



Cleland, J. G.F. and Clark, R. A. (2018) Telehealth: delivering high-quality care for heart failure. *Lancet*, 392(10152), pp. 990-991.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/168241/>

Deposited on: 23 October 2018

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow_
<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk>

Telehealth: The Key to Delivering High-Quality Care for Heart Failure - Now and in the Future

(685 words)

Cleland JFG¹ Clark RA²

1. Director of the Robertson Centre for Biostatistics & Clinical Trials Unit, University of Glasgow and Professor of Clinical Cardiology, National Heart & Lung Institute, Imperial College, London. Email: John.Cleland@glasgow.ac.uk
 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1471-7016>
2. Prof Acute Care & Cardiovascular Research, Flinders University
Email: robyn.clark@flinders.edu.au
 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5063-2618>

In this issue of the Lancet, Koehler and colleagues publish the results of a large trial of home telemonitoring (HTM) for heart failure (Telemedical Interventional Monitoring in Heart Failure (TIM-HF-2) (1), which showed a benefit on its primary endpoint (days lost due to unplanned cardiovascular hospitalisations or all-cause mortality), driven predominantly by a reduction in cardiovascular deaths; indeed HTM triggered some potentially life-saving hospitalisations. The result is rather similar to that of one of the first large trials of HTM for heart failure (Trans-European Network Homecare Monitoring Study - TEN-HMS) (2). Neither study on its own is convincing but, despite much clinical scepticism and feeble support from most guidelines (3, 4), the growing weight of evidence suggests that HTM does reduce mortality for patients with heart failure (5) and this effect may be substantial. These and other trials (6) also show that the emphasis placed on hospitalisation for heart failure might be misplaced, at least from a patient's perspective, because the proportion of days lost to hospitalisation is small compared to those lost due to death.

The key components of HTM are an electronic health record accessible to both patients and health professionals; trustworthy educational support for all concerned; accountable healthcare professionals who are actively involved in the patients' care who provide human-contact when patients need it (remote monitoring should not be synonymous with impersonal healthcare); integration across primary and secondary care providers; sensors that evaluate physiological variables to guide therapeutic practice incorporated into a patients daily routine, therapeutic goals that optimise the patient's health-state rather than just trying to detect impending crises (7) and finally audit of progress towards agreed goals. Information technology and health professional must work in synergy.

Of course, some trials of HTM have not been positive. HTM is a complex intervention that does not easily lend itself to conventional trial designs that randomise individual patients. Providing an integrated service to one patient but not another within a single centre is difficult and is bound to lead to 'contamination' unless measures are taken to ensure that the

control group does not receive enhanced care (8). Cluster randomised trials might be a better approach (9).

TIM-HF2 built on experience from a preceding trial (10), excluding patients with depression who might be less likely to comply with HTM; poor compliance may have been responsible for several prior failures. Clearly, HTM may not work for every patient – but no intervention does. A major problem with trials of HTM is that we have tried to create one-size fits-all approach, but patients and their needs are diverse in terms of their geographic remoteness, their ability to manage self-care, their social support, co-morbidity and cognitive function and access to and willingness to use devices.

HTM puts the patient back in the centre of health-care, ensuring that they know what the health professional is trying to achieve and that they agree with those aims. Ultimately, patients and their families are a large and relatively untapped healthcare resource (11). HTM can enable, empower and enrich the quality of patient self-care by providing a trusted, personalised environment supported by the synergy between technology and the expertise of health professionals creating a virtuous cycle of personal responsibility for improvement.

Some key issues currently holding back deployment of HTM are the failure to develop sustainable business models, lack of integration into existing health services, the scepticism of those who have no experience of HTM, the failure of guidelines to consider the totality of evidence and the lack of a large, robust trial with a control group that truly reflects usual clinical care.

