



Witasp, A., Van Craenenbroeck, A. H., Shiels, P. G., Ekström, T. J., Stenvinkel, P. and Nordfors, L. (2017) Current epigenetic aspects the clinical kidney researcher should embrace. *Clinical Science*, 131(14), pp. 1649-1667.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/144113/>

Deposited on: 31 July 2017

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow
<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk>

Current epigenetic aspects the clinical kidney researcher should embrace

Authors: Anna Witasp^{1,2}, Amaryllis H. Van Craenenbroeck^{1,3}, Paul G Shiels⁴, Tomas J. Ekström^{2,5}, Peter Stenvinkel¹, Louise Nordfors^{1,2,6}

Affiliations: ¹Division of Renal Medicine, Department of Clinical Science, Intervention and Technology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; ²Center for Molecular Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; ³Department of Nephrology, Antwerp University Hospital & University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium; ⁴Institute of Cancer Sciences, MVLS, University of Glasgow, Scotland, UK; ⁵Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; ⁶Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.

Correspondence to: Peter Stenvinkel, Division of Renal Medicine, Karolinska University

Hospital at Huddinge M99, Karolinska Institutet, SE-14186, Stockholm, Sweden

Tel.: +46-8-58582532, Fax: +46-8-7114742

E-mail: peter.stenvinkel@ki.se

Key terms: chronic kidney disease, epigenetics, DNA methylation, microRNA

Word count: 6637

Number of figures: 1

ABSTRACT

Chronic kidney disease (CKD), affecting 10-12% of the world's adult population, is associated with a considerably elevated risk of serious comorbidities, in particular premature vascular disease and death. Although a wide spectrum of causative factors has been identified and/or suggested, there is still a large gap of knowledge regarding the underlying mechanisms and the complexity of the CKD phenotype. Epigenetic factors, which calibrate the cellular mechanisms for transcriptional regulation, are emerging as important players in the CKD-associated pathophysiology. In this article we review some of the current knowledge on epigenetic modifications and aspects on their role in the perturbed uraemic milieu, as well as the prospect of applying epigenotype-based diagnostics and preventive and therapeutic tools of clinical relevance to CKD patients. The practical realization of such a paradigm will require that researchers apply a holistic approach, including the full spectrum of the epigenetic landscape as well as the variability between and within tissues in the uraemic milieu.

ABBREVIATIONS

AMPK	5' adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase
AT1R	angiotensin II type 1 receptor
CKD	chronic kidney disease
CLDN1	claudin-1
CRP	C-reactive protein
CVD	cardiovascular disease
DN	diabetic nephropathy
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
ESRD	end-stage renal disease
FGF23	fibroblast growth factor 23
GWAS	genome-wide association study
5hmC	5-hydroxymethyl cytosine
HAT	histone acetyltransferase
HD	hemodialysis
HDAC	histone deacetylase
HDACi	histone deacetylase inhibitors 1
HDM	histone demethylase
HMT	histone methyl transferase
IFNG	interferon gamma
IL-6	interleukin 6
lncRNA	long noncoding RNA
MBP	methylation binding protein
m ⁶ A	N6-methyladenosine
5mC	5-methyl cytosine
miRNA	microRNA
mtDNA	mitochondrial DNA
mTOR	mammalian target of rapamycin
PD1	programmed cell death protein 1
piRNA	piwi-interacting RNA

RNAi	RNA interference
RNMT	RNA methyltransferase
ROS	reactive oxygen species
SAH	S-adenosylhomocysteine
SAM	S-adenosylmethionine
SASP	senescence associated secretory phenotype
SHC1	SHC adaptor protein 1
SUMO	small ubiquitin-like modifier
TGFB1	transforming growth factor B1
TNF	tumor necrosis factor
VSMC	vascular smooth muscle cell

INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a heterogeneous condition with a wide spectrum of functional and structural changes leading to successive loss of renal function over time [1]. The prevalence of CKD parallels an increased prevalence of type-2 diabetes, psychosocial stress, obesity and a sedentary life style [2]. Chronic kidney disease may thus be seen as a reflection of the "burden of life style" where epigenetic alteration of gene expression act as a dynamic regulator of responses to change in environmental conditions [3]. Consequently, associations between the uraemic phenotype and the uraemic epigenotype deserve further research. An estimated 10-12% of the world's adult population is affected by CKD and although a large proportion is distributed among the elderly, CKD exists across all age groups [4]. Regardless of age, patients with CKD face an imminent risk of either progressing to end-stage renal disease (ESRD) and/or developing cardiovascular disease (CVD); both associated with decreased survival [5]. The mortality risk is proportional to the decline in renal function and whereas it is diminished by renal transplantation, dialysis provides only a modest risk reduction and mortality risk is actually higher soon after initiation of hemodialysis (HD) [6]. Dialysis patients display an annual mortality rate of 20%, which is comparable to metastatic colon and ovarian cancer [7], and the risk of death due to CKD-associated CVD is more than 20-fold compared to the general population [5]. Even patients with early-stage CKD have a dramatically increased risk of mortality and most patients will die before they reach the terminal stage of the disease [5]. Why CKD is associated with such a poor prognosis and large inter-individual variation with respect to comorbidities, rate of disease progression and survival [8], is still not well understood. This is reflected by the paucity of established disease biomarkers and efficient treatment options. The underlying mechanisms remain incompletely understood, though it is likely that both genetic and epigenetic factors contribute to the observed variability.

Recent developments in genomic technologies have offered significant opportunities to extract novel information in an unbiased manner, which may help identify individuals at higher risk of developing CKD and its associated complications. Indeed, the nephrology community has put a lot of faith and effort into multi-consortia genome-wide association studies (GWASs) to illuminate common genetic variants in potential pathogenic pathways [9-16]. GWAS-extracted gene candidates have, however, hitherto not given an explanation to the considerable variability observed between CKD patients [17]. This "missing heritability" may partly be due to unidentified rare variants, but also gene-environment interactions and acquired epigenetic changes [18]. Epigenetic changes are dynamic and

influenced by external and internal environmental cues [19, 20], unlike the genetic information, which is “locked” in the primary genomic sequence from birth (disregarding spontaneous mutations). This is important, as epigenetic regulation of the genome allows genes to become actively expressed or repressed, which may in turn translate into different phenotypic traits. Any change in epigenetic regulation that deviates from a healthy state may therefore be of functional importance in disease development [21, 22]. Knowledge of epigenetic changes in human disease has expanded [23, 24]. In this review, we will provide an updated summary of some basic concepts of epigenetic modifications and elaborate upon their role in the uraemic milieu, in particular how these changes relate to premature vascular disease. Although the literature remains sparse, epigenetic changes as potentially modifiable targets in the treatment of CKD will also be discussed.

EPIGENETIC MODIFICATIONS

Epigenetic modifications are, by definition, stably heritable (through replication and cell division) traits which modulate the physical structure of DNA, without affecting the primary nucleotide sequence [25].

These modifications may act transiently, or persistently, as a temporal cellular memory over time, to provide means for diversified gene expression programs and phenotypes in different cell types in multicellular organisms. Such spatio-temporal specificity is integral to a range of cellular developmental processes (e.g. cell differentiation and cell maturation during early development) as well as homeostatic processes. The most well-studied epigenetic mechanisms include covalent posttranslational modifications of histones and DNA cytosine methylation, activities which are closely allied with non-coding RNAs, including microRNAs (miRNAs) and long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs).

Histone modifications

In the human cell nucleus, DNA exists in tightly folded and organized nucleosomal structures, primarily complexed with histone proteins, of which H2A, H2B, H3 and H4 are the most common. These proteins are assembled as octamers, each with a segment of DNA coiled around it, forming the nucleosomes, which are packaged into chromatin macromolecules. The nucleosome allows coordination of the physical structure mainly through its extruding N-terminal ends, but also via their C-terminal regions, where histone tails have amino acid residues that are accessible for enzymatic modifications. Well-characterized histone marks

include trimethylation (e.g. H3K27me₃, H3K4me₃ and H3K36me₃), demethylation, acetylation (e.g. H3K27ac, H3K14ac), ubiquitination and SUMOylation of lysine residues, as well as methylation of arginines and phosphorylation of serine residues [26, 27]. These enzymatic modifications are, among others, mediated via specific histone methyl transferases (HMTs) and demethylases (HDMs), histone acetyltransferases (HATs) and deacetylases (HDACs, including sirtuins), ubiquitin ligases and de-ubiquitinases, small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) ligases and proteases, and kinases and phosphatases.

Histone modifications regulate gene activity by making the chromatin more or less condensed, and hence more or less accessible for transcription factors, but also by defining chromatin structure and its temporal and spatial context in the nucleus [28]. As an example, acetylation of amino acid residues in the histone tail often propagate a less condensed, transcriptionally active state [29], while methylation at the same or other sites may correlate with a more condensed, transcriptionally inert, structure. In addition to regulating gene activity, histone modifications are crucial for other biological processes, such as initiating DNA replication by dimethylation of H3K79, and chromosome condensation during mitosis, which is mediated by a cascade of histone modifications, including phosphorylation of H3S10 by the Aurora B kinase [30, 31]. Histone modifications also play a vital role in the DNA repair process by marking sites of DNA damage; as an example gamma-H2AX is extensively phosphorylated in response to double strand breaks [32, 33]. The integration of all possible combinations of different histone tail sites with different modification provides an enormous complexity to the epigenetic regulation, sometimes referred to as a histone code [26]. The complexity becomes even greater when adding the close cross-talk existing between histone modifications, DNA methylation and non-coding RNAs (e.g. reviewed in [34]).

Chromosomes are also modulated at a higher level. Chromatin remodeling, i.e how chromatin is folded and organized within the nucleus, is a rapidly growing field of research, as its implications in development and disease are increasingly recognized. Recent development of chromosome conformation capture (3C) technologies has made high resolution mapping and visualization of 3D chromatin architecture possible [35], but has at the same time shown that the mechanisms behind chromatin organization are substantially more complex than first anticipated [36]. To regulate gene activity and other key biological processes, several components, such as transcription factors, architectural proteins and ncRNAs, collaborate to modulate the chromatin architecture at different levels. For example, chromatin loops are formed to enable long-distance enhancer-promoter interactions [36] and at a higher level,

chromosomes are being directed to distinct territories within the nuclear space. Mutations in genes encoding ATP-dependent chromatin remodelling enzymes, often referred to as Snf2- or SWI/SNF-related enzymes, occur at a high frequency in many cancers and have also been associated with a number of complex diseases (reviewed by [37]). For an extensive review on the organization and function of the 3D genome see [38].

DNA methylation

Active DNA methylation and demethylation have important functions in normal and pathological cellular processes and contribute to development and differentiation of cells and tissues, including tissue-specific gene expression, genomic imprinting, silencing of retroviral elements and X chromosome inactivation [39-41]. DNA methylation involves the addition of methyl groups onto the 5'-position of cytosine (5mC) rings by DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) and occurs either to maintain original methylation patterns during replication, or to produce *de novo* DNA methylation. One effect is gene transcription silencing via recruitment of repressor proteins or prevention of transcription factor binding to DNA [34].

