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Abstract

Oxygen-challenge imaging (OCI) involves transient hyperoxia applied during deoxyhemoglobin sensitive (T2*-weighted) magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and has the potential to detect changes in brain oxygen extraction. In order to develop optimal practical protocols for OCI, we investigated the influence of oxygen concentration, cerebral blood flow change, pattern of oxygen administration and field strength on T2*-weighted signal. Eight healthy volunteers underwent multi-parametric MRI including OCI and arterial spin labelling (ASL) using two oxygen concentrations (target FiO2 of 100% and 60%) administered consecutively (two-stage challenge) at both 1.5T and 3T. There was a greater signal increase in grey matter compared to white matter during OC (p<0.002 at 3T, P<0.0001 at 1.5T) and at FiO2=100% compared to FiO2=60% in grey matter at both field strengths (p<0.02) and in white matter at 3T only (p=0.0314). Differences in the magnitude of signal change between 1.5T and 3T did not reach statistical significance. Reduction of T2*-weighted signal to below baseline, after hyperoxia withdrawal, confounded interpretation of two-stage OCI. Reductions in cerebral blood flow did not obscure the T2*-weighted signal increases. In conclusion, the optimal protocol for further study should utilise target FiO2=100% during a single oxygen challenge. Imaging at both 1.5T and 3T is clinically feasible.
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Background

Respiratory challenge using transient inhalation of supplemental gas mixtures during magnetic resonance (MR) imaging may be a useful method to probe the metabolic state of brain tissue. A hyperoxic “challenge” administered whilst scanning with MR sequences sensitive to deoxyhemoglobin (T2*-weighted sequences), precipitates an increase in T2*-weighted signal in healthy tissue, on the basis of a relative decrease in deoxyhemoglobin. Our group has evaluated oxygen challenge imaging (OCI) in rodent models of stroke and in stroke patients. In hypometabolic tissue - putative ischaemic core - diminished rises in T2*-weighted signal were observed. In rodent studies the exaggerated rise in T2*-weighted signal intensity in putative ischemic penumbra compared to normal tissue is believed to result from increased deoxyhaemoglobin as a result of increased oxygen extraction fraction (OEF) compared to baseline, and high cerebral blood volume due to compensatory arteriolar vasodilatation.

In this study we sought to;

- Investigate the changes in T2*-weighted signal intensity in response to different inhaled oxygen concentrations
- Compare one-stage oxygen challenge (OCI) to two-stage OCI
- Explore the influence of hyperoxia on cerebral blood flow (CBF), and
- Clarify the feasibility of imaging at different clinically relevant MRI field strengths.

Methods

Simulations

Prior to the human studies, simulations were undertaken to predict T2*-weighted signal change in grey matter in relation to MR field strength, oxygen concentration, and tissue OEF using a previously published method. This is based on a novel biophysical model of blood oxygen level dependant (BOLD) contrast with hyperoxia which accounts for the susceptibility of oxygen dissolved in plasma. This model assumes that the contrast agent does not change the baseline cerebral metabolic rate for oxygen (CMRO₂) or OEF of the tissue being investigated.

Subject Recruitment

This study was approved by the West of Scotland Research Ethics Service (REC Reference 12/WS/0200) and written informed consent was attained from all subjects. All experiments were performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice.
We recruited healthy adult volunteers ≥ 18 years. Exclusion criteria were a. Type II respiratory failure, b. Heart Failure, c. Hemodynamic instability including atrial fibrillation, d. CNS disease likely to affect hemodynamic response to oxygen e.g. previous stroke and e. Contraindications to MR including pregnancy, pacemakers, and ferromagnetic implants.

Study Specific Procedures

Basic demographics and details of medications, vasoactive drug use, and past medical history were recorded. Subjects were scanned using two separate MR scanners (GE Signa) of different field strengths (1.5T and 3T) on two separate days. Prior to scanning, subjects were asked to refrain from ingestion of caffeine or tobacco for 6 hours. Baseline blood pressure, heart rate and nail bed capillary oxygen saturations (SpO2) were measured using an MR compatible anaesthesia patient monitor (Veris® Vital Signs Monitor, MEDRAD, Indianola, PA).

