Han, T. S., Lean, M. E.J., Fluck, D., Affley, B., Gulli, G., Patel, T., Barrett, C., Kakar, P., Sharma, S. and Sharma, P. (2018) Impact of delay in early swallow screening on pneumonia, length of stay in hospital, disability and mortality in acute stroke patients. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, (doi:[10.1038/s41430-018-0148-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41430-018-0148-4))

This is the author's final accepted version.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/158587/>

Deposited on: 07 March 2018

Impact of delay in early swallow screening on pneumonia, length of stay in hospital, disability and mortality in acute stroke patients

Thang S Han^{1,2} · Michael EJ Lean³ · David Fluck⁴ · Brendan Affley⁵ · Giosue Gulli⁵ · Tasmin Patel¹ · Christopher Barrett⁶ · Puneet Kakar⁷ · Sapna Sharma¹ · Pankaj Sharma¹

Abstract

Background/Objectives Early swallow screening, within 4 h of admission, is required for all acute stroke patients to commence nutritional support, as recommended. We evaluated the impact of delay in early swallow screening on outcomes in patients admitted with acute stroke.

Subjects/Methods Prospective cohort study of 1656 men (mean $\pm$ SD age = 73.1y $\pm$ 13.2) and 1653 women (79.3y $\pm$ 13.0) admitted with stroke to hyperacute stroke units (HASUs) in Surrey. Logistic regression was used to assess the risk (adjusted for age, stroke severity and co-morbidities) of delay in swallow screening on pneumonia, length of stay (LOS) > 3 weeks in HASU or hospital, moderately severe to severe disability on discharge (modified Rankin scale score = 4–5) and mortality during admission.

Results Compared with those who received swallow screening within 4 h of admission, a delay between 4 and 72 h was associated with greater risks of pneumonia: OR = 1.4 (95%CI:1.1–1.9, P = 0.022), moderately severe to severe disability on discharge: OR = 1.4 (1.1–1.7, P = 0.007) and a delay beyond 72 h was associated with even greater risks of pneumonia: OR = 2.3 (1.4–3.6, P < 0.001), prolonged LOS in HASU: OR = 1.7 (1.0–3.0, P = 0.047, median LOS = 6.2 vs. 14.7 days) and hospital: OR = 2.1-fold (1.3–3.4, P = 0.007, median LOS = 6.8 vs. 14.9 days), moderately severe to severe disability on discharge: OR = 2.5 (1.7–3.7, P < 0.001) and mortality: OR = 3.8 (2.5–5.6, P < 0.001). These risks persisted after excluding 103 patients who died within 72 h.

Conclusions Delay in early screening for swallow capacity in acute stroke patients is detrimental to outcomes, possibly due to delaying nutritional provision or through inappropriate feeding leading to aspiration. Routine early screening needs greater attention in HASUs.

Introduction

Oropharyngeal dysphagia, a common feature of severe stroke and an indicator of poor prognosis, is identified in about half of patients with acute stroke by swallow screening [1–4] and up to three quarters by videofluoroscopy [4]. Dysphagia not only prevents patients from oral intake but is also a high-risk factor for a number of complications, particularly aspiration pneumonia [4, 5] which occurs in 22–49% among these patients [6] and death [5]. Stroke patients with dysphagia have been shown to be more likely to stay longer in hospital and less likely to be discharged back to their own home than non-dysphagic stroke patients [5, 7].

