MONITORING RISK FACTORS OF CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE IN CANCER SURVIVORS
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Introduction

In the UK, there are over two million cancer survivors and this figure is set to rise to 3.3 million by 2040. While prognosis varies by cancer type, treatments have improved such that half of all people diagnosed with cancer in 2010–2011 in England and Wales are expected to survive for 10 years or longer, compared with a quarter of people diagnosed in 1971–1972. The role of GPs is becoming increasingly more important in cancer survivorship care since within an average GP population of 10,000 patients, there are about 275 cancer survivors of at least 5 years following diagnosis.

After progression or recurrence of cancer, cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of death among survivors. Survivors from solid tumour cancers and haematological cancers both have a 1.6–1.7 times greater risk of CVD than non-cancer controls. Many major cancers have the same long-term, cumulative, risk factors (e.g. smoking, obesity, physical inactivity, low consumption of fruit and vegetables). The stress of major illness, and its treatments, may aggravate CVD risk, and certain cancer treatments can present specific cardiovascular hazards, such as weight gain and metabolic disturbances.

There exist published literature for cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk monitoring in cancer survivors but the extent of monitoring in clinical oncology practice is unknown. We performed an interactive survey at a Royal College of Physicians conference (11 November 2016) attended by practitioners with an interest in late effects of cancer treatment and supplemented the survey with an audit among 32 lung cancer survivors treated at St Peter’s NHS Hospital in 2012–2016. Among the practitioners, 40% reported CVD risk monitoring performed at least annually, which is compatible with European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation Guidelines, but 31% indicated that monitoring was never performed. In contrast, 77% felt that at least an annual assessment was required (p<0.001). Corroborating these data, among the lung cancer survivors, 31% and 16% had lipids or glucose/HbA1C measured annually, and 28% and 31% had never had these tests performed since their cancer treatment. Alerting healthcare providers to review protocols may help reduce CVD after cancer treatments.
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There are guidelines on monitoring CVD risk factors with the most recent recommendations for survivors of haematological cancer being the most comprehensive; previous recommendations have tended to be vague or show little information on CVD risk monitoring. Because of the complexity of late effects of cancer treatment, awareness of CVD risks among cancer survivors is lower; little is known about the quality of risk monitoring and CVD-directed health promotion in cancer survivors in clinical practice. This is particularly true for the poor prognosis cancers whose small proportion of long-term survivors have largely been excluded from late effects studies. Therefore, we performed an interactive survey of healthcare providers to assess the frequency of CVD risk monitoring and discussion of CVD health promotion for cancer survivors. We supplemented this with a clinical audit of lung cancer survivors to assess the level of monitoring that
currently occurs following treatment of the poorer prognosis cancers.

Methods

Data procurement

An interactive survey was conducted at a Royal College of Physicians conference on 11 November 2016; a mixed group of 95 healthcare providers – including consultant physicians across different specialties, GPs, physician associates and nurses with a vested interest in late effects of cancer treatments - voted on ten questions: six related to the ‘frequency of cardiometabolic risk monitoring for their patients’, including weight, blood pressure (BP), waist circumference, lipids, glucose and smoking; three related to ‘how they feel about how often these risk factors should be monitored’; and one related to ‘how often they discuss CVD risk factors with their patients’. Complete questionnaires and data collected from interactive survey are shown in Table 1.

The clinical patient audit was registered and approved by the Audit Department, Ashford and St Peter’s NHS Foundation Trust. Survivors of lung cancer were selected to represent a poor prognosis cancer and as a group known to have a high risk of cardiovascular late effects.6 This audit identified 66 patients diagnosed with lung cancer by the hospital multidisciplinary team in 2012; 32 of these patients were still alive in 2016. Their frequency of monitoring and the levels of plasma lipids (total- and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol) and glucose/HbA1C (glycated haemoglobin) performed by primary and secondary care physicians during the 2012–2016 period were obtained retrospectively from central databases and cross-checked by two doctors.

Statistical analysis

The interactive survey data were presented individually for each response to questions on CVD risk factors (weight, waist circumference, BP, lipids and glucose) followed by two composite variables; the first composite variable comprised waist circumference, BP, lipids and glucose) by healthcare providers reported they monitor waist circumference ‘at least every 6 months’; 1% ‘at every clinic (at least once a year)’; 8% ‘only occasionally’; and 89% reported they ‘never’ monitor waist circumference. These figures increased significantly (χ²=112, p<0.001) to 9.1%, 55.7%, 26.1% and 9.1%, respectively, when healthcare providers were asked ‘how often they feel waist circumference should be monitored’. Fig 1 shows that the frequency of comprehensive monitoring for all CVD risk factors (composite variable comprising weight, waist circumference, BP, lipids and glucose) by healthcare providers ‘at least every 6 months’ was reported by 6% of survey respondents, ‘at every clinic (at least once a year)’ by 34%, ‘only occasionally’ by 29% and ‘never’ by 31%. These figures were significantly different (χ²=120, p<0.001) to those seen when healthcare providers were asked how often they feel these risk factors should be monitored (17%, 60%, 20% and 3%, respectively).

