

Comparison of the removal of macroparticles and MCPs in cleanrooms by surface deposition and mechanical ventilation

W Whyte¹, and K Agricola²

¹School of Engineering, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ;

²Technology of Sense BV, Instituteweg 15, Enschede, 7521PH, the Netherlands.

Abstract

The removal of macroparticles (particles $\geq 5\mu\text{m}$) and microbe-carrying particles (MCPs) from cleanroom air occurs by surface deposition or ventilation. In an operational ISO Class 8 cleanroom, small particles $\geq 0.3\mu\text{m}$ and $\geq 0.5\mu\text{m}$ are mostly removed by air (>99%). The size where half the particles are removed by deposition and half by mechanical ventilation is about $\geq 10\mu\text{m}$, and 90% of particles are removed by deposition when the particle size is $\geq 40\mu\text{m}$. Results were calculated for other ISO cleanroom classifications, and for particles $\geq 5\mu\text{m}$ the percentage deposited onto surfaces varied from about 11% to 37%. The percentage of MCPs removed by surface deposition in Grade B, C and D cleanrooms that are graded according to the EU Guidelines to Good Manufacturing Practice (2005), varied from 8% to 26%.

Introduction

Cleanrooms are used to manufacture products that are sensitive to particle and MCP contamination. To minimise contamination, cleanrooms are ventilated with a copious supply of particle-free air that dilutes and removes airborne contaminants and, therefore, minimises deposition of contamination onto vulnerable surfaces. However, it is not possible for all airborne contaminants to be removed by ventilation, and surface deposition occurs.

The mechanisms that cause deposition of airborne particles onto cleanroom surfaces have been investigated and reported¹. A variety of mechanisms are involved, but for macroparticles (particles $\geq 5\mu\text{m}$), the most important mechanism is gravitational deposition, with over 80% of particles $\geq 10\mu\text{m}$ being shown to deposit by that mechanism.¹ Use of this information and a survey

of the scientific literature shows that gravitational settling is the main mechanism down to about $5\mu\text{m}$, and an important one down to about $0.5\mu\text{m}$.

The source of airborne MCPs in cleanrooms is almost exclusively from personnel, and microbes in the air are normally carried on skin and clothing detritus, with an average equivalent aerodynamic diameter of $12\mu\text{m}$.^{2,3} Because of their size, gravitational deposition is the main mechanism of surface deposition from air of MCPs.

In a sealed room with no ventilation, the removal of particles and MCPs from air must be entirely by surface deposition, and in a room built like a high-speed wind tunnel, most airborne contamination will be removed by air. In intermediate ventilation situations found in cleanrooms, some particles and MCPs will be removed by deposition and some by ventilation. However, information on the comparative importance of these two mechanisms is lacking, and is investigated and discussed in this article for particles greater than $5\mu\text{m}$, as well as MCPs, with some additional information about particles less than $5\mu\text{m}$.

Equivalent diameter of airborne particles

Naturally-occurring particles found in cleanroom air exist in a variety of sizes, shapes, and specific gravities, and these properties affect their deposition velocity through the air. When airborne particles are counted by an airborne particle counter, the actual size, shape and density of particles are not measured, but the amount of light scattered. This scattered light is used to determine the equivalent diameter of a polystyrene latex sphere that scatters the same amount of light as the particle being measured.

In other situations, airborne particles are measured in terms of the equivalent aerodynamic particle diameter, which is the diameter of a sphere with a specific gravity of 1000kg/m^3 that has the same aerodynamic properties i.e. gravitation settling and impaction, as the particle being considered. If the particle concentration and deposition rate of a given size of particle is measured in a cleanroom, the deposition velocity can be obtained. This method has been previously described⁴ and used to obtain the deposition velocities of a range of cumulative sizes of particles considered in this article. Knowing the deposition velocity, the equivalent aerodynamic diameter can be calculated by the Stokes settling equation (Equation 1). The equivalent aerodynamic diameter can also be measured by instruments such as a cascade sampler, or time-of-flight sampler, these instruments being described by Hinds.⁵

The main source of particles and MCPs in a typical cleanroom is personnel, who disperse these from their skin and garments. The specific gravity of skin particles has been reported by Leider and Buncke⁶ as 1100kg/m^3 , and polyester, which is normally used in the construction of cleanroom garments, has a specific gravity of 1380kg/m^3 ; it is therefore reasonable to assume an average specific gravity of 1200kg/m^3 for airborne particles in cleanrooms.

