E-T, which cannot bind eIF4E but shows enhanced interactions with CNOT1/7 subunits, lower steady-state levels of mRNA were observed both by qPCR and Northern blot analysis (Figures 5 and 7).

### HCV IRES overcomes translational repression imposed by bound 4E-T

Next we assessed whether a viral IRES would relieve the translational repression by tethered 4E-T. We used the HCV IRES, which binds eIF3 and the 40S ribosomal subunit directly to promote translation initiation, and does not require eIF4F/eIF4B or eIF1/1A (51). Plasmid Rluc DNAs with 3' UTR BoxB motifs with and without the IRES upstream of Rluc were co-transfected with control Fluc plasmids and HA/NHA-4E-T plasmids.
Depleting 4E-T increases cellular mRNA translation and reduces silencing of microRNA-target mRNAs

To examine to what extent endogenous 4E-T regulates protein synthesis, we compared the rate of synthesis with 35S Met labelling in HeLa cells treated with control siRNAs, and with 4E-T siRNA. Relative to control siRNA-treated cells, depletion of 4E-T increases global protein synthesis by ~55% (Figure 9A), in line with our previous data showing that overexpression of 4E-T reduces general translation (Figure 4, Supplementary Figure S5 and Supplementary Figure S6).

Next, using Renilla luciferase reporters lacking or containing two let-7 microRNA binding sites in their 3’ UTR (37), we asked whether 4E-T influenced microRNA-mediated silencing. The let-7 reporter was silenced ~4-fold in control siRNA cells, and in cells depleted of Lsm1, which is not involved in this process. In contrast, depletion of 4E-T had a modest but significant effect indicating that microRNA-mediated repression is partially relieved. The same outcome was observed in the case of a second 4E-T siRNA (Supplementary Figure S9).
The result with LSm1, a P-body component required for P-body assembly (20), shows that derepression on 4E-T depletion is not simply due to the loss of P-bodies. The reporter mRNAs were verified with TNRC6A/B siRNA, which almost completely relieved repression by the endogenous let-7 microRNAs (Figure 9B). We noted an up-regulation of TNRC6A levels in 4E-T depleted cells (Figure 9C); previously observed in cells depleted of eIF4A2, a critical factor in microRNA-mediated silencing (42); see ‘Discussion’ section). Importantly, 4E-T siRNA enhanced the levels of HMGA2, an endogenous target of let-7 (52), in line with the reporter data (Figure 9C and B). Altogether we concluded that 4E-T regulates the translation of cellular mRNAs, including microRNA-target mRNAs.

**DISCUSSION**

**4E-T localization in P-bodies**

We demonstrate that the C-terminal region downstream of residue 694 is critical for 4E-T localization to P-bodies. Indeed, 4E-T is one of several proteins, including rck/p54, that are required for P-body assembly (23,24,53), indicating the importance of multiple interactions.

To identify the region that targets 4E-T to P-bodies, we reasoned that this would likely be common to previously characterized 4E-T homologues with similar roles in eIF4E binding, translational control of specific mRNAs and enrichment in mRNP. However we found very limited sequence homology between vertebrate 4E-T and Cup, and refocused our attention on Drosophila CG32016/4E-T, considerably closer to h4E-T than Cup. This sequence analysis allowed us to truncate human 4E-T from both the C-terminus, and N-terminus, removing blocks of conserved residues. Ultimately we concluded that conserved sequences in the C-terminus were critical for P-body localization. Entirely in line with this data, we observed that Drosophila Cup, which lacks these sequences, does not localize to P-bodies in HeLa cells, in contrast to Drosophila CG32016/4E-T. Interestingly, we noted that all Drosophila possess both Cup and 4E-T paralogues (Supplementary Figure S10), while other metazoa are restricted to a single 4E-T gene. Drosophila 4E-T is moderately expressed in various larval and adult tissues, including the central nervous system, with the highest levels seen in the ovary, while Drosophila Cup is highly expressed in both the ovary and testis (Flybase), indicating that the two paralogues may coexist, possibly to carry out distinct functions. The nematode 4E-T homologue Spn-2 gains its name from being spindle orientation defective. A mutant allele defective in normal spindle orientation in early embryos introduces a stop codon in place of Q at amino acid residue 540 (10), corresponding to residue 685 in the human protein (Supplementary Figure S1C), the truncation we defined as dominant negative for P-bodies in HeLa cells, in contrast to Drosophila CG32016/4E-T. Interestingly, we noted that all Drosophila possess both Cup and 4E-T paralogues (Supplementary Figure S10), while other metazoa are restricted to a single 4E-T gene. Drosophila 4E-T is phosphorylated during meiotic maturation of Xenopus and mouse oocytes (5,54). A recent study reported that during oxidative stress, JNK kinase relocalizes to P-bodies and modifies several centrally located proline-directed S/T residues in h4E-T, which promote the aggregation of previously assembled P-bodies into larger granules (55). Thus as for other P-body components including Dcp1 (56) and Pat1p (57), signal transduction pathways can regulate 4E-T distribution and P-body formation.
Effects of 4E-T on translation and mRNA decay