Early nutrition support is vital for the survival and clinical outcomes in patients with dysphagia, including stroke patients [8]. Evidence from small studies has shown that

✉ Thang S Han
Thang.Han@rhul.ac.uk

¹ Institute of Cardiovascular Research, Royal Holloway, University of London, London, UK

² Department of Endocrinology, Ashford and St Peter's NHS Foundation Trust, Chertsey, UK

³ Department of Nutrition, School of Medicine, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

⁴ Department of Cardiology, Ashford and St Peter's NHS Foundation Trust, Chertsey, UK

⁵ Department of Stroke, Ashford and St Peter's NHS Foundation Trust, Chertsey, UK

⁶ Department of Stroke, NHS Frimley Health Foundation Trust, Frimley, UK

⁷ Department of Stroke, Epsom and St Helier University Hospitals, Epsom, UK

early swallow screening reduces the incidence of aspiration pneumonia [6], length of stay (LOS) in hospital, disability and mortality [2, 7]. The decision to commence nutrition support depends on the outcome from the assessment of the patient's ability to swallow. There are several methods of assessment including videofluoroscopy which is time consuming, more invasive and requires high level of expertise while swallow screening, which is less sensitive than videofluoroscopy [4], is a rapid bedside test which can be performed by the majority of healthcare providers. Swallow screening is therefore recommended to be carried out routinely within 4 h of admission for all patients with acute stroke [9]. A recent report by the Royal College of Physicians [10] however has shown that about a one in four of acute stroke patients in the UK did not have swallow screening by 4 h and one in eight by 72 h of admission.

The present study evaluated the impact of delay in early swallow screening on pneumonia developed within 7 days of admission, LOS in hyperacute stroke unit (HASU) or in hospital, disability on discharge and mortality during admission in patients admitted with acute stroke.

Subjects/methods

Study design, patients and setting

We carried out this registry-based, prospective cohort study using Sentinel Stroke National Audit Programme (SSNAP) data, which were collected from the time of admission up to 6 months following stroke. The data were validated by Stroke teams and entered into the secure SSNAP database. These data composed of clinical characteristics and care quality of patients admitted with acute stroke to all acute care hospitals in England and Wales [11]. An anonymised extract of a total of 3309 patients admitted between January 2014 and February 2016 to four hospitals in the County of Surrey were used: Ashford and St Peter's ($n = 1038$), Royal Surrey County ($n = 612$), Epsom ($n = 649$) and Frimley Park ($n = 1010$). There were 22 patients admitted twice and 2 patients admitted thrice and their data from the first admission were used.

SSNAP was approved by the Confidentiality Advisory Group of the Health Research Authority to gather patient data under section 251 of the National Health Service Act 2006.

Data recording

All four study centres participated in SSNAP using identical protocols (available on request). Data were collected for gender, age at arrival and comorbidities including atrial fibrillation, diabetes, congestive cardiac failure and

hypertension. Treatment from the point of admission to discharge were documented by the consultants and stroke nurse specialists.

Swallow screening

Swallow screening was carried out by the same validated screening tool in all four study centres as soon as possible after arrival at hospital and before patients had been given any oral fluid, food or medication. The following sequences of screening were conducted by a trained healthcare professional for patients who had to be able to independently remain awake and alert for at least 15 min and sit upright. The procedure started initially with 3 spoons of water, and if there was no risk of aspiration, followed by a challenge with 1 cup of water, and then further continued with a trial of soft diet meal. The procedure was discontinued if there was a risk of aspiration at any stage of screening.

Diagnosis of stroke and pneumonia

Stroke was diagnosed on the basis of clinical presentation and brain computerised tomography [12] and the severity of stroke symptoms was determined by the National Institutes of Health for Stroke and Scale (NIHSS) ranging from no symptoms to severe stroke symptoms (NIHSS score = 0 to 42). Pneumonia was diagnosed by clinical examination that was supported and confirmed by biochemical, microbiological and radiological evidence.

Disability and mortality

The degree of disability or dependence in the daily activities was determined by modified Rankin Scale (mRS) ranging from no symptoms to severe symptoms of disability (mRS score = 0 to 5) and also includes mortality (mRS score = 6).

Categorisation of variables

Swallow screening status was categorised into three groups: (1) screening performed within 4 h, (2) between 4 and 72 h and (3) beyond 72 h of admission. Severity and disability of stroke were categorised into two groups of 'no symptoms to moderate symptoms' (NIHSS score < 16 and mRS score < 4) and 'moderately severe to severe symptoms' (NIHSS score ≥ 16 and mRS score = 4–5). Age was categorised into two groups at median value (79 years). Prolonged stay in HASU or in hospital was categorised into those who stayed 3 weeks or longer (upper fourth quartile).

