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Abstract

The polar climate of Antarctica results in the lowest erosion rates on Earth. The low long-term erosion history of high elevation mountain tops that are exposed above the ice preserve a record of climate change that can be accessed using cosmogenic nuclides. However, unravelling the complexity of the long-term denudation histories of Antarctic summits is frequently hampered by intermittent ice cover. The aim of this work is to identify denudation rate changes in a surface that has been continuously exposed since the middle Miocene. We have measured stable ($^3$He) and radioactive ($^{10}$Be) cosmogenic nuclides in olivine from lherzolite xenoliths from the summit of the Mount Hampton shield volcano within the West Antarctic Ice Sheet. The peak (3200 m) has never been covered by the current ice sheet and local ice caps, consequently the data record the subaerial erosion history of a mountain top within the Antarctic interior. The $^{10}$Be concentrations in the olivines yield minimum exposure ages (33 to 501 ka) that are significantly younger than those derived from the cosmogenic $^3$He (90 to 1101 ka). The data reveal a complex exposure history that provide an integrated long-term erosion rate of between 0.2 and 0.7 m/My that is most likely caused by mechanical weathering. Inverse modelling shows that the data are readily explained by episodic erosion,
consisting of one to five erosion pulses that may record major regional climatic changes.

Keywords: cosmogenic nuclides ($^{3}$He, $^{10}$Be); olivine; erosion rates; episodic erosion; Mount Hampton; West Antarctica

1. Introduction

To understand long-term landscape evolution and the role played by Cenozoic climate change, it is essential to quantify the rates of erosion over different timescales (Peizhen et al., 2001). The high elevation mountain tops in Antarctica have been exposed over many successive glacial-interglacial cycles and are sensitive to the changing climatic conditions (Sugden et al., 2005). Antarctica is the highest, driest, coldest and windiest continent and records some of the lowest denudation rates (e.g., Margerison et al., 2005) comparable only to the driest deserts, e.g., Atacama (Placzek et al., 2010) and South Africa (Kounov et al., 2007). Consequently, the erosional record of the high altitude surfaces have the potential to record climatic variations over millions of years. Although climate changes affect the rate of landscape evolution, in most cases the changes are averaged out into apparent steady-state erosion rates preventing identification of the intrinsic dynamics of the landscape (Brunsden and Thornes, 1979). Combining stable and radioactive cosmogenic nuclides has proved to be a useful method to determine the complexity of landscape evolution (Balco and Shuster, 2009).

Most studies of high elevation Antarctic landscapes have combined $^{21}$Ne, $^{10}$Be, and $^{26}$Al in quartz-bearing surfaces (Van der Wateren et al., 1999; Oberholzer et al., 2003; Di
Nicola et al., 2009, 2012; Middleton et al., 2012; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2012). They typically identify complex exposure histories that are frequently interpreted to record intermittent burial beneath ice (e.g., Nishiizumi et al., 1991; Di Nicola et al., 2009, 2012; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2012; Hein et al. 2016; Sugden et al. 2017). In these cases erosion is assumed to be in steady state, implying equilibrium between the nuclides produced by cosmic radiation near the surface and the nuclide loss caused by constant-surface erosion rate (Lal, 1991). Middleton et al. (2012) demonstrated how $^{26}\text{Al-}^{10}\text{Be-}^{21}\text{Ne}$ data from potholes in the Dry Valleys, East Antarctica record changes in erosion rate rather than burial underneath ice.

Currently, ice-free regions comprise only a few percent of the Antarctic continent, and, of those, only a small proportion has been ice-free since the Miocene (Ackert et al., 1999; Stone et al., 2003; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2012). The high elevation peaks (>3 km) in the Marie Byrd Land in West Antarctica are permanently exposed regions where wind-induced ablation is more rapid than snow accumulation (Nicolas and Bromwich, 2014). As such, they are a key natural laboratory for the study of the erosional history of the Antarctic interior.