Gas Administration

Subjects were fitted with an oxygen mask (Intersurgical Ltd, Ref 1141) for the duration of the MR examination. The expiratory ports were closed and used gauze swabs and tape to create a tight seal between the face and the mask. The mask was then connected to a specially designed unidirectional breathing circuit (Ref 2013014, Intersurgical Ltd) via a catheter mount (Intersurgical Ltd, Ref 3514). This prevents rebreathing. The breathing circuit was connected to an anaesthetic machine (Datex Ohmeda Aestiva /5 MRI) via a filter (Clear-Therm 3 HMEF, Luer Lock Port, Intersurgical, Ref 1541). The fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO2) was varied between 21%, 60%, and 100% in either an ascending or descending sequence during T2*-weighted echoplanar imaging (EPI) and separate arterial spin labelling (ASL) sequences. During the T2*-weighted sequence, medical air was alternated with hyperoxia in the following fashion:

- air (2mins 13s), followed by
- oxygen challenge 1 [OC1] (3mins), followed by
- air (2mins), followed by
- oxygen challenge 2 [OC2] (3mins), followed by
- air (1min).

In half of the subjects (subjects 2,3,6,7) oxygen was given with an FiO2 = 100% for OC1 and FiO2=60% for OC2 [descending protocol], and the sequence was reversed for the remaining half (subjects 1,5,8,9) [ascending protocol]. Heart rate, respiratory rate and SpO2 were
recorded throughout. Inspired oxygen (FiO₂, %), end tidal carbon dioxide (ETCO₂, %) and end tidal oxygen (ETO₂, %) were monitored from a gas sampling line connected to the MedRad monitor.

**MRI Protocols**

The MR Protocols for the 1.5T and 3T scanners are detailed in Table 1. The protocol for the 3T scanner consisted of a T2*-weighted EPI sequence of 11mins and 12 seconds duration (TR = 2000ms, 332 volumes) as detailed in Table 1. This sequence was acquired with a 30° tilt relative to the AC-PC line in order to reduce artefact from nasal sinuses. The remainder of the 3T examination consisted of 3 pseudocontinuous arterial spin labelling (ASL) sequences acquired with normoxia (ASL21%) and then with the two different levels of hyperoxia in the order given during the EPI sequence (ASL60% and ASL100%). Parameters for the ASL are also included in Table 1. In addition, for each concentration of oxygen, seven images with fast low shot angle sequences were acquired at different flip angles to allow for correction of the effects of oxygen on T1-weighted signal for the ASL data. A 3D T1-weighted image of the whole brain was also acquired.

**Post Processing**

Generation of Time Series

A Dell workstation was used for these analyses (Precision T3600, 8GB RAM, Intel Xeon 2.8GHz). The T2*-weighted EPI data acquired at both 3T and 1.5T were realigned to the first image using Statistical Parametric Mapping software (SPM8) which was run using the MATLAB R2013b platform. Next, the 3D T1-weighted imaging (preferably that acquired from the 3T examination) was skull stripped using the FSL Brain Extraction Tool. Maps of grey and white matter were derived using the automated segmentation tool, FAST. Default values were used for this analysis and a binarized map for grey matter and white matter was generated. The skull-stripped original T1-weighted image was transformed and coregistered to the realigned T2*-weighted EPI data using windowed sinc interpolation using Analyze (v8.1, Mayo Clinic, USA). This process required manual manipulation to allow alignment. The transformation matrix was saved and applied to the grey and white matter maps. ‘Object maps’ delineating grey matter and white matter were overlaid onto the realigned T2*-weighted EPI data in Analyze. The anatomical coverage of the maps was truncated to cover from the level of the basal ganglia to the vertex in order to exclude regions from the posterior fossa. Time series of the raw data were then generated for all volumes of the time series. Wavelet transforms were then applied to smooth the data as
previously described\textsuperscript{4}, using R software (R Core Team, Vienna 2014; www.R-project.org). The resulting time series data were normalised to the baseline (volumes 0-62) using PRISM software (version 5.04 for Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego California USA, www.graphpad.com) and area under the curve (AUC) was calculated\textsuperscript{4}. The baseline was defined as the mean of volumes 0-62 [0-124s], with AUC for the first oxygen challenge calculated from the data acquired from volumes 73-182 [146-364] (to allow for lag of gas delivery) and the AUC for the second oxygen challenge calculated from the data acquired from volumes 223-332 [446-664s].

