Bartel, A. and Dokchitser, T. (2016) Rational representations and permutation representations of finite groups. *Mathematische Annalen*, 364(1-2), pp. 539-558. (doi:[10.1007/s00208-015-1223-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-015-1223-y))

This is the author's final accepted version.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/149650/>

Deposited on: 08 January 2019

RATIONAL REPRESENTATIONS AND PERMUTATION REPRESENTATIONS OF FINITE GROUPS

ALEX BARTEL AND TIM DOKCHITSER

ABSTRACT. We investigate the question which $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued characters and characters of $\mathbb{Q}$ -representations of finite groups are $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combinations of permutation characters. This question is known to reduce to that for quasi-elementary groups, and we give a solution in that case. As one of the applications, we exhibit a family of simple groups with rational representations whose smallest multiple that is a permutation representation can be arbitrarily large.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many rational invariants of a finite group G are encoded in the ring $\text{Char}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ of rationally-valued characters, the ring $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ of rational representations, and the ring $\text{Perm}(G)$ of virtual permutation representations. All three have the same $\mathbb{Z}$ -rank, and there are natural inclusions with finite cokernels

$$\text{Perm}(G) \longrightarrow R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G) \longrightarrow \text{Char}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G).$$

The quotient $\text{Char}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)/R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ is studied by the theory of Schur indices, and the purpose of this paper is to investigate the other two,

$$C(G) = \frac{R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)}{\text{Perm}(G)} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{C}(G) = \frac{\text{Char}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)}{\text{Perm}(G)}.$$

They have exponent dividing $|G|$ by Artin's induction theorem, and Serre remarked that $C(G)$ need not be trivial ([14] Exc. 13.4). It is trivial for p -groups [6, 12, 13], and it is known for nilpotent groups [11] (see also §2), for Weyl groups of Lie groups [15, 9] and in other special cases [1, 7]. It follows from the general results of Dress, Kletzing, and Hambleton-Taylor-Williams [4, 5, 9, 8], that the study of $C(G)$ for a group G reduces, in principle, to that of its quasi-elementary subgroups, or of its 'basic' quasi-elementary subquotients. Specifically, for subgroups the statement is that of the two maps

$$\prod_{\substack{Q \leq G \\ \text{quasi-elem.}}} C(Q) \xrightarrow{\text{Ind}} C(G) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \prod_{\substack{Q \leq G \\ \text{quasi-elem.}}} C(Q),$$

the first one is surjective and the second one injective, and similarly for $\hat{C}$. This is also an immediate consequence of Solomon's induction theorem, see §3.

Our first observation is that the composite map allows us to describe $C(G)$ and $\hat{C}(G)$ explicitly, in a way that bypasses the representation theory of G — purely in terms of quasi-elementary subgroups and the 'Res Ind' maps between them; in fact, it is enough to consider maximal quasi-elementary subgroups, i.e. p -normalisers of cyclic subgroups of G . In §3 we give a simple formula for the Res Ind maps, and in §4 we prove one of the main results of the paper, which describes $C(Q)$ and $\hat{C}(Q)$ for a p -quasi-elementary group $Q = C \rtimes P$.

Its simplest formulation is:

Theorem 1.1 (=Theorem 4.6). *Let ρ be an irreducible rational representation of a p -quasi-elementary group $Q = C \rtimes P$. (So C is cyclic, P a p -group, and $p \nmid |C|$.) The order of ρ in $C(Q)$ is $\frac{\dim \hat{\psi} \dim \hat{\pi}}{\dim \rho}$, where $\hat{\psi}$ is the (unique) rational irreducible constituent of $\text{Res}_C \rho$ and $\hat{\pi}$ a rational irreducible constituent of $\text{Res}_P \rho$ of minimal dimension.*

Together with the aforementioned ‘Res Ind’ formula, it gives a way to compute $C(G)$ and $\hat{C}(G)$ efficiently in a given finite group G . Incidentally, it also gives an algorithm to find $\text{Perm}(G) \subset R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ without computing the subgroup lattice, which is now implemented in Magma [2]. In §5 and §6 we illustrate applications of this approach to proving both triviality and non-triviality of $C(G)$, as we shall now describe.

In general, $C(G)$ remains somewhat mysterious, especially in non-soluble groups. Already Frobenius knew that $C(A_n)$ is trivial for all n . It was announced by Solomon in [15] that $C(\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q))$ is trivial for all prime powers q . In §5 we explain how this, and the same statement for $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$, follow from the results of §3 and §4.

There is, to our knowledge, no example in the literature of a simple group with non-trivial $C(G)$. In §6 we show:

Theorem 1.2 (=Theorem 6.1 and Corollary 6.6). *The exponent of the 2-part of $C(G)$ is unbounded in the families $G = \text{PSL}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and $G = \text{SL}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Moreover, $\hat{C}(\text{PSL}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)) \neq \{1\}$ for all even $k \geq 4$ and all odd primes p .*

Notation. Throughout the paper, G denotes a finite group. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Char}(G) &= \text{the character ring of } G, \\ \text{Char}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G) &= \text{the ring of } \mathbb{Q}\text{-valued characters,} \\ R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G) &= \text{the ring of characters of virtual } \mathbb{Q}G\text{-representations,} \\ \text{Perm}(G) &= \text{the ring of characters of virtual permutation representations,} \\ C(G) &= R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)/\text{Perm}(G), \\ \hat{C}(G) &= \text{Char}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)/\text{Perm}(G), \\ \mathbb{Q}(\chi) &= \text{the field of character values of a complex character } \chi, \\ m(\chi) &= \text{the Schur index of an irreducible complex character } \chi \text{ over } \mathbb{Q}(\chi). \end{aligned}$$

For a complex character χ of G , define its *trace* and, when χ is irreducible, its *rational hull* as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr } \chi &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\chi)/\mathbb{Q})} \chi^{\sigma} && \in \text{Char}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G), \\ \hat{\chi} &= m(\chi) \text{Tr } \chi && \in R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G). \end{aligned}$$

If χ is irreducible, then $\text{Tr } \chi$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -irreducible character and $\hat{\chi}$ is the character of an irreducible rational representation. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Irr}(G) &= \text{the set of (complex) irreducible characters of } G, \\ \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G) &= \text{the set of } \mathbb{Q}\text{-irreducible characters of } G, \\ \mu(\alpha, \beta) &= \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} = \text{multiplicity of } \alpha \text{ in } \beta, \\ &\quad \text{used for characters } \alpha \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G), \beta \in \text{Char}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G), \text{ and} \\ &\quad \text{also for rational representations } \alpha, \beta \text{ with } \alpha \text{ irreducible.} \end{aligned}$$

We write $x \sim y$ for conjugate elements. A p -quasi-elementary group is one of the form $G = C \rtimes P$ with C cyclic, and P a p -group; throughout the paper we adopt the convention that $p \nmid |C|$.

Acknowledgements. The first author is supported by a Research Fellowship from the Royal Commission for the Exhibition of 1851, and the second author is supported by a Royal Society University Research Fellowship. We would like to thank Alexandre Turull for his help with Corollary 6.6. We are grateful to an anonymous referee for a careful reading of the manuscript and many helpful comments.

2. BASIC FACTS

Lemma 2.1. *An inclusion $N \triangleleft G$ induces injections $C(G/N) \hookrightarrow C(G)$, $\hat{C}(G/N) \hookrightarrow \hat{C}(G)$.*

Proof. Suppose $\bar{\rho}$ is a representation of G/N , which lifts to $\rho \in \text{Perm } G$. Write

$$\rho = \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[G/H_i]^{\oplus n_i}, \quad n_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For a subgroup $H < G$ recall that $\mathbb{C}[G/H]^N \cong \mathbb{C}[G/NH]$, as G -representations (see e.g. [3], proof of Thm. 2.8(5)). Therefore,

$$\bar{\rho} = \rho^N = \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[G/NH_i]^{\oplus n_i} \in \text{Perm}(G/N),$$

as required. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let ρ be an irreducible rational representation and $\tau \in \text{Irr } G$ its constituent, so $\text{Tr } \tau \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ and $\rho = m(\tau) \text{Tr } \tau$. The order of $\text{Tr } \tau$ in $\hat{C}(G)$ is $m(\tau)$ times the order of ρ in $C(G)$.*

Proof. Clear from the definitions of $C(G)$ and $\hat{C}(G)$. $\square$

This allows us to immediately deduce results about $\hat{C}(G)$ from those about $C(G)$, and conversely.

