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Abstract

Background: Cognitive impairment can complicate minor stroke, but there is limited information on risk factors including peak cognitive ability earlier in life.

Methods: We recruited patients with clinically-evident lacunar or minor non-lacunar ischaemic stroke, recorded clinical features, vascular risk factors, MRI-detected stroke sub-type and small vessel disease burden. At 1-3 and 12 months after stroke, we assessed educational attainment (years of education), current cognition (Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination Revised, ACE-R), pre-morbid intelligence (National Adult Reading Test, NART) and dependency (modified Rankin Scale, mRS).

Results: We recruited 157 patients (87 lacunar, 64 non-lacunar ischaemic strokes), median age 66 (inter-quartile range 56-74) years, 36/157 (23%) patients had an ACE-R score <82 at 1-3 months, 29/151 (19%) had an ACE-R <82 at 1 year. Lower NART score (cognitive impairment per point on NART OR 0.91, 95% CI 0.87,0.95) and older age (per year of age OR 1.04 (95% CI 1.01,1.08) predicted 1 year cognitive impairment more than stroke severity (per point on NIHSS OR 0.96 (95% CI 0.68,1.31)) or vascular risk factors e.g. hypertension (OR for diagnosis of hypertension 0.52 (95% CI 0.24,1.15). Cognitive impairment was associated with having more white matter hyper-intensities (OR per point increase in Fazekas Score 1.42, 95% CI 1.11,1.83)

Discussion: This observational study provides evidence that pre-morbid
intelligence quotient and education predict cognition after stroke, and confirms the association between cognitive impairment and small vessel disease.

Conclusion: Pre-morbid intelligence should be considered in future studies of post-stroke cognition.
Introduction

Up to 20% of patients have dementia after stroke\(^1\) and cognitive impairment is now considered an important outcome after stroke in clinical trials: e.g. in the Secondary Prevention of Small Subcortical Stroke (SPS3) trial of 1,636 patients with lacunar stroke, mild cognitive impairment (MCI) was present in 41% of those with minimal physical dependency (modified Rankin score (mRS) of 0-1) at a median of 63 days post-stroke.\(^2\) However, while severe stroke increases cognitive impairment after stroke, prediction of post-stroke cognitive impairment in general remains suboptimal, particularly in patients with less severe stroke subtypes such as lacunar stroke, which is surprising since these strokes are typically small, located in subcortical tissues and the clinical features do not include higher cortical dysfunction.\(^3\) In a previous systematic review focusing on lacunar versus non-lacunar ischaemic stroke, it was unclear whether there were differences between stroke subtypes, there were few studies that assessed cognitive impairment at later times (a year or more) after stroke, and little data on factors that predicted cognitive impairment after stroke.\(^3\)

Cognitive decline in the years prior to stroke is a well-established risk factor for post-stroke cognitive impairment and dementia.\(^4\) Pre-stroke cognition is often measured using tests such as the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE)\(^5\) to capture
decline in the patient’s cognitive ability in the ten years leading up to the stroke.\textsuperscript{1, 3} However, cognitive function immediately prior to a stroke is not the same as ‘pre-morbid intelligence quotient (IQ)’ which refers to an individual’s ‘best-ever’ IQ as measured in young adulthood, since the stroke may have occurred in old age when pre-stroke cognition may be lower than peak cognition in youth.\textsuperscript{4} Whilst it is rare to have direct measurements of pre-morbid IQ (e.g. from preserved records from childhood IQ tests), the National Adult Reading Test (NART) measures ‘crystallised cognition’, which uses the ability to pronounce irregular words as a proxy measure of peak IQ, correlates well with childhood IQ,\textsuperscript{6} plus is robust to cognitive declines in early dementia.\textsuperscript{7}

There are few data on pre-morbid IQ and post-stroke cognitive impairment, yet pre-morbid IQ is likely to influence cognition after stroke, in part through its association with educational attainment (which is also negatively associated with post stroke cognitive impairment)\textsuperscript{1} and possibly because lower IQ in youth increases the risk of stroke in later life.\textsuperscript{8} Therefore pre-morbid IQ would appear to be an important factor to measure in studies of cognition after stroke yet has not been assessed in any studies to date.\textsuperscript{1, 3}

We determined the risk of cognitive impairment after lacunar and minor non-lacunar ischaemic stroke (i.e. expected not to result in long term physical dependency), assessed the effect of pre-morbid (best-ever) IQ
versus educational attainment as well as other easily-obtained potential clinical and imaging predictors and updated our previous meta-analysis to set the present study in the context of the existing literature.