Calculation of Change in Cerebral Blood Flow (CBF) and Cerebrovascular Resistance

ASL data were separated into proton density and perfusion images using Image J (Rasband, W.S., ImageJ, U. S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA, http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/, 1997-2014.). The 3D T1-weighted image, ASL\textsubscript{FiO2_21\%} and ASL\textsubscript{FiO2_100\%} were coregistered to proton density map of ASL\textsubscript{FiO2_21\%} with 3D voxel registration using Analyze. The transformed T1-weighted image was skull stripped and segmented using the FSL brain extraction tool and FAST. T1 maps were calculated using MatLab on a pixel-by-pixel basis. The 3D T1-weighted image was segmented into grey matter, white matter and CSF. Binary grey matter masks were generated to extract the T1 values of the grey matter, and an average value for T1 was obtained for each OC for each participant. Quantitative CBF maps were then generated using an in house ‘macro’ for Image J with correction of T1-weighted signal using the averaged T1 values obtained in the grey matter and with the following equation [operator manual for optima edition 23 based software, GE Healthcare]:

\[
\text{CBF} = 6000 \times \lambda \times \frac{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\text{ST}}{\text{T}_{1w}}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\text{PLD}}{\text{T}_{1b}}\right)}{2 \times \text{T}_{1b} \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\text{LT}}{\text{T}_{1b}}\right)\right) \times \varepsilon \times \text{NEX}_{\text{PD}}} \times \frac{\text{PW}}{\text{SF}_{\text{PD}}} \\
\]

where T1b is T1 of blood and is assumed to be 1.6s at 3T. T1t is the T1 value obtained on grey matter. ST is saturation time and was set to 2s. The partition coefficient \( \lambda \) is set to the whole brain average, 0.9. The efficiency, \( \varepsilon \), is a combination of both inversion efficiency (0.8) and background suppression efficiency (0.75) resulting in an overall efficiency of 0.6. PLD is
the post labelling delay used for the ASL experiment. LT is the labelling duration set to 1.5s. PW is the perfusion weighted or the raw difference image. SFPW is the scaling factor of PW sequence. NEXPW is the number of excitations for PW images. The CBF is reported in units of ml/100g/min.

Binarized grey matter masks were then applied to the CBF$_{21\%}$ map and whole brain grey matter CBF calculated. This was then repeated with the other co-registered ASL scans. To generate measurements of cerebrovascular reactivity the following formula was used in Microsoft Excel: Change in CBF = (Grey matter CBF$_{100\%}$ – Grey matter CBF$_{21\%}$) / Grey matter CBF$_{21\%}$ * 100. Cerebrovascular reactivity (CVR) was calculated by dividing change in CBF by change in ETO2.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using StatsDirect software (v2.8.0, Cheshire UK, 2013; www.statsdirect.com). Prior to comparative analyses, normality was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Paired t-tests or Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Tests were used for normally distributed and non-normally distributed data, respectively. To compare all conditions simultaneously, a two way ANOVA test was employed. To assess the AUC of the T2*-weighted signal change compared to baseline, and the percentage change in CBF compared to baseline, we employed a single sample t-test, using a value of ‘0’ as the baseline comparator. Statistical significance was considered at $p < 0.05$ for two-way tests.

Results

Simulations

Simulations were performed for blood vessels of different sizes at a normal brain oxygen extraction fraction (OEF) of 0.35; data for veins are the most relevant to this study (right hand side of each graph in Figure 1). The predicted T2*-weighted signal change with hyperoxia was modelled for 1.5T and 3T (Figure 1). Simulations for grey matter predicted a greater magnitude of T2*-weighted signal change in response to hyperoxia at 3T compared to 1.5T but a detectable change at 1.5T also. Figure 1 also shows predicted differences in signal response with different concentrations of oxygen, dependent on the underlying tissue OEF. In general, simulations predicted a greater magnitude of T2*-weighted signal change in response to increasing concentrations of oxygen. In penumbral tissue with an OEF of 0.9, higher concentrations of oxygen were predicted to precipitate greater T2*-weighted signal
change, but in hypometabolic tissue (OEF 0.10), a FiO2=100% would precipitate the least T2*-weighted signal intensity owing to the paradoxical effect of paramagnetic oxygen dissolved in plasma.

Subjects

We recruited 9 subjects, one of whom (subject 4) was excluded owing to intolerance of the MR scanner, therefore leaving 8 evaluable subjects. There were five males and three females with median age 35y (range 31-39y). Four subjects were Caucasian, 3 were of South Asian origin, and one of Chinese origin. All subjects were in good health and none was taking vasoactive medications or smoking. Mean blood pressure was 120 (s.d. 11) / 77 (s.d. 11) with oxygen saturations measured by a pulse oximeter of ≥97% in all subjects. The ASL at FiO2=100% was not available for subject 5 since the scan was terminated due to scan intolerance, and ASL could not be analysed for any FiO2 in subject 9 owing to data corruption. We encountered technical problems with the proton density map for subject 8, so we were unable to derive quantitative CBF values for this subject, but we were still able to determine the percentage change in CBF using the CBF maps. Baseline and dynamic data for respiratory parameters and CBF are given in Table 2 and Supplemental Table 1, respectively.