HTM systems will continue to evolve, in terms of sensors, access, computing power, artificial intelligence (AI) and new therapies. Further trials are required. These will most likely be large, cluster-randomised trials focussing on chronic diseases for which highly effective treatments exist and using AI to develop and implement evidence-based algorithms that overcome therapeutic inertia and optimise treatment for patients as individuals. HTM systems provided a valuable organisational structure for maintaining and improving the quality of care for long-term medical conditions such as heart failure; we should use them and learn (8).

Reference List

1. Koehler F, Koehler K, Deckwart O, Prescher S, Wegscheider K, Kirwan BA, Winkler S, et al. Efficacy of Telemedical Interventional Management in Heart Failure Patients II (TIM-HF2): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2018.
2. Cleland JG, Louis AA, Rigby AS, Janssens U, Balk AH. Noninvasive home telemonitoring for patients with heart failure at high risk of recurrent admission and death: the Trans-European Network-Home-Care Management System (TEN-HMS) study. *J AM COLL CARDIOL* 2005;**45**(10):1654-1664.
3. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, Bueno H, Cleland JG, Coats AJ, Falk V, Gonzalez-Juanatey JR, Harjola VP, Jankowska EA, Jessup M, Linde C,

- Nihoyannopoulos P, Parissis JT, Pieske B, Riley JP, Rosano GM, Ruilope LM, Ruschitzka F, Rutten FH, van der Meer P. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2016;**18**(8):891-975.
4. Atherton JJ, Sindone A, De Pasquale CG, Driscoll A, Macdonald PS, Hopper I, Kistler PM, Briffa T, Wong J, Abhayaratna W, Thomas L, Audehm R, Newton P, O'Loughlin J, Branagan M, Connell C. National Heart Foundation of Australia and Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand: Guidelines for the Prevention, Detection, and Management of Heart Failure in Australia 2018. *Heart Lung Circ* 2018;**27**(10):1123-1208.
 5. Inglis SC, Clark RA, Dierckx R, Prieto-Merino D, Cleland JG. Structured telephone support or non-invasive telemonitoring for patients with heart failure. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015;(10):CD007228.
 6. Cleland JG, Charlesworth A, Lubsen J, Swedberg K, Remme WJ, Erhardt L, Di LA, Komajda M, Metra M, Torp-Pedersen C, Poole-Wilson PA. A comparison of the effects of carvedilol and metoprolol on well-being, morbidity, and mortality (the "patient journey") in patients with heart failure: a report from the Carvedilol Or Metoprolol European Trial (COMET). *J AM COLL CARDIOL* 2006;**47**(8):1603-1611.
 7. Cleland JG, Antony R. It makes SENSE to take a safer road. *Eur Heart J* 2011;**32**(18):2225-2227.
 8. Cleland JG, Lewinter C, Goode KM. Telemonitoring for heart failure: the only feasible option for good universal care? *Eur J Heart Fail* 2009;**11**(3):227-228.
 9. Steventon A, Bardsley M, Billings J, Dixon J, Doll H, Hirani S, Cartwright M, Rixon L, Knapp M, Henderson C, Rogers A, Fitzpatrick R, Hendy J, Newman S. Effect of telehealth on use of secondary care and mortality: findings from the Whole System Demonstrator cluster randomised trial. *BMJ* 2012;**344**:e3874.
 10. Koehler F, Winkler S, Schieber M, Sechtem U, Stangl K, Bohm M, Boll H, Kim SS, Koehler K, Lucke S, Honold M, Heinze P, Schweizer T, Braecklein M, Kirwan BA, Gelbrich G, Anker SD. Telemedical Interventional Monitoring in Heart Failure (TIM-HF), a randomized, controlled intervention trial investigating the impact of telemedicine on mortality in ambulatory patients with heart failure: study design. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2010;**12**(12):1354-1362.
 11. Cleland JG, Ekman I. Enlisting the help of the largest health care workforce--patients. *JAMA* 2010;**304**(12):1383-1384.