Table 1 Distribution of 3309 patients admitted with acute stroke to hospitals in Surrey between January 2014 and February 2016

	<i>n</i>	Proportion (%)
Gender distribution		
Men: women	1656: 1653	50.0: 50.0
Stroke subtype		
Infarct stroke: haemorrhagic stroke	2758: 518	83.3: 15.7
Swallow screening status		
Swallow screened within 4 h of admission	2647	80.0
Swallow screened between 4 and 72 h of admission ^a	520	15.7
Swallow screened beyond 72 h of admission	142	4.3
Stroke severity on arrival		
No stroke symptoms (NIHSS score = 0)	444	13.4
Minor stroke (NIHSS score = 1–4)	1263	38.2
Moderate stroke (NIHSS score = 5–15)	1120	33.8
Moderate to severe stroke (NIHSS score = 16–20)	255	7.7
Severe stroke (NIHSS score = 21–42)	227	6.9
Pneumonia within 7 days of admission	358	11.3
Prolonged stay		
Acute stroke unit stay longer than 3 weeks	657	23.2 [‡]
Hospital stay longer than 3 weeks	674	23.8 [‡]
Modified Rankin Scale on discharge (<i>n</i> = 3174)		
No symptoms (mRS score = 0)	760	23.9
No significant disability (mRS = 1)	553	17.4
Slight disability (mRS score = 2)	448	14.1
Moderate disability (mRS score = 3)	424	13.4
Moderately severe disability (mRS score = 4)	355	11.2
Severe disability (mRS score = 5)	154	4.9
Dead (mRS score = 6)	480	15.1
Dead within 72 h of admission	103	3.1

All data were complete except information not available for pneumonia in 129 patients (3.9%), stroke subtype in 33 (1.0%) patients, LOS in HASU in 201 (7.1%) and hospital in 135 (4.8%) patients

^aThis group are those who were screened between 4 and 72 h of admission. Eighty patients who died were excluded

Data handling and statistical analysis

Normality of the data were examined initially by histogram and confirmed statistically by Shapiro–Wilk test. Log₁₀ transformation was applied to variables that displayed right skewness (LOS in HASU and in hospital) before

Fig 1 Box plots showing swallow screening status in relation to LOS in HASU (a) and in hospital (b). ANOVA showed significant group differences ($P < 0.005$) therefore post hoc least significant difference tests were performed to compare LOS between those who received swallow screening within 4 h of admission and other two groups of different swallow screening status (between 4 and 72 h and beyond 72 h). Box plots represent median and interquartile ranges and whiskers represent the 5th and 95th percentiles

proceeding to analysis of variance (ANOVA) to examine differences between groups of swallow screening status.

Chi-squared test was carried out to determine the proportions of patients with severe disability on discharge or mortality within each category of swallow screening status, logistic regression to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for the risk of delay in early swallow screening (independent variable) in stroke patients for having pneumonia within 7 days of admission, moderately severe to severe disability on discharge, mortality and prolonged stay in HASU or hospital (≥ 3 weeks) (dependent variables). Results were presented as unadjusted data or

Table 2 Proportions of patients according to swallow screening status for acute stroke in relation to pneumonia developed within 7 days of admission, moderately severe to severe disability on discharge, mortality during admission and prolonged stay in acute HASU or hospital