We observed, in reporter assays and in 35S Met incorporation assays that overexpression of 4E-T, but not 4E-T-Y30A nor 4E-T-YLL → AAA, downregulates general protein synthesis in HEK293 and in HeLa cells in a dose-dependent manner, in line with previous reports (see ‘Introduction’ section). We reasoned that this outcome was due to the sequestration of eIF4E by wild-type 4E-T, preventing translation initiation. Interestingly, RNAi knock-down of eIF4E does not affect global protein synthesis significantly, but rather, in a homeostatic mechanism, leads to the degradation of hypophosphorylated 4E-BP1 allowing any residual cap-binding protein to perform its function (58). In contrast, in a tether function assay, 4E-T repressed bound mRNAs in an eIF4E (or more accurately YX₄Lφ)-independent manner. To our knowledge, this is the first report of a protein that can affect expression of both control and bound mRNAs, via distinct mechanisms.

To date, only the canonical cap-binding protein eIF4E1 has been studied extensively in human and murine cell lines, though mammals possess additional homologues, eIF4E2 and eIF4E3, with varying capacities to bind the cap, eIF4G and 4E-BP to those of eIF4E1 (59). Potentially, these variants could interact with 4E-T at a distinct site to eIF4E1, and be responsible for YX₄Lφ-independent repression. However, we have recently shown that while human 4E-T indeed binds eIF4E2, as well as eIF4E1, mutagenesis of the critical tyrosine at position 30 to alanine, either alone or in combination with similar changes to the two leucine residues, fully prevented both interactions (43). There is no verified eIF4E3 antibody available as yet to check this interaction, though in any case, the levels of eIF4E3 in genome-wide proteomic analyses of mammalian cell lines are low or undetectable [summarized in (43)].

The IRES tether function experiment suggested that 4E-T repression of bound mRNA normally requires eIF4F (except for eIF4E)/eIF4B, eIF1 or eIF1A. 4E-T had no significant effects on the stability or polyadenylation of bound mRNA. However, when binding to eIF4E was prevented, modest effects on total levels were observed, involving enhanced binding to the CNOT deadenylase, followed, very likely, by enhanced decapping resulting from reduced protection of the cap structure by mutant tethered 4E-T.

Interesting parallels and contrasts can be drawn between human 4E-T and Drosophila Cup. Drosophila Cup possesses two binding sites for eIF4E, one being a canonical sequence, and the downstream one being ELEGRLR, but unlike the case with 4E-T, mutagenesis of the canonical site does not fully prevent interaction with eIF4E, while mutagenesis of the second site only partially prevents binding (8,19). Tethered Drosophila Cup was recently demonstrated to translationally repress bound mRNA, with the two binding sites playing different roles. The Cup protein mutant in the first (canonical) site represses translation, in the absence of mRNA decay, but promotes deadenylation, as does wild-type Cup. On the other hand, mutagenesis of the second site resulted in the large-scale decay of bound mRNA, due to decapping in addition to deadenylation (19). In contrast, 4E-T represses translation of tethered mRNA, when bound to eIF4E, with no obvious effects on mRNA levels or processing, and eIF4E binding reduces the interaction with CNOT deadenylase subunits. In C. elegans embryos lacking functional IFET-1 (Spn-2), mRNAs undergo partial poly(A) shortening rather than elongation (12), also implying that normally 4E-T does not promote deadenylation.

How 4E-T (and Cup) represses translation when bound to mRNA normally, presumably via 3’ UTR-binding proteins (rather than a tethering protein) remains to be investigated. Our results indicate that these putative RNA-binding proteins do not require the involvement of P-bodies, as repression by the tethered protein occurs in their absence, though we cannot exclude the participation of individual factors dispersed in the cytoplasm. In agreement, Cup lacks P-body localization sequences, and depletion of several P-body components from S2 cells did not relieve bound mRNA repression (19). Moreover, the repression mechanism per se also does not appear to involve the conserved central CHD region, in either Cup (19) or 4E-T (this work). With regard to eIF4E, while our and others data clearly demonstrate the apparent complete loss of binding of the cap-binding protein on mutagenesis of the YX₄Lφ motif in 4E-T, we cannot exclude the possibility that such a mutant 4E-T represses bound mRNA due to its being tethered and binding—albeit very weakly—eIF4E and hence the cap, occluding ribosome recruitment.