Calculation of deposition velocity of discrete sizes of airborne particles by the Stokes settling equation

The deposition velocity of an equivalent aerodynamic diameter of a discrete size of particle that settles through air under the influence of gravity can be calculated. A comprehensive treatment of this subject

is given in Hinds' book⁵, where the calculations are based on the Stokes equation, which is as follows.

Equation 1

$$v_D = \frac{\rho_p \cdot g \cdot d^2 \cdot C_C}{18\eta}$$

Where:

v_D = the deposition velocity (m/s),

ρ_p = specific gravity of particle (kg/m³),

g = acceleration due to gravity (9.81 m/s²),

d = equivalent aerodynamic particle diameter (m),

C_C = Cunningham slip factor,

η = viscosity of air (1.18 x 10⁻⁵ kg/m.s).

Included in Equation 1 is the Cunningham slip factor, which should be used with particles that have a diameter less than about 1.5 µm, as the deposition velocity is affected by 'slip' at the surface of the particle. The Cunningham slip factor is calculated as follows:

$$C_C = 1 + \frac{2.52\lambda}{d}$$

where, λ = mean free path of 0.066 µm at 20°C and 1 atmosphere, and the units of d are given in micrometres.

When particles are larger than about 75µm, Equation 1 will overestimate the deposition velocity, and Equation 2 should be used.

Equation 2

$$v_D = \left(\frac{\eta}{\rho_a d_p} \right) \exp(-3.070 + 0.9935 \cdot J - 0.0178 \cdot J^2)$$

Where:

ρ_a = the density of air at 20°C (1.2 kg/m³),

J is calculated as follows:

$$J = \ln\left(\frac{4 \cdot \rho_p \cdot \rho_a \cdot d^3 \cdot g}{3\eta^2}\right)$$

The deposition velocities of a range of discrete sizes of particles can be calculated by the equations given above, and are given in the second column of Table 1.

Deposition velocity of cumulative sizes of particles

Concentrations of particles in air and surfaces are normally measured in cleanrooms cumulatively, to include all particles larger than the stated size. The deposition velocities of a range of cumulative sizes of particles have been determined by both experiment and theory in an ISO Class 8 cleanroom⁴ and the results are given in Table 1.

Calculation of the removal of particles by deposition using the equivalent virtual air change rate method

A method that can be used to measure the removal of airborne particles by surface deposition uses the 'equivalent virtual air change rate'.⁷ This gives the air change rate that produces the same reduction of airborne particle concentration as obtained by surface deposition. Using this approach, the removal of particles by surface deposition can be directly compared to the removal by mechanical ventilation.

It has been shown⁷ that the equivalent virtual air change rate can be calculated by the following Equation 3.

Equation 3

$$N_E = \frac{v_D}{H}$$

Where:

N_E = the equivalent virtual air change rate,

H = the height of the room.

If the equivalent virtual air change rate is calculated by Equation 3, and the overall air change rate in the cleanroom is known, then the removal of particles by surface deposition can be calculated by Equation 4 as a percentage of the total number of particles removed by both deposition and ventilation.

Equation 4

$$\text{Surface deposition of total airborne contamination (\%)} = \frac{N_E}{N_E + N_V} \cdot 100$$

Where:

N_V = overall air change rate in a cleanroom.