**Materials and Methods**

*Recruitment and clinical assessment*

The recruitment and clinical assessment processes have been described previously.\(^9\) Briefly, we recruited consecutive patients presenting with a minor stroke to the Lothian regional stroke service to which all patients presenting with a stroke in our catchment area are referred. All patients gave written informed consent and Lothian Ethics of Medical Research Committee (REC 09/81,101/54) and NHS Lothian R+D Office (2009/W/NEU/14) approved the study. We defined a 'minor stroke' as one with a National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) less than 7, which was not expected to cause impairment of basic activities of daily living as defined by Barthel, such as to leave the patient dependent,\(^10\) though it may cause impairment of instrumental activities of daily living. We selected this population as we wished to include patients who were likely to be well enough to return for testing at one month and one year post-stroke.

We included consecutive adult patients (over 18 yrs), able to have Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) to confirm stroke subtype, with the
capacity to give consent themselves, and with no other life-limiting condition likely to preclude follow-up at 1 year. We excluded patients who could not consent, for whatever reason including cognitive impairment or aphasia. An experienced stroke clinician carefully assessed all patients for eligibility, recorded clinical information and determined the clinical stroke subtype according to the Bamford classification$^{11}$ into ‘lacunar’ or ‘cortical’, the former as representative of a small vessel stroke subtype and the latter to provide an alternative subtype of stroke of similar severity to the lacunar group, who are prescribed similar secondary prevention drugs, as a relevant comparison group against which to judge the cognitive status of the lacunar stroke group.

We performed MRI including diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) at presentation, using the same 1.5 Tesla GE Signa HDxt scanner throughout. We defined a “lacunar” infarct on DWI as a small focal hyperintense signal in the deep grey or white matter of the cerebral hemispheres or brainstem, not involving the cerebral cortex, and ≤20 mm in maximum diameter. Other lesions were classed as non-lacunar. If the clinical stroke syndrome was not consistent with the DWI lesion, we used the DWI lesion classification. If the MRI did not show an acute lesion or any other explanation for the stroke symptoms, but the patient had a definite clinical diagnosis of stroke, a panel of experts classed the stroke based on clinical findings.
An experienced neuroradiologist performed a structured quantification of the MRI findings, blind to clinical and cognitive data. We defined all imaging features according to the STandards for ReportIng Vascular changes on nEuroimagin (STRIVE Criteria). We rated white matter hyperintensities (WMH) using the Fazekas score. We rated SVD features according to the STRIVE criteria and then created a ‘total SVD score’ of combined SVD features using the validated scale which awards one point each for one or more microbleeds, moderate-severe enlarged perivascular spaces in the basal ganglia, one or more lacuneas, and periventricular WMH>2 on the Fazekas scale.

**Cognitive Testing**

Cognitive testing was performed at 1-3 and 12 months post-stroke. We initially planned to test all patients at 1 month post-stroke, but many were still not well enough to return to hospital for cognitive testing so were tested later. The primary outcome measure was the Addenbrookes Cognitive Examination, Revised (ACE-R), which is of equivalent sensitivity to the MoCA in detecting mild cognitive impairment (MCI). In order to ensure we were identifying cognitive impairment which was of clinical significance we defined cognitive impairment as an ACE-R score <82 (sensitivity of 84%, specificity of 100% for dementia). We repeated the analysis using the ACE-R cut off of <88, as a sensitivity analysis. A trained clinician (SM) carried out the ACE-R, and other cognitive tests. We
measured depression using the Beck Depression Index (BDI), and pre-morbid IQ using the NART.

