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THE PRIME SPECTRA OF RELATIVE STABLE MODULE CATEGORIES
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To Dave Benson on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Abstract. For a finite group $G$ and an arbitrary commutative ring $R$, Broué has placed a Frobenius exact structure on the category of finitely generated $RG$-modules by taking the exact sequences to be those that split upon restriction to the trivial subgroup. The corresponding stable category is then tensor triangulated. In this paper we examine the case $R = S/t^n$, where $S$ is a discrete valuation ring having uniformising parameter $t$. We prove that the prime ideal spectrum (in the sense of Balmer) of this ‘relative’ version of the stable module category of $RG$ is a disjoint union of $n$ copies of that for $kG$, where $k$ is the residue field of $S$.
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1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite group and $k$ a field whose characteristic divides the order of $G$. One of the main goals in modular representation theory is to try to understand the stable module category $\text{stmod} kG$ of $kG$. The objects in $\text{stmod} kG$ are the same as those in the category $\text{mod} kG$ of finitely generated $kG$-modules. If $M$ and $N$ are finitely generated $kG$-modules, then the morphisms from $M$ to $N$ in $\text{stmod} kG$ are elements of the quotient

$$\text{Hom}_{kG}(M, N) = \text{Hom}_{kG}(M, N)/\text{PHom}_{kG}(M, N),$$
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where \( \text{PHom}_{kG}(M, N) \) denotes the set of \( kG \)-module homomorphisms \( M \to N \) that factor through some projective \( kG \)-module. In this case \( \text{mod } kG \) is a Frobenius category, and so \( \text{stmod } kG \) is triangulated. The suspension of an object \( M \) in \( \text{stmod } kG \) is defined to be its cosyzygy \( \Omega^{-1}M \), the cokernel of the inclusion of \( M \) into its injective hull. A distinguished triangle

\[
M' \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M'' \longrightarrow \Omega^{-1}M'
\]

in \( \text{stmod } kG \) is, by definition, induced by a short exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M'' \longrightarrow 0
\]

in \( \text{mod } kG \). Further details may be found in [8], for example. Moreover, equipping \( - \otimes_k - \) with the diagonal \( G \)-action gives an exact symmetric monoidal structure on \( \text{mod } kG \). This passes down to \( \text{stmod } kG \), making it a tensor triangulated category.

Now suppose that we replace \( k \) with an arbitrary commutative ring \( R \). Can one hope to study the category \( \text{mod } RG \) by mimicking the above setup? The first obstruction to doing so is the fact that \( \text{mod } RG \) may no longer be Frobenius, in which case \( \text{stmod } RG \) would fail to be triangulated in the usual way. Even if \( \text{mod } RG \) were Frobenius, there would still be no guarantee that tensoring over \( R \) would be exact, that is, \( \text{stmod } RG \) might not be tensor triangulated.

Broué [7] has introduced an alternative exact structure on \( \text{mod } RG \), which was based on work of Higman [9] and later examined by Benson, Iyengar and Krause [6]. Let

\[
\iota: R \longrightarrow RG
\]

denote the inclusion of the ground ring \( R \) and

\[
\iota_*: \text{mod } RG \longrightarrow \text{mod } R
\]

the restriction of scalars functor. An exact sequence of \( RG \)-modules

\[
0 \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M'' \longrightarrow 0
\]

is defined to be admissible if its restriction

\[
0 \longrightarrow \iota_*M' \longrightarrow \iota_*M \longrightarrow \iota_*M'' \longrightarrow 0
\]

is split exact. In this paper we will denote the category of finitely generated \( RG \)-modules endowed with this ‘relatively split’ exact structure by \( \text{rel } RG \). As shown in [7], \( \text{rel } RG \) is a Frobenius category, so its stable category \( \text{strel } RG \) is triangulated.

Specifically, the injective/projective objects in \( \text{rel } RG \) are the direct summands of those \( RG \)-modules lying in the essential image of the induction functor

\[
\iota^* = RG \otimes_R -: \text{mod } R \longrightarrow \text{mod } RG.
\]

We shall call such modules weakly projective. The suspension of an object \( M \) in \( \text{strel } RG \) is the cokernel \( \Sigma M \) in the \( R \)-split short exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \iota^*\iota_*M \longrightarrow \Sigma M \longrightarrow 0,
\]
where the map \( M \to \iota_* \iota^* M = RG \otimes_R M \) is given by \( m \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} g \otimes g^{-1} m \). A nice feature of the relative stable category is that, in the special case where \( R = k \) is a field, \( \text{strel } kG \) and \( \text{stmod } kG \) coincide.

Another motivation for appealing to this relative exact structure is that tensoring over \( R \) preserves \( R \)-split short exact sequences, so \( \text{strel } RG \) is tensor triangulated with unit the trivial module \( R \). The relative stable category therefore provides a setting in which one may exploit a monoidal structure to study representations of \( G \) over arbitrary commutative rings.

With the relative stable category in mind, we now recall that Balmer [2] has developed a general framework for studying the coarse structures of essentially small tensor triangulated categories in terms of supports, namely the yoga of tensor triangular geometry. Let \((\mathcal{K}, \otimes, 1)\) be an essentially small tensor triangulated category. A thick subcategory \( \mathcal{I} \) of \( \mathcal{K} \) is a tensor ideal of \( \mathcal{K} \) if \( \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{I} \). Continuing the analogy with commutative algebra, Balmer defines a proper tensor ideal \( \mathcal{P} \) of \( \mathcal{K} \) to be prime if whenever \( x \) and \( y \) are objects in \( \mathcal{K} \) satisfying \( x \otimes y \in \mathcal{P} \), then \( x \in \mathcal{P} \) or \( y \in \mathcal{P} \). The collection of prime ideals of \( \mathcal{K} \) is called the (prime ideal) spectrum of \( \mathcal{K} \), denoted \( \text{Spc } \mathcal{K} \).