In the first study of its kind we have developed cosmogenic $^{10}\text{Be}$ determination in olivines and combined new data with cosmogenic $^{3}\text{He}$ measurements on the same samples to unravel the erosional history of the summit of the Mount Hampton volcano within the West Antarctic ice sheet (WAIS). The edifice has never been covered by a significant thickness of ice and, thus, provides a simple test of the regional erosional history under hyperarid climatic conditions (Rochi et al., 2006). The data reveal a complex erosional history that requires long periods of extremely low erosion.
interspersed with periods of more rapid erosion that may reflect the influence of climate
variations on the mechanical subaerial erosion.

2. Geological and glaciological context

Mount Hampton is the northern-most volcano of 18 that protrude through the WAIS in
the Executive Committee Range (ECR) on the uplifted northern flank of the West
Antarctic Rift (Fig. 1). It is one of the oldest volcanoes in Marie Byrd Land, likely
produced during a peak of volcanism in the late Miocene (13.4 to 8.6 Ma; Le Masurier
and Rex, 1989; LeMasurier and Thomson, 1990). The summit (3323 m asl) is ~ 1000
m above the current WAIS surface and shows no evidence of significant erosional
dissection. The edifice is a symmetrical, well-preserved shield volcano with 10-15˚
constructional slopes dominantly composed of feldspar- and augite-phyric phonolite
lavas (Le Masurier, 1987; LeMasurier and Thomson, 1990; Le Masurier and Rocchi,
2005; Rocchi et al., 2006). Crustal and lithospheric mantle xenoliths, including a suite
of spinel-bearing lherzolites, are found within basanites that were erupted as parasitic
cones close to the volcano summit (LeMasurier and Kawachi, 1990).
Fig. 1. (A) Elevation map of Antarctica showing the location of the Executive Committee Range (ECR) within Marie Byrd Land in the West Antarctic Ice Sheet interior (modified from Paulsen and Wilson, 2010). The black box represents the map in (B). (B) Geologic map of the ECR showing K-Ar ages and the sample location (red oval). This figure is modified from Le Masurier and Rex (1989). (C) Aerial view of Mount Hampton showing the sampling area for the xenoliths analysed in this study. Photograph: John Smellie. Representative xenolith samples are MH.1 (D), MH.2 (E), and MB.71.8 (F).

The surface elevation of the WAIS was at its highest at around 10 ka (Ackert et al., 1999, 2007; Anderson et al., 2002; Stone et al., 2003). The O and H isotope composition of the Byrd ice core records ice elevations that were 400 to 500 m above the current ice level during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) and early Holocene (Steig et al., 2001). Cosmogenic $^3$He and $^{36}$Cl ages of moraines from Mount Waesche in the ECR suggest that the WAIS has expanded vertically just ~45 m since the LGM (Ackert et al., 1999). The $^{10}$Be exposure ages of glacially transported cobbles from the
Ford Ranges in Marie Byrd Land suggest that during the LGM the WAIS was ~700 m higher than today near the coast and ~200 m higher in the interior (Stone et al., 2003). A more recent study using cosmogenic $^{21}$Ne and $^{10}$Be from nunataks in the Ohio Range on the boundary between West and East Antarctica suggest that ice thickness has not been more than 160 m above current ice levels (~2200 m) since the late Miocene (~7 Ma) (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2012). All studies undertaken so far indicate that the highest volcanic peaks of the ECR (>3000 m) have been above the ice sheet surface since their eruption in the late Miocene (Ackert et al., 1999; Stone et al., 2003; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2012).

3. Sample description

We have analysed seven lherzolite xenoliths collected from a boulder field near the summit of Mount Hampton (76°30 S 125°52 W) during the second season of the Antarctic expedition WAVE (West Antarctic Volcano Exploration) in January 1991. The xenoliths are 4 to 8 cm diameter and were collected from within a few meters of each other on the western flank. They are all likely products from the same parasitic cone eruption that occurred at around 11.4 Ma (LeMasurier and Rex, 1989). The xenoliths are typically composed of >50% olivine (>250 µm), ~35% orthopyroxene (>250 µm), ~10% clinopyroxene (200 to 500 µm), and <2% spinel (<200 µm) (Wysoczansky et al., 1995; LeMasurier et al., 2003). Electron microprobe analysis (this study) reveals that the olivines from each xenolith have a near constant chemical composition around Fo90 and no significant compositional zonation.
4. Analysis procedures