Time Series

Graphs summarising the T2*-weighted signal time series are shown in Figure 2. The T2*-weighted signal increased after oxygen challenge with both FiO2= 60% and FiO2=100% irrespective of order of administration. Withdrawal of hyperoxia was followed by a decrease in T2*-weighted signal below the original baseline — a post oxygen-challenge (OC) “undershoot” — and remained below the original baseline until the next oxygen challenge or until the sequence finished. Therefore the projected duration of the undershoot beyond 120 seconds after cessation of the OC is unclear.

There was a significant increase in T2*-weighted signal intensity, as measured by AUC, at FiO2=100% in grey matter (p=0.002, at 3T; p<0.0001, at 1.5T) and white matter (p=0.007, at 3T; p=0.002 at 1.5T). There was also a significant increase in the AUC of the T2*-weighted signal intensity curve at the lower oxygen concentration of FiO2=60%, both in grey matter
There was a highly statistical difference in the AUCs derived under all the different conditions when field strength, tissue type, and oxygen concentration was altered (AUC of T2*-weighted signal intensity curve from grey matter at FiO2=100% at 1.5T, from white matter at FiO2=100% at 1.5T, from grey matter at FiO2=60% at 1.5T, from white matter at FiO2= 60% at 1.5T, from grey matter at FiO2= 100% at 3T, from white matter at FiO2= 100% at 3T, from grey matter at FiO2=60% at 3T, and from white matter at FiO2=60% 3T, p<0.0001, two way ANOVA).

There was a greater T2*-weighted signal change (AUC) precipitated by OC in grey matter compared to white matter (n=8 for all: FiO2=60% at 3T, p=0.0016; FiO2=100% at 3T, p=0.0011; FiO2=60% at 1.5T, p<0.0001; FiO2=100% at 1.5T, p<0.0001). The AUCs during FiO2=100% were greater than those during FiO2=60% in grey matter at both 1.5T and 3T (n=8 for all: p=0.019 at 3T, p=0.0072 at 1.5T) and in white matter at 3T (n=8, p=0.0314) but not at 1.5T (n=8, p=0.177).

There was no statistical difference between the AUCs derived at 1.5T compared to 3T (n=8 for all: for grey matter at FiO2=100%, p=0.72; for grey matter at FiO2=60%, p=0.30; for white matter at FiO2=100%, p=0.77, for white matter at FiO2=60%, p=0.45) in this small sample.

There was no difference between the magnitude of AUCs measured from ascending and descending protocols for FiO2=60% or FiO2=100%.

Cerebral Blood Flow

In grey matter, mean CBF was 51ml/100g/min (s.d 12, n=6, Supplementary Table 1). CBF was lower in all subjects at FiO2=100% (mean CBF =46 ml/100g/min, s.d. 14ml/100g/min; mean reduction = -11%, s.d. 6% [p=0.008, two sided single sample t-test], Figure 3 and Table 2). At FiO2=60%, there was a reduction of CBF in 5 of 7 subjects (mean CBF = 50ml/100g/min , s.d. 14 ml/100g/min; reduction =mean - 3%, s.d. 6%) but this did not reach statistical significance (p=0.23, two sided single sample t-test). The difference in CBF at FiO2=100% compared to FiO2=60% was statistically significant (p=0.005, paired t-test). Neither change in CBF nor change in cerebrovascular resistance significantly correlated with AUC of the T2*-weighted signal at FiO2=100% (CBF, p=0.29; CVR, p=0.07) or at FiO2=60% (CBF, p=0.55; CVR, p=0.85). Figure 3 shows that hyperoxia precipitated a reduction in CBF in subject 1, particularly at FiO2=100%, and this in turn lead to a reduction in cerebrovascular
resistance (CVR) as shown by the CVR maps. This figure also shows a greater reduction in CBF with 100% $O_2$ compared to 60% $O_2$ in subject 1.