For an object \( x \in \mathcal{K} \), Balmer defines the support of \( x \) to be the subset

\[ \text{supp}(x) = \{ \mathcal{P} \in \text{Spc } \mathcal{K} \mid x \notin \mathcal{P} \} \]

of \( \text{Spc } \mathcal{K} \). Such subsets form a basis of closed subsets of a Zariski topology on \( \text{Spc } \mathcal{K} \). The topological space \( \text{Spc } \mathcal{K} \) and the assignment \( x \mapsto \text{supp}(x) \) form something Balmer calls a universal support data for \( \mathcal{K} \). Roughly speaking, this means that \( \text{Spc } \mathcal{K} \) is the best possible abstract setting in which to study support theoretic questions about the category \( \mathcal{K} \). For example, one may express the universality of \( \text{Spc } \mathcal{K} \) by interpreting it as the space dual to the lattice of (radical) thick tensor ideals. Accordingly, any question about the structure of the lattice of tensor ideals is really a question about \( \text{Spc } \mathcal{K} \).

To see all of this in action, we recall a celebrated result of Benson, Carlson and Rickard [3], which states that the thick tensor ideals of \( \text{stmod } kG \) are in one to one correspondence with the specialisation closed subsets of \( \text{Proj } H^*(G, k) \), where \( H^*(G, k) \) denotes group cohomology with coefficients in \( k \), i.e., \( \text{Ext}^*_G(k, k) \). Statements that relate the structure of \( \text{stmod } kG \) to the geometry of \( \text{Proj } H^*(G, k) \) occupy the realm of support theory. For example, the above correspondence assigns to each thick tensor ideal \( \mathcal{I} \) in \( \text{stmod } kG \) the subset \( \bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{I}} V_G(M) \) of \( \text{Proj } H^*(G, k) \), where \( V_G(M) \) is the support variety of \( M \). (See [1] Chapter 5 for details.) Viewed in Balmer’s framework, this result may be reinterpreted as saying that \( (\text{Proj } H^*(G, k), V_G(-)) \) is the classifying support data for \( \text{stmod } kG \). (See [2] Section 5.) In particular, \( \text{Spc } (\text{stmod } kG) \) is homeomorphic to \( \text{Proj } H^*(G, k) \).

We remark that little is known about relative stable categories in general. The goal of this paper is to determine the prime ideal spectrum of \( \text{strel } RG \) in perhaps the most basic non-trivial case, namely that in which \( R = k \) is the ring \( \mathbb{Z}/p^n \), where \( p \) is a prime number and \( n \geq 0 \).

More generally, the proofs go through for \( R = S/t^n \) where \( S \) is a discrete valuation ring with uniformising parameter \( t \). In that context, our main result is the following (the above case being that of the localisation \( S = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \) and \( t = p \)).
Theorem 1.1. Let $S$ be a discrete valuation ring having residue field $k$ and uniformising parameter $t$. Setting $R_n = S/t^n$, there is a homeomorphism
\[ \text{Spc}(\text{strel } R_n G) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{n} \text{Spc}(\text{strel } kG). \]
In other words, the prime ideal spectrum of $\text{strel } R_n G$ decomposes into $n$ disjoint copies of the prime ideal spectrum of $\text{strel } kG = \text{stmod } kG$.

As mentioned above, the spectrum of $\text{stmod } kG$ is known, so the theorem yields a complete description of the spectrum of $\text{strel } R_n G$.

This computation is also valuable from the point of view of abstract tensor triangular geometry. There are many examples in which the spectrum has been computed, but they all tend to share a common feature—the tensor triangulated category in question is rigid. Relative stable categories need not be rigid, hence they provide a new family of examples that can be fed back into the abstract theory. For instance, if the spectrum of a rigid tensor triangulated category is a disjoint union of subspaces, then the category itself decomposes into a direct sum of subcategories indexed by those subspaces. However, in our example the relative stable category is indecomposable. The information encoded in the triviality of the topology of the spectrum must therefore manifest in more subtle ways that would be interesting to explore.

2. Notation and preliminary calculations

Let $(S, m, k)$ be a discrete valuation ring, that is, a local principal ideal domain whose unique maximal ideal is $m$ and whose residue field is $k = S/m$.
(See [1, Chapter 9].) We denote by $t$ a uniformising parameter, i.e., a generator of $m$. For a positive integer $n$ we let $R_n = S/t^n$.
Throughout this paper $G$ will denote a finite group. We set $A_n = R_n G$,
the group algebra of $G$ over $R_n$. Keeping the notation from the introduction, we continue to denote the inclusion of the ground ring by $\iota: R_n \hookrightarrow A_n$. For each $i \leq n$, the canonical surjection $A_n \to A_i$ gives $A_i$ the structure of an $A_n$-module. We write $\Omega_i$ for the syzygy and $\Omega_i^{-1}$ for the cosyzygy, taken with respect to the usual abelian structure in $\text{mod } A_i$.

We dedicate the remainder of this section to module theoretic computations that rely on the group structure of $G$. The rest of the paper depends by and large only on the ring structure of $S$ and may be read almost independently of these results.

We begin with an explicit description of the weakly projective modules in $\text{mod } A_n$, that is, the injective/projective objects in $\text{rel } A_n$.
Proposition 2.1. Every weakly projective $A_n$-module is a direct sum of objects in

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \text{proj } A_i,$$

where $\text{proj } A_i$ is the full subcategory of finitely generated projective $A_i$-modules.

Proof. As mentioned in the introduction, the weakly projective $A_n$-modules are the direct
summands of the modules in $$\{ \iota^* N \mid N \in \text{mod } R_n \}.$$ (Recall that $\iota^* N$ is the induced module $A_n \otimes_{R_n} N.$) The indecomposable $R_n$-modules are of the form $R_i = S/t^i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The result follows by noting that $A_n \otimes_{R_n} R_i = A_i$. □

We remark that since each $A_i$ is $R_i$-free, every object in $\text{proj } A_i$ is also $R_i$-free.

The main object of focus in the sequel will be the cosyzygy $\Omega^{-1} k$, which is the cokernel in the short exact sequence of $A_n$-modules

(1) $$0 \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow A_n \longrightarrow \Omega^{-1} k \longrightarrow 0,$$

the map $k \to A_n$ being given by $1 \mapsto t^{n-1} \sum_{g \in G} g$. We first study the behaviour of $\Omega^{-1} k$ under base change.