4.1. Helium isotope determinations

The xenoliths were gently crushed, and unaltered mineral inclusion-free olivine grains were handpicked from the 250-500 μm fraction under a binocular microscope. This fraction was then cleaned in pure acetone (≥99.8%) and ~15 mg aliquots were encapsulated in Pt tubes and loaded in 21-hole Cu pans. Each sample was degassed at ~1400°C using a 75 W 808 nm diode laser (Foeken et al., 2006). The magmatic helium contribution was determined on ~1 g of olivine from xenolith MH.1 by analysis of the gas released by in vacuo crushing using a multisample hydraulic crusher following procedures in Stuart et al. (2003).

Helium concentrations and isotope compositions were measured using a ThermoFisher Helix SFT mass spectrometer at Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre. The instrument was tuned to the maximum sensitivity following procedures recommended by Burnard and Farley (2000) and Mabry et al. (2012). The instrument source was operated at 4.5 kV to produce resolution >700, reduce beam dispersion, and provide the best peak shape and sensitivity (Mabry et al., 2012). The average static background levels of the instrument are 1.98 ± 0.19 x 10⁸ and 5.0 ± 1.9 x 10³ atoms of ⁴He and ³He respectively. Repeated analysis of 3 x 10¹² atoms of ⁴He and 9 x 10⁷ atoms of ³He of the HESJ standard (Matsuda et al., 2002) revealed a reproducibility of 0.2% and 1.1% for ⁴He and ³He measurements respectively.
4.2. $^{10}$Be determinations

Beryllium-10 was extracted and analysed from ~1 g of olivine. Meteoric $^{10}$Be was removed by three sequential HF and HCl dissolutions, that etched out >25% of the initial mass. The remaining olivine was dissolved in HF and spiked with ~500 $\mu$g of $^{9}$Be carrier (Bourlès, 1988; Brown et al., 1992). As the samples contained high amounts of Mg and Fe, a procedure for isolation of Be was developed. First, a bulk Be separation was performed by solvent extraction using acetyl acetone at neutral pH in the presence of ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid (EDTA) (Tabushi, 1958; Seidl, 1993; Seidl et al., 1997). Beryllium was then extracted and separated by ion chromatography and precipitated as BeOH using routine procedures (e.g. Child et al., 2000). The precipitate was then oxidized at 800°C, mixed with 6 parts of Nb, and pressed into a Cu cathode. The procedure is summarised in Fig. 2. The $^{10}$Be/$^{9}$Be ratios of the six samples and the blank were measured using the 5 MV NEC Pelletron accelerator mass spectrometer at SUERC (Xu et al., 2010). The $^{10}$Be concentrations are based on a $^{10}$Be/$^{9}$Be ratio of 2.79 x 10^{-11} for NIST Standard Reference Material 4325. The data have been corrected with a procedural blank (representing 0.4 to 6% of the total $^{10}$Be measured).
4.3. Production rates

The production rates used for exposure age and erosion rate calculations from the measured cosmogenic $^3$He and $^{10}$Be concentrations are scaled for latitude (76°30′S, 125°52′W) and elevation (3020 m) using Lal (1991)/Stone (2000) scaling factors and following the scheme implemented by Balco et al. (2008) for $^{10}$Be and Marrero et al. (2016) for $^3$He. The SLHL production rate for $^3$He is taken from Goehring et al. (2010) and $^{10}$Be is taken from Borchers et al. (2016). The production rates are scaled for composition using production ratios obtained from the element-specific production rates of Masarik (2002). To calculate the theoretical erosion rates, we applied inverse modelling using a convergent Monte-Carlo approach based on the equations from Lal.
5. Results

The $^3$He concentrations and $^3$He/$^4$He ratios are reported in Table 1. The $^3$He concentrations in the melt steps vary from $1.5 \times 10^8$ to $18.9 \times 10^8$ atoms/g and $^3$He/$^4$He ratios range from 24 to 11,543 $R_a$, where $R_a$ is the isotope composition of He in air (1.39 x 10$^{-6}$). Multiple aliquots of olivine from each xenolith were measured. Helium was measured in nine aliquots of olivine from xenolith MH.2 in an effort to fully determine the data quality. The ±5% uncertainty in the average $^3$He concentration is beyond the reproducibility determined from HESJ. This overdispersion is unlikely to represent variation in other He sources (see below) and may reflect weighing errors and subtle variation in cosmogenic He production within the xenolith.