The NART has been validated in the 7th and 8th decades against actual cognitive ability at age 11 in local subjects, and test results remain constant in early to moderate dementia, whilst other cognitive tests deteriorate. We also recorded the number of years spent in full-time education as a measure of educational attainment. All tests were carried out in the hospital, as we did not have resources for home visits. The NART took 5-10 minutes to administer as part of a cognitive battery of tests, and the research received training from an experienced research psychologist at the Centre for Cognitive Aging and Cognitive Epidemiology at Edinburgh University.

Follow-up

We invited patients for a follow-up appointment at 1-year post-stroke. At this appointment, we repeated the cognitive tests, assessed disability using the modified Rankin Scale (mRS), ascertained if they had developed additional vascular risk factors or experienced a further stroke or transient ischaemic attack (TIA), and if so, whether they had presented to stroke services. If patients could not attend for follow-up, we obtained information on recurrent stroke or TIA and mRS by telephone, postal questionnaire, or from their GP.

Statistical analysis
We performed statistical analysis using R statistical software R Core Team (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, http://www.R-project.org). We used logistic regression to calculate the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of an ACE-R <82 for patients with and without test features, both unadjusted and adjusted for age and NART / education. The number of exploratory variables was restricted to one variable per 10 subjects in the smallest group to guard against over-fitting. We used the root mean square error to examine if NART predicted ACE-R more strongly than years of education. We compared the cognitive findings at 1-3 months and 1 year with MRI features of small vessel disease (SVD) burden and stroke sub-type at presentation. We performed an additional analysis using linear regression modelling and interaction terms to explore the relationship between ACER and NART at different levels of education.

Results

Patient characteristics

We recruited 208 patients (88 lacunar, 120 cortical strokes), of whom 157 returned for formal cognitive testing at 1-3 months post-stroke (Figure 1) and 151 (63 lacunar, 88 non-lacunar) at 1 year (see Figure 1 & Table 1 for reasons for non-testing). Tested patients were younger and less likely to be dependent at 1 year (Table 1). There was no difference between those tested and not tested with respect to baseline or 1 year NIHSS
score or recurrent stroke. No patients had a diagnosis of pre-stroke
dementia or MCI. In the period between onset of symptoms and cognitive
testing, two patients had recurrent vascular events (one stroke, one TIA),
and another patient who had an early recurrent stroke was not well
enough to attend for cognitive testing.
Influence of premorbid intelligence (NART)

A higher pre-morbid intelligence as estimated using the NART (Table 2) was associated with male sex (median NART scores 40.5 in men, 36 in women p=0.02), the absence of atrial fibrillation (AF, median 41.5 in patients without AF, 36 in patients with AF, p=0.049), and alcohol use over the recommended limit (median 42 in patients who used excess alcohol, 36 in patients who did not). There were strong associations between NART and ACE-R at 1-3 months (r = 0.47, p<0.01), and between NART and the number of years of full-time education (r=0.45, p<0.01, ). Patients who were older when recruited to the study with stroke tended to have a slightly higher NART score, although this did not reach statistical significance (correlation of NART and older age, r=0.10, p=0.21, ). Patients with a previous stroke in our study did not have a significantly lower NART than patients without a previous stroke, the median NART for patients with a previous stroke was 39 (inter quartile range, (IQR) 26.5-43) whilst the median NART for patients without a previous stroke was 41(IQR 26.5-43) a difference that was not statistically significant (p=0.17, calculated using Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney test) in this sample size. The difference might be significant in a much larger dataset.
There was little change in NART between 1-3 months and 1 year post-stroke, and NART at 1-3 months was strongly predictive of NART at 1 year ($r=0.86$, $p<0.001$, Figure 2).
Cognition after stroke (ACE-R scores) at 1-3 and 12 months and predictors