Calculation of the removal of particles by deposition using time of decay

An alternative approach to calculating the percentage of particles removed by surface deposition is to calculate the time it takes for a given proportion of airborne particles to decay by surface deposition. This time can then be compared to the time it takes for the same proportion of particles to decay by mechanical ventilation.

Time of decay of airborne particles by surface deposition

In a cleanroom, the rate of change of the concentration of macroparticles over a short time interval by means of surface deposition is given by the following differential equation:

$$\frac{dC}{C} = \frac{v_D \cdot dt}{H}$$

Where:

C = particle concentration,

v_D = deposition velocity of particles,

t = time,

H = height of room.

Table 1: Deposition velocities of particles

Equivalent aerodynamic particle diameter (µm)	Deposition velocity (cm/s) of particles with discrete diameters	Deposition velocity (cm/s) of particles with cumulative diameters
0.3	0.0005	0.003
0.5	0.0012	0.006
5	0.09	0.29
10	0.36	0.91
25	2.3	4.2
40	5.8	9.1
50	9.0	13
100	29	41

Main feature

This equation can be integrated to give the following equations:

$$\frac{C}{C_0} = \exp\left(\frac{-v_D \cdot t}{H}\right) \text{ or}$$

$$\frac{C_0}{C} = \exp\left(\frac{v_D \cdot t}{H}\right)$$

Where:

C_0 = concentration at time zero,
 C = concentration after time t .

By taking natural logs and rearranging the equation

$$t = \frac{H \cdot \ln\left(\frac{C_0}{C}\right)}{v_D}$$

Changing from natural to base 10 logs

$$t = \frac{2.3 \cdot H \cdot \log_{10}\left(\frac{C_0}{C}\right)}{v_D}$$

When 90% of the particles have deposited, C_0/C is equal to 10, and Equation 5 is obtained, and from this equation the resulting time of deposition (t_D) can be calculated.

Equation 5

$$t_D = \frac{2.3 \cdot H}{v_D}$$

Removal of airborne particles by mechanical ventilation

The removal of particles in a non-UDAF cleanroom by mechanical ventilation conforms to an exponential decay, and the decrease in concentration over time is calculated by the following equation.⁷

$$C = C_0 \cdot e^{-N_V \cdot t}$$

Where:

C = concentration at time t ,
 C_0 = concentration at time zero,
 N_V = number of air changes in cleanroom, owing to mechanical ventilation,
 t = time.

Rearranging the equation, and taking natural log of both sides,

$$\ln\left(\frac{C}{C_0}\right) = -N_V \cdot t \text{ or}$$

$$\ln\left(\frac{C_0}{C}\right) = N_V \cdot t$$

Rearranging,

$$t = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{C_0}{C}\right)}{N_V}$$

Changing from natural logs to base 10 logs,

$$t = \frac{2.3 \cdot \log_{10}\left(\frac{C_0}{C}\right)}{N_V}$$

When 90% of the particles have been removed by ventilation, C_0/C is equal to 10, and Equation 6 is obtained, and from this equation the resulting time of removal by ventilation (t_V) can be calculated.

Equation 6

$$t_V = \frac{2.3}{N_V}$$

If the removal of 90% of particles by deposition is calculated by Equation 5, and the removal of 90% of particles by ventilation is calculated by Equation 6, the removal by surface deposition can be calculated by Equation 7 as a percentage of the total number of particles removed from the cleanroom air.

Equation 7

Percentage of particles removed by surface deposition

$$= \frac{t_D}{(t_V + t_D)} \cdot 100$$

Calculation of the removal of airborne particles by deposition using the equivalent virtual air change method

To calculate the equivalent virtual air change rate for different cumulative diameters of particles, the deposition velocity of particles settling through air is required. Table 1 gives the deposition velocities (cm/s) of a range of cumulative particles sizes that were previously obtained by experiments carried out in an ISO Class 8 operational cleanroom.⁴ The cleanroom had a height of 2.7m, and an air change rate of about 13 per hour (0.0036/s). Using this information, the equivalent virtual air change rates for a range of cumulative sizes of particles are calculated, and the removal of airborne particles by deposition as a percentage of the total of particles removed are ascertained. The results are given in Table 2.