Possible targets of 4E-T

In line with our overexpression data, depletion of 4E-T resulted in enhanced rates of global protein synthesis. Levels of 4E-T, compared with eIF4E, 4E-BP and eIF4G in mammalian cells are relatively low ((43) and refs therein), so this was not entirely anticipated. The increased translation rate may simply reflect a consistent increase in access to eIF4E for all mRNAs, or may also indicate enhancement of specific subsets of mRNAs. Previously it was shown that depletion of 4E-T stabilizes reporter and endogenous ARE mRNAs (21), and we demonstrate here that depletion of 4E-T results in the partial relief of microRNA-mediated silencing, of both reporter and endogenous mRNAs. Similarly, depletion of Drosophila CG32016/4E-T results in modest relief of a Vhα68–1 reporter mRNA with two mir-9b binding sites in S2 cells (60). MicroRNAs silence their target mRNAs in a two-step mechanism whose primary site of action is translational repression, and which results in mRNA decay initiated by deadenylation followed by decapping (42,61–63). Our previous study showed that silencing of eIF4A2 had a more significant effect on mRNA reporter mRNA silencing (42) than we report here for 4E-T. Though the detailed mechanism of eIF4A2- and 4E-T-mediated microRNA silencing remains to be elucidated, it is interesting to note that both can bind CNOT deadenylase, suggesting that this may be their primary
site of action. Interestingly too, silencing of both 4E-T and eIF4A2 results in enhanced levels of GW182/TNRC6A, which is not seen in cells depleted of other eIF4F translation initiation factors or of LSM1 [Figure 9; (42)]. However, this increased GW182 level is unlikely to be functional, and is not responsible for the observed relief of silencing with eIF4A2 siRNA (indirectly perhaps resulting from sequestration of other miRISC components), as this was unaffected when combined with a low concentration of GW182 siRNA, sufficient to reduce GW182 levels to those in untreated cells (unpublished observations).

More plausibly, the increase in GW182 in cells depleted of 4E-T or eIF4A2 may indicate that all three are involved in the miRNA pathway. Together these observations can be reconciled by proposing that the initial role of 4E-T is to repress the translation of subsets of mRNAs, which may result in their degradation.

Lastly, we consider the question of the possible significance of 4E-T residence in P-bodies. We have shown that a delocalized mutant protein, even one that disrupts endogenous P-bodies, repressed tethered mRNAs just as a delocalized mutant protein, even one that disrupts the site of action. Interestingly too, silencing of both 4E-T and eIF4A2 results in enhanced levels of GW182/TNRC6A, which is not seen in cells depleted of other eIF4F translation initiation factors or of LSM1 [Figure 9; (42)]. However, this increased GW182 level is unlikely to be functional, and is not responsible for the observed relief of silencing with eIF4A2 siRNA (indirectly perhaps resulting from sequestration of other miRISC components), as this was unaffected when combined with a low concentration of GW182 siRNA, sufficient to reduce GW182 levels to those in untreated cells (unpublished observations).

More plausibly, the increase in GW182 in cells depleted of 4E-T or eIF4A2 may indicate that all three are involved in the miRNA pathway. Together these observations can be reconciled by proposing that the initial role of 4E-T is to repress the translation of subsets of mRNAs, which may result in their degradation.

Lastly, we consider the question of the possible significance of 4E-T residence in P-bodies. We have shown that a delocalized mutant protein, even one that disrupts endogenous P-bodies, repressed tethered mRNAs just as well as the localized protein, indicating that repression per se does not involve P-bodies. Similarly, C. elegans Spn-2 bridges eIF4E and OMA-1, an RNA-binding protein, and the mei-1 (meiotic katanin) 3′ UTR to repress its translation but this does not require P-granules as it is still observed in pgl-1 mutants (10). Perhaps, we speculate, 4E-T recruits a subset of mRNAs to these RNP granules?

Our work has demonstrated the conserved yet unpredicted and surprising translational control of bound mRNAs by 4E-T, which does not involve eIF4E, nor P-body components. Future investigations will focus on identifying the protein(s), presumably RNA-binding proteins that naturally tether 4E-T to mRNA, and how they occlude ribosome binding. 4E-T and the related Cup proteins are particularly highly expressed in oocytes and ovaries in flies, worms and Xenopus, and exert tight control over maternal mRNA translation. Of note, Kasippillai et al. (64) recently reported that mutations in h4E-T at Ser 496 to a stop codon are associated with primary ovarian insufficiency (premature ovarian failure). It will clearly be important thus to determine which mRNAs are regulated by 4E-T proteins in early development and in the soma.
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