Nilpotent groups. Some statements seem to have a cleaner formulation for $C(G)$ than for $\hat{C}(G)$, and for some it is the other way around. Let us briefly illustrate this with an example of nilpotent groups:

Theorem 2.3 (Rasmussen [11] Thm 5.2). *Let $G = G_2 \times G_{2'}$ be a nilpotent group, where G_2 is its Sylow 2-subgroup. Then $C(G)$ is trivial, unless $G_{2'} \neq \{1\}$ and there exists an irreducible character χ of G_2 with $m(\chi) = 2$ and such that one of the following holds:*

- (1) $\mathbb{Q}(\chi) \neq \mathbb{Q}$, or
- (2) $\mathbb{Q}(\chi) = \mathbb{Q}$ and there exists a prime divisor q of $|G|$ such that the order of 2 (mod q) is even.

The conditions turn out to be much simpler if one transforms this into a result about $\hat{C}(G)$. The following follows easily from [11, Thm. 4.2] and standard facts about Schur indices:

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\chi = \chi_2 \chi_{2'}$ be an irreducible character of a nilpotent group $G = G_2 \times G_{2'}$ as above. Then the order of $\text{Tr } \chi$ in $\hat{C}(G)$ is $m(\chi_2)$ (which is 1 or 2).*

Metabelian and supersolvable groups. The following theorem will be of central importance in what follows. It implies that knowing the order of every $\mathbb{Q}$ -irreducible representation in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ determines the structure of $\hat{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ completely when G is metabelian or supersolvable (e.g. nilpotent or quasi-elementary). It does not hold in arbitrary groups, as first noted by Berz [1]; the smallest counterexample is $G = C_3 \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$.

Theorem 2.5 (Berz [1]). *If G is metabelian or supersolvable, then $\mathrm{Perm}(G) \subseteq R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ is freely generated by $n_{\rho}\rho$, as ρ ranges over irreducible rational representations of G , and*

$$n_{\rho} = \gcd_{H \leq G} \mu(\rho, \mathbb{Q}[G/H]).$$

Lemma 2.6. *If $G = A \rtimes V$ with A abelian and V an elementary abelian p -group, then $\hat{\mathbb{C}}(G) = \{1\}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.5, it is enough to show that every complex irreducible character τ of G occurs exactly once in $\mathbb{C}[G/H]$ for a suitable $H < G$. This is clear when $\dim \tau = 1$. Otherwise $\tau = \mathrm{Ind}_{AU}^G \chi$, for some subgroup U of V and a 1-dimensional character χ of AU (see [14, Part II, §8.2]). Let H be a subgroup of V that is complementary to U , i.e. $HU = V$ and $H \cap U = \{1\}$. By Mackey's formula, we have

$$\langle \tau, \mathbb{C}[G/H] \rangle = \langle \chi, \mathrm{Res}_{AU} \mathrm{Ind}_H^G \mathbf{1} \rangle = \langle \chi, \mathrm{Ind}_{AU \cap H}^{AU} \mathbf{1} \rangle = \langle \chi, \mathbb{C}[AU] \rangle = 1. \quad \square$$

Recall that a p -quasi-elementary group $G = C \rtimes P$ is *basic* if the kernel K of $P \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ is trivial or isomorphic to D_8 or has normal p -rank one.

Proposition 2.7 ([7], Proposition 5.2). *Let $G = C \rtimes P$ be basic p -quasi-elementary. Let A_p be a maximal cyclic subgroup of $K = \ker(P \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(C))$ that is normal in P (it is all of K if K is cyclic, and has index 2 in K otherwise), let $A = CA_p$, and let χ be a faithful one-dimensional character of A . Then $\rho = \mathrm{Tr} \mathrm{Ind}_A^G \chi$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -irreducible character, and*

$$\text{order of } \rho \text{ in } \hat{\mathbb{C}}(G) = \frac{|P|}{|A_p| \cdot \max_{\substack{H \leq P \\ H \cap A_p = 1}} |H|}.$$

3. $\hat{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ AS A MACKEY FUNCTOR

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a Mackey subfunctor of the character ring Mackey functor $\mathrm{Char}(G)$. This simply means that for any finite group G , $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Char}(G)$ such that if $H \leq G$ are finite groups, then

- for all $\rho \in \mathcal{R}(H)$, $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G \rho \in \mathcal{R}(G)$,
- for all $\tau \in \mathcal{R}(G)$, $\mathrm{Res}_H \tau \in \mathcal{R}(H)$,
- for all $\rho \in \mathcal{R}(H)$ and $g \in G$, $\rho^g \in \mathcal{R}(H^g)$.

Here are some examples:

- $R_K(G)$, the representation ring of G over a fixed subfield K of $\mathbb{C}$,
- $\mathrm{Char}_K(G)$, the ring generated by K -valued characters, with fixed $K \subset \mathbb{C}$,
- $\mathrm{Perm}(G)$, the ring generated by permutation characters,
- the subgroup of $\mathrm{Char}(G)$ generated by characters of degree divisible by a fixed integer n .

If p is a prime number, write $\mathcal{R}(G)_p$ for $\mathcal{R}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$, where $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is the ring of p -adic integers.

Proposition 3.1. *Let G be a finite group, fix a prime number p , and let $\mathcal{F}_p$ be a family of subgroups of G such that every p -quasi-elementary subgroup of G is conjugate to a subgroup of some $Q \in \mathcal{F}_p$. Then*

$$\prod_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_p} \text{Res}_Q : \mathcal{R}(G)_p \longrightarrow \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_p} \mathcal{R}(Q)_p$$

is injective. Dually,

$$\sum_Q \text{Ind}_Q^G : \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_p} \mathcal{R}(Q)_p \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(G)_p$$

is surjective.

Proof. By Solomon's induction theorem, a prime-to- p multiple d of the trivial representation can be written as

$$d\mathbf{1}_G = \sum_i n_i \text{Ind}_{H_i}^G \mathbf{1}_{H_i}$$

for some p -quasi-elementary subgroups H_i and integers n_i . Because $\text{Ind}_{H_i}^G \mathbf{1}_{H_i} \cong \text{Ind}_{H_i}^G \mathbf{1}_{H_i}$, we may assume that each H_i is contained in some $Q_i \in \mathcal{F}_p$. Taking tensor products with any $\rho \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ yields

$$d\rho = \sum_i n_i \text{Ind}_{H_i}^G \text{Res}_{H_i} \rho.$$

If all $\text{Res}_{H_i} \rho$ were 0, then so would be $d\rho$, and therefore also ρ . This proves injectivity. Also, the equation shows that $d\rho \in \text{Im} \left(\sum_Q \text{Ind}_Q^G \mathcal{R}(Q) \right)$, which proves surjectivity, since d is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. $\square$

Corollary 3.2. *For $S, T \in \mathcal{F}_p$ write $\alpha_{S,T} = \text{Res}_T \text{Ind}_S^G : \hat{\mathbf{C}}(S) \longrightarrow \hat{\mathbf{C}}(T)$. Then*

$$\hat{\mathbf{C}}(G)_p \cong \text{Image} \left(\prod_T \sum_S \alpha_{S,T} : \prod_{S \in \mathcal{F}_p} \hat{\mathbf{C}}(S) \longrightarrow \prod_{T \in \mathcal{F}_p} \hat{\mathbf{C}}(T) \right).$$

In particular, $\hat{\mathbf{C}}(G)_p = 1$ if and only if for all pairs $S, T \in \mathcal{F}_p$ and all $\rho \in R_{\mathbb{Q}}(S)$ (equivalently, for those ρ whose class in $\mathbf{C}(S)$ is nontrivial), we have $\text{Res}_T^G \text{Ind}_S^G \rho \in \text{Perm}(T)$. The same also holds for $\mathbf{C}(G)$.