	Pneumonia within 7 days of admission		Stay in acute HASU > 3 weeks ^a		Stay in hospital > 3 weeks ^a		Moderately severe to severe disability on discharge		Mortality during admission	
	%	χ^2 (P-value)	%	χ^2 (P-value)	%	χ^2 (P-value)	%	χ^2 (P-value)	%	χ^2 (P-value)
All patients (<i>n</i> = 3309)										
Swallow screened within 4 h	10.1	26.7	—	—	—	—	27.6	55.2	13.1	83.4
Swallow screened between 4 and 72 h	13.6	(<0.001)	—	—	—	—	34.8	(<0.001)	14.2	(<0.001)
Swallow screened beyond 72 h	23.8	—	—	—	—	—	54.9	—	40.8	—
Excluding 103 patients who died within 72 h of admission (<i>n</i> = 3206)										
Swallow screened within 4 h	9.4	25.5	24.2	7.1	23.9	14.4	25.8	29.8	11.0	29.7
Swallow screened between 4 and 72 h	12.8	(<0.001)	27.2	(0.029)	28.1	(0.001)	33.3	(<0.001)	12.2	(<0.001)
Swallow screened beyond 72 h	23.8	—	37.7	—	41.7	—	44.8	—	27.6	—

^a All 480 patients who died during admission or exclusion of all 480 patients who died during admission

adjusted for age, severity of stroke on arrival and stroke subtype (ischaemic or haemorrhagic).

Since early mortality may influence the decision to perform swallow screening, we further conducted data analysis with the exclusion of 103 patients who died within 72 h of admission, i.e. 377 patients who died beyond 72 h remained for analysis. For analyses of LOS in HASU and in hospital, all 480 patients who died were excluded.

Results

Men and women were equally distributed with women being older (mean age 79.3 years $\pm$ SD 13.0) than men (73.1 years $\pm$ 13.2) by 6.1 years (95% CI: 5.2–7.0, P < 0.001). On arrival, 83.3% patients presented with infarct and 15.7% with haemorrhagic stroke and 1% unspecified, 255 patients (7.7%) with moderately severe (NIHSS score 16–20) and 227 (6.9%) with severe stroke (NIHSS score 21–42). Among the 3309 cases reviewed, swallow screening was conducted within 4 h for 80% (reference category). For the remaining 20%, 15.7% were screened between 4 and 72 h and 4.3% had over 72 h delay between admission and screening. There were 358 (10.8%) with pneumonia within 7 days of admission, 657 (23.2%) stayed in HASU and 674 (23.8%) in hospital longer than 3 weeks. On discharge, 355 (11.1%) had moderately severe (mRS score = 4) and 154 (4.9%) with severe disability (mRS score = 5). There were 480 (15.1%) deaths during admission with 103 died within 72 h (Table 1). The median number of days for those who died after admission was 10.7 (IQR = 3.7–24.1).

The median LOS in HASU was 6.2 days (IQR = 2.6–20.0 days) for patients who were screened within 4 h of

admission and rose to 8.5 days (IQR = 3.0–22.8 days) for those who received screening between 4 and 72 h and 14.7 days (IQR = 3.8–28.4 days) for those who received screening beyond 72 h of admission (ANOVA for group differences: F = 5.3; P = 0.005). Similarly, the median LOS in hospital was 6.8 days (IQR = 2.9–20.4 days) for patients who were screened within 4 h of admission and rose to 9.3 days (IQR = 3.9–20.6 days) for those who received screening between 4 and 72 h and 14.9 days (IQR = 6.5–34.6 days) for those who received screening beyond 72 h of admission (ANOVA for group differences: F = 14.8; P < 0.001) (Fig. 1).

Compared to patients who received swallow screening within 4 h of admission, the proportions of patients who received swallow screening between 4 and 72 h or beyond 72 h were higher for pneumonia developed within 7 days of admission (10.1% vs. 13.6% vs. 23.8%, P < 0.001), moderately severe to severe disability on discharge (27.6% vs. 34.8% vs. 54.9%, P < 0.001), mortality (13.1% vs. 14.2% vs. 40.8%, P < 0.001) and prolonged stay in HASU (24.2% vs. 27.2% vs. 37.7%, P = 0.029) or hospital over 3 weeks (23.9% vs. 28.1% vs. 41.7%, P < 0.001). Similar patterns were observed when 103 men who died within 72 h of admission were excluded from analyses (Table 2).