It can be seen in Table 2 that less than 1% of small particles of $\geq 0.3\mu\text{m}$ and $\geq 0.5\mu\text{m}$ are removed by surface deposition. However, approximately 50% of the particles $\geq 10\mu\text{m}$ are removed by surface deposition, and 90% are removed when the size is $\geq 40\mu\text{m}$.

Table 2: Percentage of particles deposited in a cleanroom

Cumulative particle size (μm)	Deposition velocity (m/s) of cumulative particle size	Equivalent virtual air change rate/hour owing to surface deposition	Percentage of particles deposited in cleanroom with 13 air changes/hour
0.3	0.000028	0.04	0.3
0.5	0.000064	0.09	0.65
5	0.0029	4	23
10	0.0091	12	48
25	0.042	56	81
40	0.091	121	90
50	0.13	173	93
100	0.41	547	98

Table 3: Percentage of different sizes of particles deposited in a cleanroom

Cumulative particle size (μm)	Number of seconds to decay to 90% of airborne concentration owing to surface deposition	Number of seconds to decay to 90% of airborne concentration owing to mechanical ventilation	Percentage of particles deposited in cleanroom
0.3	222075	638	0.29
0.5	97158	638	0.65
5	2144	638	23
10	683	638	48
25	148	638	81
40	68	638	90
50	48	638	93
100	15	638	98

Calculation of the removal of airborne particles by deposition using the decay method

To calculate the percentage of airborne particles deposited by the time of decay method, deposition velocities (m/s) are required. These are given in Table 1 for an ISO Class 8 cleanroom in operation, which has a height of 2.7m and 13 air changes per hour (0.0036/s). The number of seconds for the airborne particles to decay to 90% of their concentration by surface deposition was calculated by means of Equation 5, and the number of seconds to decay to 90% of their airborne concentration by mechanical ventilation was calculated by Equation 6; both sets of results are given in Table 3. The percentage of deposited particles of the total removed by both surface deposition and ventilation was then calculated by means of Equation 7, and the results given in Table 3. It can be seen that these percentages are identical to those reported in the previous section, where the results were calculated by the equivalent air change method.

Surface deposition of particles $\geq 5\mu\text{m}$ with respect to airborne cleanliness

The results calculated in the previous two sections are based on deposition velocities that were obtained from experiments carried out in an ISO Class 8 cleanroom.⁴ In cleaner cleanrooms with a greater air change rate, a higher percentage of particles may be removed by ventilation. However, it is also known

that higher air supply rates are associated with higher deposition velocities of particles,^{4, 8} which may partly balance their greater removal by ventilation. This possibility was investigated.

The rate that particles deposit onto cleanroom surfaces is determined by the particle deposition rate (PDR), which is the rate of deposition of particles onto a standard surface area e.g. 1 m², in a standard time e.g. 1 hour. The PDR is measured by exposing a witness plate, or collection surface of an instrument, and the number of particles of a specified size that deposit onto the collection surface in a given time is obtained, and then the PDR. In cleanrooms, it is the cumulative number of particles of different sizes that are usually measured.

It has been reported by Hamburg⁸ that the PDR of particles $\geq 5\mu\text{m}$ onto cleanroom surfaces varies, with a higher deposition rate in cleaner rooms. Cleanrooms that ranged in airborne cleanliness from ISO Class 5 to ISO Class 9 were studied, and the following relationship (modified to SI units) reported. A similar relationship has also been reported by Parasuraman et al.⁹ The relationship reported by Hamburg, when converted to metric units, is as follows.

$$PDR \geq 5\mu\text{m} = 0.0226 \cdot C_{\geq 5\mu\text{m}}^{0.773}$$

Where:

$PDR_{\geq 5\mu\text{m}}$ = deposition rate of particles $\geq 5\mu\text{m}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$,

$C_{\geq 5\mu\text{m}}$ = airborne concentration per m³ of particles $\geq 5\mu\text{m}$

However, it is known⁴ that

Equation 8

$$PDR = C_D \cdot v_D$$

Where:

C_D = airborne concentration per m³ of particles of cumulative size of D μm , and
 v_D = deposition velocity (m/s) of particle diameter, D.