Proof. Apply Proposition 3.1 to $\mathcal{R}$ being Perm , $R_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and $\text{Char}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of subgroups of G such that every quasi-elementary subgroup is conjugate to a subgroup of some $Q \in \mathcal{F}$. Then*

$$\hat{\mathbf{C}}(G) \hookrightarrow \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} \hat{\mathbf{C}}(Q)$$

via the (product of) restriction maps. Consequently, the kernel of the composition

$$R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G) \xrightarrow{\prod \text{Res}} \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} R_{\mathbb{Q}}(Q) \longrightarrow \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} \hat{\mathbf{C}}(Q)$$

is $\text{Perm}(G)$. Dually, the composition

$$\prod_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} R_{\mathbb{Q}}(Q) \xrightarrow{\text{Ind}} R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{C}}(G)$$

is onto. The same holds with $R_{\mathbb{Q}}$ replaced by $\text{Char}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\hat{C}$ by C .

Remark 3.4. The theorem and the two corollaries give a very efficient way of computing $\hat{C}(G)_p, \hat{C}(G), C(G)_p, C(G)$ and of finding $\text{Perm}(G)$ as a subring of $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G) \leq \text{Char}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$, without computing the full lattice of subgroups of G .

Remark 3.5. One possible family $\mathcal{F}_p$ is the set of maximal p -quasi-elementary subgroups of G . These are of the form

$$Q = C \rtimes \text{Syl}_p(N_G(C)),$$

where C is cyclic of order prime to p . Possible families $\mathcal{F}$ in Corollary 3.3 are $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_p \mathcal{F}_p$, as p ranges over prime divisors of $|G|$, or alternatively $\mathcal{F} = \{N_G(C)\}$ as C ranges over (representatives of conjugacy classes of) cyclic subgroups of G .

Notation 3.6. For the remainder of this section we use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} CC(G) &= \text{the set of conjugacy classes of } G, \\ CC_{\text{cyc}}(G) &= \text{the set of conjugacy classes of cyclic subgroups of } G, \\ [x] &= \text{the conjugacy class of } x, \text{ when } x \text{ is either an element of } G \\ &\quad \text{or a cyclic subgroup,} \\ \text{Tr}^* \chi &= \text{the normalised trace } \text{Tr}^* \chi = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{Q}(\chi):\mathbb{Q}|} \text{Tr } \chi \text{ of a character } \chi, \\ \tau(D) &= \tau(y), \text{ where } D \leq G \text{ is a cyclic subgroup, } y \text{ is any generator} \\ &\quad \text{of } D, \text{ and } \tau \in \text{Char}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}. \text{ The rationality of } \tau \text{ ensures} \\ &\quad \text{that } \tau(y) \text{ only depends on } D \text{ and not on the generator } y. \end{aligned}$$

Note in particular, that for any character χ of G and any cyclic subgroup D of G , $\text{Tr}^* \chi(D)$ is the average value of χ on the generators of D .

Lemma 3.7. Let H_1, H_2 be two subgroups of G . Let τ_i be a character of H_i , $i = 1, 2$, and assume that τ_1 is $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued. Then

$$\langle \text{Ind}_{H_1}^G \tau_1, \text{Ind}_{H_2}^G \tau_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{|H_1||H_2|} \sum_{[C] \in CC_{\text{cyc}}(G)} |N_G(C)| \phi(|C|) \cdot \sum_{\substack{D_1 \leq H_1 \\ D_1 \sim C}} \tau_1(D_1) \cdot \sum_{\substack{D_2 \leq H_2 \\ D_2 \sim C}} \text{Tr}^* \tau_2(D_2).$$

Proof. First, note that by definition of inner products and of induced class functions,

$$\langle \text{Ind}_{H_1}^G \tau_1, \text{Ind}_{H_2}^G \tau_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{|H_1||H_2|} \sum_{[x] \in CC(G)} |Z_G(x)| \overline{\left(\sum_{y \in [x] \cap H_1} \tau_1(y) \right)} \left(\sum_{y \in [x] \cap H_2} \tau_2(y) \right).$$

The idea of the proof is to partition the set of conjugacy classes of elements of G according to conjugacy classes of cyclic subgroups they generate, and to use the fact that for a rational character τ , $\tau(x) = \tau(x')$ whenever x and x' generate conjugate cyclic subgroups. We get

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \text{Ind}_{H_1}^G \tau_1, \text{Ind}_{H_2}^G \tau_2 \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{|H_1||H_2|} \sum_{[x] \in CC(G)} |Z_G(x)| \overline{\left(\sum_{y \in [x] \cap H_1} \tau_1(y) \right)} \left(\sum_{y \in [x] \cap H_2} \tau_2(y) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|H_1||H_2|} \sum_{[C] \in CC_{\text{cyc}}(G)} f(C), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
f(C) &= |Z_G(C)| \cdot \sum_{\substack{[x] \in CC(G) \\ \langle x \rangle = C}} \left(\sum_{y \in [x] \cap H_1} \tau_1(y) \right) \left(\sum_{y \in [x] \cap H_2} \tau_2(y) \right) \\
&= |Z_G(C)| \cdot \#\{k : x \sim x^k\} \cdot \sum_{\substack{D_1 \leq H_1 \\ D_1 \sim C}} \tau_1(D_1) \cdot \sum_{\substack{[x] \in CC(G) \\ [x] \sim C}} \sum_{y \in [x] \cap H_2} \tau_2(y) \\
&= |N_G(C)| \cdot \sum_{\substack{D_1 \leq H_1 \\ D_1 \sim C}} \tau_1(D_1) \cdot \sum_{\substack{D_2 \leq H_2 \\ D_2 \sim C}} \sum_{\substack{\text{generators} \\ y \text{ of } D_2}} \tau_2(y) \\
&= |N_G(C)| \cdot \sum_{\substack{D_1 \leq H_1 \\ D_1 \sim C}} \tau_1(D_1) \cdot \sum_{\substack{D_2 \leq H_2 \\ D_2 \sim C}} \phi(|C|) \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}}^* \tau_2(y),
\end{aligned}$$