DNA methylation generally appears within the context of CpG dinucleotides. When CpGs become methylated, methylation-binding proteins (MBPs) that bind to the site generate a transcriptional repressive mode via recruitment of chromatin remodeling factors (including HDACs). Most CpG sites within the mammalian genome are methylated, including CpGs found in and between genes, where intergenic DNA methylation is known to play an important role to repress transcription of transposable and viral elements or other potentially harmful genetic elements. The function of gene body DNA methylation is however, still unclear [42]. In contrast, CpG islands, i.e. regions of approximately 1000 base pairs that are enriched for CpG sites, are commonly depleted of methylated DNA, allowing an open chromatin structure and binding of transcription factors. CpG islands are highly conserved between mice and humans [43] and approximately 70% of all gene promoters, in particular promoters for housekeeping genes, are found in CpG islands [44]. In addition, conserved regions called CpG island shores, located up to 2 kb from CpG islands, show tissue-specific methylation patterns, which correlate with reduced gene expression [45]. The effects of DNA methylation on the genome may be counteracted by DNA demethylation reactions [46]. 5-hydroxymethyl cytosine (5hmC) is the first oxidative product in this process [46], shown to correlate with active gene transcription [47, 48]. As commonly utilized methods for detecting DNA methylation, including sodium bisulfite sequencing, do not discriminate between 5mC and 5hmC, all reports on 5mC assessed with bisulfite-mediated methods may be confounded by the potential presence

of 5hmC. Thus, new methods such as oxidative bisulfite sequencing have been developed to allow discrimination between the two [49].

RNA methylation

RNA methylation is the key component of the epitranscriptome. Although the phenomenon has been known for over 60 years [50], it remains one of the least understood aspects of epigenetic regulation. RNA methylation is found in a wide range of RNA species (including transfer RNA, ribosomal RNA, messenger RNA, transfer-messenger RNA, small nuclear RNA, small nucleolar RNA, miRNA, and viral RNA) as the result of the activities of distinct RNA-methyltransferases (RNMTs) [51]. The best characterized eukaryotic methylation of RNA is N⁶-methyladenosine (m⁶A), although 5mC is common. Recent data suggest that m⁶A and 5mC RNA methylation affect the regulation of a plethora of metabolic processes, including RNA stability and translation, and that aberrant RNA methylation contributes to the aetiology of human disease [52]. Recent discoveries have shed new light on the importance of m⁶A mRNA methylation for the epitranscriptomic regulation of circadian clock genes [53] and the subsequent impact on metabolism. Fustin and co-workers have revealed that internal m⁶A RNA methylation of clock gene transcripts is a key regulator of circadian rhythm and that period length is inversely proportional to the methylation potential. They have also shown that the circadian cycle is dramatically lengthened following inhibition of m⁶A methylation by siRNA-mediated knockdown of the m⁶A methylase *Mettl3* and that it is shortened by *Mettl3* overexpression.

RNA methylation has been postulated as a basis for epigenetic transcriptional memory in response to a previous stimulus, which can produce heritable changes in the response of an organism to that stimulus. A sequitur from this would be a quantitative, or qualitative change in gene expression. Significantly, direct evidence for this lies in chromatin changes regulating binding of RNAPII, which are conserved across taxa [54]. A role for RNA methylation has yet to be established in renal disease, though one immediate area it may manifest in is immune function, where post transcriptional regulation of T cell memory (and by extension transcriptional memory) by T cell miRNAs and methylation may be dysregulated. Precedence for this has already been established in SLE, where it contributes to tissue damage and autoantibody formation [55].

Adenosine-to-Inosine RNA editing

Another important post-transcriptional process is RNA editing, which has the ability to alter the nucleotide sequence of both coding and non-coding RNAs and is a widespread phenomenon occurring in more than half of the human transcriptome [56, 57]. The most common form of editing in mammals is adenosine-to-inosine (A-to-I) conversion and may either modify codons, or insert or remove splice sites, and hence alter the amino acid sequence or length of a protein. As inosines have properties mimicking those of guanosines, A-to-I editing may also disturb RNA-RNA base pairing and change the secondary structure of a transcript [58]. In non-coding RNAs, such as miRNAs that act by binding to other RNA targets, changes of the nucleotide sequence may also have implications on binding specificity. The A-to-I conversion is catalyzed by enzymes of the adenosine deaminase acting on RNA (ADAR) family, which are essential for normal development [56, 59] and abnormal ADAR activity has been associated with many human diseases including vascular disease [60], cancer, neurological disorders, metabolic diseases, viral infections and autoimmune disorders [61]. Drugs targeting the ADAR family of enzymes may seem as a promising way to future therapies. However, as the magnitude of RNA editing sites in the human genome has only recently been understood, and knowledge about the biological processes where ADARs are involved is limited, unwanted side effects using this approach may be considerable. An alternative strategy could be to target specific ADAR substrates, which was demonstrated by Tariq and co-workers, who managed to repress ADAR activity by altering RNA editing in a substrate-specific manner [62].

Non-coding RNAs

miRNA

The small (20-24 nucleotides) non-coding miRNAs add another layer of complexity to the regulation of gene expression that can have profound effects on biological pathways [63]. The miRNAs are an evolutionary conserved class of single-stranded, non-coding RNAs that regulate gene expression at the posttranscriptional level. As part of the RNA interference (RNAi) system, miRNAs merely have a negative regulatory function, either by degradation of target mRNA by targeting its 3' untranslated region and/or by inhibition of protein translation [64]. To date, over 1800 miRNAs have been identified in the human genome (Reference: www.miRbase.org), and they are estimated to affect the expression of more than 50% of the protein-coding portion of the human genome [65-67]. The miRNA coding sequences typically exist in intergenic areas but can also be found in sense or antisense orientation within introns

of genes. Some miRNAs are clustered in the genome and are most likely to be transcribed together [68].

One specific target gene can be regulated by many different miRNAs, and one single miRNA may alter the expression of a large number of target genes, often involved at different levels in a signaling cascade of a particular biological pathway. Indeed, the majority of miRNAs exert their effects through the rather modest reduction of a large number of targets which altogether give alterations in cellular phenotype [65]. Thus, most miRNAs are highly pleiotropic and act differently depending on the cell type. On the other hand, the regulation of miRNAs can be conducted via multiple steps, such as gene transcription, processing, transport and target recognition. miRNAs are also regulated by long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) [69] and mRNA targets can reciprocally control the level and function of miRNAs [67].

In addition, both histone modification and methylation status of miRNA promoters influence miRNA expression and action [70, 71]. As part of this epigenetic-miRNA regulatory circuit, miRNAs may in turn induce DNA methylation [72] and control the expression of DNMTs and HDACs [73], thereby altering gene transcription at a higher level. Adding to the complexity of miRNA regulation is also that, for many miRNAs, there are several length and/or sequence isoforms, termed isomiRs [74], that differ either in the 5'- or 3' ends (5' isomiRs and 3' isomiRs, respectively), or harbour internal nucleotide substitutions (polymorphic isomiRs) as compared to the canonical sequence. The polymorphic isomiRs are often a result of A-to-I RNA editing [75] and may alter target specificity if located in a seed region, i.e the sequence essential for the binding of the miRNA to the mRNA. Several such alterations have been implicated in human disease.

lncRNA and piwi-interacting RNA (piRNA)

lncRNAs comprise non-coding RNA species over 200 nucleotides long. They are both inter- and intragenically derived and show differential tissue specific expression [76]. These RNAs differ from miRNAs because they regulate gene expression not only at the post-transcriptional but also at the transcriptional level, as well as in post-transcriptional processing of miRNA, imprinting and DNA methylation, chromatin remodeling, cellular reprogramming, intracellular trafficking and cellular stress and damage responses [77].

Another class of small non-coding RNA molecules, the 26–31 nucleotides long piRNAs, also contributes to the non-coding RNA regulatory circuit in animal cells [78]. An important role

for piRNAs seems to be gene silencing of transposons via recruitment of DNA methyltransferases to transposable elements. [79]. The understanding of the RNA epitranscriptome and the function of non-coding RNAs in different cell types and states will likely improve rapidly as the development of single-cell RNA sequencing techniques advance [80]. For a comprehensive review on e.g. the functional role of lncRNAs in the kidney, see Lorenzen and Thum [81].

DRIVERS OF EPIGENETIC CHANGES IN THE URAEMIC MILIEU

Decreased renal function generates a toxic internal milieu typified by hyperhomocysteinemia [82], chronic inflammation [83], oxidative and glycosative stress [84], gut dysbiosis [85], hyperphosphataemia [86] and dyslipidaemia [87] that increases the risk of adverse outcomes, including premature vascular ageing and CVD [88, 89]. The uraemic milieu may be linked to alterations in epigenetic regulation of cellular and physiological homeostasis [90, 91], **Figure 1**.

Hyperhomocysteinemia

Homocysteine metabolism involves conversion of homocysteine to S-adenosylhomocysteine (SAH) via the derivatives methionine and S-adenosylmethionine (SAM). Whereas the latter serves as a universal methyl-group donor in many different methylation reactions, including DNA methylation, SAH is a competitive inhibitor of methyltransferases and may, thus, repress methylation reactions. In CKD, circulating homocysteine levels are markedly elevated [82] and predict cardiovascular outcome [92]. Although the homocysteine metabolism pathway is reversible, hyperhomocysteinemia favour SAH formation. Therefore, an accumulation of homocysteine is associated with DNA hypomethylation [93]. In line with this, studies on hyperhomocysteinemia in HD [94] or patients with CVD [95] show that those with elevated levels of SAH have a higher degree of global DNA hypomethylation compared to controls. Indeed, folate therapy, which lower homocysteine, partly restored DNA methylation in HD patients [94]. Yet others have conducted global DNA methylation analyses on CKD stage 2-4 patients and observed no associations between global DNA methylation and either homocysteine or carotid intima-media thickness [96]. As the discrepancy between these studies is likely due to differences in study design and methodology for DNA methylation assessment, it is possible that currently improved techniques will explain these paradoxical observations. Moreover, SAH, rather than homocysteine *per se*, has been suggested as the main culprit in

hyperhomocysteinemia-associated CVD risk [97]. Recent data suggest that SAH, through DNA hypomethylation, may mediate an enhanced pro-inflammatory state with elevations in intermediate monocyte cell counts [98]. Whether stimulation of DNA hypermethylation in monocyte subsets (by supplementation of SAM) will generate reduced intermediate monocyte cell counts remains to be proven.

Inflammation

Many CKD patients display persistently raised levels of inflammatory biomarkers, e.g. C-reactive protein (CRP), tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and interleukin 6 (IL-6), [99], which are associated with oxidative stress, protein energy wasting, endothelial dysfunction and vascular calcification, and serve as predictors of outcome [99]. Pro-inflammatory cytokines trigger changes in chromatin structure [100], regulate expression of DNMTs [101] and induce hypermethylation *in vitro* [91]. Similar to what has been observed in non-CKD populations [102, 103], chronic inflammation associates with DNA hypermethylation in CKD stage 3-5 and HD patients [91]. In addition, longitudinal observations have shown associations between both DNA hypermethylation and all-cause and CVD-mortality in incident dialysis patients [91]. However, the observed inflammation-associated hypermethylation may be a cell-specific phenomenon. Like other pro-inflammatory conditions, CKD is associated with a pronounced shift in the monocyte subset distribution, from classical towards intermediate and non-classical monocytes, and increased intermediate monocyte counts have been shown to predict cardiovascular events in CKD [104, 105]. Notably, intermediate monocytes are enriched for hypo- rather than hypermethylated loci compared to classical and non-classical monocytes [98]. This is probably also true in CKD as uraemic serum stimulates haematopoietic stem cells into a larger fraction of intermediate monocytes, as compared to control conditions, with an increased number of hypomethylated loci [98]. As several of these differentially methylated loci are linked to CVD, infections and/or immune diseases a potential link between uraemic toxins and reprogramming of monocytes into a pro-inflammatory cell profile via DNA methylation changes seems likely.