Therefore,

Equation 9

$$v_{\geq 5\mu\text{m}} = \frac{0.0226 \cdot C_{\geq 5\mu\text{m}}^{0.773}}{C_{\geq 5\mu\text{m}}} = 0.0226 \cdot C_{\geq 5\mu\text{m}}^{-0.227}$$

ISO 14644-1¹⁰ cleanrooms of Class 5, and cleaner, have low concentrations of particles $\geq 5\mu\text{m}$ and, therefore, these particles are not used to specify class limits. Also, the low particle concentrations in ISO Class 5 and cleaner cleanrooms are unlikely to be achieved by non-unidirectional airflow systems, but by means of the more effective unidirectional airflow system. However, the calculation of the percentage deposition in this article uses air change rates and, therefore, calculations of the percentage of surface deposition can only be carried out in ISO classes 6 to 9.

The deposition velocities of particles $\geq 5\mu\text{m}$ in ISO Classes 6 to 9 in the operational state are calculated by Equation 9 and given in Table 4. Also given in Table 4 is the PDR limit for this range of cleanrooms, as calculated by Equation 8. Using a ceiling height of 2.7m, the equivalent virtual air change rate owing to deposition is calculated by use of Equation 3, and the results given in Table 4.

Table 4: Percentage of particles $\geq 5\mu\text{m}$ removed by deposition in a range of ISO cleanroom classes

ISO Class	6	7	8	9
Class limit (no./m ³) for particles $\geq 5\mu\text{m}$	293	2930	29300	293000
Deposition velocity (m/s)	0.00623	0.00369	0.00219	0.00130
PDR limit of particles $\geq 5\mu\text{m}$ per m ² per hour	6566	38931	230834	1368673
Equivalent virtual air change rate/hour owing to surface deposition	8.3	4.9	2.9	1.7
Typical air changes/ hour	30 to 70	20 to 40	5 to 15	5
Particles removed by surface deposition (%)	22 to 11	20 to 11	37 to 16	26

To calculate the proportion of airborne particles removed by surface deposition as a percentage of the total removed by both deposition and ventilation, it is necessary to know the air change rates needed to achieve the ISO class of cleanroom being studied. Unfortunately, it is not possible to use an exact air change rate. There are two main reasons for this. Firstly, the air cleanliness of a cleanroom is determined by the air supply rate and not by the air change rate,⁷ and for the same ISO class limit of particle concentration, the smaller the cleanroom, the greater the air change rate required. Secondly, the airborne cleanliness of a cleanroom is directly related to contamination dispersed into the air by personnel and other sources of contamination. This will vary between cleanrooms and, therefore, so will the air change rate required for a given ISO Class of cleanroom. Taking these reasons into consideration, a range of air change rates for each ISO class are given in Table 4 that the authors considered to be typical of those found in cleanrooms. Using these air change rates, the percentage of particles $\geq 5\mu\text{m}$ removed by surface deposition can be calculated by use of Equation 7, and the results are given in Table 4.

Percentage of MCPs removed by deposition

Shown in Table 5 is the airborne concentration of MCPs given in the EU Guidelines to Good Manufacturing Practice (EU GGMP): 2008¹¹ for Grade B, C and D cleanrooms. Grade A clean zones are not included in the table as these normally use unidirectional airflow and, therefore, cannot be analysed by the method used in this article. Also

shown in Table 5 are the ISO 14644-1 classes that correspond to the EU GGMP grades in the operational state.