Lemma 2.2. $\Omega^{-1} k \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1} \cong A_{n-1}$.

Proof. Since the embedding $k \hookrightarrow A_n$ maps into $t^{n-1} A_n$, tensoring it with $R_{n-1}$ yields the zero map. By the right exactness of $- \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1}$, the sequence (1) gives rise to the exact sequence

$$k \longrightarrow A_{n-1} \longrightarrow \Omega^{-1} k \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The map $A_{n-1} \to \Omega^{-1} k \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1}$ is therefore an isomorphism. □

The following ingredient will ensure that a special triangle exists in $\text{strel } A_n$.

Lemma 2.3. There exists an $R_n$-split short exact sequence of $A_n$-modules

(2) $$0 \longrightarrow R_{n-1} \longrightarrow \Omega^{-1} k \longrightarrow \Omega^{-1} R_n \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. The submodule $\Omega_n k$ of $A_n$ is the collection of elements $\sum_{g \in G} r_g g$ satisfying

$$\sum_{g \in G} r_g \in t R_n.$$

Consider the surjective $A_n$-module homomorphism $\phi : \Omega_n k \to R_{n-1}$ given by

$$\sum_{g \in G} r_g g \mapsto \frac{1}{t} \sum_{g \in G} r_g \pmod{t^{n-1}}.$$

The kernel of $\phi$ is the collection of elements $\sum_{g \in G} r_g g$ satisfying $\sum_{g \in G} r_g = 0$, which we identify with $\Omega_n R_n$. We therefore have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_n R_n \longrightarrow \Omega_n k \longrightarrow R_{n-1} \longrightarrow 0.$$
Note that $\Omega_n R_n$ is injective as an $R_n$-module, so this sequence is $R_n$-split. The sequence (2) is obtained by applying $\text{Hom}_{R_n}(-, R_n)$, which preserves $R_n$-split exact sequences. □

Our final result of the section will be used later in Section 6 to establish certain orthogonality relations.

Lemma 2.4. For all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ we have $\Omega_i^{-1} k \otimes_{R_n} \Omega_j^{-1} k \cong A_{i-1} \oplus A_i^{\oplus (|G|-1)}$.

Proof. Because $t^j$ annihilates both $R_i$ and $R_j$, we have

$$\Omega_i^{-1} k \otimes_{R_n} \Omega_j^{-1} k \cong \Omega_i^{-1} k \otimes_{R_j} \Omega_j^{-1} k.$$ 

We may therefore compute the right hand term in $\text{mod} A_j$. Writing $f = \sum_{g \in G} g \in A_j$ and applying $- \otimes_{R_j} \Omega_i^{-1} k$ to the short exact sequence (1) yields the exact sequence

$$k \otimes_{R_j} \Omega_i^{-1} k \rightarrow A_j \otimes_{R_j} \Omega_i^{-1} k \rightarrow \Omega_j^{-1} k \otimes_{R_j} \Omega_i^{-1} k \rightarrow 0$$

so that $\Omega_j^{-1} k \otimes_{R_j} \Omega_i^{-1} k$ is isomorphic to $A_j \otimes_{R_j} \Omega_i^{-1} k$ modulo the image of $t^j f \otimes 1$. By Frobenius reciprocity and the sequence (2), one sees that

$$A_j \otimes_{R_j} \Omega_i^{-1} k \cong A_{i-1} \oplus A_i^{\oplus (|G|-1)}.$$ 

Since $i < j$, $t^j$ annihilates the right hand term, so the image of $t^j f \otimes 1$ is zero and

$$\Omega_j^{-1} k \otimes_{R_j} \Omega_i^{-1} k \cong A_{i-1} \oplus A_i^{\oplus (|G|-1)}.$$ □

3. Localisation sequences and splitting of spectra

To begin our discussion of triangulated categories, we now set

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \text{strel } A_n,$$

the relative stable module category of $A_n$. As noted in the introduction, this is a tensor triangulated category with tensor unit

$$1_n = R_n.$$ 

As is customary, we denote the suspension in $\mathcal{D}_n$ by $\Sigma$, keeping in mind that $\Sigma$ is not the co-syzygy $\Omega_n^{-1}$ in general.

Observe that it is not necessary to specify in which category $\Sigma$ is suspension. Indeed, if $i \leq n$, then the canonical surjection $A_n \rightarrow A_i$ induces a fully faithful embedding $\mathcal{D}_i \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$, because a short exact sequence of $A_i$-modules is $R_i$-split if and only if it is $R_n$-split. Thus the suspension of an object in $\mathcal{D}_i$ will equal that in $\mathcal{D}_n$.

An essential ingredient in proving Theorem 1.1 will be the notion of a semi-orthogonal decomposition of a tensor triangulated category. We recall that a localisation sequence or semi-orthogonal decomposition is a diagram of exact functors

$$\mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{\psi_*} S \xrightarrow{\phi_*} \mathcal{T}$$
in which \( \psi^! \) is right adjoint to \( \psi_* \) and \( \phi_* \) is right adjoint to \( \phi^* \), the functors \( \psi_* \) and \( \phi_* \) are fully faithful and there are equalities

\[
\phi_* \mathcal{T} = (\psi_* \mathcal{R})^\perp = \{ x \in \mathcal{S} \mid \text{Hom}_\mathcal{S}(\psi_* \mathcal{R}, x) = 0 \}
\]

and

\[
\psi_* \mathcal{R} = (\phi_* \mathcal{T})^\perp = \{ x \in \mathcal{S} \mid \text{Hom}_\mathcal{S}(x, \phi_* \mathcal{T}) = 0 \}.
\]

For our purposes we also require that \( \mathcal{S} \) is an essentially small tensor triangulated category and that \( \mathcal{R} \) and \( \mathcal{T} \) are tensor ideals of \( \mathcal{S} \) under \( \psi_* \) and \( \phi_* \), respectively. In this very special situation one also obtains a decomposition of the prime ideal spectrum of \( \mathcal{S} \). If \( \mathcal{C} \) is any subcategory of \( \mathcal{S} \), we define the support of \( \mathcal{C} \) to be the specialisation closed subset

\[
\text{supp}_\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \text{supp}_\mathcal{S} x
\]

of \( \text{Spc} \mathcal{S} \).