as claimed. $\square$

Corollary 3.8. *Suppose $H_1 < Q_1 < G$, $H_2 < Q_2 < G$, and let χ_i be irreducible characters of H_i . Set $\tau_i = \text{Ind}_{H_i}^{Q_i} \chi_i$, and $\rho_i = \text{Tr } \tau_i$. Assume that τ_2 is irreducible. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(\rho_2, \text{Res}_{Q_2} \text{Ind}_{Q_1}^G \rho_1) &= \frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\tau_1) : \mathbb{Q}]}{|H_1| \cdot |H_2|} \sum_{[C] \in CC_{\text{cyc}}(G)} |N_G(C)| \phi(|C|) \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{\substack{D_1 \leq H_1 \\ D_1 \sim C}} \text{Tr}^* \chi_1(D_1) \cdot \sum_{\substack{D_2 \leq H_2 \\ D_2 \sim C}} \text{Tr}^* \chi_2(D_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(\rho_2, \text{Res}_{Q_2} \text{Ind}_{Q_1}^G \rho_1) &= \langle \tau_2, \text{Res}_{Q_2} \text{Ind}_{Q_1}^G (\sum \tau_1^\sigma) \rangle \\
&= \langle \text{Ind}_{H_2}^G \chi_2, \text{Ind}_{H_1}^G (\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\tau_1)/\mathbb{Q})} (\chi_1)^\sigma) \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{[\mathbb{Q}(\chi_1) : \mathbb{Q}(\tau_1)]} \langle \text{Ind}_{H_2}^G \chi_2, \text{Ind}_{H_1}^G (\text{Tr } \chi_1) \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{[\mathbb{Q}(\chi_1) : \mathbb{Q}(\tau_1)] |H_1| \cdot |H_2|} \sum_{[C] \in CC_{\text{cyc}}(G)} |N_G(C)| \phi(|C|) \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{\substack{D_1 \leq H_1 \\ D_1 \sim C}} \text{Tr } \chi_1(D_1) \cdot \sum_{\substack{D_2 \leq H_2 \\ D_2 \sim C}} \text{Tr}^* \chi_2(D_2) \\
&= \frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\tau_1) : \mathbb{Q}]}{|H_1| \cdot |H_2|} \sum_{[C] \in CC_{\text{cyc}}(G)} |N_G(C)| \phi(|C|) \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{\substack{D_1 \leq H_1 \\ D_1 \sim C}} \text{Tr}^* \chi_1(D_1) \cdot \sum_{\substack{D_2 \leq H_2 \\ D_2 \sim C}} \text{Tr}^* \chi_2(D_2).
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Lemma 3.9. *If C is a cyclic group, and χ is a 1-dimensional character of C , then $(\text{Tr}^* \chi)(C) = \mu(\text{ord}(\chi)) / \phi(\text{ord}(\chi))$, where μ is the Moebius mu function, and $\text{ord}(\chi)$ is the smallest natural number n such that $\chi^n = \mathbf{1}$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove the lemma for faithful characters χ , since we may, without loss of generality, replace C by $C / \ker \chi$. Let g be a generator of C . Then

$$(\text{Tr}^* \chi)(C) = \frac{1}{[\mathbb{Q}(\chi) : \mathbb{Q}]} \text{Tr } \chi(g) = \frac{1}{\phi(\text{ord}(\chi))} \text{Tr } \chi(g).$$

If $|C| = n$, then $\chi(g)$ is a primitive n -th root of unity, and the fact that its trace is $\mu(n)$ is classical. $\square$

Corollary 3.10. *Let G be a group and p^r a prime power. Then $\hat{C}(G)$ has an element of order p^r if and only if there exist two p -quasi-elementary subgroups Q_1, Q_2 of G , irreducible monomial characters $\tau_i = \text{Ind}_{H_i}^{Q_i} \chi_i$ of Q_i , and an integer k , such that*

- the rational character $\text{Tr } \tau_2$ has order divisible by p^{k+r} in $\hat{C}(Q_2)$, and
- the rational number

$$\frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\tau_1) : \mathbb{Q}]}{|H_1||H_2|} \cdot \sum_{\substack{[U] \in CC_{\text{cyc}}(G) \\ D_1 \leq H_1 \\ D_1 \sim U}} |N_G(U)| \phi(|U|) \cdot \sum_{\substack{D_2 \leq H_2 \\ D_2 \sim U}} \frac{\mu([D_1 : D_1 \cap \ker \chi_1])}{\phi([D_1 : D_1 \cap \ker \chi_1])} \cdot \sum_{\substack{D_2 \leq H_2 \\ D_2 \sim U}} \frac{\mu([D_2 : D_2 \cap \ker \chi_2])}{\phi([D_2 : D_2 \cap \ker \chi_2])}$$

has p -adic valuation at most k .

In this case, $\text{Ind}_{Q_1}^G \text{Tr } \tau_1$ has order divisible by p^r in $\hat{C}(G)$.

Remark 3.11.

- Note that it is enough to take the last two sums in the above formula only over those D_i for which $D_i \cap \ker \chi_i$ has square-free index in D_i , since for the others $\mu(\text{ord}(\text{Res}_{D_i} \chi_i)) = 0$. For example if χ_i are faithful, then the outer sum may be taken over U of square free order.
- If, say, H_1 is cyclic, the sum $\sum_{\substack{D_1 \leq H_1 \\ D_1 \sim U}}$ has at most one term for every U .
- If Q_1, Q_2 are basic and H_1, H_2 are cyclic, then Proposition 2.7 gives a simple expression for the order of $\text{Tr } \tau_2$ in $\hat{C}(Q_2)$.

Proof of Corollary 3.10. By Corollary 3.2, $\hat{C}(G)_p$ has an element of order p^r if and only if there exist p -quasi-elementary subgroups Q_1, Q_2 , and characters $\rho_i \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Q_i)$, such that ρ_2 has order p^{k+r} in $\hat{C}(Q_2)$ for some k , and $\mu(\rho_2, \text{Res}_{Q_2} \text{Ind}_{Q_1}^G \rho_1)$ has p -adic valuation at most k . Quasi-elementary groups are M-groups, so if τ_i is a complex irreducible constituent of ρ_i , then there exist subgroups $H_i \leq Q_i$ such that $\tau_i = \text{Ind}_{H_i}^{Q_i} \chi_i$ for 1-dimensional characters $\chi_i \in \text{Irr}(H_i)$. The result therefore follows from Corollary 3.8 in combination with Lemma 3.9. $\square$

4. QUASI-ELEMENTARY GROUPS

The aim of this section is to provide several formulae of theoretical and algorithmic interest for the orders of characters in $\hat{C}(G)$ and $C(G)$ when G is quasi-elementary. Let $G = C \rtimes P$ with P a p -group and C cyclic of order coprime to p ; we identify P with a Sylow subgroup of G .

Lemma 4.1. *Let N be a normal subgroup of a finite group G , let η be an irreducible character of N , and let θ be a complex irreducible constituent of $\text{Ind}_N^G \eta$. Write $\mathcal{G}_\eta = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\eta)/\mathbb{Q})$, and similarly for $\mathcal{G}_\theta$. Then*

$$\frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\eta) : \mathbb{Q}]}{[\mathbb{Q}(\theta) : \mathbb{Q}]} = \frac{\#\{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_\eta \mid \langle \eta^\gamma, \text{Res}_N \theta \rangle \neq 0\}}{\#\{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_\theta \mid \langle \text{Ind}_N^G \eta, \theta^\gamma \rangle \neq 0\}}.$$

In particular, if $\text{Ind}_N^G \eta$ is irreducible, then

$$\frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\eta) : \mathbb{Q}]}{[\mathbb{Q}(\theta) : \mathbb{Q}]} = \#\{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_\eta \mid \langle \eta^\gamma, \text{Res}_N \theta \rangle \neq 0\}.$$

Proof. The G -action on the characters of N commutes with the Galois action. Every Galois conjugate of θ is a constituent of $\text{Ind}_N^G \eta^\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_\eta$, and moreover the number of distinct Galois conjugates of θ in η^γ is independent of γ .

Also, the number of Galois conjugates of η in $\text{Res}_N \theta^\gamma$ is independent of $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_\theta$. So an inclusion-exclusion count gives

$$\#\mathcal{G}_\theta = \#\mathcal{G}_\eta \cdot \frac{\#\{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_\theta \mid \langle \text{Ind}_N^G \eta, \theta^\gamma \rangle \neq 0\}}{\#\{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_\eta \mid \langle \eta^\gamma, \text{Res}_N \theta \rangle \neq 0\}}.$$

□

Lemma 4.2. *Let η be an irreducible complex representation of G , with rational hull $\hat{\eta}$. Then*

$$\dim \hat{\eta} = \dim \eta \cdot m(\eta) \cdot [\mathbb{Q}(\eta) : \mathbb{Q}].$$