Micro-organisms are not usually found in cleanroom air as unicellular organisms, as they are dispersed by personnel on skin and clothing detritus, and known as microbe-carrying particles (MCPs), with an average equivalent aerodynamic diameter of about $12\mu\text{m}$.^{2,3}

It has been reported¹² that the deposition velocities of airborne MCPs increase with airborne cleanliness in a similar manner to particles, as discussed in the previous section. The deposition velocity of MCPs can be calculated by the following equation given in the referenced article.¹²

Equation 10

$$v_{D:MCPs} = 0.0161 \cdot C^{0.6571}$$

Where:

$v_{D:MCPs}$ = deposition velocity of MCPs (m/s),
 C = concentration of airborne MCPs /m³.

Shown in Table 5 are the average deposition velocities calculated by Equation 10 using the concentrations of MCPs expected in Grade B, C and D cleanrooms. Also shown in Table 5 are the equivalent virtual air change rates caused by surface deposition as calculated by Equation 3, when the ceiling height is 2.7 m. To obtain the surface deposition as a percentage of the total amount removed by both deposition and ventilation, the air change rate is required for the three grades of cleanrooms, and a range of air changes that are typical of pharmaceutical cleanrooms are given in Table 5. It can be seen that the air change rate is higher than given in Table 4 for similar ISO classes, this being partly owing to the greater need for a higher air supply to achieve the

required concentration of MCPs.¹³ Finally, in the last column of Table 5 is the percentage of airborne MCPs removed by surface deposition as a percentage of the total removal by both deposition and ventilation. It can be seen that in a typical EU GGMP Grade B cleanroom, surface deposition of MCPs will remove about 9% to 24% of the airborne MCPs. In a Grade C cleanroom it will be 8% to 18%, and in a Grade D it will be 10% to 26%.

Discussion and conclusions

Particles and microbe-carrying particles (MCPs) in cleanroom air are removed by means of mechanical ventilation or by surface deposition, and this article provides information about the relative importance of these two removal mechanisms. The importance of surface deposition is expressed as the percentage of particles deposited of the total number of particles removed by both deposition and ventilation.

The percentages of a cumulative range of particle sizes removed by surface deposition were calculated from the deposition velocity of a cumulative range of particle sizes obtained in an operational ISO Class 8 cleanroom.⁴ The calculation of percentage deposition was carried out using two different approaches. The first approach was to calculate the particles deposited onto surfaces in terms of equivalent virtual air change, which is the air change rate that produces the same reduction in airborne particles as obtained by surface deposition. The equivalent virtual air change rate was then compared with the actual air change rate owing to mechanical ventilation. The second approach was to calculate the time for

Table 5: Percentage of surface deposition in different grades of airborne microbial cleanliness

EU GGMP cleanroom grade	ISO Class (operational)	Upper limit of airborne MCP concentration/ m ³	Deposition velocity of MCPs (m/s)	Equivalent virtual air changes per hour	Typical range of air changes per hour	Surface deposition (%)
Grade B	7	10	0.0073	9.7	30-100	24% to 9%
Grade C	8	100	0.0033	4.4	20-50	18% to 8%
Grade D	Not defined	200	0.0026	3.5	10-30	26% to 10%

airborne particles to decay by both deposition and ventilation to 90% of their concentration. The number of particles deposited was then calculated as a percentage of the total number of particles removed by both deposition and ventilation.

The results of the two types of calculations are given in Tables 2 and 3, where it can be seen that they give identical results and, therefore, give confidence in the correctness of the overall analytical approach. For cumulative particle sizes of $\geq 0.3\mu\text{m}$, $\geq 0.5\mu\text{m}$, $\geq 5\mu\text{m}$, $\geq 10\mu\text{m}$, $\geq 25\mu\text{m}$, $\geq 40\mu\text{m}$, $\geq 50\mu\text{m}$, and $\geq 100\mu\text{m}$, the percentage removed by surface deposition was 0.3%, 0.65%, 23%, 48%, 81%, 90%, 93% and 98%, respectively. It can, therefore, be seen that (a) smaller particles of $\geq 0.3\mu\text{m}$ and $\geq 0.5\mu\text{m}$ are mostly removed by ventilation (b) the size where 50% of the particles are removed by deposition is close to $\geq 10\mu\text{m}$ and (c) about 90% of the particles are deposited at a size of $\geq 40\mu\text{m}$.