Recent evidence also points to a role of miRNA dysregulation in impaired monocyte subset differentiation in dialysis patients receiving intravenous iron therapy [106]. Indeed, the uraemic environment per se is able to alter miRNA profiles involved in inflammatory pathways [107]. In general, total plasma levels of small RNA, including miRNA, were shown to be significantly lower in severe CKD, possibly due to increased degradation [108, 109]. In spite of this

observation, circulating levels of particular miRNAs, e.g. miR-150, miR-143, miR-145, and miR-223, were reported to be significantly higher in CKD patients compared with healthy subjects, illustrating the specificity of miRNA up- and down-regulation [110]

Oxidative stress

Oxidative stress, a redox imbalance where the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) is increased and/or ROS degradation by the antioxidant system is reduced, is a prominent feature of CKD linked to a variety of disorders, including atherosclerosis and fibrosis [84]. Epigenetic marks may be implicated in this pathogenic association. For instance, the p66Shc gene (*SHC1*), encoding the p66Shc stress-response protein implicated in ROS metabolism, has been shown to be hypomethylated in dialysis patients, which may result in increased levels of p66Shc and risk for oxidative stress-mediated arteriosclerosis [111]. Oxidative stress is linked to epigenetic changes and active gene transcription via 5hmC [112], possibly in association with inflammation [113]. On the other hand, ROS recruit DNMT1 and SIRT1, associated with repression of gene transcription, to CpG islands [114]. Increased oxidative stress also decreases the DNA hydroxymethylome *in vitro* and *in vivo* [115]. Considering the role of hmC as an intermediate in demethylation reactions, this would intuitively imply that oxidative stress prevents hypomethylation and promotes hypermethylation on a global level. This may affect specific genes differently depending on their regulation by methylation. Considering the contrasting data on methylation in CKD, controlling for oxidative stress and DNA hydroxymethylation may be a way to reconcile these reports.

Dyslipidaemia

Significant alteration in lipoprotein metabolism is a typical feature of the uraemic milieu and is associated with increased cardiovascular risk [87]. The effect of hypercholesterolemia on chromatin structure has been documented for over 30 years [116] and studies made both in apolipoprotein E null mutant mice and on human monocyte cell lines have demonstrated a link between dyslipidemia and DNA methylation changes [117]. Data generated from two large cohort studies, the REGICOR study (discovery cohort) and Framingham Offspring Study (validation cohort), has identified associations between serum lipid profiles, including cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and triglycerides, and differential DNA methylation on nine genes (*SREBF1*, *SREBF2*, *PHOSPHO1*, *SYNGAP1*, *ABCG1*, *CPT1A*, *MYLIP*, *TXNIP*, *SLC7A11*) and two intergenic regions [118]. Some of these findings, e.g. *SREBF1*, *ABCG1* and *CPT1A*, are consistent with previous studies on plasma lipid levels in relation to DNA

methylation, using both global, candidate gene and whole-genome approaches, recently reviewed by Braun *et al* [119]. In contrast, the relationship between histone modifications and blood lipids is not well studied, as is the impact of uraemic dyslipidemia on epigenetic changes. Overall, its impact on the epigenetic landscape remains to be defined in any significant detail in relation to CKD.

Hyperphosphataemia

Hyperphosphatemia and disturbances in bone-mineral metabolism including the fibroblast growth factor 23 (FGF-23)/klotho axis are frequent features of the uraemic phenotype that are associated with premature vascular aging [88]. The renal klotho protein is down-regulated in the toxic uraemic milieu [120] and recent evidence suggest that uraemic toxins may affect *KL* expression via effects on its associated epigenotype. Sun *et al* [121] reported that inhibition of *KL* gene expression by uraemic toxins correlates with gene hypermethylation. Since this study shows that accumulation of uraemic toxins can silence *KL* expression via hypermethylation, this opens a route for the epigenetic targeting of specific genes. Indeed, Rhein (a compound isolated from rhubarb with known renoprotective effects) was recently reported to reverse *KL* repression via promoter demethylation and protected mice with CKD against kidney and bone injuries [122] Thus, targeting the epigenome may have therapeutic implications for disease states associated with premature aging and low *KL* expression. Whether hyperphosphataemia and premature vascular calcification are related to changes in the epigenome remains unproven and controversial. Montes de Oca *et al* [123] have shown that high phosphate levels induce methylation of the smooth muscle cell-specific protein SM22 α promoter and loss of its activity. Since this was accompanied by calcification, increased alkaline phosphate activity and gain of the osteoblast transcription factor Cbfa1, it can be speculated that high phosphate levels, at least in part, promote vascular calcification via epigenetic effects. On the other hand, Uchiyama *et al* [124] reported that although a phosphate-rich diet caused hypermethylation of the vitamin D receptor-, and calcium-sensing receptor genes in rat parathyroid glands, the degree of hypermethylation was of such a low magnitude that it was insufficient to down-regulate gene expression. Thus, the effects of a high phosphate diet on the epigenotype need further studies.

Experimental data are supportive of a direct effect of hyperphosphatemia on the expression of specific miRNA. In cell culture models of human vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs), the addition of phosphate resulted in a decreased expression of miR-30b, miR-133 and miR-143; miRs targeting Smad1 and Osx [125]. Similarly, both *in vitro* (VSMC) and *in vivo* (rodent model of CKD), with high Pi inducing lower expression levels of miR-133b and

miR-211 (targeting *RUNX2*) and upregulation of miR-29 [126]. Functional experiments modifying these specific miRNAs further confirmed their regulating role in the calcification process.

Gut dysbiosis

The link between epigenetic mechanisms in the human microbiota and how it affects human disease is under intense investigation [127]. Various metabolites from the metabolically active biomass of gut microbiota can interact with the mammalian epigenetic machinery, including histone modifications and DNA methylation [128]. It is of interest that CKD patients display a distinct gut microbial metabolism [129] and gut microbiota is associated with increased blood pressure in animal models [130]. Moreover, decreasing the gut microbiota biomass by antibiotics affect blood pressure [131]. However, the consequences of gut dysbiosis and effects on epigenetic modifications in the context of CKD are not clear and, thus, the possibility of using therapeutics targeting gut microbiota, e.g. probiotics, and epigenetic changes in patients with CKD remains to be examined.

EPIGENETIC CHANGES: IMPACT ON PHENOTYPE AND FUNCTION

Epigenetics research, including maladaptive regulation of cellular programming, memory and adaptations in relation to human disease, has taken a great leap forward during the past decade and the role of epigenetics has been elucidated in a number of human diseases such as cancer [132], psychiatric and neurological disorders [34], diabetes mellitus [133], rheumatoid arthritis [134] and atherosclerosis [135]. Advances in technologies for chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing, genome-wide DNA methylation analyses and RNA sequencing have facilitated this development. The impact of uraemia on epigenetic changes and subsequent genomic dysregulation, is still a rather novel field. In the sections below epigenetic studies that relates to CKD phenotype are discussed.

Epigenetics in CKD and disease progression

Epigenetic dysregulation in renal physiology and disease progression

A growing number of studies have revealed the involvement of miRNAs in the development and progression of both CKD and CVD [136, 137]. MicroRNAs play a critical role in renal physiology, including kidney development and function [138]. Loss of miRNA by selective deletion of the miRNA-processing enzyme Dicer in mouse podocytes leads to severe

glomerulopathy, proteinuria and loss of renal function [139, 140]. Epigenetic aberrations may also play a role in renal fibrosis, a hallmark of kidney disease progression. Transforming growth factor B1 (TGFB1) is a major regulator of renal fibrosis, and its signaling is tightly regulated by the expression of many specific miRNAs [138]. Moreover, particular miRNAs modulate the systemic, as well as intra-renal inflammatory response [141]. Inflammation and fibrosis are mediated by Smad3 signaling, which has been shown to interact with lncRNAs, including *Arid2* [81] and DNA methylation changes may also contribute. A genome-wide DNA methylation study of human renal tubule samples from healthy subjects and CKD patients demonstrated an enrichment of methylation changes for several genes known to be related to kidney fibrosis, including genes encoding collagens. These changes also correlated with downstream transcription levels [142]. Altogether, there is a potential role for an epigenetically dysregulated gene transcription of core pro-fibrotic pathways involved in CKD development.

Epigenetic changes in diabetic nephropathy (DN)

Epigenetic changes are clearly implicated in pathogenic processes causing DN [143]. For instance, studies on diabetic mice indicate that histone modifications and DNA methylation have protective effects on kidney damage and diabetic kidney disease via Sirt1 deacetylase activity [144]. Deacetylation of histone H3 and H4 triggers subsequent CpG methylation of the Claudin-1 (*Cldn1*) gene, and lower *SIRT1* and higher *CLDN1* expression in proximal tubule and glomerular regions were associated with heavy proteinuria in patients with DN [144]. Other studies suggest that SIRT1 maintains actin cytoskeleton in damaged podocytes via deacetylation of cortactin [145]. In addition, altered DNA methylation patterns causes mRNA expression changes in the proximal tubules in diabetic mice [146] and aberrant expression of several miRNAs, including miR-29a/b/c, Let-7b, miR200a and miR-21 seem to modulate pro-fibrotic pathways associated with DN [147-150].

DNA methylation in the uraemic milieu

Pioneering analyses made on global DNA methylation changes in CKD patients showed both DNA hypo- [94, 111] and hypermethylation [91], but without specifying any loci. More recent genome-wide analyses with single-nucleotide resolution have identified the precise locations of differentially methylated loci associated with CKD. One of these DNA methylation profiling studies, using the Illumina HumanMethylation27 Bead Chip Array, compared saliva-extracted DNA from diabetes patients with and without DN and were able to pinpoint 187 differentially methylated genes [151]. About 20% of these genes were previously reported to be involved in

kidney development, DN or dialysis-induced changes. Smyth *et al* [152] evaluated whole-blood DNA from 255 patients with CKD and 152 individuals without evidence of CKD using the larger array Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450 BeadChip. Twenty-three genes showed significant methylation changes associated with CKD of which the strongest biological candidates included *CUX1*, *ELMO1*, *FKBP5*, *INHBA-AS1*, *PTPRN2* and *PRKAG2*. Two of these, *ELMO1* and *PRKAG2*, also showed altered gene transcription.