Proof. The rational hull of η is given by

$$\hat{\eta} = m(\eta) \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\eta)/\mathbb{Q})} \eta^\gamma,$$

whence the claim follows. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let $G = C \rtimes X$ with C cyclic of order coprime to $|X|$. Let τ be a complex irreducible character of G with rational hull $\rho = \hat{\tau}$, let π be a complex irreducible constituent of $\text{Res}_X \tau$ with rational hull $\hat{\pi}$, ψ an irreducible constituent of $\text{Res}_C \tau$ with rational hull $\hat{\psi}$, K_ψ the stabiliser of ψ under the X -action on $\text{Irr}(C)$, and let ξ be a complex irreducible constituent of $\text{Res}_{K_\psi} \pi$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\rho, \text{Ind}_X^G \hat{\pi}) &= \\ &= \frac{m(\pi)}{m(\tau)} \langle \xi, \text{Res}_{K_\psi} \pi \rangle \cdot \#\{\text{Galois conjugates } \pi' \text{ of } \pi \mid \langle \text{Res}_{K_\psi} \pi', \xi \rangle \neq 0\} \\ &= \frac{\dim \hat{\psi} \dim \hat{\pi}}{\dim \rho}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We may assume that $\rho|_C$ is faithful, otherwise we prove the result in the quotient $G/(\ker \rho \cap C)$. So $K = K_\psi$ is assumed to be the kernel of the X -action on C . Recall that ψ denotes a complex constituent of $\tau|_C$. In particular, $\tau = \text{Ind}_{CK}^G \psi \xi$, as explained in [14, Part II, §8.2]. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= m(\tau) \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\tau)/\mathbb{Q})} \tau^\gamma; & \dim \rho &= m(\tau) [\mathbb{Q}(\tau) : \mathbb{Q}] \dim \tau, \\ \hat{\pi} &= m(\pi) \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\pi)/\mathbb{Q})} \pi^\gamma; & \dim \hat{\pi} &= m(\pi) [\mathbb{Q}(\pi) : \mathbb{Q}] \dim \pi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\rho, \text{Ind}_X^G \hat{\pi}) &= \frac{1}{m(\tau)} \langle \tau, \text{Ind}_X^G \hat{\pi} \rangle = \frac{1}{m(\tau)} \langle \text{Ind}_{CK}^G \psi \xi, \text{Ind}_X^G \hat{\pi} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{m(\tau)} \langle \text{Res}_X \text{Ind}_{CK}^G \psi \xi, \hat{\pi} \rangle = \frac{1}{m(\tau)} \langle \text{Ind}_K^X \xi, \hat{\pi} \rangle = \frac{1}{m(\tau)} \langle \xi, \text{Res}_K \hat{\pi} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from Mackey's formula, noting that $CK \backslash G/X$ consists of one double coset, and that $CK \cap X = K$.

Next, X acts on the representations of K by conjugation, and there is a Clifford theory decomposition

$$(4.4) \quad \text{Res}_K \pi = e \sum_{g \in X / \text{Stab}_X \xi} \xi^g.$$

Recall that the constituents of $\hat{\pi}$ are Galois conjugates of π , and we select those whose restriction to K contains ξ :

$$\Omega = \{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\pi) : \mathbb{Q}) \mid \langle \text{Res}_K \pi^\gamma, \xi \rangle \neq 0\}.$$

The inner product $\langle \text{Res}_K \pi^\gamma, \xi \rangle = \langle \text{Res}_K \pi, \xi^{\gamma^{-1}} \rangle$ is the same (and equals e) for every $\gamma \in \Omega$, since $\xi^{\gamma^{-1}}$ is irreducible and so must be one of ξ^g in (4.4). So we have

$$\frac{1}{m(\tau)} \langle \xi, \text{Res}_K \hat{\pi} \rangle = \frac{m(\pi)}{m(\tau)} |\Omega| \langle \xi, \text{Res}_K \pi \rangle,$$

which proves the first equality.

It remains to show that

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{m(\pi)}{m(\tau)} |\Omega| \langle \xi, \text{Res}_K \pi \rangle = \frac{\dim \hat{\psi} \dim \hat{\pi}}{\dim \rho}.$$

By comparing dimensions in (4.4), and since $\tau = \text{Ind}_{CK}^G \psi \xi$, we see that

$$\langle \xi, \text{Res}_K \pi \rangle = e = \frac{\dim \pi}{[X : \text{Stab}_X \xi] \dim \xi} = \frac{[X : K] \dim \pi}{[X : \text{Stab}_X \xi] \dim \tau} = \frac{[\text{Stab}_X \xi : K] \dim \pi}{\dim \tau},$$

so

$$\mu(\rho, \text{Ind}^G \hat{\pi}) = \frac{m(\pi)}{m(\tau)} |\Omega| \langle \xi, \text{Res}_K \pi \rangle = |\Omega| \cdot [\text{Stab}_X \xi : K] \frac{m(\pi) \dim \pi}{m(\tau) \dim \tau}.$$

Consider the two groups

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= \{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\psi\xi)/\mathbb{Q}) \mid \langle (\psi\xi)^\gamma, \text{Res}_{CK} \tau \rangle \neq 0\}, \\ H_2 &= \{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\xi)/\mathbb{Q}) \mid \langle \xi^\gamma, \text{Res}_K \pi \rangle \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

There is a natural projection $H_1 \twoheadrightarrow H_2$ given by the restriction of Galois action to $\mathbb{Q}(\xi)$, whose kernel consists of precisely those elements of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\psi\xi)/\mathbb{Q})$ that act trivially on ξ , and through the action of some $g \in X$ on ψ (this last condition is equivalent to the Galois element being in H_1). Thus, the kernel is isomorphic to the subgroup of G/CK that acts trivially on ξ , i.e. to $\text{Stab}_X \xi/K$. We deduce that

$$\mu(\rho, \text{Ind}^G \hat{\pi}) = |\Omega| \frac{|H_1|}{|H_2|} \frac{m(\pi) \dim \pi}{m(\tau) \dim \tau}.$$

Now, by applying Lemma 4.1 first to $CK \triangleleft G$ with $\theta = \tau$, $\eta = \psi\xi$, and then to $K \triangleleft X$ with $\theta = \pi$, $\eta = \xi$, we find that

$$|H_1| = \frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\xi) : \mathbb{Q}][\mathbb{Q}(\psi) : \mathbb{Q}]}{[\mathbb{Q}(\tau) : \mathbb{Q}]} \quad \text{and} \quad |H_2| = |\Omega| \frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\xi) : \mathbb{Q}]}{[\mathbb{Q}(\pi) : \mathbb{Q}]},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\rho, \text{Ind}^G \hat{\pi}) &= |\Omega| \cdot \frac{|H_1|}{|H_2|} \frac{m(\pi) \dim \pi}{m(\tau) \dim \tau} \\ &= |\Omega| \cdot \frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\xi) : \mathbb{Q}] \cdot [\mathbb{Q}(\psi) : \mathbb{Q}]/[\mathbb{Q}(\tau) : \mathbb{Q}]}{|\Omega| [\mathbb{Q}(\xi) : \mathbb{Q}]/[\mathbb{Q}(\pi) : \mathbb{Q}]} \cdot \frac{m(\pi) \dim \pi}{m(\tau) \dim \tau} \\ &= \frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\psi) : \mathbb{Q}] \cdot [\mathbb{Q}(\pi) : \mathbb{Q}] m(\pi) \dim \pi}{[\mathbb{Q}(\tau) : \mathbb{Q}] m(\tau) \dim \tau} = \frac{\dim \hat{\psi} \dim \hat{\pi}}{\dim \rho}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 4.2. $\square$

Theorem 4.6. *Let $G = C \rtimes P$ be p -quasi-elementary, let ρ be an irreducible rational representation of G . Let ψ be a complex irreducible constituent of $\text{Res}_C \rho$ with rational hull $\hat{\psi}$, and let $\hat{\pi}$ be a rational irreducible constituent of $\text{Res}_P \rho$ of minimal dimension. Denote by π a complex irreducible constituent of $\hat{\pi}$, by ξ a complex irreducible constituent of $\pi|_{K_\psi}$, where $K_\psi \leq P$ is the stabiliser in P of ψ , and by τ a complex irreducible constituent of ρ such that $\text{Res}_P \tau$ contains π . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{order of } \rho \text{ in } \mathbb{C}(G) &= \mu(\rho, \text{Ind}_P^G \hat{\pi}) = \frac{\dim \hat{\psi} \dim \hat{\pi}}{\dim \rho} \\ &= \frac{m(\pi)}{m(\tau)} \langle \xi, \text{Res}_{K_\psi} \pi \rangle \cdot \#\{\text{Galois conjugates } \pi' \text{ of } \pi \mid \xi \subset \text{Res}_{K_\psi} \pi'\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We may assume that $\rho|_C$ is faithful, otherwise we prove the result in the quotient $G/(\ker \rho \cap C)$ (see Lemma 2.1). Thus, $K = K_\psi$ is assumed to be the kernel of the P -action on C . Under this assumption, if $H \leq G$ intersects C non-trivially, then

$$\langle \rho, \mathbb{C}[G/H] \rangle_G = \langle \text{Res}_H \rho, \mathbf{1} \rangle_H = 0.$$