Results

Interactive survey of healthcare providers

The questions asked in the survey and responses are documented in Table 1. Of those surveyed, 1% of healthcare providers reported they monitor waist circumference ‘at least every 6 months’; 1% ‘at every clinic (at least once a year)’; 8% ‘only occasionally’; and 89% reported they ‘never’ monitor waist circumference. These figures increased significantly (χ²=112, p<0.001) to 9.1%, 55.7%, 26.1% and 9.1%, respectively, when healthcare providers were asked ‘how often they feel waist circumference should be monitored’. Fig 1 shows that the frequency of comprehensive monitoring for all CVD risk factors (composite variable comprising weight, waist circumference, BP, lipids and glucose) by healthcare providers ‘at least every 6 months’ was reported by 6% of survey respondents, ‘at every clinic (at least once a year)’ by 34%, ‘only occasionally’ by 29% and ‘never’ by 31%. These figures were significantly different (χ²=120, p<0.001) to those seen when healthcare providers were asked how often they feel these risk factors should be monitored (17%, 60%, 20% and 3%, respectively).

Results for other individual risk factors are shown in Table 1. In addition to the specific monitoring, the survey also looked at how often potential health interventions might have been discussed with patients. It is notable that about 60% of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1a. Frequency of monitoring of cardiovascular risk factors for cancer survivors by healthcare providers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response, n (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1 How often do you measure your patients’ weight?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least every 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 How often do you measure your patients’ waist circumference?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 How often do you measure your patients’ blood pressure?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 (12.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 How often do you measure your patients’ cholesterol?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 How often do you measure your patients’ glucose?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 When you see your patients, how often do you ask them about cigarette smoking?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 When you see your patients, how often do you discuss cardiovascular risk factors?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Clinical audit of CVD risk monitoring in cancer survivors

Of the 66 patients diagnosed with lung cancer in 2012, 32 (48.5%) had survived to 2016 (4.7 years) and could be included in the study. The frequency of plasma lipid/glucose monitoring is documented in Table 2. The median age of the patients at diagnosis was 71.2 years (interquartile range 59.9–78.0). The mean (range) values for total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol and glucose were 4.45 mmol/L (3.80–5.29), 1.41 mmol/L (1.15–1.73) and 5.95 mmol/L (4.97–6.73), respectively.

There were 11 patients (34.4%) with dyslipidaemia (total cholesterol ≥ 5 mmol/L, HDL cholesterol <1.03 mmol/L for men, <1.29 mmol/L for women) and four patients (12.5%) with hyperglycaemia (fasting glucose levels ≥5.6 mmol/L). Overall, 14 patients (43.8%) had at least one of these cardiometabolic risk factors.

Discussion

There exist a number of guidelines on monitoring CVD risk factors in cancer survivors with some appearing to be more comprehensive than others.16–20 For example, the recent joint guidelines from the Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research and European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation19 recommend specific frequency of monitoring and treatment targets for individual CVD risk factors in cancer survivors following haematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT). These guidelines recommend screening at every clinic visit (at least once a year) for weight, body mass index (BMI) and BP, and yearly for waist circumference. Lipid measurement is recommended 3 months after allogenic HCT and should be repeated every 3–6 months for high-risk patients (treated with sirolimus, calcineurin inhibitors or corticosteroids) and every 5 years for standard-risk patients (males ≥35 years and females ≥45 years). Fasting blood glucose/HbA1C should be checked 3 months after allogenic HCT and re-evaluated every 3–6 months for high-risk patients (treated with corticosteroids) and every 3 years for standard-risk patients. The Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network guidelines17 recommend annual assessment of BP and BMI, fasting glucose, insulin and lipids every 2 years in overweight/obese individuals and every 5 years in non-obese individuals. A report from the Cardiovascular Disease Task Force of the Children’s Oncology Group suggests monitoring fasting glucose and lipid profile every 3–5 years for survivors of childhood cancer.21 Other guidelines on CVD risk monitoring of survivors of childhood malignancy recommend that, in addition to fasting glucose, lipids and HbA1C (although no specific frequency suggested), referral to endocrinologists should be considered for those with increased risk, eg bone marrow transplant recipients, especially total body irradiation or busulphan-based conditioning.22 While the precise monitoring frequency remains debatable and challenging, it is clear that monitoring, on the one hand should be tailored to the individual based on risk level (eg bone marrow transplant recipients, cranial radiotherapy, glucocorticoid treatment, growth hormone deficiency or high BMI/large waist circumference), and on the other hand should be recommended for all cancer survivors since