We found that 36/157 (23%) patients had an ACE-R score <82 at 1-3 months and 29/151 (19%) had an ACE-R score <82 at 1 year. A higher ACE-R was associated with younger age, higher NART and more years of education (Table 3). There was no difference in cognitive impairments between lacunar and non-lacunar ischaemic stroke subtypes. Patients with non-lacunar stroke were not more likely to be impaired in the domains associated with higher cortical dysfunction (language or visuospatial function, Figure 3) than those with lacunar stroke. Both unadjusted (Table 3) and adjusted (Supplementary Table) analyses show that ACE-R <82 was predicted by older age (OR per year of age 1.04, 95%CI 1.00, 1.08), lower NART (age adjusted OR per point on NART 0.92, 95%CI 0.88, 0.96), fewer years of education, (age adjusted OR per year of education 0.68, 95%CI 0.48, 0.87), higher Fazekas Score (OR per point increase in Fazekas Score 1.42, 95% CI 1.11,1.83) and higher total SVD score (OR per point increase in SVD score 1.68, 95% CI 1.05, 2.7).

To determine if the NART predicted ACE-R at one year more strongly than years of education, we constructed two unadjusted linear regression models and compared the root mean square errors (MSE): the mean error between the ACE-R predicted by the NART or the number of years of education and the actual ACER. Total years of education had an root MSE of 7.34 ACE-R points whereas NART at 1-3 months had an MSE of
7.33 indicating little difference between NART and the number of years of education in the ability to predict ACE-R.

As a further analysis we repeated the analysis using the cut off of ACER <88 and again the strongest predictor of ACE-R was older age, lower NART/years of education (supporting information).

ACE-R largely remained static in the first year after stroke. Of 27 patients who were impaired at 1-3 months, 19 (70%) were still impaired at 1 year and 8 (30%) had improved; of 108 patients who were not impaired at 1-3 months, 4 had developed cognitive impairment by 1 year. ACE-R at 1-3 months was highly correlated with ACE-R at 1 year 0.85, p<0.001 with no significant difference between the two (median ACE-R at 1-3 months 90, median ACE-R at 1 year 91, p=0.34).

*Post-stroke cognition (ACE-R) and dependence (mRS)*

Patients who were more dependent at 1 year post-stroke had lower ACE-R scores: median ACE-R for patients with an mRS of ≥2 was 89 (IQR 81.75-91.25), whereas the median ACE-R for patients with an mRS of <2 was 92 (IQR 86-95, p = 0.02). On multivariable logistic regression using the proportional odds model, the relationship between functional outcome and cognitive impairment remained significant after correction for age, NIHSS score, NART, and BDI: the adjusted OR was 0.93 (95% CI 0.88-0.98)

*Relationship between NART, ACE-R and Education*
To further explore the relationship between NART, ACE-R and education we conducted a further, post-hoc analysis, which indicated that education may weaken the connection between NART and ACE-R in that the relationship between ACE-R and NART fell more steeply in those with fewer years of education (Supplementary Figure 2). We constructed a further multivariable model to include an interaction term (Supplementary Table 2), the coefficient was small it is probable that our sample was lacking the power to explore this relationship.

**Results of the updated systematic review:**

To establish if our patients were comparable to other studies of cognition after lacunar versus non-lacunar stroke, we updated our systematic review with data from our study and the only other relevant study published since our review.\(^{22}\) The details of the studies are given in supplementary table. Thus, a total of 18 studies and 7,814 patients were included (12.5% more studies and 20% more patients since 2013). The updated OR of cognitive impairment in lacunar compared to non-lacunar stroke did not change significantly: updated OR 0.75 (91% CI 0.47 to 1.20) compared to 0.72 (95% 0.42 to 1.20) previously(Supplementary Figure 1).
Discussion

We found that: the NART, (which estimates pre-morbid IQ) was a stronger predictor of post-stroke cognition than vascular risk factors or stroke severity and suggest that it should be accounted for in studies of post-stroke cognition; additionally cognitive impairment was common and associated with greater likelihood of being dependent (higher mRS) at 1 year post-stroke. We confirmed that patients with lacunar stroke were as likely to have cognitive impairment one year after stroke as patients with a similarly mild non-lacunar stroke. Post-stroke cognitive impairment was also associated with fewer years of education. While there was no definite difference between pre-morbid IQ and education in terms of prediction of ACE-R in this single study, the NART is a more direct measure of pre-morbid IQ than education so future larger studies should examine whether pre-morbid IQ or education are the stronger predictor and whether education can modify the effect of pre-morbid IQ, as assessed by the NART or equivalent test, on post-stroke cognitive impairment. Future confirmation that education can reduce the adverse effect of pre-morbid IQ on post stroke cognitive impairment as suggested in Supplementary Figure 2 may help identify additional ways in which public health strategies could, in the long term, improve outcomes after stroke.