**Theorem 3.1 (\cite{6}, Theorem A.5).** The subsets \( \text{Spc} \mathcal{R} = \text{supp}_\mathcal{S} \mathcal{R} \) and \( \text{Spc} \mathcal{T} = \text{supp}_\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T} \) of \( \text{Spc} \mathcal{S} \) are open and closed, and there is a decomposition

\[
\text{Spc} \mathcal{S} = \text{Spc} \mathcal{R} \bigsqcup \text{Spc} \mathcal{T}.
\]

We now return to the categories \( \mathcal{D}_i = \text{strel} A_i, \ i \geq 1 \). As explained in \cite{6} Remark 6.10], each canonical ring epimorphism \( \phi_i : A_i \to A_{i-1} \) induces a localisation sequence

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{K}_i & \xrightarrow{\psi_i^*} & \mathcal{D}_i \\
\psi_i^! & \xrightarrow{\phi_i^*} & \mathcal{D}_{i-1}
\end{array}
\]

where \( \phi_i^* \) is restriction of scalars, \( \phi_i^* = - \otimes 1_{i-1} = - \otimes R_i R_{i-1} \) and

\[
(4) \quad \mathcal{K}_i = \ker(- \otimes 1_{i-1}).
\]

Note that the functors \( \phi_i^* \) and \( \psi_i^! \) are strong monoidal, i.e., they preserve tensor products and units. (See \cite{11} Chapter XI.2 for full details on strong monoidal functors.) It follows that \( \psi_i \mathcal{K}_i \) and \( \phi_i \mathcal{D}_{i-1} \) are thick tensor ideals in \( \mathcal{D}_i \). We frequently identify \( \mathcal{K}_i \) and \( \mathcal{D}_{i-1} \) with their images under these fully faithful embeddings. We also remark in passing that \( \mathcal{D}_1 \) is just the usual stable module category \( \text{stmod} kG \) of \( kG \). A slight generalisation of the conclusion of \cite{6} Remark 6.10] is the following result.

**Theorem 3.2.** Setting \( \mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{D}_1 \), there is a decomposition of spectra

\[
\text{Spc} \mathcal{D}_n = \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Spc} \mathcal{K}_i.
\]

**Proof.** This follows by induction on \( n \) and the appropriate use of Theorem 3.1 \( \square \)

In order to prove Theorem 1.1 it therefore suffices to show that each \( \text{Spc} \mathcal{K}_i \) is homeomorphic to \( \text{Spc} \mathcal{D}_1 \). To establish this fact, we first show that each \( \mathcal{K}_i \) is equal to the thick tensor ideal

\[
\text{thick}^\otimes_{\mathcal{D}_i}(\Omega_i^{-1} k)
\]
of \( \mathcal{D}_k \) generated by \( \Omega_i^{-1} k \). We then exhibit a monoidal equivalence between \( \text{thick}^\otimes_{\mathcal{D}_1}(\Omega_i^{-1} k) \) and \( \mathcal{D}_1 \).

4. THE TENSOR IDEAL \( \text{thick}^\otimes_{\mathcal{D}_n}(W_n) \)

To simplify notation somewhat and to emphasise its central role throughout the rest of the paper, we now set

\[ W_n = \Omega_i^{-1} k. \]

Lemma 4.1. We have \( K_n = \text{thick}^\otimes_{\mathcal{D}_n}(W_n) \), where \( K_n \) is as defined by (4).

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 we have \( W_n \otimes 1_{n-1} = 0 \) in \( \mathcal{D}_n \) so that \( \text{thick}^\otimes_{\mathcal{D}_n}(W_n) \subseteq K_n \).

Conversely, let \( X \in K_n \). The \( R_n \)-split short exact sequence (2) induces a triangle

\[ 1_{n-1} \rightarrow W_n \rightarrow \Sigma 1_n \rightarrow \]

in \( \mathcal{D}_n \). Since \( X \in K_n \), tensoring this triangle with \( X \) yields a triangle of the form

\[ 0 \rightarrow X \otimes W_n \rightarrow \Sigma X \rightarrow \]

It follows that \( \Sigma X \cong X \otimes W_n \) so that \( X \in \text{thick}^\otimes_{\mathcal{D}_n}(W_n) \). \( \square \)

Lemma 4.2. Every object in \( K_n \) is isomorphic in \( \mathcal{D}_n \) to an \( A_n \)-module whose \( S \)-module decomposition contains only summands of the form \( R_i \) and \( R_i^{1-n} \).

Proof. Let \( X \in K_n \). Viewing \( X \) as an \( A_n \)-module, write \( \iota_* X \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R_i^{\oplus r_i} \). Let

\[ U = R_n^{\oplus r_n} \oplus R_{n-1}^{\oplus r_{n-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-2} R_i^{\oplus r_i} \]

so that \( \iota_* X = U \oplus V \). Note that \( t^{n-1} X \subseteq U \) since \( t^{n-1} \) annihilates \( V \). We thus have

\[ \iota_*(X \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1}) = (U/t^{n-1} X) \oplus V. \]

By assumption, \( X \otimes 1_{n-1} = 0 \) in \( \mathcal{D}_n \), so \( X \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1} \) is weakly projective. Proposition 2.1 implies that

\[ X \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1} \cong Y \oplus Z, \]

where \( Y \in \text{proj} A_{n-1} \) and \( Z \) is a direct sum of objects in \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-2} \text{proj} A_i \). Comparing \( S \)-module structures, we must have

\[ \iota_* Y \cong U/t^{n-1} X \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_* Z \cong V. \]

These \( S \)-module isomorphisms allow us to place \( A_n \)-module structures \( U/t^{n-1} X \) and \( V \) on \( U/t^{n-1} X \) and \( V \), respectively, through which \( X \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1} = U/t^{n-1} X \oplus V \).