Write o for the order of ρ in $\mathbb{C}(G)$. By Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} o \cdot \langle \rho, \rho \rangle &= \gcd_{H \leq G} \langle \rho, \mathbb{C}[G/H] \rangle_G = \gcd_{H \leq P} \langle \rho, \mathbb{C}[G/H] \rangle_G \\ &= \gcd_{H \leq P} \langle \rho|_P, \mathbb{C}[P/H] \rangle_P. \end{aligned}$$

Because $\mathbb{C}(P) = 1$ by the Ritter-Segal theorem [12, 13], we can replace the permutation representations $\mathbb{C}[P/H]$ by all rational representations of P in the last term. This is clearly the same as just taking the rational irreducible constituents $\hat{\pi}_1, \dots, \hat{\pi}_k$ of $\rho|_P$, so

$$(4.7) \quad o = \frac{1}{\langle \rho, \rho \rangle} \gcd_j \langle \rho|_P, \hat{\pi}_j \rangle = \gcd_j \frac{\langle \rho, \text{Ind}_P^G \hat{\pi}_j \rangle}{\langle \rho, \rho \rangle} = \gcd_j \mu(\rho, \text{Ind}_P^G \hat{\pi}_j).$$

The theorem will therefore follow from Theorem 4.3, once we show that the gcd may be replaced by the term corresponding to any $\hat{\pi}$ of minimal dimension. Now, by Theorem 4.3 and by Lemma 4.2,

$$\mu(\rho, \text{Ind}_P^G \hat{\pi}_j) = \frac{\dim \hat{\psi} \dim \hat{\pi}_j}{\dim \rho} = \frac{\dim \hat{\psi} m(\pi_j) \dim \pi_j [\mathbb{Q}(\pi_j) : \mathbb{Q}]}{\dim \rho},$$

where π_j is a complex irreducible constituent of $\hat{\pi}_j$. We argue as in [17, §2]: if $p = 2$, then all the terms $m(\pi_j)$, $\dim \pi_j$, $[\mathbb{Q}(\pi_j) : \mathbb{Q}]$ are powers of 2, so gcd and minimum are the same. If p is odd, then $m(\pi_j) = 1$, and moreover, either some $\pi_j = \mathbf{1}$, in which case the claim is clear, or else all $\dim \pi_j$ are powers of p , while all $[\mathbb{Q}(\pi_j) : \mathbb{Q}]$ are $(p-1)$ times powers of p ([17, Lemma 2.1]), so again gcd and minimum are the same. $\square$

5. EXAMPLES: $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ AND $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$

Theorem 5.1. *For every prime power $q = p^n$, the group $G = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ has $\hat{\mathbb{C}}(G) = \{1\}$.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.3, it suffices to show that every maximal quasi-elementary subgroup $Q = C \rtimes P$ of $G = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is contained in some $\bar{Q} < G$ with $\hat{\mathbb{C}}(\bar{Q}) = 1$. Pick $C = \langle g \rangle$ cyclic, and let $P = \text{Syl}_l(N_G(C))$ for some prime number l . Write $f(t)$ for the characteristic polynomial of g .

Case 1 (split Cartan). Suppose $f(t)$ has distinct roots $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Then g is conjugate to $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$, and its centraliser is the split Cartan subgroup:

$$Z_G(C) \cong \mathbb{F}_q^\times \times \mathbb{F}_q^\times, \quad N_G(C) < (\mathbb{F}_q^\times \times \mathbb{F}_q^\times) \rtimes C_2,$$

with $C_2 = \langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rangle$. Here $\bar{Q} = (\mathbb{F}_q^\times \times \mathbb{F}_q^\times) \rtimes C_2$ has trivial $\hat{C}(\bar{Q})$ by Corollary 2.6.

Case 2 (non-split Cartan). Suppose $f(t)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then the centraliser of C is the non-split Cartan subgroup:

$$Z_G(C) \cong \mathbb{F}_q[g]^\times \cong \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times, \quad N_G(C) < \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times \rtimes \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q) \cong \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times \rtimes C_2.$$

Again $\bar{Q} = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times \rtimes C_2$ has trivial $\hat{C}$ by Corollary 2.6.

Case 3 (scalars). Suppose $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ is a scalar matrix. Then $Q = C \rtimes P$ can be embedded into one of the following:

- if $l = p$: $\bar{Q} = C \times U = C \times \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$; in this case U is an elementary abelian p -group; or
- if either l is odd and $l|(q-1)$, or $l = 2$ and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$: $\bar{Q} = H \rtimes C_2$ with $H = \text{split Cartan}$; or
- if either l is odd and $l|(q+1)$, or $l = 2$ and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$: $\bar{Q} = H \rtimes C_2$ with $H = \text{non-split Cartan}$.

In all these cases, $\hat{C}(\bar{Q})$ is trivial by Corollary 2.6.

Case 4 (non-semisimple). Finally suppose that g is not semisimple, say $g = g_s g_u$ with g_s central and $g_u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ non-trivial unipotent. Then

$$\begin{aligned} N_G C = N_G \langle g_u \rangle &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mid ac^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \right\} \cdot \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid c \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\} \cdot \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid b \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times \right\} \\ &\cong \mathbb{F}_q^\times \times (\mathbb{F}_q \rtimes \mathbb{F}_p^\times). \end{aligned}$$

If $l = p$, then Q can be embedded into $\bar{Q} = \langle g_s \rangle \times U$, where $U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \cong \mathbb{F}_q$ is an elementary abelian p -group. In this case $\hat{C}(\bar{Q})$ is trivial by Corollary 2.6. Otherwise, Q can be embedded into $\bar{Q} \cong (\mathbb{F}_q^\times \times \langle g_u \rangle) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_p^\times$, where the action in the semi-direct product is faithful. If τ is an irreducible character of $\bar{Q}$ such that $\text{Res}_{\langle g_u \rangle} \tau$ is faithful, then $\bar{Q}/\ker \tau$ satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 2.7 with $K = \{1\}$, so $\text{Tr } \tau \in \text{Perm}(\bar{Q})$. Otherwise, $\text{Res}_{\langle g_u \rangle} \tau = \dim \tau \cdot \mathbf{1}$, so τ factors through an abelian quotient, and $\text{Tr } \tau \in \text{Perm}(\bar{Q})$ e.g. by Corollary 2.6. $\square$

Remark 5.2. It is also not hard to deduce the structure of $\hat{C}$ for the related classical groups:

- $G = \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Combined with Lemma 2.1, the theorem implies $\hat{C}(G) = 1$.
- $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$. In general, $\hat{C}(G) \neq 1$. For example, $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ has $C = 1$ and $\hat{C} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ (it has a 2-dimensional irreducible symplectic representation), and $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{17})$ has $C \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$.
- $G = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$. It is a result of Solomon, announced in [15], that $\hat{C}(G) = 1$. This can also be seen following the argument for GL_2 in Theorem 5.1: the analogues of $\bar{Q}$ are the images of $\bar{Q} \cap \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and they are dihedral in Cases 1 and 2 of the theorem, elementary abelian or dihedral ($p = 2$) in Case 3 and isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_p \rtimes \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ in Case 4. Again, all these groups have $\hat{C} = 1$, so $\hat{C}(G) = 1$.