Compared with those who received swallow screening within 4 h of admission, a delay between 4 and 72 h was associated with greater risks of pneumonia by 1.4-fold (95% CI: 1.1–1.9, P = 0.022), moderately severe to severe disability on discharge 1.4-fold (1.1–1.7, P = 0.007) and a delay beyond 72 h was associated with even greater risks of pneumonia by 2.3-fold (1.4–3.6, P < 0.001), prolonged stay in stroke unit 1.7-fold (1.0–3.0, P = 0.047) and in hospital 2.1-fold (1.3–3.4, P = 0.007), moderately severe to severe

Table 3 Logistic regression assessing the risk of delay in swallow screening on pneumonia developed within 7 days of admission, prolonged stay in HASU and in hospital, moderately severe to severe disability on discharge and mortality during admission, unadjusted and adjusted for age, stroke severity and type of stroke in all patients

	Unadjusted			Adjusted for age, stroke severity and type of stroke			Adjusted for age, stroke severity, type of stroke and co-morbidities ^b		
	OR	95% CI	P	OR	95% CI	P	OR	95% CI	P
Pneumonia within 7 days of admission									
Swallow screened within 4 h (Referent)	1	—	—	1	—	—	1	—	—
Swallow screened between 4 and 72 h	1.40	1.05–1.86	0.021	1.45	1.08–1.95	0.014	1.42	1.05–1.92	0.022
Swallow screened beyond 72 h	2.77	1.82–4.24	<0.001	2.32	1.47–3.66	<0.001	2.29	1.44–3.63	<0.001
Stayed in HASU > 3 weeks ^a									
Swallow screened within 4 h (Referent)	1	—	—	1	—	—	1	—	—
Swallow screened between 4 and 72 h	1.17	0.92–1.48	0.193	1.10	0.86–1.41	0.444	1.10	0.86–1.41	0.452
Swallow screened beyond 72 h	1.90	1.12–3.21	0.017	1.72	0.99–2.97	0.053	1.74	1.01–3.01	0.047
Stayed in hospital > 3 weeks ^a									
Swallow screened within 4 h (Referent)	1	—	—	1	—	—	1	—	—
Swallow screened between 4 and 72 h	1.25	0.99–1.57	0.064	1.18	0.93–1.51	0.175	1.18	0.92–1.50	0.188
Swallow screened beyond 72 h	2.28	1.41–3.68	0.001	2.04	1.24–3.35	0.005	2.09	1.27–3.43	0.004
Moderately severe to severe disability on discharge									
Swallow screened within 4 h (Referent)	1	—	—	1	—	—	1	—	—
Swallow screened between 4 and 72 h	1.40	1.15–1.71	0.001	1.39	1.12–1.73	0.003	1.35	1.09–1.68	0.007
Swallow screened beyond 72 h	3.20	2.28–4.50	<0.001	2.56	1.76–3.73	<0.001	2.52	1.73–3.68	<0.001
Mortality during admission									
Swallow screened within 4 h (Referent)	1	—	—	1	—	—	1	—	—
Swallow screened between 4 and 72 h	1.10	0.84–1.44	0.506	1.10	0.82–1.47	0.536	1.04	0.77–1.49	0.815
Swallow screened beyond 72 h	4.56	3.21–6.49	<0.001	3.79	2.55–5.63	<0.001	3.75	2.51–5.58	<0.001

^a480 patients who died during admission were excluded for LOS analysis^bCo-morbidities = atrial fibrillation, hypertension, congestive cardiac failure and diabetes.

disability on discharge 2.5-fold (1.7–3.7, $P < 0.001$), and mortality 3.8-fold (2.5–5.6, $P < 0.001$) (Table 3). These risks continued to persist and significant when patients who died within 72 h of admission were excluded from analyses (Table 4).

Discussion

We show that delay in early swallow screening of patients admitted with acute stroke associated with increased risk of pneumonia, prolonged hospital stay, severe disability on discharge and mortality during admission. The longer the delay (from 4 to 72 h and beyond), the worse were the outcomes. These risks were independent of age of patients, severity of stroke on admission, type of stroke and early mortality as well as a number of chronic co-existing health conditions, thus justifying the need for early swallow screening for every patient admitted to hospital with acute stroke.