Now consider the short exact sequence of \( A_n \)-modules

\[ 0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0, \]

where the right hand map is the composition

\[ X \xrightarrow{\pi} X \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} V. \]
Observe that we have $X' = \{ m \in X \mid \pi(m) \in \ker pr_2 \} = U$ as abelian groups. Applying $i_*$ to the sequence (3) therefore yields a split short exact sequence of $S$-modules

$$0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow i_* X \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0.$$ 

This means that (3) gives rise to a triangle

$$X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow \tilde{V} \rightarrow$$

in $D_n$. Because $\tilde{V} \cong Z$ is weakly projective in $\text{mod} A_n$, we have $\tilde{V} = 0$ in $D_n$. It follows that $X' \cong X$ in $D_n$.

Lemma 4.3. We have $\Omega_n K_n = D_1$.

Proof. Let $X \in K_n$. To prove that $\Omega_n X \in D_1$, it suffices to show that $t$ annihilates $\Omega_n X$. Employing Lemma 4.2, we assume that the $S$-module decomposition of $i_* X$ is $R_n^{\oplus r} \oplus R_n^{\oplus s}$ for some non-negative integers $r$ and $s$. We then have

$$i_*(X \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1}) \cong R_n^{\oplus (r+s)}.$$ 

On the other hand, $X \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1}$ is known to be weakly projective. The decomposition (3) implies that $X \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1} \in \text{proj} A_{n-1}$. We thus have $X \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1} \cong \bigoplus_j A_{n-1} e_j$ for some idempotents $e_j \in A_{n-1}$. It is known (see [10, Theorem 21.28]) that since the extension of scalars $A_n \rightarrow A_{n-1}$ is a surjective ring homomorphism with nilpotent kernel, the $e_j$ lift to idempotents $f_j \in A_n$.

Now consider the projective module $Y = \bigoplus_j A_n f_j$. Let

$$\pi : X \rightarrow X \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi : Y \rightarrow X \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1}$$

be the $A_n$-module homomorphisms induced by the extension of scalars from $R_n$ to $R_{n-1}$. Because $\pi$ is surjective and $Y$ is projective, $\phi$ lifts to an $A_n$-module homomorphism

$$\psi : Y \rightarrow X$$

satisfying $\pi \circ \psi = \phi$. Note that $\psi \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1}$ is the identity on $X \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1}$; in particular, it is surjective. It follows by Nakayama’s lemma that $\psi$ is surjective. We therefore have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_n X \rightarrow Y \overset{\psi}{\rightarrow} X \rightarrow 0.$$ 

But $\Omega_n X$ is also contained in the kernel of the composition $\pi \circ \psi = \phi$. The kernel of the latter is annihilated by $t$, hence the same is true of its submodule $\Omega_n X$.

Conversely, let $X \in D_1$ and consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \overset{\phi}{\rightarrow} A_n^{\oplus r} \overset{\psi}{\rightarrow} \Omega_n^{-1} X \rightarrow 0$$

defining a cosyzygy of $X$ in $\text{mod} A_n$. Since $X$ lies in $D_1$, we know that $i_* X$ is a $k$-vector space, so the image of $\phi$ is contained in $t^{n-1} A_n^{\oplus r}$. This means that $\phi \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1} = 0$, hence $\psi \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1}$ is an isomorphism. We therefore have $\Omega_n^{-1} X \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1} \cong A_n^{\oplus r}$, and the latter is weakly projective. This shows that $\Omega_n^{-1} X$ lies in $K_n$ as claimed. □
We are now ready for the main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 4.4.** The syzygy \( \Omega_n \) induces an equivalence of triangulated categories

\[
\text{thick}^\oplus_{D_n}(W_n) \cong \text{thick}^\oplus_{D_n}(k) = \text{stmod} kG.
\]

**Proof.** We saw in Lemma 4.1 that \( \text{thick}^\oplus_{D_n}(W_n) = \mathcal{K}_n \), and we know that \( \text{thick}^\oplus_{D_n}(k) = \mathcal{D}_1 \).

Notice that \( \Omega_n \) induces a (not necessarily monoidal) exact autoequivalence of \( \mathcal{D}_n \). Indeed, it is straightforward to check that \( \Omega_n \) preserves \( R_n \)-split short exact sequences in \( \text{mod} A_n \).

The same is then true of its quasi-inverse \( \Omega_n^{-1} \). The restriction of \( \Omega_n \) to \( \mathcal{K}_n \) is therefore an equivalence onto its essential image. By Lemma 4.3, the latter is precisely \( \mathcal{D}_1 \). \( \square \)

Although the above equivalence is not monoidal in general, the next section will show that \( \text{thick}^\oplus_{D_n}(W_n) \) and \( \text{stmod} kG \) are in fact equivalent as tensor triangulated categories.

5. A MONOIDAL EQUIVALENCE

By the localisation sequences in Section 3 we know that \( \text{thick}^\oplus_{D_n}(W_n) = \mathcal{K}_n \) is equal to \( \mathcal{D}_n/\mathcal{D}_{n-1} \) as tensor ideals in \( \mathcal{D}_n \), so \( \text{thick}^\oplus_{D_n}(W_n) \) is tensor triangulated. In this section we exhibit a monoidal exact equivalence between \( \text{thick}^\oplus_{D_n}(W_n) \) and \( \mathcal{D}_1 \), namely the restriction of the functor

\[
P: \mathcal{D}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_1
\]

induced by multiplication by \( t^{n-1} \).