Table 1
Helium isotope data from olivine separates from Mount Hampton xenoliths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>$^3$He ($10^8$ atoms/g)</th>
<th>1σ</th>
<th>$^3$He/$^4$He ($R_a$)</th>
<th>1σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MH.1</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>15.77</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>8429</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH.1</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>15.73</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1525</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.75</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH.2</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH.2</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1469</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH.2</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>5849</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH.2</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>4902</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>5001</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH.2</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>10693</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH.2</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>11543</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH.2</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>8.52</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>3523</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH.2</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>2330</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB.71.7</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB.71.7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB.71.8</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>18.94</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>2524</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB.71.8</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1126</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Determining the cosmogenic He concentration in old volcanic rocks requires that the inventories of nucleogenic-radiogenic and magmatic He are quantified (Margerison et al., 2005; Williams et al., 2005). The $^{3}$He/$^{4}$He ratio of nucleogenic-radiogenic He produced in olivine is low, approaching the canonical value of crustal radiogenic He (<0.05 Ra), reflecting the low Li content (Ryan and Kyle, 2004; Seitz et al., 2004). The high measured $^{3}$He/$^{4}$He ratios of the Mount Hampton olivines (>24 Ra) imply that the contribution of nucleogenic $^{3}$He is negligible.

In vacuo crushing extracts magmatic He by rupturing melt/vapour inclusions (e.g., Stuart et al., 1995). Sample MH.1 released $2.8 \pm 0.8 \times 10^{5}$ atoms $^{3}$He/g, yielding a $^{3}$He/$^{4}$He ratio of $9.02 \pm 1.64$ Ra (1σ). Panter et al. (2000) characterized the basalts from the Marie Byrd Land as having a strong high $\mu$ (HIMU) signature with no evidence of crustal contamination. If the $^{3}$He/$^{4}$He ratio of HIMU-influenced mantle is $6.5 \pm 0.6$ Ra (Parai et al., 2009, and references therein), a small contribution of cosmogenic He has been released by crushing. The cosmogenic $^{3}$He released by crushing ($8.2 \pm 4.1 \times 10^{4}$ atoms/g) represents <0.05% of the total of the sample with least $^{3}$He$_{cos}$ (see below), demonstrating that the in vacuo crushing method employed here does not release a significant proportion of the cosmogenic $^{3}$He in olivine.
The concentration of magmatic $^3$He ($^3$He$_{magmatic}$) released by crushing can be calculated from the following relationship:

$$^3$He$_{magmatic} = ^3$He$_{crush} \times ( ^3$He/$^4$He$_{magmatic}$/$^3$He/$^4$He$_{crush}$) \quad (1)$$

where the subscript crush refers to He released by *in vacuo* crushing. The magmatic $^3$He released in this experiment ($1.98 \times 10^5$ atoms/g) represents <0.1% of the total $^3$He released by melting the Mount Hampton olivines. Thus, it can be neglected, implying that all the $^3$He released by degassing all samples can be considered to be cosmogenic in origin.

The minimum exposure ages calculated from the average cosmogenic $^3$He concentrations in each sample range from 90 to 1101 ka (Table 2). These correspond to steady-state erosion rates of 0.45 to 5.51 m/Ma (Table 2).

The $^{10}$Be concentrations in the Mount Hampton olivines vary from 0.17 to $2.27 \times 10^7$ atoms/g (Table 2). These correspond to minimum exposure ages of between 33 and 501 ka and maximum erosion rates of 1.2 to 19.7 m/Ma (Table 2). The minimum exposure ages are systematically younger than those derived from cosmogenic $^3$He, and the apparent erosion rates are higher.