**Discussion**

This study aimed to compare different oxygen delivery protocols for OCI and determine the influence of possible factors confounding $T_2^*$-weighted signal change during OCI. The $T_2^*$-weighted signal change, as measured by the AUC of the time series of this parameter, was clearly positively influenced by increasing oxygen concentrations, and signal changes were greater in grey matter compared to white matter. This was despite demonstrated reductions in $ETCO_2$, CBF and subsequently CVR which would be expected to attenuate this response. We did not find any evidence of paradoxical decreases in signal intensity, even in white matter. Two-stage OC was confounded by the “undershoot” of $T_2^*$-weighted signal after the first oxygen challenge to below baseline. We observed a variable $ETO_2$ between subjects after delivery of fixed concentration of hyperoxia, despite modifications of the masks to limit gas escape. Finally, OCI is feasible on 1.5T MRI scanners which are more widely available in the clinical setting.

At a $FiO_2$ of 100% at 3T we observed clearly detectable increases in $T_2^*$-weighted signal change in healthy brain tissue. Our finding that OCI induces greater change in $T_2^*$-weighted signal in grey matter compared to white matter is expected and reflects greater cerebral metabolic rate for oxygen (CMRO$_2$) and cerebral blood volume in grey matter$^{13}$. Nonetheless, smaller but detectable $T_2^*$-weighted signal increases in white matter suggests that OCI may even be able to distinguish normally metabolising from hypometabolic white matter.

Variable inter-subject $ETO_2$ is expected when a closed gas delivery system (which requires endotracheal intubation or tightly sealed face and nose masks) is impossible within the physical constraints of the head coil combined with subject claustrophobia. In the absence of a specially designed CE marked MRI-compatible mask, we used a standard oxygen mask with additional tape seal, and achieved an $ETO_2$ of 50-85% at $FiO2=100%$ despite variable subject tolerance, facial geometry and facial hair. Our goal was to evaluate OCI with a clinically compatible system, since this is a key factor in establishing the potential to translate the method to a clinical setting, particularly in acutely unwell patients.

Absolute values for CBF derived from ASL should be interpreted with caution. The measured mean grey matter CBF of 51ml/100g/min is less that one would expect. Global (mean) CBF is accepted to be approximately 50ml/100g/min in young healthy volunteers, as determined
Values for grey matter and white matter cerebral blood flow have been shown to be around 80ml/100g/min and 20ml/100g/min respectively, as determined by intra-arterial Xenon 133 injection techniques. Therefore our technique likely underestimated grey matter CBF. Nonetheless, the observed mean reduction in CBF with hyperoxia of 11%, which was variable among subjects, ranging from 5% to 20% reduction, is consistent with literature reports of a mean reduction of 13-15% in global CBF. Studies using phase contrast MRI have shown slightly greater reductions of up to 27% and one ASL study reported a mean reduction of 32.6%. However, unlike others, our study corrected for the effect of hyperoxia on T1-weighted signal. As in our study, Watson et al showed significant variation of CBF reduction between subjects - 8.99% to 26.7% - using hyperoxia at 15l/min during phase contrast MR. Bulte and colleagues found smaller reductions in CBF using ASL - a mean grey matter CBF reduction of 7% was demonstrated with FiO2=100%, and 4% at FiO2=60%. Our data also reflected this differential effect, with a higher CBF at FiO2=100% compared to FiO2=60%.

The reduction in CBF and CVR due to hyperoxia which was observed in this study, and others, is a potential confounder for our technique. Reductions in CBF are anticipated to reduce T2*-weighted signal intensity, and therefore attenuate rather than exaggerate the T2*-weighted signal intensity increase seen with OCI. We cannot assume similar changes in CBF in those with disease states such as stroke, since autoregulation may be lost, and there may be variability between metabolic tissue compartments within the same subject. Since the CBF changes due to hyperoxia are likely to be due to secondary hypocapnia, a potential solution is to use controlled isocapnic hyperoxia for OCI. Whilst this is feasible for healthy volunteers, it is less practical for patient studies, especially those which study acutely unwell subjects, since sequential gas delivery systems are required. Therefore, if OCI is to become feasible for subjects who are unwell, further study of the magnitude and direction of change in CBF with standard OCI in patients with disordered brain metabolism is desirable, in order to determine potential confounds in such patients.