The strengths of this study include accurate stroke subtyping, adjustment for confounding from pre-existing SVD, depression, and
pre-morbid IQ, and a comparator group with a different subtype of stroke, but similar severity and prescribed similar secondary prevention, against whom to compare the results for the lacunar stroke patients. Weaknesses include the sample size, which is smaller than multi-centre studies but among the larger studies in our systematic review, and complete cognitive data in 73% a 1 year, which is, however, comparable to other studies. Pendlebury et al estimated that loss of untestable patients may lead to a seven-fold underestimation of cognitive impairment. Since we started this work, there is more evidence that some assessments can provide a good agreement between telephone and face to face assessment. Another weakness is that we did not formally test for pre-stroke cognitive impairment: we excluded patients who lacked the capacity to consent but did not use a formal test of pre-stroke cognitive impairment falling short of incapacity, as use of the IQ-CODE requires an informant and has been discontinued by other studies. Whilst we did include patients who did not have an acute ischaemic lesion visible on diffusion imaging on MRI, our previous analysis demonstrated that at one year there was little clinical difference between patients who did or did not have a visible DWI lesion when presentation with stroke with respect to cognitive impairment, recurrent stroke/TIA, or disability and no alternative diagnosis apart from stroke. Therefore we did not feel it would be appropriate to exclude patients without a DWI-visible lesion at presentation from the study. Our used of the dichotomised data may
have reduced the power slightly but may produce results which are more clinically meaningful. Our exploration of the relationship between education, NART and post-stroke cognitive impairment is interesting, and worthy of further research, however the sample size was limited.

Our updated meta-analysis demonstrates that our study was consistent with other studies identified in our 2013 systematic review, indicating our sample is relevant to other studies of cognition after lacunar versus non-lacunar stroke. It is notable that our results were similar to other studies in the review, despite many of these being of a hospital population including patients with more severe stroke. It is likely that the included patients, who were able to complete testing were actually those with a milder impairment: Lees et al.\textsuperscript{25} found that only 22% of patients on a rehabilitation ward with disabling stroke were able to complete cognitive screening tests.

Our findings are similar to studies which found an association between cognition and education\textsuperscript{26} and little association between domain-specific cognitive impairments and stroke subtype.\textsuperscript{27} The SPS 3 study found a higher rate of MCI than our rate of cognitive impairment but was not included in our meta-analysis because it lacked a non-lacunar control group.

This work has implications for clinicians and researchers. Firstly it underlines that it is important to consider that pre-morbid IQ may influence results of research into the aetiology of, and outcomes after
stroke, and therefore should be measured in studies examining post-stroke cognition. Measurement of years of education is an alternative, particularly in a population where availability of education is universally consistent.

We demonstrate that it is feasible to use the NART to test pre-morbid intelligence in patients with minor stroke; the test took 5-10 minutes to administer, was completed by the majority of patients, and researchers could be trained in one session.

Disadvantages of the use of the NART are that it is an additional test for the patient leading to an increased burden of testing, and validated versions are not available in all languages. Whilst recording the years of education is an attractive alternative, and should certainly be considered in settings where NART is not feasible, it should be noted that although pre-morbid cognitive ability and education are inter-related, they are not the same, since pre-morbid cognitive ability reflects factors (eg processing speed) which are not affected by education as well as factors (e.g. reasoning ability and visuospatial function) that are affected by education. However, the NART is a direct measure of pre-morbid intelligence and also parametric which enables more sophisticated statistical analysis.

Whether measured directly by NART or indirectly through years of education pre-morbid IQ is an important factor in post-stroke cognition, and should be accounted for in research into post-stroke cognition.
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