The $^3$He/$^{10}$Be ratios vary from 66.5 to 106.6. These are more than twice the instantaneous production ratio in olivine (~30). The data plot within the area of complex exposure on a $^3$He/$^{10}$Be vs. $^{10}$Be diagram (Fig. 3) rules out the possibility that they record long-term steady-state erosion. The $^3$He-$^{10}$Be data require either a complex history of
exposure, burial and reexposure, or pervasive nonsteady state (i.e., variable) erosion (Lal, 1991).

**Fig. 3.** Plot of $^{10}$Be concentration vs. $^{3}$He/$^{10}$Be for Mount Hampton xenolith olivine. Ellipses represent the 68% confidence interval. The banana-shaped area is the steady-state erosion area (Lal, 1991). The continuous line represents the evolution of the $^{3}$He/$^{10}$Be ratio with time in a surface that has experienced zero erosion. The dotted line represents the $^{3}$He/$^{10}$Be ratio generated by steady-state erosion of at least one mean cosmic ray attenuation length at a constant rate for infinite amount of time.
Table 2
Compilation of the data of $^{10}$Be and $^3$He from olivine separates from xenoliths from Mount Hampton

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Latitude S</th>
<th>Longitude W</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
<th>Olivine (Fo)</th>
<th>$^{10}$Be (atoms/g)</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>$^{10}$Be Apparent erosion rate (m/Ma)</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>PR$^{10}$Be_Qtz scaled with Lal(1991)/Stone (2000)</th>
<th>N factor</th>
<th>$^3$He average (atoms/g)</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>$^3$He Apparent erosion rate (m/Ma)</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>PR$^3$He_Fo84 scaled to Lal(1991)/Stone (2000)</th>
<th>N factor</th>
<th>$^3$He Apparent exposure age (ka)</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MH.1</td>
<td>76°30'</td>
<td>125°52'</td>
<td>3020</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>51.92</td>
<td>0.884</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15.75</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1674.0289</td>
<td>1.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH.2</td>
<td>76°30'</td>
<td>125°52'</td>
<td>3020</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>51.92</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1674.0289</td>
<td>1.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB.71.7</td>
<td>76°30'</td>
<td>125°52'</td>
<td>3020</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>19.70</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>51.92</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1674.0289</td>
<td>1.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB.71.8</td>
<td>76°30'</td>
<td>125°52'</td>
<td>3020</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>51.92</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18.81</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1674.0289</td>
<td>1.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB.71.9</td>
<td>76°30'</td>
<td>125°52'</td>
<td>3020</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>51.92</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1674.0289</td>
<td>1.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB.71.10</td>
<td>76°30'</td>
<td>125°52'</td>
<td>3020</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>14.68</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>51.92</td>
<td>0.877</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1674.0289</td>
<td>1.030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The scaled production rates of $^{10}$Be and $^3$He are calculated using the CRONUS calculators v. 2.3 (Balco et al., 2008) version 2.3 and Marrero et al. (2016) respectively. The Normalization factor (N) accounts for composition using production ratios obtained from the element-specific production rates of Masarik (2002). Apparent exposure ages are calculated following the equations of Lal (1991), assuming zero erosion.
6. Discussion

6.1 Intermittent burial

The Mount Hampton edifice has never been covered by the WAIS (see section 2), and the summit shows no geomorphic features that are indicative of the accumulation of significant wet-based ice, such as striated, polished, or ice-moulded rock surfaces (Le Masurier, 1987; Le Masurier and Rocchi, 2005; Rocchi et al., 2006). Complete cessation of the production of cosmogenic He and Be requires the local accumulation of ~ 10 m of cold-based ice. The high elevation and location deep within Marie Byrd Land interior far from coastal areas of high snow precipitation strongly restricts ice accumulation. Marie Byrd Land is the only Antarctic region where the mean katabatic flow has a strong southward component, causing a precipitation shadow effect over the ECR and producing a strong foehn wind effect that causes the snowfall to sublimate/evaporate (Nicolas and Bromwich, 2014). Therefore, it is unlikely that a sizeable ice cap has ever existed for any significant period of time, meaning that intermittent burial caused by a waxing and waning of semipersistent ice cover is implausible.