Premature vascular ageing

Accelerated biological ageing and the epigenetic clock

As a prematurely aged phenotype is a prominent feature of CKD [88, 89] clarification of how factors in the uraemic milieu affect the epigenetic clock and age-associated loci deserve attention [153]. Cellular attrition occurs at genetic and epigenetic levels during ageing of healthy individuals. The epigenetic landscape of normal ageing is featured by a general pattern of global DNA hypomethylation [19, 23, 154] and DNA damage-induced loss of heterochromatin [155]. In human kidneys, miRNA regulation of biological age and health span has been associated with expression levels of miRs 125a-5p, 125b and 217 [156]. These in turn are regulated by DNMT activity at their coding loci [157, 158]. This cross-talk is believed to be both central for enabling adaptation of renal physiology via changes in cellular metabolism and to its dysregulation in a uraemic milieu, where changes in the cellular methylome will have a direct effect of the activity of these miRNAs and hence age related renal function. Ageing is characterized by both inflammation and oxidative stress as well as a gradual change in the DNA methylome, which lead to increased methylation within the CpG islands and a loss of methylation at sites outside [23, 154]. This genome-wide dysregulation of DNA methylation patterns correlates with chronological age in various tissues [159-164] and changes in gene expression [165, 166]. Importantly, the identification of site-specific DNA methylation ageing-patterns spurred researchers to construct quantitative models to predict age [165, 167-169], which were recently used as a tool to study the relationship between estimated epigenetic age and disease. Individuals with a higher epigenetic than chronological age were at increased risk for all-cause mortality [169] and an elevated hepatic epigenetic age strongly correlated with a high body mass index [170]. The relation between epigenetic and chronological age in CKD has not been studied, but should be highly relevant due to the premature ageing phenotype typical for CKD [88, 89]. The underlying mechanisms are unclear, but a plausible explanation is that the toxic uraemic milieu impairs regulation of the ageing process via inflammation,

resulting in increased cellular senescence, activation of the senescence associated secretory phenotype (SASP), oxidative stress and telomere attrition and/or decreased expression of anti-ageing factors [89, 171]. These pathways are likely mediated, at least partly, via epigenetic regulation of ageing processes. Telomere length, which is linked to ageing and stem cell dysfunction, is regulated by histone modifications and DNA methylation [172]. As telomere attrition has been observed following dialysis initiation [173] and associate with mortality in prevalent HD patients [174] the possibility of an epigenetic dysregulation of telomere length in uraemia deserves further attention.

Vascular disease

Premature vascular ageing in CKD patients is an example of segmental ageing; i.e. the biological age of organs in the same individual differ markedly [175], probably as a result of tissue-specific epigenetic changes. Emerging data infer strong links between epigenetic dysregulation and individual susceptibility to CVD [22] and dysregulated DNA methylation patterns of human atherosclerosis-related genes have been reported in HD patients [176]. In mice, the first evidence for the involvement of miRNAs in vascular biology has come from studies showing that deletion of the Dicer enzyme resulted in impaired blood vessel and yolk sac formation [177]. The function of Dicer in endothelial cells was confirmed by silencing Dicer expression in cultured endothelial cells, which resulted in angiogenesis defects [178]. Until now, different miRNAs have been shown to be involved in vascular homeostasis and pathophysiology, including (not exhaustive list) miR-21, miR-34a, miR-126, miR-146a, miR-210 and miR-150 [179-181]. In CKD, different miRNAs are described to be involved in vascular disease in HD patients and in a rat model of CKD, including miR-21, miR-34a, and miR-126 [182, 183] [184]. Interestingly, miRNAs also play a role in blood pressure control and the renal and cardioprotective effects of RAAS blockade are at least partially mediated by specific miRNAs [185, 186].

THE SEARCH FOR NOVEL BIOMARKERS IN CKD

It is important to realize that there are a number of limitations associated with global epigenetic profiling studies and that such results must be interpreted with caution. One limitation is that the epigenome often differs between tissues. Thus, the methylation pattern of blood derived DNA may not be reflecting the pattern of e.g. the varying cell types in renal tissue. Other

limitations may be associated with the analysis method, or depend on insufficient sample size and lack of detailed phenotype data. In addition, many studies are confounded by reverse causality and it is often hard to distinguish causation from correlation.

Despite intense research, novel biomarkers that perform better and are more specific and cost-effective than kidney biopsy and established biomarkers such as S-creatinine, and albuminuria are still lacking [187]. Deciphering the role of epigenetic modifications and miRNA in CKD disease progression and complications may be one feasible way to find improved prognostic biomarkers and novel therapeutic approaches. Recent findings on methylation sites associated with DN [151], CKD [152] and CKD-associated CVD [176] have suggested candidate genes that may be evaluated as predictive biomarkers of disease susceptibility and prognosis. In ANCA-associated vasculitis, gene-specific DNA methylation changes have been shown to predict remission [188]. On the other hand, as these studies were conducted on blood-derived DNA, the reported loci do not necessarily reflect causal mechanisms due to tissue-to-tissue and even cell variations of epigenetic patterns. To reveal pathogenic mechanisms with biological relevance, findings need to be considered in relation to their presumed site of action. Importantly, a large fraction of the candidates reported by Smyth *et al* [152] seemed to overlap findings in the kidney [142], suggesting the potential of using these as clinically useful biomarkers for CKD development. Indeed, it is vital that data from tissue analyses are mirrored by similar changes in blood or urine, or other less invasive tissues before they can become relevant for clinical purpose. In this regard, miRNAs are of particular interest as they act intracellularly but are present in body fluids (including plasma, serum and urine) [189] and show remarkable stability and resistance to degradation [190]. miRNAs are actively secreted and carry genetic information from one cell to another [137, 191] in extracellular vesicles (including microvesicles, microparticles, apoptotic bodies, exosome like vesicles and exosomes) that physically shield miRNAs from endogenous RNase activity [192, 193]. Thus, a specific miRNA signature is now defined with high sensitivity for the development of albuminuria in patients with DN within two years [194, 195]. In autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease, the pathogenic role of miR -21 and the miR-17~92 cluster is gradually being unraveled [196, 197]. Similarly, the fibromiRs miR-21, miR-214 and miR-199a are thought to be involved in the disease progression of IgA nephropathy [198].

EPIGENETIC CHANGES AS THERAPEUTIC TARGETS IN CKD

The prospect of manipulating the epigenome, or rather specific loci, is of clinical interest since this would mean targeting specific gene regulation that could alter pathological pathways and decrease disease activity. Although this field remains immature within nephrology, emerging observations, both in CKD and other chronic diseases, such as cancer [27], hold promises for finding novel means to delay, inhibit or reverse CKD progression as well as its complications. Such therapeutics include pharmaceutical targeting of epigenetic changes, miRNA-based therapeutics and lifestyle interventions. However, it needs to be emphasized that there is a multi-faceted challenge when identifying and using epigenetic modifiers, as the epigenetic landscape varies between tissues and cell populations, and drugs that target histone modifiers will also have effects on non-histone proteins, together increasing the risk of unwanted side effects.

Epigenetic drugs

A number of drugs are currently available that target epigenetic modifiers, in particular histone modifiers. We will not go into specific drugs but rather discuss principles. Most drugs targeting the epigenome were designed to repress carcinogenesis and can be divided into two classes: histone modifiers and DNA methylation inhibitors [23, 199]. Histone modifications involve both writers and erasers, i.e. enzymes that add modifications to specific amino acid residues, and that remove them, respectively. There are for instance both acetyltransferases and deacetylases that primarily modify lysines in histone (and non-histone) proteins, which can be inhibited by drugs. This may be used to fine-tune overall acetylation levels. The homeostasis of specific histone amino acid residue methylation is in turn regulated by methyl transferases and demethylases for which there are specific inhibitors. Other modifications may be specifically inhibited, such as phosphorylation/dephosphorylation of serine and threonine, but their specificity for histone kinases and phosphatases appear to be lower.

Bromo and chromo domain proteins are readers, which bind to acetylated and methylated histones tails, respectively, conferring biological effects by recruiting other proteins into regulatory complexes. Also here, a number of probes can be obtained that inhibits function. Although epigenetic drugs are still in their infancy, histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACi) are used for some hematologic malignancies, and DNA methylation inhibitors are approved for treatment of myelodysplastic syndrome. HDACi are also being tested in psychiatric, neurologic and neurodegenerative diseases. The most known example is the short chain fatty acid

Valproate, which is used as a mood stabilizer and as an anti-epileptic drug. In nephrology, losartan, an ARB-blocker, may be a drug of interest as it mitigates DN features in diabetic mice and reduces histone mark H3K9/14Ac at key pathologic genes associated with diabetic glomerulopathy [200]. ARBs also have therapeutic effects on proteinuric CKD, however not via deacetylation activities but rather via modulation of DNA methylation [201]. In mice, the use of DNMT inhibitors has been studied in the context of uraemic toxin-induced CKD progression. The epigenetic silencing of the renoprotective *KL* gene by uraemic toxins, which is induced via elevated DNMT protein expression and subsequent hypermethylation, could be reversed by treatment with DNMT inhibitors [121]. Thus, targeting DNA methylation sites of specific genes may represent an effective strategy to modulate progression of CKD [202]. Use of these drugs alone or in combination with other epigenetic modulators, may be evaluated for improved treatment effects in CKD. Since observations from the use of DNMT inhibitors in models of neuroendocrine/psychiatric disorders have shown no adverse events, clinical trials in humans have been initiated [203]. The general concern regarding the targeting of epigenetic drugs to epigenetic modifiers, is the lack of gene locus specificity. This problem may be circumvented with the CRISPR/Cas9 technology. In a recent study, the epigenetic modifiers DNA methyltransferase DNMT3a or the Tet1 (part of DNA demethylation) was joined with catalytically inactive Cas9 into a fusion protein that was able to alter the methylation state - with functional effects - at specific DNA sequences [204]. As these experiments demonstrate that clinical epigenetic editing in specific loci in specific cell types may be possible, this would open up for exciting therapeutic possibilities.

Epigenetic drugs that delay premature ageing and prolong lifespan in CKD would be of great interest. Calorie restriction is robustly associated with extending health and longevity in several biological model systems [205]. The possibility to modulate these systems via calorie restriction mimetics includes modulation of pathways involving insulin/insulin growth factor-1, mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), and 5' adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK), of which resveratrol, metformin, rapamycin and sirtuin modulators are the most extensively studied [206]. Sirtuins, which regulate diverse cellular functions via epigenetic modification of histones and other proteins, are considered guardians of the mammalian health span [207]. The sirtuin family is conserved across taxa and displays NAD⁺-dependent deacetylase, deacylase, desuccinylase, demalonylase, deglutarylase and ADP-ribosyltransferase activities [208], thereby enabling a dynamic response to redox, circadian and metabolic changes [209]. Thus, in line with these activities, Sirtuin modulators have been

associated with beneficial effects in human pathologies including neurodegeneration and cancer [210].

miRNA-based therapy in CKD

A miRNA-based therapy that either restores or blocks miRNA expression and activity (miRmimics/pre-mirs or antisense RNA molecules, respectively) *in vivo* is very attractive, especially now that the first miRNA-targeting drug (miravirsen for the treatment of hepatitis C) has entered a phase II clinical trial [211]. During the last decade, most focus has been on the anti-miR therapeutics rather than the miR mimics, and several miRNA-targeting drugs entered clinical trial testing [212]. In CKD, animal studies have shown that the *in vivo* use of specific miRNA antagonists is an effective anti-fibrotic therapy [213-215]. However, some pitfalls have to be taken into account: first, the mutual regulation of miRNAs and target genes is challenging our understanding of the gene-regulatory role of miRNAs *in vivo* and has important implications for the use of these RNAs in therapeutic settings. Next, delivery and safety issues should be transparent.