The strengths of this study include its large number which is representative of UK population, and robustness in adjusting potential factors that may bias the results: age, stroke severity, haemorrhagic stroke, and major co-morbidities including hypertension, diabetes, congestive cardiac failure and atrial fibrillation as well as an exclusion of early mortality cases. We recognise that although bedside screening is a valuable first step in identifying dysphagic patients, but due to its relatively low sensitivity [13], patients may need further instrumental diagnostic assessment such as fiberoptic evaluation of swallowing [14]. The study is restricted to short term follow-up of stroke outcomes during acute hospital admissions, and a potential limitation of this type of study is that it is not possible to ascribe causality with certainty. Swallow screening is more likely to be delayed if the overall clinical condition is very poor, or if the patient has other coexisting health problems on admission, such that feeding is not considered appropriate. Swallow screening might then be delayed until there is major clinical improvement. However, a strength of this study is that the data were adjusted for stroke severity, to

Table 4 Logistic regression assessing the risk of delay in swallow screening on pneumonia developed within 7 days of admission, moderately severe to severe disability on discharge and mortality during admission, unadjusted and adjusted for age, stroke severity and type of stroke in patients who survived beyond 72 h (i.e. 103 patients who died within 72 h of admission were excluded)

	Unadjusted			Adjusted for age, stroke severity and type of stroke			Adjusted for age, stroke severity, type of stroke and co-morbidities ^a		
	OR	95% CI	P	OR	95% CI	P			
Pneumonia within 7 days of admission									
Swallow screened within 4 h (Referent)	1	—	—	1	—	—	1	—	—
Swallow screened between 4 and 72 h	1.40	1.04–1.89	0.025	1.41	1.04–1.92	0.030	1.38	1.01–1.88	0.046
Swallow screened beyond 72 h	3.00	1.88–4.79	<0.001	2.44	1.47–4.04	0.001	2.54	1.53–4.23	<0.001
Moderately severe to severe disability on discharge									
Swallow screened within 4 h (Referent)	1	—	—	1	—	—	1	—	—
Swallow screened between 4 and 72 h	1.44	1.17–1.76	0.001	1.40	1.13–1.75	0.003	1.37	1.09–1.71	0.006
Swallow screened beyond 72 h	2.34	1.61–3.41	<0.001	1.84	1.21–2.79	0.004	1.83	1.20–2.77	0.005
Mortality during admission									
Swallow screened within 4 h (Referent)	1	—	—	1	—	—	1	—	—
Swallow screened between 4 and 72 h	1.13	0.84–1.51	0.416	1.11	0.81–1.52	0.529	1.04	0.76–1.43	0.813
Swallow screened beyond 72 h	3.10	2.02–4.74	<0.001	2.42	1.50–3.91	<0.001	2.43	1.50–3.93	<0.001

^aCo-morbidities = atrial fibrillation, hypertension, congestive cardiac failure and diabetes

remove this potential confounder. It is very possible that some poorer stroke outcomes associated with delay in swallow screening are due to inappropriate feeding and aspiration. Delayed screening may also entail a delay in providing early nutritional support, leading to a number of complications that weaken the body and delay in recuperation process associated with under-nourishment [15, 16]. Undernutrition has been found in 16% of acute stroke patient on arrival [17] and in about a quarter of stroke patients in the first few weeks after stroke which continues to increase with increasing time spent in hospital [18, 19]. The risk of malnourishment is greater in dysphagic stroke patients than non-dysphagic stroke patients [20]. Based on this evidence, any delay in swallow screening would be detrimental to this group of patients who are highly susceptible to malnutrition. Studies have shown that early nutrition support for patients with acute stroke reduces LOS in hospital [21]. Although it is unclear whether early nutritional support has an impact of the improvement of stroke outcomes due to paucity of randomised controlled trials [22], it is clear that patients with who are malnourished on admission [23] or after admission for acute stroke [18, 19, 24, 25] had worse clinical and functional outcomes and increased risk of mortality.