Specifically, if \( M \) is an \( A_n \)-module, then as an abelian group, \( P(M) \) is defined to be the \( A_n \)-submodule \( t^{n-1}M \) of \( M \). Identifying \( A_1 \) with \( A_n/t \), there is an action of \( A_1 \) on \( t^{n-1}M \) given by

\[
\pi(t^{n-1}m) = t^{n-1}am \quad \text{for all } a \in A_n.
\]

This action is well defined since \( t \) annihilates \( t^{n-1}M \). If \( \phi: M \rightarrow N \) is a homomorphism of \( A_n \)-modules, then so is \( \phi|_{t^{n-1}M}: t^{n-1}M \rightarrow N \). Moreover, for \( m \in M \) we have

\[
\phi(t^{n-1}m) = t^{n-1}\phi(m) \in t^{n-1}N,
\]

hence \( \phi|_{t^{n-1}M} \) induces a map \( t^{n-1}M \rightarrow t^{n-1}N \). We therefore set \( P(\phi) = \phi|_{t^{n-1}M} \).

Note that multiplication by \( t^{n-1} \) preserves \( R_n \)-split short exact sequences in \( \text{mod} A_n \), so \( P \) is exact. We claim that \( P \) is monoidal. To see this, let \( M \) and \( M' \) be \( A_n \)-modules and consider the map

\[
\phi: P(M) \otimes_{A_1} P(M') \longrightarrow P(M \otimes_{A_n} M')
\]

given by \( t^{n-1}m \otimes t^{n-1}m' \mapsto t^{n-1}(m \otimes m') \). One readily checks that \( \phi \) is a well defined isomorphism of abelian groups and that the action of \( G \) commutes with \( \phi \).

Having established that \( P \) is an exact tensor functor, we now let

\[
\tilde{P}: \text{thick}^\oplus_{D_n}(W_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_1
\]

denote the restriction of \( P \) to \( \text{thick}^\oplus_{D_n}(W_n) \). Our strategy in proving that \( \tilde{P} \) is a monoidal equivalence will be to show that the functor

\[
F = \Omega_n^{-1}\Omega_1: \mathcal{D}_1 \longrightarrow \text{thick}^\oplus_{D_n}(W_n).
\]
Lemma 5.1. The composition \( PF : \mathcal{D}_1 \to \mathcal{D}_1 \) is naturally isomorphic to the identity functor.

Proof. Let \( X \) be an object in \( \mathcal{D}_1 \) and consider the short exact sequence of \( A_1 \)-modules

\[
0 \to \Omega_1 X \to A_1^r \to X \to 0
\]

defining a syzygy \( \Omega_1 X \) in \( \text{mod} A_1 \). A cosyzygy of \( \Omega_1 X \) in \( \text{mod} A_n \) is then obtained via the short exact sequence

\[
0 \to \Omega_1 X \to A_n^r \to \Omega_n^{-1} \Omega_1 X \to 0
\]

and we have a diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \to & \Omega_1 X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & A_n^r \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Omega_1 X & \to & FX
\end{array}
\]

where the right two vertical arrows are those induced by multiplication by \( t^{n-1} \). The right hand arrow therefore identifies \( X \) with the submodule \( PFX \).

\[ \square \]

Corollary 5.2. The functor \( \tilde{P} \) is full, essentially surjective and monoidal.

Proof. The fact that \( \tilde{P} \) is full and essentially surjective follows from Lemmas 5.1 and 4.3, the latter of which implies that the essential image of \( F \) is contained in \( \text{thick} \otimes \mathcal{D}_n(W_n) \).

By the discussion preceding Lemma 5.1 we know that \( P : \mathcal{D}_n \to \mathcal{D}_1 \) is monoidal, so its restriction \( \tilde{P} : \text{thick} \otimes (W_n) \to \mathcal{D}_1 \) respects tensor products. Any such functor that is also essentially surjective will automatically be monoidal.

\[ \square \]

Lemma 5.3. The kernel of \( \tilde{P} \) is trivial.

Proof. Let \( X \) be an object in \( \text{thick} \otimes (W_n) \) with \( \tilde{P}X \) weakly projective. By Lemma 4.2, we may assume that \( t_*X \cong R_n^r \oplus R_n^s \) for some non-negative integers \( r \) and \( s \). Because \( \tilde{P}X \) is weakly projective, we have \( \tilde{P}X \cong \bigoplus_j A_1 e_j \) for some idempotents \( e_j \) in \( A_1 \).

The surjection \( A_n \to A_1 \) given by multiplication by \( t^{n-1} \) has kernel \( tA_n \), thus it is isomorphic to the base change homomorphism \( A_n \to A_n \otimes_R k \). As in the proof of Lemma 4.3 there then exist idempotents \( f_j \) in \( A_n \) satisfying \( e_j = t^{n-1} f_j \). Letting \( Y = \bigoplus_j A_n f_j \), we obtain a natural embedding \( \phi : \tilde{P}X \to Y \) mapping \( \tilde{P}X \) isomorphically onto \( t^{n-1} Y \).

Note that since \( A_n \) is injective as a module over itself and \( Y \) is a direct summand of a free \( A_n \)-module, \( Y \) is also injective. (Actually, \( Y \) is the injective hull of \( \tilde{P}X \).) This, along with the embedding \( \tilde{P}X \to X \), allows us to extend \( \phi \) to a morphism \( \psi : X \to Y \).

Now let \( \tilde{\psi} \) denote the map of free \( R_n \)-modules obtained by restricting \( \psi \) to the \( R_n \)-free component \( R_n^{r_1} \) of \( X \). Then \( t^{n-1} \tilde{\psi} = \phi \), hence \( \tilde{\psi} \) is an isomorphism on socles. This shows that \( Y \) has rank \( r \) as a free \( R_n \)-module and that \( \psi \) is surjective. Because \( Y \) is projective,
we may therefore split off a direct summand $Y$ from $X$ and assume that $\iota_* X \cong R_{n-1}^\otimes$. We then have $X \otimes_{R_{n}} R_{n-1} \cong X$. But $X$ lies in $\text{thick}^\otimes_{D_n}(W)$, the kernel of $- \otimes_{R_n} R_{n-1}$, hence $X \cong 0$ in $D_n$.

**Theorem 5.4.** The functor $\bar{P}$ is a monoidal equivalence of triangulated categories.

*Proof.* We know that $\bar{P}$ is full and essentially surjective by Corollary 5.2 and it has trivial kernel by Lemma 5.3. Appealing to a bit of folklore (see [3, Proposition 3.18]), these conditions are sufficient for $\bar{P}$ to be an equivalence. It is monoidal by Corollary 5.2.