MicroRNAs are also believed to play a role in the pathogenesis of acute kidney injury (AKI) induced by renal ischemia-reperfusion, which is a major kidney disease with no effective therapy available at present. Specifically, studies of cultured tubular cells have shown that the transcriptional factor hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1), targeting genes involved in erythropoiesis and angiogenesis to increase oxygen delivery, induces miR-687 expression during hypoxia. Upregulation of miR-687, in turn, results in repression of the tumour suppressor phosphatase and tensin homolog (*PTEN*) gene, cell cycle activation and accelerated kidney repair [216]. Intriguingly, *in vivo* studies showed paradoxical results, as mice treated with anti-mir-687 were protected against kidney injury. One possible explanation for the discrepant outcomes between studies of *in vitro* and *in vivo* models may be the presence of other cells than tubular cells *in vivo*, as suggested by Nangaku M *et al* [217]. Apart from epigenetic regulation via miR-687, HIF-1 also targets histone lysine demethylases (a.k.a. Jumonji C lysine demethylases) under conditions of oxygen deprivation, thereby modifying histones and chromatin conformation [218]. Future studies will tell if the HIF-1/miR-687/PTEN signalling pathway is a candidate for the development of safe and effective therapeutic drugs to treat kidney damage.

Life-style interventions as a way to interfere with epigenetic changes

As epigenetic alterations of gene expression act as a dynamic response to changes in environmental conditions, e.g. inflammatory, redox and nutritional stress, lifestyle interventions, such as exercise and diet, have great potential to prevent or counteract detrimental changes of the epigenome associated with disease and ageing [133]. For instance, both DNA methylation patterns and histone modifications in human skeletal muscle responds to acute exercise [219, 220]. Moreover, acute exercise and exercise training result in changes in several circulating miRNAs in healthy volunteers, athletes and in CKD [110, 221, 222]. Differential expression of miR-210 (involved in adaptation to hypoxia) in response to an acute exercise bout in CKD patients who followed a formal training program, may give a clue towards understanding the mechanisms underlying the beneficial and rejuvenating effects of physical activity. Indeed, lifestyle intervention comprising physical activity is an effective way to reduce high CV risk in CKD patients [223].

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Epigenomic studies may contribute to a better identification of patients at increased risk for CKD and/or an increased disease burden by designing improved genetic-epigenetic risk profiles. Better understanding of the inter-individual variations in progression and outcome in CKD is a first step in bringing precision medicine into renal care, both in the preventive and therapeutic setting (e.g. lifestyle modifications, nutritional and pharmacological interventions). Epigenetic analyses also provide a platform enabling researchers to understand in-depth pathways involved in disease and could provide an explanation for divergent transcriptomic and proteomic data. Since epigenetics calibrate the genetic code this opens up for new therapeutic possibilities to reprogram our genome by modulating the gene transcription machineries to turn on or off genes that are identified as either protective or harmful. In the future, longitudinal studies are needed to assess the longevity and stability of epigenetic modifications in CKD. Given their close interrelation, the different elements of the epigenetic landscape should be studied as a whole and in context of genetic variation as well as variability between and within tissues in the uraemic milieu.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding was provided by The Swedish Medical Research Council, Heart-Lung Foundation, Loo and Hans Osterman Foundation for Medical Research, Njurfonden, AFA Insurance and Karolinska Institutet Research Funds. A. Van Craenenbroeck was supported by an ERA-EDTA Short Term Fellowship.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

All authors contributed to drafting, writing and editing the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) seem to be subjected to an accelerated ageing, which put them at high risk for developing serious comorbidities such as vascular disease and premature death. The inter-individual variability is however large. This discrepancy is likely explained by many interacting risk factors, both in the endogenous and exogenous milieu, which may influence the uraemic phenotype via epigenomic modulations on gene expression as well as aberrant loss of imprinting, chromosomal instability and telomere attrition. Increased knowledge of the epigenetic drivers of the uraemic phenotype may be used to design genetic-epigenetic-based risk profiles, diagnostic tools and to develop personalized interventions and therapeutics for CKD patients.

REFERENCES

1. Eckardt, K.U., et al., *Evolving importance of kidney disease: from subspecialty to global health burden*. Lancet, 2013. **382**(9887): p. 158-69.
2. Stengel, B., et al., *Lifestyle factors, obesity and the risk of chronic kidney disease*. Epidemiology, 2003. **14**(4): p. 479-87.
3. Romani, M., M.P. Pistillo, and B. Banelli, *Environmental Epigenetics: Crossroad between Public Health, Lifestyle, and Cancer Prevention*. Biomed Res Int, 2015. **2015**: p. 587983.
4. Jha, V., et al., *Chronic kidney disease: global dimension and perspectives*. Lancet, 2013. **382**(9888): p. 260-72.
5. de Jager, D.J., et al., *Cardiovascular and noncardiovascular mortality among patients starting dialysis*. Jama, 2009. **302**(16): p. 1782-9.
6. Eckardt, K.U., et al., *High cardiovascular event rates occur within the first weeks of starting hemodialysis*. Kidney Int, 2015. **88**(5): p. 1117-25.
7. Stenvinkel, P., *Chronic kidney disease: a public health priority and harbinger of premature cardiovascular disease*. J Intern Med, 2010. **268**(5): p. 456-67.
8. Nordfors, L., B. Lindholm, and P. Stenvinkel, *End-stage renal disease--not an equal opportunity disease: the role of genetic polymorphisms*. J Intern Med, 2005. **258**(1): p. 1-12.
9. Boger, C.A., et al., *Association of eGFR-Related Loci Identified by GWAS with Incident CKD and ESRD*. PLoS Genet, 2011. **7**(9): p. e1002292.
10. Chasman, D.I., et al., *Integration of genome-wide association studies with biological knowledge identifies six novel genes related to kidney function*. Hum Mol Genet, 2012. **21**(24): p. 5329-43.
11. Ellis, J.W., et al., *Validated SNPs for eGFR and their associations with albuminuria*. Hum Mol Genet, 2012. **21**(14): p. 3293-8.
12. Kottgen, A., et al., *Multiple loci associated with indices of renal function and chronic kidney disease*. Nat Genet, 2009. **41**(6): p. 712-7.
13. Kottgen, A., et al., *Genome-wide association study for renal traits in the Framingham Heart and Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Studies*. BMC Med Genet, 2008. **9**: p. 49.
14. Kottgen, A., et al., *New loci associated with kidney function and chronic kidney disease*. Nat Genet, 2010. **42**(5): p. 376-84.
15. Liu, C.T., et al., *Genetic association for renal traits among participants of African ancestry reveals new loci for renal function*. PLoS Genet, 2011. **7**(9): p. e1002264.
16. Pattaro, C., et al., *Genome-wide association and functional follow-up reveals new loci for kidney function*. PLoS Genet, 2012. **8**(3): p. e1002584.
17. Witasz, A., et al., *Novel insights from genetic and epigenetic studies in understanding the complex uraemic phenotype*. Nephrol Dial Transplant, 2014. **29**(5): p. 964-71.
18. Manolio, T.A., et al., *Finding the missing heritability of complex diseases*. Nature, 2009. **461**(7265): p. 747-53.
19. Fraga, M.F., et al., *Epigenetic differences arise during the lifetime of monozygotic twins*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2005. **102**(30): p. 10604-9.
20. Ling, C., et al., *Genetic and epigenetic factors are associated with expression of respiratory chain component NDUFB6 in human skeletal muscle*. J Clin Invest, 2007. **117**(11): p. 3427-35.
21. Feinberg, A.P. and R.A. Irizarry, *Evolution in health and medicine Sackler colloquium: Stochastic epigenetic variation as a driving force of development, evolutionary adaptation, and disease*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2010. **107 Suppl 1**: p. 1757-64.
22. Gluckman, P.D., et al., *Epigenetic mechanisms that underpin metabolic and cardiovascular diseases*. Nat Rev Endocrinol, 2009. **5**(7): p. 401-8.
23. Egger, G., et al., *Epigenetics in human disease and prospects for epigenetic therapy*. Nature, 2004. **429**(6990): p. 457-63.

24. Feinberg, A.P., *Genome-scale approaches to the epigenetics of common human disease*. *Virchows Arch*, 2010. **456**(1): p. 13-21.
25. Berger, S.L., et al., *An operational definition of epigenetics*. *Genes Dev*, 2009. **23**(7): p. 781-3.
26. Jenuwein, T. and C.D. Allis, *Translating the histone code*. *Science*, 2001. **293**(5532): p. 1074-80.
27. Rodriguez-Paredes, M. and M. Esteller, *Cancer epigenetics reaches mainstream oncology*. *Nat Med*, 2011. **17**(3): p. 330-9.
28. Wen, B., et al., *Euchromatin islands in large heterochromatin domains are enriched for CTCF binding and differentially DNA-methylated regions*. *BMC Genomics*, 2012. **13**: p. 566.
29. Lauberth, S.M., et al., *H3K4me3 interactions with TAF3 regulate preinitiation complex assembly and selective gene activation*. *Cell*, 2013. **152**(5): p. 1021-36.
30. Fu, H., et al., *Methylation of histone H3 on lysine 79 associates with a group of replication origins and helps limit DNA replication once per cell cycle*. *PLoS Genet*, 2013. **9**(6): p. e1003542.
31. Wilkins, B.J., et al., *A cascade of histone modifications induces chromatin condensation in mitosis*. *Science*, 2014. **343**(6166): p. 77-80.
32. Paull, T.T., et al., *A critical role for histone H2AX in recruitment of repair factors to nuclear foci after DNA damage*. *Curr Biol*, 2000. **10**(15): p. 886-95.
33. Rogakou, E.P., et al., *DNA double-stranded breaks induce histone H2AX phosphorylation on serine 139*. *J Biol Chem*, 1998. **273**(10): p. 5858-68.
34. Moore, L.D., T. Le, and G. Fan, *DNA methylation and its basic function*. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 2013. **38**(1): p. 23-38.
35. Dekker, J., et al., *Capturing chromosome conformation*. *Science*, 2002. **295**(5558): p. 1306-11.
36. Rao, S.S., et al., *A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping*. *Cell*, 2014. **159**(7): p. 1665-80.
37. Narlikar, G.J., R. Sundaramoorthy, and T. Owen-Hughes, *Mechanisms and functions of ATP-dependent chromatin-remodeling enzymes*. *Cell*, 2013. **154**(3): p. 490-503.
38. Bonev, B. and G. Cavalli, *Organization and function of the 3D genome*. *Nat Rev Genet*, 2016. **17**(11): p. 661-678.
39. Csankovszki, G., A. Nagy, and R. Jaenisch, *Synergism of Xist RNA, DNA methylation, and histone hypoacetylation in maintaining X chromosome inactivation*. *J Cell Biol*, 2001. **153**(4): p. 773-84.
40. Jones, P.A. and D. Takai, *The role of DNA methylation in mammalian epigenetics*. *Science*, 2001. **293**(5532): p. 1068-70.
41. Kaneda, M., et al., *Essential role for de novo DNA methyltransferase Dnmt3a in paternal and maternal imprinting*. *Nature*, 2004. **429**(6994): p. 900-3.
42. Schulz, W.A., C. Steinhoff, and A.R. Florl, *Methylation of endogenous human retroelements in health and disease*. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol*, 2006. **310**: p. 211-50.
43. Illingworth, R.S., et al., *Orphan CpG islands identify numerous conserved promoters in the mammalian genome*. *PLoS Genet*, 2010. **6**(9): p. e1001134.
44. Saxonov, S., P. Berg, and D.L. Brutlag, *A genome-wide analysis of CpG dinucleotides in the human genome distinguishes two distinct classes of promoters*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 2006. **103**(5): p. 1412-7.
45. Irizarry, R.A., et al., *The human colon cancer methylome shows similar hypo- and hypermethylation at conserved tissue-specific CpG island shores*. *Nat Genet*, 2009. **41**(2): p. 178-86.
46. Ito, S., et al., *Tet proteins can convert 5-methylcytosine to 5-formylcytosine and 5-carboxylcytosine*. *Science*, 2011. **333**(6047): p. 1300-3.
47. Khare, T., et al., *5-hmC in the brain is abundant in synaptic genes and shows differences at the exon-intron boundary*. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*, 2012. **19**(10): p. 1037-43.
48. Song, C.X., et al., *Selective chemical labeling reveals the genome-wide distribution of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine*. *Nat Biotechnol*, 2011. **29**(1): p. 68-72.