In sealed and unventilated rooms, all particles will be removed from the air by surface deposition, but in a room designed like a high-speed wind tunnel, most particles would be removed by ventilation. Cleanrooms will take some intermediate position, where some particles are removed by deposition and some by ventilation.

The results reported in the previous paragraphs were calculated from information previously reported from experiments carried out in an operational ISO Class 8 cleanroom.⁴ However, it would be expected in cleaner rooms with higher air supply rates that the removal of particles by ventilation would be higher, and the

removal by surface deposition, lower. However, it is also known that as the airborne cleanliness improves and the air supply increases, the deposition velocity of particles increases, and more surface deposition occurs.^{4,8} The effect of these two mechanisms may balance each other and a change in the percentage deposited may not be as much as speculated. This possibility was investigated.

Using information available on the relationship of particle deposition rate and air cleanliness for particles $\geq 5\mu\text{m}$,^{4,8} the percentages of surface deposition were calculated for cleanrooms that ranged from ISO Class 6 to ISO Class 9, and the results given in Table 4. However, to calculate the deposition percentage over a range of ISO classes, it is necessary to make assumptions as to what air change rates are associated with what cleanliness classes. Because of the reasons given, the air change rates needed to obtain a required ISO class will vary. Therefore, a range of air changes that are typical of each ISO class was used, and the calculated percentage deposited also given as a range. These results show that the deposition percentage of particles $\geq 5\mu\text{m}$ varied from about 11% to 37% across cleanroom classes of 6 to 9, with a tendency for a higher deposition percentage to be associated with poorer cleanliness classes. However, this tendency was not clear, but until further experimental results are available, the results of percentage deposition that apply to an ISO Class 8 can be applied to ISO Classes 6, 7 and 9.

An investigation was also carried out to ascertain the percentage deposition of MCPs in cleanrooms. Microbes are not normally found in cleanroom air in

unicellular form, as they are dispersed by personnel on skin and clothing detritus, and have an average equivalent aerodynamic size of about $12\mu\text{m}$.^{2,3} Similar to particles, the deposition velocity of MCPs is known to increase with the cleanliness of the cleanroom¹² and, using the calculated deposition velocities, the deposition percentages of MCPs in EU GGMP (2008) Grades B to D cleanrooms were calculated. These percentages were based on a range of typical air change rates found in these grades of cleanrooms, and the percentage varied from about 9% to 26%. Similar to the results with particles $\geq 5\mu\text{m}$, the percentage of deposition does not appear to be significantly affected by the grade of cleanroom.

It is commonly assumed that the air supply to a cleanroom will remove most of the airborne contamination from cleanrooms. However, it has been shown in this article that a substantial percentage of macroparticles and MCPs are not removed by air but deposited onto surfaces. The percentage deposited varies according to particle size and the amount of mechanical ventilation required to achieve a specific standard of air cleanliness. The importance of surface deposition shows that when the control of airborne contamination of surfaces is being considered, more thought should be given to monitoring of the PDR,¹⁴ and consideration of activities such as walking and touching of surfaces that will cause deposited macroparticles and MCPs to re-enter the cleanroom air, and subsequently deposit onto vulnerable surfaces. Effective control of such contamination cannot be achieved solely by mechanical ventilation and attention must be given to efficient

and frequent cleaning of surfaces.