Since high FiO2 was associated with larger T2* signal changes in healthy brain tissue, this approach is likely to be optimal for future OCI studies. Nonetheless, our current findings relate only to healthy subjects and adaptation of protocols might be appropriate in different disease states. Although under physiological conditions a target FiO2=100% yielded the largest T2*-weighted signal increases, simulations predict different effects of higher oxygen concentration on T2* signal at different OEFs. For example, it was predicted that in tissue with a reduced OEF (0.15), such as might be encountered in infarcted tissue after stroke, the highest oxygen concentration would give rise to the smallest increase in T2*-weighted signal. In practice, this might be due to reduced production of deoxyhaemoglobin secondary to oxidative metabolism, and increased predilection for paramagnetic oxygen to dissolve in plasma which would in turn precipitate a decrease in T2*-weighted signal intensity. This is
consistent with animal experimental stroke data which showed negative changes in T2*-weighted signal within the infarct \(^2\). It is possible therefore, that the use of more than one oxygen concentration may tease out differences in tissue of varying states of hypometabolic activity. For example, one might hypothesise that the tissue with an increase in T2*-weighted signal intensity during FiO2=60%, but a decrease in signal at FiO2=100% shows more metabolic activity than tissue with negative changes at both oxygen concentrations, and less metabolic activity than tissue with increases at both oxygen concentrations. However, despite the potential attraction of further investigating this hypothesis with the two-stage OC, we noted a post-OC “undershoot”. The reduced T2* signal baseline post-oxygen challenge has been observed in rodent studies, both in healthy tissue and to a greater extent in penumbra\(^2\). Whether this relates to vasomotor or metabolic changes, or a combination, is unclear, but persistent signal change for at least 1600s in rodents, if replicated in humans, makes dual OC impractical in most clinical settings. Potential explanations include rebound increases in CBV or transient increases in OEF as hyperoxia is withdrawn. Regardless of the cause, this change in baseline means that interpretation of the second OCI in our study needs to be cautious.

Finally, we addressed the feasibility of OCI at 1.5T since this field strength is in widest clinical use. The small but non-statistically significant difference in T2*-weighted signal change due to hyperoxia between 1.5T and 3T is consistent with our simulations that predicted lower response at 1.5T compared to 3T. It is also compatible with previously observed lower signal-to-noise ratios at 1.5T compared to 3T and 7T in calibrated Blood Oxygen Level Dependant MRI experiments measuring the cerebral metabolic rate for oxygen\(^23\). It is possible that a larger number of subjects in our study would have yielded a difference which was statistically significant. Nonetheless, as a result of these data we conclude that although higher field strengths are preferable, OCI is feasible on 1.5T MR scanners, and therefore has the potential to be applied on most clinical MR scanners.

The strengths of this study include the collection of a large number of real time respiratory and MR parameters from the subjects to allow a systematic evaluation of the influences on the OCI signal. In addition, we corrected for the effects of oxygen on T1-weighted signal to reduce confounding of the ASL-derived CBF data. Limitations of this study include the lack of measurement of baseline cerebral blood volume (CBV) or in change in CBV during respiratory challenge. Given that venous CBV is a major influence on T2*-weighted signal, such information would allow a greater understanding of the contributors to the signal attained during OC MRI. Although we did measure the change in CBF and ETCO\(_2\), both of which were small, venous CBV measurements during OC MR should be considered in the future, after accurate MR measures of venous CBV have been validated. Next, we made the theoretical assumption that the hyperoxic contrast does not change the baseline metabolic
activity of the tissue. However, this may not actually be the case, and hyperoxia has been shown to reduce peri-infarct depolarisations in experimental models of stroke\textsuperscript{24}, and lactate production has been shown to be reduced during hyperoxia in human stroke\textsuperscript{25}. Therefore, a hyperoxic contrast agent to measure metabolic activity may change the very parameter it is trying to measure, and this represents a potential confound in interpretation of results.

Limitations of the application of the oxygen challenge technique included the use of a non-closed system, which gave rise to variable ETO\textsubscript{2} owing to vary degrees of mask fit. In addition, the potentially claustrophobic protocol meant that not all subjects completed the MR examination. We recognise potential challenges in performing this technique in patients who are acutely unwell and may be poorly tolerant of MR imaging. Finally, we also acknowledge the limited numbers of subjects in this study, which could limit statistical power.