49. Booth, M.J., et al., *Oxidative bisulfite sequencing of 5-methylcytosine and 5-hydroxymethylcytosine*. *Nat Protoc*, 2013. **8**(10): p. 1841-51.
50. Davis, F.F. and F.W. Allen, *Ribonucleic acids from yeast which contain a fifth nucleotide*. *J Biol Chem*, 1957. **227**(2): p. 907-15.
51. Gilbert, W.V., T.A. Bell, and C. Schaening, *Messenger RNA modifications: Form, distribution, and function*. *Science*, 2016. **352**(6292): p. 1408-12.
52. D'Urso, A., et al., *Set1/COMPASS and Mediator are repurposed to promote epigenetic transcriptional memory*. *Elife*, 2016. **5**.
53. Fustin, J.M., et al., *RNA-methylation-dependent RNA processing controls the speed of the circadian clock*. *Cell*, 2013. **155**(4): p. 793-806.
54. Rana, A.K. and S. Ankri, *Reviving the RNA World: An Insight into the Appearance of RNA Methyltransferases*. *Front Genet*, 2016. **7**: p. 99.
55. Jiang, S.H., N. Shen, and C.G. Vinuesa, *Posttranscriptional T cell gene regulation to limit Tfh cells and autoimmunity*. *Curr Opin Immunol*, 2015. **37**: p. 21-7.
56. Bass, B.L., *How does RNA editing affect dsRNA-mediated gene silencing?* *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol*, 2006. **71**: p. 285-92.
57. Bazak, L., et al., *A-to-I RNA editing occurs at over a hundred million genomic sites, located in a majority of human genes*. *Genome Res*, 2014. **24**(3): p. 365-76.
58. Savva, Y.A., L.E. Rieder, and R.A. Reenan, *The ADAR protein family*. *Genome Biol*, 2012. **13**(12): p. 252.
59. Goldstein, B., et al., *A-to-I RNA editing promotes developmental stage-specific gene and lncRNA expression*. *Genome Res*, 2016.
60. Stellos, K., et al., *Adenosine-to-inosine RNA editing controls cathepsin S expression in atherosclerosis by enabling HuR-mediated post-transcriptional regulation*. *Nat Med*, 2016. **22**(10): p. 1140-1150.
61. Slotkin, W. and K. Nishikura, *Adenosine-to-inosine RNA editing and human disease*. *Genome Med*, 2013. **5**(11): p. 105.
62. Tariq, A., et al., *RNA-interacting proteins act as site-specific repressors of ADAR2-mediated RNA editing and fluctuate upon neuronal stimulation*. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 2013. **41**(4): p. 2581-93.
63. He, L. and G.J. Hannon, *MicroRNAs: small RNAs with a big role in gene regulation*. *Nat Rev Genet*, 2004. **5**(7): p. 522-31.
64. Nelson, P., et al., *The microRNA world: small is mighty*. *Trends Biochem Sci*, 2003. **28**(10): p. 534-40.
65. Baek, D., et al., *The impact of microRNAs on protein output*. *Nature*, 2008. **455**(7209): p. 64-71.
66. Friedman, R.C., et al., *Most mammalian mRNAs are conserved targets of microRNAs*. *Genome Res*, 2009. **19**(1): p. 92-105.
67. Pasquinelli, A.E., *MicroRNAs and their targets: recognition, regulation and an emerging reciprocal relationship*. *Nat Rev Genet*, 2012. **13**(4): p. 271-82.
68. Bartel, D.P., *MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function*. *Cell*, 2004. **116**(2): p. 281-97.
69. Liz, J., et al., *Regulation of pri-miRNA processing by a long noncoding RNA transcribed from an ultraconserved region*. *Mol Cell*, 2014. **55**(1): p. 138-47.
70. Saito, Y., et al., *Specific activation of microRNA-127 with downregulation of the proto-oncogene BCL6 by chromatin-modifying drugs in human cancer cells*. *Cancer Cell*, 2006. **9**(6): p. 435-43.
71. Shen, L., et al., *Genome-wide landscape of DNA methylomes and their relationship with mRNA and miRNA transcriptomes in oxidative and glycolytic skeletal muscles*. *Sci Rep*, 2016. **6**: p. 32186.

72. Sinkkonen, L., et al., *MicroRNAs control de novo DNA methylation through regulation of transcriptional repressors in mouse embryonic stem cells*. Nat Struct Mol Biol, 2008. **15**(3): p. 259-67.
73. Chuang, J.C. and P.A. Jones, *Epigenetics and microRNAs*. Pediatr Res, 2007. **61**(5 Pt 2): p. 24R-29R.
74. Morin, R.D., et al., *Application of massively parallel sequencing to microRNA profiling and discovery in human embryonic stem cells*. Genome Res, 2008. **18**(4): p. 610-21.
75. Nishikura, K., *Functions and regulation of RNA editing by ADAR deaminases*. Annu Rev Biochem, 2010. **79**: p. 321-49.
76. Mercer, T.R., M.E. Dinger, and J.S. Mattick, *Long non-coding RNAs: insights into functions*. Nat Rev Genet, 2009. **10**(3): p. 155-9.
77. Cao, J., *The functional role of long non-coding RNAs and epigenetics*. Biol Proced Online, 2014. **16**: p. 11.
78. Seto, A.G., R.E. Kingston, and N.C. Lau, *The coming of age for Piwi proteins*. Mol Cell, 2007. **26**(5): p. 603-9.
79. Aravin, A.A., et al., *A piRNA pathway primed by individual transposons is linked to de novo DNA methylation in mice*. Mol Cell, 2008. **31**(6): p. 785-99.
80. Faridani, O.R., et al., *Single-cell sequencing of the small-RNA transcriptome*. Nat Biotechnol, 2016. **34**(12): p. 1264-1266.
81. Lorenzen, J.M. and T. Thum, *Long noncoding RNAs in kidney and cardiovascular diseases*. Nat Rev Nephrol, 2016. **12**(6): p. 360-73.
82. Hultberg, B., A. Andersson, and G. Sterner, *Plasma homocysteine in renal failure*. Clin Nephrol, 1993. **40**(4): p. 230-5.
83. Stenvinkel, P., et al., *IL-10, IL-6, and TNF-alpha: central factors in the altered cytokine network of uremia--the good, the bad, and the ugly*. Kidney Int, 2005. **67**(4): p. 1216-33.
84. Himmelfarb, J., et al., *The elephant in uremia: oxidant stress as a unifying concept of cardiovascular disease in uremia*. Kidney Int, 2002. **62**(5): p. 1524-38.
85. Afsar, B., et al., *Gut hormones and gut microbiota: implications for kidney function and hypertension*. J Am Soc Hypertens, 2016.
86. Kuro, O.M., *A phosphate-centric paradigm for pathophysiology and therapy of chronic kidney disease*. Kidney Int Suppl (2011), 2013. **3**(5): p. 420-426.
87. Tsimihodimos, V., E. Dounousi, and K.C. Siamopoulos, *Dyslipidemia in chronic kidney disease: an approach to pathogenesis and treatment*. Am J Nephrol, 2008. **28**(6): p. 958-73.
88. Kooman, J.P., et al., *Chronic kidney disease and premature ageing*. Nat Rev Nephrol, 2014. **10**(12): p. 732-42.
89. Stenvinkel, P. and T.E. Larsson, *Chronic kidney disease: a clinical model of premature aging*. Am J Kidney Dis, 2013. **62**(2): p. 339-51.
90. Ekstrom, T.J. and P. Stenvinkel, *The epigenetic conductor: a genomic orchestrator in chronic kidney disease complications?* J Nephrol, 2009. **22**(4): p. 442-9.
91. Stenvinkel, P., et al., *Impact of inflammation on epigenetic DNA methylation - a novel risk factor for cardiovascular disease?* J Intern Med, 2007. **261**(5): p. 488-99.
92. Mallamaci, F., et al., *Hyperhomocysteinemia predicts cardiovascular outcomes in hemodialysis patients*. Kidney Int, 2002. **61**(2): p. 609-14.
93. Yi, P., et al., *Increase in plasma homocysteine associated with parallel increases in plasma S-adenosylhomocysteine and lymphocyte DNA hypomethylation*. J Biol Chem, 2000. **275**(38): p. 29318-23.
94. Ingrosso, D., et al., *Folate treatment and unbalanced methylation and changes of allelic expression induced by hyperhomocysteinemia in patients with uraemia*. Lancet, 2003. **361**(9370): p. 1693-9.
95. Castro, R., et al., *Increased homocysteine and S-adenosylhomocysteine concentrations and DNA hypomethylation in vascular disease*. Clin Chem, 2003. **49**(8): p. 1292-6.