We are now in a position to prove the main result.

**Theorem (1.1).** For every positive integer $n$ there is a homeomorphism

$$\text{Spc} \mathcal{D}_n \cong \coprod_{i=1}^n \text{Spc} \mathcal{D}_1.$$ 

*Proof.* Setting $\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{D}_1$, Theorem 5.2 tells us that there is a decomposition of spectra

$$\text{Spc} \mathcal{D}_n = \coprod_{i=1}^n \text{Spc} \mathcal{K}_i.$$ 

By Lemma 4.1 we have $\mathcal{K}_i = \text{thick}^\otimes_{D_1}(W_i)$. Theorem 5.4 shows that the functor

$$\bar{P} : \text{thick}^\otimes_{D_1}(W_i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_1$$ 

induced by multiplication by $t^i$ is an equivalence of tensor triangulated categories. Putting this all together, we have $\text{Spc} \mathcal{K}_i \cong \text{Spc} \mathcal{D}_1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

The following corollary summarises the consequences of our results for $\mathcal{D}_n$.

**Corollary 5.5.** The relative stable module category $\mathcal{D}_n = \text{strel} R_n G$ admits a semi-orthogonal decomposition into $n$ tensor ideals

$$\text{strel} R_n G = (\text{stmod} kG, \ldots, \text{stmod} kG),$$

where the $i$th copy embeds as $\mathcal{K}_i = \text{thick}^\otimes_{D_n}(W_i)$.

*Proof.* This follows from the discussion in Section 3 along with Theorem 5.4 and Lemma 4.1.

### 6. An example: cyclic groups of prime order

In this section we provide an explicit description of the spectrum of $\text{strel} R_n G$ in the case where the residue field $k$ has prime characteristic $p$ and $G = C_p$, the cyclic group of order $p$. In particular, we give concrete generators for all of the prime tensor ideals.

The first several results actually hold for any finite group $G$. We remind the reader that $W_i$ denotes the cosyzygy $\Omega_i^{-1}k$, taken with respect to the usual abelian category structure in $\text{mod} A_i = \text{mod} R_i G$. 

Proposition 6.1. For any finite group $G$, the $A_n$-modules $W_i$ for $i \leq n$ generate $\mathcal{D}_n = \text{strel}A_n$ as a thick tensor ideal. Any prime tensor ideal in $\text{Spc} \mathcal{D}_n$ contains at least $n-1$ objects in the set $\{W_1, \ldots, W_n\}$.

Proof. The first statement follows directly from Corollary 5.5. For the second statement, let $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Spc} \mathcal{D}_n$ and suppose that $W_i \notin \mathcal{P}$. By Lemma 2.4 we have

$$W_i \otimes W_j = 0 \in \mathcal{P}.$$ 

Since $\mathcal{P}$ is prime, this shows that $W_j \in \mathcal{P}$ for all $j \neq i$. $\square$

Motivated by the previous lemma, we now focus our attention on certain thick tensor ideals of $\mathcal{D}_n$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, we let

$$\mathcal{P}_{i,n} = \text{thick}^\otimes_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\{W_1, \ldots, W_n\} \setminus \{W_i\}).$$

Our goal will be to show that these are precisely the prime tensor ideals in $\mathcal{D}_n$ in the case where $G$ is the cyclic group $C_p$. (We know from Theorem 1.1 that the spectrum will be a disjoint union of $n$ points.) The following two results hold for any finite group $G$.

Lemma 6.2. For all $X \in \mathcal{P}_{i,n}$ we have $X \otimes 1_{n-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{i,n-1}$, i.e.,

$$\phi_n^* \mathcal{P}_{i,n} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{i,n-1}.$$

Proof. If $i \leq n-1$ then $W_i \otimes 1_{n-1} = W_i$, whereas $W_n \otimes 1_{n-1} = 0$ by Lemma 2.2. Hence $\phi_n^*$ sends the generators of $\mathcal{P}_{i,n}$ into $\mathcal{P}_{i,n-1}$. Because $\mathcal{P}_{i,n-1}$ is thick and $\phi_n^*$ is exact, the lemma follows immediately. (The dubious reader may consult [12, Lemma 3.8].) $\square$

Lemma 6.3. Each tensor ideal $\mathcal{P}_{i,n}$ is proper in $\mathcal{D}_n$.

Proof. We fix $i$ and proceed by induction on $n$. For the base $n = i$ we need to show that

$$\mathcal{P}_{i,i} = \text{thick}^\otimes_{\mathcal{D}_i}(W_1, \ldots, W_{i-1})$$

is proper. We saw in Section 3 that the restriction of scalars $\phi_i$ embeds $\mathcal{D}_{i-1}$ as a proper tensor ideal in $\mathcal{D}_i$. For each $1 \leq j \leq i-1$ we have $W_j \in \phi_i \mathcal{D}_{i-1}$, so $\mathcal{P}_{i,i}$ is contained in $\phi_i \mathcal{D}_{i-1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{i,i}$ is proper in $\mathcal{D}_i$. (In fact, $\mathcal{P}_{i,i} = \phi_i \mathcal{D}_{i-1}$ by Proposition 6.1.)

Now let $n > i$ and assume that $\mathcal{P}_{i,n-1}$ is proper in $\mathcal{D}_{n-1}$. For the sake of contradiction, suppose that $\mathcal{P}_{i,n}$ is not proper in $\mathcal{D}_n$ so that it contains the tensor unit $1_n$. Then $1_{n-1} = 1_n \otimes 1_{n-1}$ lies in $\mathcal{P}_{i,n-1}$ by Lemma 6.2 a contradiction. $\square$

We are only now forced to specialise to the case $G = C_p$.

Lemma 6.4. Each $\mathcal{P}_{i,n}$ is a maximal tensor ideal in $\mathcal{D}_n$.