References

1. Whyte W, Agricola K and Derks M. Airborne particle deposition in cleanrooms: deposition mechanisms. *Clean Air and Containment Review* 2015; Issue **24**: 4-9. Available at <http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/111678/1/111678.pdf>
2. Noble WC, Lidwell OM and Kingston D. The size distribution of airborne particles carrying micro-organisms. *Journal of Hygiene* 1963; **61**:385-391.
3. Whyte W and Hejab M. Particle and microbial airborne dispersion from people. *European Journal of Parenteral and Pharmaceutical Sciences* 2007; **12**(2): 39-46. Available at <http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/84357/1/84357.pdf>
4. Whyte W, Agricola K and Derks M. Airborne particle deposition in cleanrooms: relationship between deposition rate and airborne concentration. *Clean Air and Containment Review* 2016; Issue **25**: 4-10. Available at <http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/119091/1/119091.pdf>
5. Hinds WC. Aerosol technology: properties, behaviour and measurement of airborne particles. –Second Edition 1999. Wiley-Interscience, ISBN 0-471-19410-7.
6. Leider M and Buncke CM (1954). Physical dimensions of the skin. *AMA Archives of Dermatology and Syphilology*; **69**: pp563-569.
7. Whyte W, Whyte WM and Eaton T. The application of the ventilation equations to cleanrooms Part 1: The equations. *Clean Air and Containment Review* 2012; Issue **12**: 4-8. Available at <http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/84186/1/84186.pdf>
8. Hamberg O. Particle fallout predictions for clean rooms. *The Journal of Environmental Sciences* 1982; **25**: 15-20.
9. Parasuraman DK, Kemps AAM, Veeke HPM and Lodewijks G. Prediction model for particle fallout in cleanrooms. *Journal of the IEST* 2012; **55**(1): 1-9.
10. ISO 14644-1 (2015). Cleanrooms and Associated Controlled Environments –Part 1: Classification of air cleanliness by particle concentration. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland.
11. EU GGMP (2008). The rules governing medicinal products in the European Union –Volume 4 –EU guidelines to good manufacturing practice – medicinal products for human and veterinary use – Annex 1 –Manufacture of sterile medicinal products. European Commission, Brussels.
12. Whyte W and Eaton T. Deposition velocities of airborne microbe-carrying particles. *European Journal of Parenteral and Pharmaceutical Sciences* 2016; **21**(2): 45-49. Available at <http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/121686/1/121686.pdf>
13. W Whyte, T Eaton, WM Whyte, N Lenegan, S Ward and K Agricola. Calculation of air supply rates and concentrations of airborne contamination in non-UDAF cleanrooms. *European Journal of Parenteral and Pharmaceutical Sciences* 2017; **22**(2): 38-49. Available at <http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/147757/1/147757.pdf>
14. Agricola K. Practical experiences in particle deposition monitoring. *Clean Air and Containment Review* 2015; Issue **21**: 4-8.

W (Bill) Whyte, B.Sc. (microbiology), D.Sc. (mechanical engineering) and Honorary Research Fellow at Glasgow University, has been involved with cleanrooms for over 50 years. He has published over 140 reports and papers and written three major books on the subject, the latest of which, *Advances in Cleanroom Technology*, has just been published – see review on page 20. He is a founder and former chairman of the Scottish Society for Contamination Control and the Cleanroom Testing and Certification Board - International. He is a member of the BSI committee involved in the writing of cleanroom standards. He has extensive experience as an industrial consultant and running cleanroom courses.

Koos Agricola is an Applied Physicist and has worked in R & D at Océ Technologies, a Canon Company, since 1986 and part time at Technology of Sense since 2017. His responsibilities include contamination control in cleanrooms for the manufacture of critical parts. Koos is a member of ISO/TC 209, convener of WG 14, secretary of WG 4 and technical expert in ISO/TC 209 WGs 3, 11, 12 and 13 as well as CEN/TC 243 WG 5. He is chairman of the ICCCS Education and Technical Committees and the CTCB-I and secretary of the VCCN and the ISCC 2018 steering committee.