For future studies we will apply a single oxygen challenge. If using non-closed respiratory apparatus, we will aim for a FiO\textsubscript{2}=100%. Although 3T scanners are preferable, the lack of a 3T scanner should not be a barrier. When feasible, one should continue to collect ETO\textsubscript{2} and ETCO\textsubscript{2} data as these parameters both may influence OCI results, but there is little suggestion in the available data that CBF changes influence T2*- signal response in healthy brain tissue. Creation of a specially designed CE marked mask may be of value for future studies, in order to increase the seal between mask and the face and reduce claustrophobia. In addition, beard shaving may be required if this technique is used in the clinical setting. Future studies should evaluate the influences of hyperoxia on T2*-weighted signal change in subjects with impaired autoregulation and in disease states such as acute stroke, since the effects of hyperoxia on blood flow changes, and in turn T2*-weighted signal, may be different in these cohorts. Specific analysis of the post-OC undershoot should be performed.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>1.5T Duration</th>
<th>TR (ms)</th>
<th>TE (ms)</th>
<th>TI (ms)</th>
<th>SG (ms)</th>
<th>ST (ms)</th>
<th>FA (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3D T1-weighted image - IR-FSPGR</td>
<td>1m43s</td>
<td>2162.3</td>
<td>21.056</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2*-weighted sequence</td>
<td>11m13s</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>3.0T Duration</th>
<th>TR (ms)</th>
<th>TE (ms)</th>
<th>TI (ms)</th>
<th>SG (ms)</th>
<th>ST (ms)</th>
<th>FA (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3D T1-weighted image - BRAVO</td>
<td>4m2s</td>
<td>8.992</td>
<td>3.604</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2-weighted FLAIR</td>
<td>3m20s</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>144.072</td>
<td>2250</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2*-weighted sequence</td>
<td>11m12s</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASL during normoxia (NEX=3)</td>
<td>4m42s</td>
<td>4864</td>
<td>10.076</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 Mapping during concentration during normoxia</td>
<td>54s</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-20,2,4,6,8,30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASL during first oxygen concentration (NEX=3)</td>
<td>4m42s</td>
<td>4864</td>
<td>10.076</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 Mapping (SPGR) during first oxygen concentration</td>
<td>54s</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-20,2,4,6,8,30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASL during second oxygen (NEX=3) concentration</td>
<td>4m42s</td>
<td>4864</td>
<td>10.076</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 Mapping during second oxygen concentration</td>
<td>54s</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-20,2,4,6,8,30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Details of MR Protocols at 1.5T and 3T**

ms= milliseconds, m=minutes, s=seconds, 3D = three dimensional, IR-FSPGR = fast Spoiled Grass Sequence with inversion recovery preparation, BRAVO = brain volume imaging, FLAIR = fluid-attenuated inversion recovery, ASL = arterial spin labelling, NEX = number of excitations, SPGR = spoiled gradient echo.
Table 2. Summary of results for all subjects.

Data acquired at a target FiO2=100% is given in the top section, and data acquired at a target of FiO2= 60% is given in the lower section. CVR = cerebrovascular resistance measured in units of (ΔCBF / ΔEtO2) where Δ = change. s.d. = standard deviation. FiO2 = fractional inspired oxygen, CBF = cerebral blood flow, ETCO2 = end tidal carbon dioxide, ETO2 = end tidal oxygen, AUC = area under the curve of the T2*-weighted signal intensity curve at 3T. (a) indicates ascending protocol, and (d) indicates descending protocol. * indicates a statistically significant increase compared to baseline conditions, ** indicates a statistically significant decrease compared to baseline conditions, and † indicates where there was no statistically significant change compared to baseline conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Target FiO2</th>
<th>FiO2</th>
<th>ETO2 (%)</th>
<th>Change in ETO2 (%)</th>
<th>ETCO2 (%)</th>
<th>Change in ETCO2 (%)</th>
<th>CVR</th>
<th>Change in CBF (%)</th>
<th>AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject 1(a)</td>
<td>Target FiO2=100%</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 2(d)</td>
<td>Target FiO2=100%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 3(d)</td>
<td>Target FiO2=100%</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 5(a)</td>
<td>Target FiO2=100%</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 6(d)</td>
<td>Target FiO2=100%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 7(d)</td>
<td>Target FiO2=100%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 8(a)</td>
<td>Target FiO2=100%</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 9(a)</td>
<td>Target FiO2=100%</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (s.d.)</td>
<td>Target FiO2=100%</td>
<td>98 (3)</td>
<td>66 (13.4)</td>
<td>49 (13)*</td>
<td>4.7 (0.45)</td>
<td>-0.3 (0.16)**</td>
<td>(0.14)**</td>
<td>-11 (6)**</td>
<td>98 (58)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Subject 1(a) | Target FiO2= 60% | 61 | 47 | 29.3 | 4.8 | -0.3 | -0.31 | -9 | 64 |
| Subject 2(d) | Target FiO2= 60% | 61 | 34 | 17 | 4.6 | 0 | -0.47 | -8 | 49 |
| Subject 3(d) | Target FiO2= 60% | 60 | 36 | 19 | 5.2 | -0.2 | -0.21 | -4 | 29 |
| Subject 5(a) | Target FiO2= 60% | 64 | 46 | 29 | 4.4 | -0.3 | -0.20 | -6 | 84 |
| Subject 6(d) | Target FiO2= 60% | 58 | 44 | 27.1 | 5 | -0.2 | 0.07 | 2 | 56 |
| Subject 7(d) | Target FiO2= 60% | 60 | 50 | 32.6 | 4.8 | -0.1 | 0.25 | 8 | 40 |
| Subject 8(a) | Target FiO2= 60% | 59 | 34 | 17.6 | 4.6 | 0 | -0.28 | -5 | 31 |
| Subject 9(a) | Target FiO2= 60% | 61 | 33 | 17 | 5.5 | -0.1 | * | * | 6 |
| Mean (s.d.) | Target FiO2= 60% | 61 (2) | 41 (7) | 24 (6.5)* | 4.9 (0.36) | -0.15 (0.12)** | (0.24) † | -3 (6)‡ | 45 (23)* |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CBF (ml/100g/min)</th>
<th>ETO₂ (%)</th>
<th>ETCO₂ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 5</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 6</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 8</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 9</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean (s.d.)</strong></td>
<td>51 (12)</td>
<td>17 (0.6)</td>
<td>5 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supplemental Table 1.** Baseline measurements from all subjects breathing air.