Volcanic activity at Mount Hampton ceased at 8.6 Ma and migrated southward along the ECR (LeMasurier and Rex, 1989), and no field evidence of tephra deposits near the sample site was observed. This rules out burial beneath intermittent volcanic deposits as an explanation for the $^3$He-$^{10}$Be data.
6.2 Erosion rate variation

Lal (1991) noted that nonsteady state erosion, i.e., changing erosion rates, generates cosmogenic nuclide ratios that plot in the complex exposure zone. Kober et al. (2007) and Middelton et al. (2012) also considered the possibility of episodic erosion being the cause of the nonsteady state cosmogenic $^{21}$Ne-$^{10}$Be-$^{26}$Al signatures in northern Chile and Antarctica respectively. The evolution of the $^{3}$He/$^{10}$Be ratio during nonsteady state erosion is shown in Fig. 4.

Fig. 4. Schematic representation of the evolution of cosmogenic $^{3}$He/$^{10}$Be ratio under conditions of episodic erosion consisting on several (blue) or one (red) erosion pulses to generate signatures that fall on the complex exposure area of the $^{10}$Be vs. $^{3}$He/$^{10}$Be diagram.
To quantify the timescale and magnitude of episodic erosional events and the duration of the final stage of complete exposure that satisfies the Mount Hampton xenolith He-Be data, we have modelled two extreme nonsteady-state erosion scenarios. Model 1 considers multiple erosional pulses that are assumed to last an equal length of time. This is shown schematically in Fig. 5A. In this model the integrated erosion rate is the average over the period since eruption. Model 2 considers the possibility of a single erosion pulse in the Pleistocene that brought all the xenoliths to the surface from different depths. This model assumes that the erosion rate was negligible prior to the erosion pulse and is shown schematically in Fig. 5C. Figs. 5B and 5D show the evolution of $^{3}$He/$^{10}$Be and $^{10}$Be concentrations in the olivines for the two scenarios. Table 3 summarises the parameters and variables used to generate the two models.
Fig. 5. Two models to explain the cosmogenic $^3$He and $^{10}$Be data from Mount Hampton xenolith olivine. (A) and (C) are schematic representations of model 1 and model 2 respectively (see text) showing the episodic erosion of several xenoliths (dashed lines) on their way to the surface (continuous line). (B) Plot of the output of model 1. The red lines represent the amount of material removed in a single erosional event, and the blue lines record the average erosion rate over 11.4 Ma. The lines have been determined using Eqs. (2) and (3) (see text). The grey continuous and discontinuous lines represent the steady-state erosion area (Lal, 1991; Balco et al., 2008). (D) Output of model 2.
Table 3
Summary of the parameters used to model the cosmogenic $^3$He and $^{10}$Be data from Mount Hampton xenolith olivine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Notes and references $^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{10}$Be production rate for olivine (Fo$_{89-91}$) at Mount Hampton</td>
<td>45.9 atoms/g/y</td>
<td>Following the scheme of CRONUS calculators Matlab code v 2.3 Balco et al. (2008) scaling factor Lal (1991)/Stone (2000) scaled for composition using Masarik (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production rate from fast muons</td>
<td>0.0777 atoms/g/y</td>
<td>Calculated using CRONUS calculators Matlab code v 2.3 Balco et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production rate from negative muon capture</td>
<td>0.0992 atoms/g/y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attenuation length from neutron spallation</td>
<td>160 g/cm$^2$</td>
<td>Balco et al. (2008); Gosse and Phillips (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attenuation length from fast muons</td>
<td>$2.60 \times 10^3$ g/cm$^2$</td>
<td>Calculated using CRONUS calculators Matlab code v 2.3 Balco et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attenuation length from negative muon capture</td>
<td>$1.30 \times 10^3$ g/cm$^3$</td>
<td>Calculated using CRONUS calculators Matlab code v 2.3 Balco et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-life for $^{10}$Be</td>
<td>1.378 Ma</td>
<td>Chmeleff et al. (2010); Korschinek et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock density</td>
<td>2.67 g/cm$^3$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant exposure-erosion lines</td>
<td></td>
<td>Calculated applying equations from Lal (1991) for constant exposure at zero erosion and for steady-state erosion for infinite time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model 1
- Episodic erosion. Material is removed in steps
- Average erosion rate (m/Ma) | Erosion rate is taken as an average for the total residence time (11.4Ma) |
- Material removed from one erosive event (m) | Erosive events are assumed to last an equal length of time |
- Total duration of complex history | 11.4 Ma | Le Masurier and Rex (1989) |