96. Nanayakkara, P.W., et al., *Association between global leukocyte DNA methylation, renal function, carotid intima-media thickness and plasma homocysteine in patients with stage 2-4 chronic kidney disease*. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*, 2008. **23**(8): p. 2586-92.
97. Xiao, Y., et al., *Plasma S-adenosylhomocysteine is associated with the risk of cardiovascular events in patients undergoing coronary angiography: a cohort study*. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 2013. **98**(5): p. 1162-9.
98. Zawada, A.M., et al., *DNA methylation profiling reveals differences in the 3 human monocyte subsets and identifies uremia to induce DNA methylation changes during differentiation*. *Epigenetics*, 2016. **11**(4): p. 259-72.
99. Stenvinkel, P. and A. Alvestrand, *Inflammation in end-stage renal disease: sources, consequences, and therapy*. *Semin Dial*, 2002. **15**(5): p. 329-37.
100. Mellott, J.K., et al., *Cytokine-induced changes in chromatin structure and in vivo footprints in the inducible NOS promoter*. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol*, 2001. **280**(3): p. L390-9.
101. Hodge, D.R., et al., *Interleukin-6 regulation of the human DNA methyltransferase (HDNMT) gene in human erythroleukemia cells*. *J Biol Chem*, 2001. **276**(43): p. 39508-11.
102. Jang, T.J., et al., *p16(INK4a) Promoter hypermethylation of non-tumorous tissue adjacent to gastric cancer is correlated with glandular atrophy and chronic inflammation*. *Int J Cancer*, 2001. **93**(5): p. 629-34.
103. Kang, G.H., et al., *Aberrant CpG island hypermethylation of chronic gastritis, in relation to aging, gender, intestinal metaplasia, and chronic inflammation*. *Am J Pathol*, 2003. **163**(4): p. 1551-6.
104. Heine, G.H., et al., *CD14(++)CD16+ monocytes but not total monocyte numbers predict cardiovascular events in dialysis patients*. *Kidney Int*, 2008. **73**(5): p. 622-9.
105. Rogacev, K.S., et al., *CD14++CD16+ monocytes and cardiovascular outcome in patients with chronic kidney disease*. *Eur Heart J*, 2011. **32**(1): p. 84-92.
106. Fell, L.H., et al., *Impact of individual intravenous iron preparations on the differentiation of monocytes towards macrophages and dendritic cells*. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*, 2016. **31**(11): p. 1835-1845.
107. Zager, R.A., A.C. Johnson, and S. Lund, *Uremia impacts renal inflammatory cytokine gene expression in the setting of experimental acute kidney injury*. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol*, 2009. **297**(4): p. F961-70.
108. Karpetsky, T.P., R.L. Humphrey, and C.C. Levy, *Influence of renal insufficiency on levels of serum ribonuclease in patients with multiple myeloma*. *J Natl Cancer Inst*, 1977. **58**(4): p. 875-80.
109. Neal, C.S., et al., *Circulating microRNA expression is reduced in chronic kidney disease*. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*, 2011. **26**(11): p. 3794-802.
110. Van Craenenbroeck, A.H., et al., *Plasma levels of microRNA in chronic kidney disease: patterns in acute and chronic exercise*. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*, 2015. **309**(12): p. H2008-16.
111. Geisel, J., et al., *Decreased p66Shc promoter methylation in patients with end-stage renal disease*. *Clin Chem Lab Med*, 2007. **45**(12): p. 1764-70.
112. Valinluck, V., et al., *Oxidative damage to methyl-CpG sequences inhibits the binding of the methyl-CpG binding domain (MBD) of methyl-CpG binding protein 2 (MeCP2)*. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 2004. **32**(14): p. 4100-8.
113. Valinluck, V. and L.C. Sowers, *Endogenous cytosine damage products alter the site selectivity of human DNA maintenance methyltransferase DNMT1*. *Cancer Res*, 2007. **67**(3): p. 946-50.
114. O'Hagan, H.M., et al., *Oxidative damage targets complexes containing DNA methyltransferases, SIRT1, and polycomb members to promoter CpG Islands*. *Cancer Cell*, 2011. **20**(5): p. 606-19.
115. Delatte, B., et al., *Genome-wide hydroxymethylcytosine pattern changes in response to oxidative stress*. *Sci Rep*, 2015. **5**: p. 12714.

116. Lehmann, R., et al., *Alteration of chromatin in early experimental arteriosclerosis*. *Artery*, 1980. **8**(3): p. 288-93.
117. Lund, G., et al., *DNA methylation polymorphisms precede any histological sign of atherosclerosis in mice lacking apolipoprotein E*. *J Biol Chem*, 2004. **279**(28): p. 29147-54.
118. Sayols-Baixeras, S., et al., *Identification and validation of seven new loci showing differential DNA methylation related to serum lipid profile: an epigenome-wide approach. The REGICOR study*. *Hum Mol Genet*, 2016. **25**(20): p. 4556-4565.
119. Braun, K.V., et al., *The role of DNA methylation in dyslipidaemia: A systematic review*. *Prog Lipid Res*, 2016. **64**: p. 178-191.
120. Kuro-o, M., *Klotho, phosphate and FGF-23 in ageing and disturbed mineral metabolism*. *Nat Rev Nephrol*, 2013. **9**(11): p. 650-60.
121. Sun, C.Y., S.C. Chang, and M.S. Wu, *Suppression of Klotho expression by protein-bound uremic toxins is associated with increased DNA methyltransferase expression and DNA hypermethylation*. *Kidney Int*, 2012. **81**(7): p. 640-50.
122. Zhang, Q., et al., *Rhein reverses Klotho repression via promoter demethylation and protects against kidney and bone injuries in mice with chronic kidney disease*. *Kidney Int*, 2016.
123. Montes de Oca, A., et al., *High-phosphate-induced calcification is related to SM22alpha promoter methylation in vascular smooth muscle cells*. *J Bone Miner Res*, 2010. **25**(9): p. 1996-2005.
124. Uchiyama, T., et al., *Hypermethylation of the CaSR and VDR genes in the parathyroid glands in chronic kidney disease rats with high-phosphate diet*. *Hum Cell*, 2016. **29**(4): p. 155-61.
125. Louvet, L., et al., *Magnesium Attenuates Phosphate-Induced Dereglulation of a MicroRNA Signature and Prevents Modulation of Smad1 and Osterix during the Course of Vascular Calcification*. *Biomed Res Int*, 2016. **2016**: p. 7419524.
126. Panizo, S., et al., *MicroRNAs 29b, 133b, and 211 Regulate Vascular Smooth Muscle Calcification Mediated by High Phosphorus*. *J Am Soc Nephrol*, 2016. **27**(3): p. 824-34.
127. Cureau, N., et al., *Epigenetic mechanisms in microbial members of the human microbiota: current knowledge and perspectives*. *Epigenomics*, 2016. **8**(9): p. 1259-73.
128. Mischke, M. and T. Plosch, *The Gut Microbiota and their Metabolites: Potential Implications for the Host Epigenome*. *Adv Exp Med Biol*, 2016. **902**: p. 33-44.
129. Poesen, R., et al., *The Influence of CKD on Colonic Microbial Metabolism*. *J Am Soc Nephrol*, 2016. **27**(5): p. 1389-99.
130. Gomez-Guzman, M., et al., *Antihypertensive effects of probiotics Lactobacillus strains in spontaneously hypertensive rats*. *Mol Nutr Food Res*, 2015. **59**(11): p. 2326-36.
131. Jose, P.A. and D. Raj, *Gut microbiota in hypertension*. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens*, 2015. **24**(5): p. 403-9.
132. Nephew, K.P. and T.H. Huang, *Epigenetic gene silencing in cancer initiation and progression*. *Cancer Lett*, 2003. **190**(2): p. 125-33.
133. Kirchner, H., et al., *Epigenetic flexibility in metabolic regulation: disease cause and prevention?* *Trends Cell Biol*, 2013. **23**(5): p. 203-9.
134. Sanchez-Pernaute, O., et al., *Epigenetic clues to rheumatoid arthritis*. *J Autoimmun*, 2008. **30**(1-2): p. 12-20.
135. Dong, C., W. Yoon, and P.J. Goldschmidt-Clermont, *DNA methylation and atherosclerosis*. *J Nutr*, 2002. **132**(8 Suppl): p. 2406S-2409S.
136. Fichtlscherer, S., A.M. Zeiher, and S. Dimmeler, *Circulating microRNAs: biomarkers or mediators of cardiovascular diseases?* *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*, 2011. **31**(11): p. 2383-90.
137. Lorenzen, J.M., H. Haller, and T. Thum, *MicroRNAs as mediators and therapeutic targets in chronic kidney disease*. *Nat Rev Nephrol*, 2011. **7**(5): p. 286-94.
138. Trionfani, P., A. Benigni, and G. Remuzzi, *MicroRNAs in kidney physiology and disease*. *Nat Rev Nephrol*, 2015. **11**(1): p. 23-33.

139. Harvey, S.J., et al., *Podocyte-specific deletion of dicer alters cytoskeletal dynamics and causes glomerular disease*. J Am Soc Nephrol, 2008. **19**(11): p. 2150-8.
140. Zhdanova, O., et al., *The inducible deletion of Drosha and microRNAs in mature podocytes results in a collapsing glomerulopathy*. Kidney Int, 2011. **80**(7): p. 719-30.
141. O'Connell, R.M., D.S. Rao, and D. Baltimore, *microRNA regulation of inflammatory responses*. Annu Rev Immunol, 2012. **30**: p. 295-312.
142. Ko, Y.A., et al., *Cytosine methylation changes in enhancer regions of core pro-fibrotic genes characterize kidney fibrosis development*. Genome Biol, 2013. **14**(10): p. R108.
143. Thomas, M.C., *Epigenetic Mechanisms in Diabetic Kidney Disease*. Curr Diab Rep, 2016. **16**(3): p. 31.
144. Hasegawa, K., et al., *Renal tubular Sirt1 attenuates diabetic albuminuria by epigenetically suppressing Claudin-1 overexpression in podocytes*. Nat Med, 2013. **19**(11): p. 1496-504.
145. Motonishi, S., et al., *Sirtuin1 Maintains Actin Cytoskeleton by Deacetylation of Cortactin in Injured Podocytes*. J Am Soc Nephrol, 2015. **26**(8): p. 1939-59.
146. Marumo, T., et al., *Diabetes Induces Aberrant DNA Methylation in the Proximal Tubules of the Kidney*. J Am Soc Nephrol, 2015. **26**(10): p. 2388-97.
147. Wang, B., et al., *Transforming growth factor-beta1-mediated renal fibrosis is dependent on the regulation of transforming growth factor receptor 1 expression by let-7b*. Kidney Int, 2014. **85**(2): p. 352-61.
148. Wang, B., et al., *miR-200a Prevents renal fibrogenesis through repression of TGF-beta2 expression*. Diabetes, 2011. **60**(1): p. 280-7.
149. Wang, B., et al., *Suppression of microRNA-29 expression by TGF-beta1 promotes collagen expression and renal fibrosis*. J Am Soc Nephrol, 2012. **23**(2): p. 252-65.
150. Zhong, X., et al., *miR-21 is a key therapeutic target for renal injury in a mouse model of type 2 diabetes*. Diabetologia, 2013. **56**(3): p. 663-74.
151. Sapienza, C., et al., *DNA methylation profiling identifies epigenetic differences between diabetes patients with ESRD and diabetes patients without nephropathy*. Epigenetics, 2011. **6**(1): p. 20-8.
152. Smyth, L.J., et al., *DNA hypermethylation and DNA hypomethylation is present at different loci in chronic kidney disease*. Epigenetics, 2014. **9**(3): p. 366-76.
153. Mather, K.A., et al., *Tick tock: DNA methylation, the epigenetic clock and exceptional longevity*. Epigenomics, 2016. **8**(12): p. 1577-1582.
154. Bird, A., *DNA methylation patterns and epigenetic memory*. Genes Dev, 2002. **16**(1): p. 6-21.
155. Oberdoerffer, P. and D.A. Sinclair, *The role of nuclear architecture in genomic instability and ageing*. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 2007. **8**(9): p. 692-702.
156. McGuinness, D., et al., *Identification of Molecular Markers of Delayed Graft Function Based on the Regulation of Biological Ageing*. PLoS One, 2016. **11**(1): p. e0146378.
157. Kim, J.K., et al., *Sirtuin7 oncogenic potential in human hepatocellular carcinoma and its regulation by the tumor suppressors MiR-125a-5p and MiR-125b*. Hepatology, 2013. **57**(3): p. 1055-67.
158. Nishioka, C., et al., *Downregulation of miR-217 correlates with resistance of Ph(+) leukemia cells to ABL tyrosine kinase inhibitors*. Cancer Sci, 2014. **105**(3): p. 297-307.
159. Day, K., et al., *Differential DNA methylation with age displays both common and dynamic features across human tissues that are influenced by CpG landscape*. Genome Biol, 2013. **14**(9): p. R102.
160. Gronniger, E., et al., *Aging and chronic sun exposure cause distinct epigenetic changes in human skin*. PLoS Genet, 2010. **6**(5): p. e1000971.
161. Hernandez, D.G., et al., *Distinct DNA methylation changes highly correlated with chronological age in the human brain*. Hum Mol Genet, 2011. **20**(6): p. 1164-72.
162. Maegawa, S., et al., *Widespread and tissue specific age-related DNA methylation changes in mice*. Genome Res, 2010. **20**(3): p. 332-40.