6. $\mathrm{PSL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$

Let ord_2 denote the 2-adic valuation of a rational number, $\mathrm{ord}_2\left(2^x \cdot \frac{a}{b}\right) = x$, where $2 \nmid ab$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $k \geq 4$ be an integer, and p a prime. The groups $\mathrm{PSL}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$, and therefore also $\mathrm{SL}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$, have $\hat{C}(G)$ of exponent divisible by $2^{\min(\mathrm{ord}_2(k), \mathrm{ord}_2(p-1))}$.*

In the remainder of the section we prove the theorem using Corollary 3.10. We will construct a 2-quasi-elementary subgroup $Q = C \rtimes P$ of $G = \mathrm{PSL}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and a rational character ρ of Q such that $\mathrm{Ind}_Q^G \rho$ has order divisible by $2^{\min(\mathrm{ord}_2(k), \mathrm{ord}_2(p-1))}$ in $\hat{C}(G)$.

Lemma 6.2. *Let p be an odd prime and $k \geq 4$ an integer. If $k = 4$, assume that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then there exists a prime number l that divides $p^{k-2} - 1$ but does not divide $p^s - 1$ for any $s < k - 2$.*

Proof. This is a special case of Zsigmondy's Theorem [18]. $\square$

Write Q_{2^N} for the generalised quaternion group of order 2^N .

Lemma 6.3. *The group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $q = p^k$ has a 2-Sylow subgroup of the form*

- $S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \cong C_p^k$ if $p = 2$;
- $S = \langle c, h \rangle \cong Q_{2^N}$, $c = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, $h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ of exact order $2^{N-1} || q - 1$, if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$;
- $S = \langle c, h \rangle \cong Q_{2^N}$, $c = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$, $h = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \delta \\ \delta & -\gamma \end{pmatrix}$ with $\alpha + \beta\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ of exact order $2^{N-1} || q + 1$ and any choice of $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $\gamma^2 + \delta^2 = -1$, if $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Conjugation by the matrix $\iota = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is an automorphism of S , acting as -1 in the first case, as $c \mapsto c, h \mapsto h^{-1}$ in the second case, and as $c \mapsto c^{-1}, h \mapsto hc^{2m+1}$ for some m in the last case.

Proof. Direct computation. $\square$

From now on, G will denote $\mathrm{PSL}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$. The theorem only has content when k is even and p is odd, so we will assume this. Write

$$n = \mathrm{ord}_2(p - 1) \geq 1, \quad N = \mathrm{ord}_2(p^{k-2} - 1) \geq 3, \quad m = \mathrm{ord}_2(k - 2) \geq 1.$$

Case A: Either $k > 4$ or $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Let A be a generator of a non-split Cartan subgroup $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k-2}}^\times = \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{F}_{p^{k-2}}) < \mathrm{GL}_{k-2}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, and l a prime divisor of $p^{k-2} - 1$ as in Lemma 6.2. The conditions on l imply that the normaliser of $\langle A^{\frac{p^{k-2}-1}{l}} \rangle \cong C_l$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{k-2}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is generated by A and by the Frobenius automorphism $F \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{k-2}}/\mathbb{F}_p)$ of order $k - 2$. Note that F has determinant -1 , since it is an odd permutation on a normal basis of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k-2}}/\mathbb{F}_p$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} c_p &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & I_{k-2} & \\ d^{-1} & & & U \end{pmatrix}, & c_l &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & A^{\frac{p^{k-2}-1}{l}} & \\ -1 & & & F^{(k-2)/2^m} \end{pmatrix}, \\ x &= \begin{pmatrix} d^{-1} & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & U & \end{pmatrix}, & f &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & A^{\frac{p^{k-2}-1}{l}} & \\ -1 & & & F^{(k-2)/2^m} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $U = A^{\frac{p^k-2}{2^N}-1}$ and $d = \det U$. We view these matrices as representing elements of $G = \mathrm{PSL}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Write

$$C = \langle c_p c_l \rangle \cong C_{pl}, \quad P = \langle x, f \rangle \cong C_{2^N} \rtimes C_{2^m}, \quad Q = CP \cong (C_p \times C_l) \rtimes (C_{2^N} \rtimes C_{2^m}).$$

Note that C_{2^N} acts trivially on C_l , and through a C_{2^n} quotient on C_p , while C_{2^m} acts through a C_2 quotient on C_p and faithfully on C_l .

Case B: $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $k = 4$. We take the same c_p as in Case A, and $C = \langle c_p \rangle$. A 2-Sylow of the centraliser of C in G is isomorphic to $\{1\} \times \mathrm{Syl}_2(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p))$, which is isomorphic to Q_{2^N} by the last case of Lemma 6.3. A 2-Sylow of the normaliser is

$$P = \mathrm{Syl}_2 N_G(C) = \mathrm{Syl}_2 Z_G(C) \rtimes \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cong Q_{2^N} \rtimes \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is in fact isomorphic to the semi-dihedral group $SD_{2^{N+1}}$. Again, we let $Q = CP$.

In both cases, write K for the centraliser of C in P . Thus, $K \cong C_{2^{N-n}}$ in case A, and $K \cong Q_{2^N}$ in case B, where the isomorphism is that of Lemma 6.3. Let A_p be K in case A, and a cyclic subgroup of index 2 in K that is normal in Q in case B. Let χ be faithful irreducible characters of CA_p , $\tau = \mathrm{Ind}_{CA_p}^Q \chi$ and $\rho = \mathrm{Tr} \tau \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Q)$.

Lemma 6.4. *The character ρ has order 2^n in $\hat{C}(Q)$.*

Proof. We will use Proposition 2.7. The biggest subgroup of P that intersects CA_p trivially is of order 2 in case B, and of order 2^m in case A. So the order of ρ in $\hat{C}(G)$ is $2^{N+1-(N-1)-1} = 2$ in case B, and $2^{N+m-(N-n)-m} = 2^n$ in case A. $\square$

Finally, we show that $\mathrm{Ind}_Q^G \rho$ has order divisible by $2^{\min(\mathrm{ord}_2(k), \mathrm{ord}_2(p-1))}$ in $\hat{C}(G)$. We will use Corollary 3.10 with $Q_1 = Q_2 = Q$ and $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = \chi$. In view of Lemma 6.4, it suffices to show that

$$(6.5) \quad \sum_{[U] \in CC_{\mathrm{cyc}}(G)} S(U)$$

has 2-adic valuation at most $n - \min(\mathrm{ord}_2(k), \mathrm{ord}_2(p-1))$, where for $U \leq CA_p$,

$$S(U) = \frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\tau) : \mathbb{Q}]}{|CA_p|^2} |N_G(U)| \phi(|U|) \cdot \left(\sum_{\substack{D \leq CA_p \\ D \sim U}} \frac{\mu([D : D \cap \ker \chi])}{\phi([D : D \cap \ker \chi])} \right)^2.$$

Note that since CA_p is cyclic and χ is faithful, this simplifies to

$$S(U) = \frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\tau) : \mathbb{Q}]}{|CA_p|^2 \phi(|U|)} |N_G(U)| \mu(|U|)^2,$$

see Remark 3.11. In particular, $S(U) = 0$ if U has non-square-free order.

Case A.