Model 2
- Single erosion pulse. Erosion rate is assumed to be zero during the time of accumulation at depth prior to the removal of material
- Accumulation depth (m) | Material removed to place the samples at the surface |
- Time when erosion started | This considers the time at depth required to generate the $^3$He-$^{10}$Be signature including a time of erosion |
- Total duration of complex history | 11.4 Ma | Le Masurier and Rex (1989) |

$^a$References for the chosen values are listed where applicable. All the parameters have been calculated using the equations of Lal (1991) modified by Balco et al. (2008) to include muon production. The models have been calculated using Matlab coding.

$^b$Parameters of complex exposure common to all the models.
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336 Both models start at 11.4 Ma based on the K-Ar age of Mt. Hampton phonolites (LeMasurier and Rex, 1989). The production of cosmogenic $^3$He and $^{10}$Be have been calculated according to
where $P_{3sp}$ and $P_{10sp}$ are the production rates of $^3$He and $^{10}$Be by spallation of fast neutrons; $P_{10fm}$ and $P_{10sm}$ are the $^{10}$Be production rates by neutron spallation, fast muon radiation, and negative muon radiation; $\varepsilon$ is the erosion rate; $\rho$ is the density of the rock; $\lambda_{10}$ is the decay constant of $^{10}$Be; and $\Lambda_{sp, fm, sm}$ are the respective attenuation lengths with depth $z$ (Lal, 1991; Gosse and Phillips, 2001; Balco et al., 2008). Muon interactions account for nearly all the cosmogenic Be production at a few meters below the surface. Cosmogenic $^3$He is not produced significantly by muon radiation and is assumed to be negligible in this case.

The models are sensitive to variations in the $^3$He/$^{10}$Be ratios rather than in the individual production rates. The effects of self-shielding and from snow cover have been considered. Assuming 1 m of continuous snow coverage and an average size of the xenoliths of 4 cm, the $^3$He/$^{10}$Be ratios vary by 0.25% having no effect on the interpretation of the models.

The values of the model parameters that fit the sample $^3$He and $^{10}$Be concentrations were calculated by inverse modelling using a convergent Monte-Carlo approach. The parameters that satisfy the pulsed erosion model (model 1) are summarized in Table 4. The data can be explained by between two and five erosion pulses that have removed...
between 0.8 and 2.6 m of overburden. Thus, the long-term erosion rates range from 0.20 to 0.71 m/My. Erosion rates of this magnitude are typical of high elevation landscapes in the Antarctic (e.g., Marrero et al., 2018, and references therein).

**Table 4**

Summary of the minimum amount of material removed and maximum erosion rates ($\varepsilon$) required to generate the cosmogenic $^3$He-$^{10}$Be signatures; the total material removed over 11.4 Ma and the minimum number of events necessary to remove this material has been calculated (uncertainties are reported as 1$\sigma$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Material removed in one event (m) ±</th>
<th>$\varepsilon$ (m/Ma) over 11.4 Ma ±</th>
<th>Total material removed (m) ±</th>
<th>n. events ±</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MH.1</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.03</td>
<td>3.65 ± 0.34</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH.2</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.03</td>
<td>3.76 ± 0.34</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB.71.7</td>
<td>2.62 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.03</td>
<td>3.65 ± 0.34</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB.71.8</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.04</td>
<td>5.59 ± 0.46</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB.71.9</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.28 ± 0.23</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB.71.10</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.06</td>
<td>8.09 ± 0.68</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the single erosional event model (model 2), the minimum depths at which the samples could have resided and the maximum time for the erosion rate change have been determined. Table 5 summarises the results obtained by inverse modelling. The cosmogenic $^3$He-$^{10}$Be data require that the xenoliths have spent most of the time since eruption at between 1.6 and 3.0 m below the surface, followed by a pulse of erosion of between 1.2 to 306 m/Ma starting at 1.5 Ma. This model records the maximum amount of material removed in a minimum time with erosion able to remove up to 3.3 m in a short period of time (~10 ka). In this model, the data require a long-term average erosion rate that is <1 m/My.