Early swallow screening to allow early nutritional support is supported by a number of randomised controlled trials of non-stroke patients—acutely unwell patients who received early feeding within 24–36 h of admission to the intensive care unit were associated with greater reduction in infection, LOS in hospital and mortality than those who were randomised to start feeding later based on standard

care [26–28]. These benefits of early feeding are almost certainly applicable to acute stroke patients.

Recommendations for early swallow screening after stroke have been advocated by a number of authorities in order to implement suitable early nutrition support [10, 12]. Although swallow screening has improved, this remains variable across UK stroke centres: ~15% of patients do not have swallow screening within 72 h of admission [10].

If earlier swallow screening avoids some cases of aspiration pneumonia, and permits earlier nutrition support leading to improved outcomes, there are major benefits for patients and also for healthcare budgets. Prolonged stay in hospital imposes heavy healthcare costs. Most patients (92.2%) are managed in acute HASUs where each bed day costs £350 (€395, US \$460) [29], the remainder in non-specialist hospital beds at £222 (€250, US \$290) but costs increase with serious complications such as pneumonia [30].

In conclusion, routine early screening for stroke patients, within 4 h according to guidelines, is not being provided or all and needs greater attention. Although causality cannot be determined from this survey, data were adjusted for stroke severity and there are plausible reasons why delay in early screening for swallow capacity in acute stroke patients might account for the observed poorer clinical outcomes, by delaying nutritional provision or through inappropriate feeding leading to aspiration.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to all patients who participated in this SSNAP audit and to Dr Adrian Blight (currently at Department of

Stroke, St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust) for his help with contribution of data from Royal Surrey County Hospital.