The subgroups of CK of square-free order are C_{2lp} , C_{lp} , C_{2l} , C_l , C_{2p} , C_p , C_2 , and C_1 . We will show that $S(C_{lp}) + S(C_{2lp})$ has a strictly lower 2-adic valuation than the rest of the sum, and that this valuation is $n - \min(\mathrm{ord}_2(k), n)$. A summary of the calculations that follow is:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ord}_2[\mathbb{Q}(\tau) : \mathbb{Q}] &= \text{ord}_2(l-1) + N - n - 1 - m, \\
\text{ord}_2|CK|^2 &= 2(N-n), \\
\phi(|C_{lp}|) = \phi(|C_{2lp}|) &= (l-1)(p-1), \\
|N_G(C_{lp})| = |N_G(C_{2lp})| &= \frac{(k-2)p(p^{k-2}-1)(p-1)}{\gcd(k, p-1)}, \\
\text{ord}_2(S(C_{lp}) + S(C_{2lp})) &= \text{ord}_2(2S(C_{lp})) \\
&= 1 + \text{ord}_2(l-1) + N - n - 1 - m - 2(N-2) + N + \\
&\quad n + m - \min(\text{ord}_2(k), n) - \text{ord}_2(l-1) + n \\
&= n - \min(\text{ord}_2(k), n).
\end{aligned}$$

The assertions concerning $|CK|$ and $\phi(|C_{lp}|)$ are clear.

Since the conjugation action of P on $\text{Irr}(CK)$ is through Galois automorphisms, and $\ker(P \rightarrow \text{Aut}(CK))$ has index 2^{n+m} in P , we have

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\tau) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2^{-n-m}[\mathbb{Q}(\chi) : \mathbb{Q}] = \frac{p-1}{2^n} \frac{(l-1)2^{N-n-1}}{2^m},$$

with 2-adic valuation $\text{ord}_2(l-1) + N - n - 1 - m$.

The normaliser $N_{\text{GL}_k(p)}$ of the preimage of C_{lp} under $\text{SL} \rightarrow \text{PSL}$ consists of block diagonal matrices, with the normaliser of non-split Cartan in the lower right corner (order $(k-2)(p^{k-2}-1)$), and a Borel subgroup in the top left (order $p(p-1)^2$). The determinant is surjective on $N_{\text{GL}_k(p)}$, and $N_{\text{GL}_k(p)}$ contains $Z(\text{GL}_k(p))$, so the normaliser of C_{lp} in PSL has order $\frac{(k-2)(p^{k-2}-1)p(p-1)}{\gcd(k, p-1)}$, with 2-adic valuation $N + n + m - \min(\text{ord}_2(k), n)$. This is also the normaliser of C_{2lp} .

It remains to show that the rest of the sum in equation (6.5) has strictly greater 2-adic valuation than $\text{ord}_2(S(C_{lp}) + S(C_{2lp}))$. If $U \leq C$, then $|N_G(U)|$ and $|N_G(UC_2)|$ agree up to a power of p , $\phi(|U|) = \phi(|UC_2|)$, while $\mu(|U|) = -\mu(|UC_2|)$. It follows that the 2-adic valuation of $S(U) + S(UC_2)$ is at least 1 greater than that of $S(U)$.

Moreover, for any $U \leq C_{lp}$, the normaliser of U in G contains that of C_{lp} , while $1/\phi(|U|)$ has strictly greater 2-adic valuation than $1/\phi(|C_{lp}|)$ whenever $U \neq C_{lp}$. This establishes the claim.

Case B. The subgroups of CA_p of square-free order are C_1 , C_2 , C_p , and C_{2p} . We will show that $\text{ord}_2(\sum S(U)) = \text{ord}_2(S(C_p) + S(C_{2p})) = 0$. Again, we summarise the calculations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ord}_2[\mathbb{Q}(\tau) : \mathbb{Q}] &= N - 3, \\
\text{ord}_2|CA_p|^2 &= 2N - 2, \\
\phi(|C_p|) = \phi(|C_{2p}|) &= p - 1, \\
|N_G(C_p)| = p^4|N_G(C_{2p})| &= p^4 \cdot \frac{(p-1)^3 p^2 (p+1)}{2}, \\
\text{ord}_2(S(C_p) + S(C_{2p})) &= \text{ord}_2((1+p^4)S(C_{2p})) \\
&= 1 + N - 3 - 2N + 2 - 1 + N + 1 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The assertions concerning $|CA_p|$ and ϕ are clear.

It follows from the description of the P -action on $\text{Irr}(CK)$ that $[\mathbb{Q}(\tau) : \mathbb{Q}] = \frac{1}{2}[\mathbb{Q}(\chi) : \mathbb{Q}]$, and has 2-adic valuation 2^{N-3} .

The normaliser of C_{2p} in GL_4 is block diagonal, with all invertible matrices in the bottom right corner, and Borel in the top left. So its order in PSL is $\frac{(p-1)^3 p^2 (p+1)}{2}$ with 2-adic valuation $N + 1$. Finally, $|N(C_p)| = p^4 |N(C_{2p})|$, e.g. see Murray [10] §4.

It remains to show that the 2-adic valuation of $S(C_1) + S(C_2)$ is positive. The normaliser of C_2 in GL_4 is $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$, so the order of the normaliser in PSL is $\frac{(p-1)^3 p^2 (p+1)^2}{2}$, with 2-adic valuation $2N$, and the normaliser of C_1 is even bigger. So the 2-adic valuations of $S(C_1)$ and of $S(C_2)$ are positive.

Corollary 6.6. *As G ranges over the simple groups $\mathrm{PSL}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$, and therefore also over $\mathrm{SL}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$, the exponent of $C(G)_2$ is unbounded.*

Proof. If $\mathrm{ord}_2(k) > \mathrm{ord}_2(p - 1)$, then by [16, Lemma 5.6(1)] all Schur indices in $\mathrm{PSL}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$ are trivial. So the assertion follows from Theorem 6.1. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Berz, Permutationsbasen für endliche Gruppen, Ph.D. thesis, Augsburg, 1994 (Zbl 0924.20003).
- [2] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system. I: The user language, J. Symb. Comput. 24, No. 3–4 (1997), 235–265.
- [3] T. Dokchitser, V. Dokchitser, Regulator constants and the parity conjecture, Invent. Math. 178 no. 1 (2009), 23–71.
- [4] A. W. M. Dress, Contributions to the theory of induced representations, Classical Algebraic K-Theory and Connections with Arithmetic (H. Bass, ed.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 342, pp. 183–240, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [5] A. W. M., Dress, Induction and structure theorems for orthogonal representations of finite groups, Ann. of Math. 102 (1975), 291–325.
- [6] W. Feit, Characters of Finite Groups, W. A. Benjamin New York, 1967.
- [7] I. Hambleton, L. R. Taylor, Rational permutation modules for finite groups, Math. Z. 231 (1999), 707–726.
- [8] I. Hambleton, L. R. Taylor, E. B. Williams, Detection theorems for K-theory and L-theory, J. of Pure and Applied Algebra 63 (1990), 247–299.
- [9] R. Kletzing, Structure and Representations of Q -Groups, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1084 Springer-Verlag 1984.
- [10] S. H. Murray, Conjugacy classes in maximal parabolic subgroups of general linear groups, J. Algebra 233 (2000), 135–155.
- [11] J. R. Rasmussen, Rationally represented characters and permutation characters of nilpotent groups, J. Algebra 29 (1974), 504–509.
- [12] J. Ritter, Ein Induktionssatz für rationale Charaktere von nilpotenten Gruppen, J. Reine Angew. Math. 254 (1972), 133–151.
- [13] G. Segal, Permutation representations of finite p -groups, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) 23 (1972), 375–381.
- [14] J.-P. Serre, Linear Representations of Finite Groups, GTM 42, Springer-Verlag 1977.
- [15] L. Solomon, Rational characters and permutation characters, Symposia Mathematica Instituto Nazionale Alta Mathematica 13 (1974), 453–466.
- [16] A. Turull, The Schur indices of the irreducible characters of the special linear groups, J. Algebra 235 (2001), 275–314.
- [17] A. Turull, Characters, fields, Schur indices and divisibility, Archiv Math. 101 (2013), 411–417.
- [18] K. Zsigmondy, Zur Theorie der Potenzreste, J. Monatshefte für Math. 3 (1892), 265–284.

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, COVENTRY CV4 7AL, UK
E-mail address: `a.bartel@warwick.ac.uk`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY WALK, BRISTOL BS8 1TW, UK
E-mail address: `tim.dokchitser@bristol.ac.uk`