**Table 5**

Summary of the minimum depth at which samples have been accumulating cosmogenic nuclides and apparent erosion rates ($\varepsilon$) over a maximum time (time of removal) required for generating the cosmogenic $^3$He-$^{10}$Be data (uncertainties are reported as 1$\sigma$).
Erosion rate increases mainly occur during changes from periods of stability to times of frequent abrupt changes in temperature and precipitation that break the landscape equilibrium (Peizhen et al., 2001). Since the late Miocene several glacial-interglacial cycles have been responsible for fluctuations in the climatic conditions in Antarctica that might have resulted in episodic erosion rate changes that average out into low long-term erosion rates that are typical of the region. The multiple erosional pulses required in model 1 may record major climatic changes such as the transition from cool to warmer climatic conditions during the late Pliocene, Quaternary cooling, or middle Pleistocene warming (Pollard and DeConto, 2009). The major Pleistocene erosion pulse tracked in model 2 that brought the xenoliths to the surface may correspond to the transition to cooler climatic conditions during the late Pleistocene (Raymo et al., 2006).

The xenoliths in this study are from a block field of loose volcanic material typical of the exposed mountain tops above the WAIS. Such surfaces are more susceptible to erosion and weathering than those that have experienced ice cover (Sudgen et al., 2005) and therefore are more sensitive to the instability generated by changing climate. Physical rock weathering is responsible for rock mass loss under wet or dry conditions in the case of the extreme low Antarctic temperatures (Elliot, 2008). Andrews and Le Masurier (1973) observed water accumulation produced by local snowmelt during the
Antarctic summers. This could be responsible for local freeze-thaw type erosion, which would comminute rock slabs with consequent increase in the short-term erosion rates.

7. Conclusions

We have developed a procedure for the extraction and measurement of cosmogenic $^{10}\text{Be}$ from olivine. By combining $^{10}\text{Be}$ with cosmogenic $^3\text{He}$ determinations from olivine we can resolve the complexity of long-term landscape development in Antarctica using the volcanic edifices that protrude through the West Antarctic Ice Sheet. Data from mantle xenolith from the summit of Mount Hampton volcano in Marie Byrd Land reveal the episodic erosional history, integrated over an average long-term erosion rate of <1 m/Ma, which is within the range recorded by long-term Antarctica. The data are consistent with an increase of erosion rate, to 2 m/Ma for the last 1.5 Ma or several episodes of erosion that have removed up to ~2.6 m of material over the last 11.4 Ma. Further resolution of the complexity of the erosional history awaits development of other cosmogenic chronometers (e.g., $^{36}\text{Cl}$)

Our study has empirically demonstrated the episodic nature of surface erosion at a high-latitude, high-elevation site in interior Antarctica and that changes in erosion regime can generate cosmogenic nuclide signatures that plot within the complex exposure area on a two-isotope diagram with no need for cycles of exposure-burial-reexposure. This interpretation is rarely considered when interpreting complex $^{26}\text{Al}$ $^{10}\text{Be}-^{21}\text{Ne}$ systematics of Antarctic surfaces. Complex exposure caused by erosion rate variations is a viable alternative explanation for complex exposure-related isotopic signatures and therefore should be considered especially in the cases in which no clear evidence of ice
cover is observed. Why and how climate change drove the erosion rate increases is still unclear, but our study strongly suggests that the application of multiple cosmogenic nuclides have a clear potential to trace past environmental or climatic changes in arid regions.
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