163. Teschendorff, A.E., et al., *Age-dependent DNA methylation of genes that are suppressed in stem cells is a hallmark of cancer*. *Genome Res*, 2010. **20**(4): p. 440-6.
164. Teschendorff, A.E., J. West, and S. Beck, *Age-associated epigenetic drift: implications, and a case of epigenetic thrift?* *Hum Mol Genet*, 2013. **22**(R1): p. R7-R15.
165. Hannum, G., et al., *Genome-wide methylation profiles reveal quantitative views of human aging rates*. *Mol Cell*, 2013. **49**(2): p. 359-67.
166. Mawlood, S.K., et al., *Quantification of global mitochondrial DNA methylation levels and inverse correlation with age at two CpG sites*. *Aging (Albany NY)*, 2016. **8**(4): p. 636-41.
167. Florath, I., et al., *Cross-sectional and longitudinal changes in DNA methylation with age: an epigenome-wide analysis revealing over 60 novel age-associated CpG sites*. *Hum Mol Genet*, 2014. **23**(5): p. 1186-201.
168. Horvath, S., *DNA methylation age of human tissues and cell types*. *Genome Biol*, 2013. **14**(10): p. R115.
169. Marioni, R.E., et al., *DNA methylation age of blood predicts all-cause mortality in later life*. *Genome Biol*, 2015. **16**: p. 25.
170. Horvath, S., et al., *Obesity accelerates epigenetic aging of human liver*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 2014. **111**(43): p. 15538-43.
171. Shanahan, C.M., *Mechanisms of vascular calcification in CKD-evidence for premature ageing?* *Nat Rev Nephrol*, 2013. **9**(11): p. 661-70.
172. Blasco, M.A., *Telomere length, stem cells and aging*. *Nat Chem Biol*, 2007. **3**(10): p. 640-9.
173. Kato, S., et al., *Telomere Attrition and Elongation after Chronic Dialysis Initiation in Patients with End-Stage Renal Disease*. *Blood Purif*, 2016. **41**(1-3): p. 25-33.
174. Carrero, J.J., et al., *Telomere attrition is associated with inflammation, low fetuin-A levels and high mortality in prevalent haemodialysis patients*. *J Intern Med*, 2008. **263**(3): p. 302-12.
175. Ikeda, Y., et al., *Understanding Vascular Diseases: Lessons From Premature Aging Syndromes*. *Can J Cardiol*, 2016. **32**(5): p. 650-8.
176. Zawada, A.M., et al., *SuperTAG methylation-specific digital karyotyping reveals uremia-induced epigenetic dysregulation of atherosclerosis-related genes*. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet*, 2012. **5**(6): p. 611-20.
177. Yang, W.J., et al., *Dicer is required for embryonic angiogenesis during mouse development*. *J Biol Chem*, 2005. **280**(10): p. 9330-5.
178. Kuehbacher, A., et al., *Role of Dicer and Drosha for endothelial microRNA expression and angiogenesis*. *Circ Res*, 2007. **101**(1): p. 59-68.
179. Neth, P., et al., *MicroRNAs in flow-dependent vascular remodelling*. *Cardiovasc Res*, 2013. **99**(2): p. 294-303.
180. Thum, T., *MicroRNA therapeutics in cardiovascular medicine*. *EMBO Mol Med*, 2012. **4**(1): p. 3-14.
181. Yamakuchi, M., *MicroRNAs in Vascular Biology*. *Int J Vasc Med*, 2012. **2012**: p. 794898.
182. Taibi, F., et al., *Possible involvement of microRNAs in vascular damage in experimental chronic kidney disease*. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, 2014. **1842**(1): p. 88-98.
183. Zawada, A.M., et al., *Massive analysis of cDNA Ends (MACE) and miRNA expression profiling identifies proatherogenic pathways in chronic kidney disease*. *Epigenetics*, 2014. **9**(1): p. 161-72.
184. Metzinger-Le Meuth, V., et al., *microRNAs in the pathophysiology of CKD-MBD: Biomarkers and innovative drugs*. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, 2016. **1863**(1): p. 337-345.
185. Macconi, D., et al., *MicroRNA-324-3p promotes renal fibrosis and is a target of ACE inhibition*. *J Am Soc Nephrol*, 2012. **23**(9): p. 1496-505.
186. Rana, I., et al., *MicroRNAs mediate the cardioprotective effect of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition in acute kidney injury*. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol*, 2015. **309**(11): p. F943-54.
187. Gentile, G. and G. Remuzzi, *Novel Biomarkers for Renal Diseases? None for the Moment (but One)*. *J Biomol Screen*, 2016. **21**(7): p. 655-70.

188. Jones, B.E., et al., *Gene-Specific DNA Methylation Changes Predict Remission in Patients with ANCA-Associated Vasculitis*. J Am Soc Nephrol, 2016.
189. Lorenzen, J.M. and T. Thum, *Circulating and urinary microRNAs in kidney disease*. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol, 2012. **7**(9): p. 1528-33.
190. Mitchell, P.S., et al., *Circulating microRNAs as stable blood-based markers for cancer detection*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2008. **105**(30): p. 10513-8.
191. Hergenreider, E., et al., *Atheroprotective communication between endothelial cells and smooth muscle cells through miRNAs*. Nat Cell Biol, 2012. **14**(3): p. 249-56.
192. Pegtel, D.M., et al., *Functional delivery of viral miRNAs via exosomes*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2010. **107**(14): p. 6328-33.
193. Zerneck, A., et al., *Delivery of microRNA-126 by apoptotic bodies induces CXCL12-dependent vascular protection*. Sci Signal, 2009. **2**(100): p. ra81.
194. Argyropoulos, C., et al., *Urinary MicroRNA Profiling Predicts the Development of Microalbuminuria in Patients with Type 1 Diabetes*. J Clin Med, 2015. **4**(7): p. 1498-517.
195. Nassirpour, R., et al., *MicroRNA biomarkers in clinical renal disease: from diabetic nephropathy renal transplantation and beyond*. Food Chem Toxicol, 2016. **98**(Pt A): p. 73-88.
196. Lakhia, R., et al., *MicroRNA-21 Aggravates Cyst Growth in a Model of Polycystic Kidney Disease*. J Am Soc Nephrol, 2016. **27**(8): p. 2319-30.
197. Patel, V., et al., *miR-17~92 miRNA cluster promotes kidney cyst growth in polycystic kidney disease*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2013. **110**(26): p. 10765-70.
198. Hennino, M.F., et al., *miR-21-5p renal expression is associated with fibrosis and renal survival in patients with IgA nephropathy*. Sci Rep, 2016. **6**: p. 27209.
199. Yoo, C.B. and P.A. Jones, *Epigenetic therapy of cancer: past, present and future*. Nat Rev Drug Discov, 2006. **5**(1): p. 37-50.
200. Reddy, M.A., et al., *Losartan reverses permissive epigenetic changes in renal glomeruli of diabetic db/db mice*. Kidney Int, 2014. **85**(2): p. 362-73.
201. Hayashi, K., et al., *Renin-angiotensin blockade resets podocyte epigenome through Kruppel-like Factor 4 and attenuates proteinuria*. Kidney Int, 2015. **88**(4): p. 745-53.
202. Young, G.H. and V.C. Wu, *KLOTHO methylation is linked to uremic toxins and chronic kidney disease*. Kidney Int, 2012. **81**(7): p. 611-2.
203. Massart, R., et al., *Role of DNA methylation in the nucleus accumbens in incubation of cocaine craving*. J Neurosci, 2015. **35**(21): p. 8042-58.
204. Liu, X.S., et al., *Editing DNA Methylation in the Mammalian Genome*. Cell, 2016. **167**(1): p. 233-247 e17.
205. Stenvinkel, P., J.P. Kooman, and P.G. Shiels, *Nutrients and ageing: what can we learn about ageing interactions from animal biology?* Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care, 2016. **19**(1): p. 19-25.
206. Carmona, J.J. and S. Michan, *Biology of Healthy Aging and Longevity*. Rev Invest Clin, 2016. **68**(1): p. 7-16.
207. Giblin, W., M.E. Skinner, and D.B. Lombard, *Sirtuins: guardians of mammalian healthspan*. Trends Genet, 2014. **30**(7): p. 271-86.
208. Imai, S., et al., *Transcriptional silencing and longevity protein Sir2 is an NAD-dependent histone deacetylase*. Nature, 2000. **403**(6771): p. 795-800.
209. Canto, C., A.A. Sauve, and P. Bai, *Crosstalk between poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase and sirtuin enzymes*. Mol Aspects Med, 2013. **34**(6): p. 1168-201.
210. Carafa, V., et al., *Sirtuin functions and modulation: from chemistry to the clinic*. Clin Epigenetics, 2016. **8**: p. 61.
211. Janssen, H.L., et al., *Treatment of HCV infection by targeting microRNA*. N Engl J Med, 2013. **368**(18): p. 1685-94.
212. Maegdefessel, L., *The emerging role of microRNAs in cardiovascular disease*. J Intern Med, 2014. **276**(6): p. 633-44.

213. Bijkerk, R., et al., *Silencing of microRNA-132 reduces renal fibrosis by selectively inhibiting myofibroblast proliferation*. *Kidney Int*, 2016. **89**(6): p. 1268-80.
214. Gomez, I.G., et al., *Anti-microRNA-21 oligonucleotides prevent Alport nephropathy progression by stimulating metabolic pathways*. *J Clin Invest*, 2015. **125**(1): p. 141-56.
215. Gomez, I.G., N. Nakagawa, and J.S. Duffield, *MicroRNAs as novel therapeutic targets to treat kidney injury and fibrosis*. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol*, 2016. **310**(10): p. F931-44.
216. Bhatt, K., et al., *MicroRNA-687 Induced by Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1 Targets Phosphatase and Tensin Homolog in Renal Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury*. *J Am Soc Nephrol*, 2015. **26**(7): p. 1588-96.
217. Nangaku, M., et al., *Epigenetic Changes Induced by Hypoxia-Inducible Factor: a Long Way Still To Go as a Target for Therapy?* *J Am Soc Nephrol*, 2015. **26**(7): p. 1478-80.
218. Beyer, S., et al., *The histone demethylases JMJD1A and JMJD2B are transcriptional targets of hypoxia-inducible factor HIF*. *J Biol Chem*, 2008. **283**(52): p. 36542-52.
219. Barres, R., et al., *Acute exercise remodels promoter methylation in human skeletal muscle*. *Cell Metab*, 2012. **15**(3): p. 405-11.
220. McGee, S.L. and M. Hargreaves, *Exercise and skeletal muscle glucose transporter 4 expression: molecular mechanisms*. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol*, 2006. **33**(4): p. 395-9.
221. Baggish, A.L., et al., *Dynamic regulation of circulating microRNA during acute exhaustive exercise and sustained aerobic exercise training*. *J Physiol*, 2011. **589**(Pt 16): p. 3983-94.
222. Bye, A., et al., *Circulating microRNAs and aerobic fitness--the HUNT-Study*. *PLoS One*, 2013. **8**(2): p. e57496.
223. Johansen, K.L. and P. Painter, *Exercise in individuals with CKD*. *Am J Kidney Dis*, 2012. **59**(1): p. 126-34.