Table 1b. How often healthcare providers think cardiovascular disease risk factors should be monitored in cancer survivors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response, n (%)</th>
<th>At least every 6 months</th>
<th>At every clinic visit (at least once a year)</th>
<th>Only occasionally</th>
<th>Never</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 How often do you feel your patients’ weight and blood pressure should be checked?</td>
<td>19 (22.4)</td>
<td>63 (74.1)</td>
<td>3 (3.5)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 How often do you feel your patients’ waist circumference should be checked?</td>
<td>8 (9.1)</td>
<td>49 (55.7)</td>
<td>23 (26.1)</td>
<td>8 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 How often do you feel your patients’ cholesterol and blood glucose should be checked?</td>
<td>17 (20.5)</td>
<td>41 (49.4)</td>
<td>25 (30.1)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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survivors of solid tumours have been shown to have similar late cardiovascular effects to survivors of haematological malignancies. The present study has demonstrated rather infrequent CVD risk monitoring of a group of lung cancer survivors in secondary care. Survivors of lung cancer have been shown to be among the highest risk groups for cardiovascular events and improvements in cancer treatment will increase the numbers of individuals at risk. Results obtained from patients' blood tests using electronic databases were consistent with the responses of healthcare providers, in an interactive survey, and with results from a previous audit by Sekhar et al – about one-third never had risk factors measured. This lack of CVD risk monitoring is concerning as cancer survivors are known to have a high risk of CVD and related death. There appears to be low awareness of the need for monitoring CVD risk factors. Urgent action is therefore necessary to promote awareness among healthcare providers of this, particularly for long-term cancer survivors because CVD tends to manifest many years later as a consequence of the late effects of cancer treatments.

The underlying reasons for the poor CVD monitoring in cancer survivors are uncertain and likely to be multifactorial. A lack of awareness among healthcare providers of the elevated risk and of current guidelines may play a part. Cancer survivors are uncertain and likely to be multifactorial. A lack of awareness among healthcare providers of the elevated risk and of current guidelines may play a part. Cancer survivors may have been more focused on the cancer itself and tended to accept the elevated CVD risk factors if CVD does not emerge until a number of years after cancer treatments. It is therefore imperative that oncologists take responsibility for raising awareness of the risks with the patient and the GP; the responsibility for screening of CVD risk then lies with the GP (through the implementation of the treatment record summary as a component of the recovery package). Our survey indicates that healthcare providers actually wish to monitor CVD risk for their patients more frequently than their current practice, but we did not have information on the underlying reason for the lack of monitoring. Long-term follow-up of cancer survivors with diligent monitoring of general health — including CVD risk factors, particularly the reversible components of metabolic syndrome — is necessary in order to intervene effectively. Primary prevention has been advocated for cancer survivors. However, our interactive survey revealed that up to nine out of ten healthcare providers indicated that they never measured their patients' waist circumference. In contrast, when asked how often waist circumference should be checked, almost two-thirds of these specialists felt that it should be checked at least every 6–12 months. Given their high risk, CVD screening in cancer survivors should use metabolic syndrome criteria from the International Diabetes Federation with lower cut-off levels of waist circumference and glucose than those defined by the National Cholesterol Education Program. In our interactive survey, smoking habit and general CVD risk factors were only occasionally, or never, discussed by 40–60% of healthcare providers. This lack of health promotion has also been reported in a larger survey, revealing about one-third of cancer survivors did not have health promotion discussion with their healthcare providers.

Managing treatment consequences is a key goal of new cancer strategy and solutions to this given through the implementation of the recovery package. Dissemination of information on CVD monitoring for cancer survivors across all healthcare disciplines is vital to ensure continuity of management of general health in the long term. The role of GPs is vital given increasing numbers of survivors are now being cared for in primary care. However, it has recently been shown that half of the time CVD risk is incompletely monitored in primary care. The strengths of this study include data sources from patients’ investigations as well as direct survey of healthcare providers, which show concordant results consistent with previous studies. The present study is limited because of its small sample size and the healthcare providers surveyed were not from within the specialty of oncology. However, as previously discussed, the long-term follow-up of cancer survivors is usually performed by non-oncology specialists such as GPs and nurses. We did not have the opportunity to include physical activity, dietary habits, including alcohol intake, and adherence to treatment — which may provide important insights into the aetiology of CVD in cancer survivors. We did not have data about treatment of cardiovascular risk factors. We are not aware of any studies on detection of risk factors that automatically lead to treatment in cancer survivors but believe that any risk identified in cancer survivors should be managed at least as aggressively as that in non-cancer population. A large national survey is being planned to extend our findings, by including survivors of prostate, teratoma, breast and haematology-oncology cancers, and adult survivors of childhood cancers.

Conclusions
Despite their now well-established increased risk of CVD and related death, current monitoring of correctable CVD risk factors in cancer survivors by healthcare providers is infrequent, and does not meet recommendations from published literature. Regular monitoring and early management of CVD risk factors is required, and should be incorporated into guidelines, to prevent the elevated rates of CVD after cancer treatment, and thereby improve cancer survivorship.
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Table 2. Frequency of lipid and glucose/HbA1C monitoring in lung cancer survivors (n=32) 56 months (4.7 years) after diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency of monitoring</th>
<th>Three times</th>
<th>Twice</th>
<th>Once</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients who had lipids monitored</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients who had glucose/HbA1C monitored</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HbA1C = glycated haemoglobin
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