Note that subject 4 did not tolerate any imaging and was therefore not included. Baseline CBF data were not available for subject 8 owing to technical issues with the proton density map, and CBF data were not available for subject 9. CBF = cerebral blood flow. ETO₂ = end tidal oxygen, ETCO₂ = end tidal carbon dioxide.
Figure 1. Dependence of $T2^*$-weighted signal change on field strength, gas type and Oxygen Extraction Fraction (OEF).

The figure shows simulations for the dependence of brain $T2^*$-weighted signal change (% change relative to air) (y-axis) as a function of vessel diameter, vessel type, OEF and field strength. Data to the left of the first broken vertical line correspond to arteries, data between the vertical broken lines correspond to capillaries, and data to the right of the second vertical line correspond to veins. The diameter of vessels increases along the x-axis from the middle section (which represents the smallest vessels [capillaries]) in a leftward and rightward direction. Data from different gas types are colour coded according to the figure key. The top panel shows simulations which assume a normal OEF (0.35) at 1.5T, and the effect of field strength can be seen when comparing to the simulations for the same OEF at 3.0T, in the graph directly below it. The bottom panel shows the effect of changing OEF on $T2^*$-weighted signal at 3.0T, with OEF increasing from left to right. The graph on the left shows data from a low OEF (0.10) as might be seen in infarct core, the middle graph shows a normal OEF and the graph on the right shows data from ‘penumbral’ OEF (0.9).

Figure 2. $T2^*$-weighted signal intensity curves.

Data from both 3T (top) and 1.5T (bottom) in both grey matter (left column) and white matter (right column) are shown, with data from ascending and descending protocols shown separately. In four subjects the oxygen was given with a $FiO_2 = 100\%$ for OC1 and
FiO$_2$=60% for OC2 [descending protocol], and the sequence was reversed for a second cohort of 4 subjects [ascending protocol]. The solid line data points show the mean data from 4 subjects and the grey lines show standard deviations. Broken vertical lines show the onset and offset of the first oxygen challenge, and the solid vertical lines show the same for the second oxygen challenge.

**Figure 3. Blood Flow and Cerebrovascular Resistance Maps**

Top panel show maps of cerebral blood flow (CBF) at FiO$_2$ of 21%, 60%, and 100% from subject 1, running from left to right. The color scale indicates cerebral blood flow (ml/100g/min). The bottom panel shows cerebrovascular reactivity (CVR) maps from subject 1 when alternating between FiO2 of 21% and 60% (bottom left) and between FiO2 21% and 100% (bottom right). The color scale indicates percentage change in cerebral blood flow (the ‘-’ sign indicates a reduction in cerebral blood flow) The maps show a reduction in cerebral blood flow with hyperoxia, which is more pronounced at FiO2 100% compared to FiO2 60%. The colour scale shows CVR (%).