Author contributions TSH and PS designed research (project conception, development of overall research plan and study oversight). BA, GG, TP, CB, PK and AB conducted data collection. TSH analysed the data and wrote the first draft of the paper and MEJL and PS edited subsequent versions of the paper. TSH had primary responsibility for final content. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the paper.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Smithard DG, O'Neill PA, England RE, Park CL, Wyatt R, Martin DF, et al. The natural history of dysphagia following a stroke. *Dysphagia*. 1997;12:188–93.
- Daniels SK, Brailey K, Priestly DH, Herrington LR, Weisberg LA, Foundas AL. Aspiration in patients with acute stroke. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 1998;79:14–19.
- Perry L, Love CP. Screening for Dysphagia and aspiration in acute stroke: a systematic review. *Dysphagia*. 2001;16:7–18.
- Martino R, Foley N, Bhogal S, Diamant N, Speechley M, Teasell R. Dysphagia after stroke: incidence, diagnosis, and pulmonary complications. *Stroke*. 2005;36:2756–63.
- Mann G, Hankey GJ, Cameron D. Swallowing disorders following acute stroke: prevalence and diagnostic accuracy. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2000;10:380–6.
- Smithard DG, O'Neill PA, Parks C, Morris J. Complications and outcome after acute stroke. Does dysphagia matter? *Stroke*. 1996;27:1200–4.
- Odderson IR, Keaton JC, McKenna BS. Swallow management in patients on an acute stroke pathway: quality is cost effective. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 1995;76:1130–3.
- Baijens LW, Clavé P, Cras P, Ekberg O, Forster A, Kolb GF, et al. European society for swallowing disorders - European Union Geriatric Medicine Society white paper: oropharyngeal dysphagia as a geriatric syndrome. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2016;11:1403–28.
- Royal College of Physicians. National clinical guideline for stroke. 5th ed. Prepared by the Intercollegiate Stroke Working Party. 2015.
- Royal College of Physicians. Clinical effectiveness and evaluation unit on behalf of the Intercollegiate Stroke Working Party. Sentinel Stroke National Audit Programme (SSNAP). Clinical audit January–March 2016 Public Report National results. 2016.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Stroke and transient ischaemic attack in over 16s: diagnosis and initial management. Clinical guideline. 2008. www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg68.
- Han TS, Fry CH, Fluck D, Affley B, Gulli G, Barrett C, et al. Evaluation of anticoagulation status for atrial fibrillation on early ischaemic stroke outcomes: a registry-based, prospective cohort study of acute stroke care in Surrey, UK. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7:e019122.
- Donovan NJ, Daniels SK, Edmiston J, Weinhardt J, Summers D, Mitchell PH. Dysphagia screening: State of the art. *Stroke*. 2013;44:e24–31.
- Boaden E, Doran D, Burnell J, Clegg A, Dey P, Hurley M, et al. Screening for aspiration risk associated with dysphagia in acute stroke. *The Cochrane Library* 2017.
- Perry L. Eating and dietary intake in communication-impaired stroke survivors: a cohort study from acute-stage hospital admission to 6 months post-stroke. *Clin Nutr*. 2004;23:1333–43.
- Jönsson AC, Lindgren I, Norrving B, Lindgren A. Weight loss after stroke: a population-based study from the Lund. *Stroke Regist Stroke*. 2008;39:918–23.
- Davis JP, Wong AA, Schluter PJ, Henderson RD, O'Sullivan JD, Read SJ. Impact of premorbid undernutrition on outcome in stroke patients. *Stroke*. 2004;35:1930–4.
- Dávalos A, Ricart W, Gonzalez-Huix F, Soler S, Marrugat J, Molins A, et al. Effect of malnutrition after acute stroke on clinical outcome. *Stroke*. 1996;27:1028–32.
- Yoo SH, Kim JS, Kwon SU, Yun SC, Koh JY, Kang DW. Undernutrition as a predictor of poor clinical outcomes in acute ischemic stroke patients. *Arch Neurol*. 2008;65:39–43.
- Foley NC, Martin RE, Salter KL, Teasell RW. A review of the relationship between dysphagia and malnutrition following stroke. *J Rehabil Med*. 2009;41:707–13.
- Nyswonger GD, Helmchen RH. Early enteral nutrition and length of stay in stroke patients. *J Neurosci Nurs*. 1992;24:220–3.
- Dennis M. Nutrition after stroke. *Br Med Bull*. 2000;56:466–75.
- Martineau J, Bauer JD, Isenring E, Cohen S. Malnutrition determined by the patient-generated subjective global assessment is associated with poor outcomes in acute stroke patients. *Clin Nutr*. 2005;24:1073–7.
- Foley N, Teasell R, Salter K, Kruger E, Martino R. Dysphagia treatment post stroke: a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. *Age Ageing*. 2008;37:258–64.
- Rowat A, Graham C, Dennis M. Dehydration in hospital-admitted stroke patients: detection, frequency, and association. *Stroke*. 2012;43:857–9.
- Heyland DK, Dhaliwal R, Drover JW, Gramlich L, Dodek P, Canadian Critical Care Clinical Practice Guidelines Committee. Canadian clinical practice guidelines for nutrition support in mechanically ventilated, critically ill adult patients. *J Parenter Enter Nutr*. 2003;27:355–73.
- Doig GS, Heighes PT, Simpson F, Sweetman EA, Davies AR. Early enteral nutrition, provided within 24 h of injury or intensive care unit admission, significantly reduces mortality in critically ill patients: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Intensive Care Med*. 2009;35:2018–27.
- Koretz RL, Lipman TO. The presence and effect of bias in trials of early enteral nutrition in critical care. *Clin Nutr*. 2014;33:240–5.
- Healthcare for London. Stroke acute commissioning and tariff guidance. <http://www.londonhpa.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2011/03/Stroke-Commissioning-and-Tariff-Guidance.pdf>.
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Costing statement: implementing the NICE guideline on transition between inpatient hospital settings and community or care home settings for adults with social care needs (NG27). 2015. www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng27/resources/costing-statement-2187244909.