Proof. We fix $i$ and proceed by induction on $n$. For the base case $n = i$ we need to show that $\text{thick}^\otimes_{\mathcal{D}_i}(W_1, \ldots, W_{i-1}) = \mathcal{D}_{i-1}$ is maximal in $\mathcal{D}_i$. Recall that the thick tensor ideals in $\mathcal{D}_i$ containing $\mathcal{D}_{i-1}$ are in bijection with those in the quotient $\mathcal{D}_i/\mathcal{D}_{i-1}$. By the discussion in Section 3, that quotient is tensor equivalent to $\mathcal{K}_i$, which in turn is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \text{strel}kC_p = \text{stmod}kC_p$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. For $i > 1$ we are only now forced to specialise to the case $G = C_p$. $\square$
by Theorem 5.4. It is known that the rightmost category has precisely two tensor ideals, namely the zero ideal and the entire category. It follows that the only tensor ideal in \( D_i \) properly containing \( D_{i-1} \) is \( D_i \) itself, so \( D_{i-1} \) is maximal as claimed.

Now let \( n > i \), assume that \( P_{i,n} \) is maximal in \( D_{n-1} \) and choose \( X \notin P_{i,n} \). Tensoring the short exact sequence of Lemma 2.3 with \( X \) produces a triangle

\[
X \otimes 1_{n-1} \rightarrow X \otimes W_n \rightarrow \Sigma X \rightarrow
\]

in \( D_n \). The middle term lies in \( P_{i,n} \), but the right hand term does not. This implies that \( X \otimes 1_{n-1} \) does not lie in \( P_{i,n} \). In particular, it cannot lie in \( P_{i,n-1} \) since the latter is contained in the former. By the inductive hypothesis on maximality, this means that

\[
1_{n-1} \in \text{thick}^{\otimes}_{D_{n-1}}(\{W_1, \ldots, W_{n-1}, X \otimes 1_{n-1}\} \setminus \{W_i\}).
\]

Now consider the triangle

\[
1_{n-1} \rightarrow W_n \rightarrow \Sigma 1_n \rightarrow
\]

in \( D_n \) induced by the short exact sequence of Lemma 2.3. By the above remarks, the left two terms lie in \( \text{thick}^{\otimes}_{D_n}(\{W_1, \ldots, W_n, X\} \setminus \{W_i\}) \), whence so does the right hand term. In other words

\[
1_n \in \text{thick}^{\otimes}_{D_n}(\{W_1, \ldots, W_n, X\} \setminus \{W_i\}),
\]

proving that \( P_{i,n} \) is maximal. \( \square \)

It now follows from [2, Proposition 2.3] that each \( P_{i,n} \) is a prime tensor ideal, i.e., gives a point in \( \text{Spc} D_n \).

**Lemma 6.5.** Each \( P_{i,n} \) is a minimal prime.

**Proof.** Suppose there exists a prime ideal \( P \) properly contained in \( P_{i,n} \). Then there is an object in the set \( \{W_1, \ldots, W_n\} \setminus \{W_i\} \) not contained in \( P \). Since \( P \) is prime, Proposition 6.1 forces us to have \( W_i \in P \). But this implies that \( W_i \in P_{i,n} \), so \( \{W_1, \ldots, W_n\} \subseteq P_{i,n} \). Proposition 6.1 now tells us that \( P_{i,n} = D_n \), contradicting Lemma 6.3. \( \square \)

We are now ready to give a direct computation of the spectrum, verifying Theorem 1.1 in this case.

**Theorem 6.6.** The prime ideal spectrum of \( D_n = \text{strel} R_n C_p \) is a disjoint union of \( n \) points.

**Proof.** If \( P \) is an element of \( \text{Spc} D_n \), then by Proposition 6.1 there is an integer \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) such that \( \{W_1, \ldots, W_n\} \setminus \{W_i\} \subseteq P \). We then have \( P_{i,n} \subseteq P \). Since \( P_{i,n} \) is maximal, this implies that \( P = P_{i,n} \). It follows that \( \text{Spc} D_n = \{P_{1,n}, \ldots, P_{n,n}\} \) as a set. We now recall from [2, Proposition 2.9] that the closed points in the prime ideal spectrum are precisely the minimal primes. Lemma 6.5 therefore informs us that each point \( P_{i,n} \) is closed in the topology of \( \text{Spc} D_n \). \( \square \)

We now make a few observations based on and related to the theorem; all of the statements are more or less trivial consequences of what we have already done, but are perhaps worth making explicit.
Corollary 6.7. Each $W_i = \Omega_i^{-1}k$ is supported at a single point, namely
$$\text{supp}(W_i) = \{P_{i,n}\}.$$

Proof. Given the computation of the spectrum and the definition of support, we have
$$\text{supp}(W_i) = \{P \in \text{Spc} \mathcal{D}_n \mid W_i \notin P\} = \{P_{i,n}\}.$$

Corollary 6.8. The base change functor
$$- \otimes 1_m : \mathcal{D}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_m$$
induces an embedding $\text{Spc} \mathcal{D}_m \hookrightarrow \text{Spc} \mathcal{D}_n$ with image $\{P_{i,n} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$.

Proof. Letting $\phi^*$ denote the base change functor, it is a general fact (see [2, Proposition 3.11]) that $\text{Spc}(\phi^*)$ is a homeomorphism onto its image. The latter set consists precisely of those prime ideals that contain the kernel of $\phi^*$. It follows by repeated applications of Lemma 4.1 that the kernel of $\phi^*$ is generated by $\{W_i \mid m + 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. The result follows immediately.

In the above corollary, one might also consider the corresponding base change functors having source $\text{strel SC}_p$. The result remains true, except for the description of the image. By invoking [6, Theorem A.5], one may write
$$\text{Spc}(\text{strel SC}_p) \cong Z_n \coprod \text{Spc} \mathcal{D}_n$$
for all $n \geq 1$, where $Z_n$ is the spectrum of the kernel. Thus for any $n \geq 1$ we can find a disjoint union of $n$ points as an open and closed subspace of the spectrum of $\text{strel SC}_p$. It would be interesting to fully understand the space $\text{Spc}(\text{strel SC}_p)$ and, in particular, how the spectra of the $\mathcal{D}_n$ sit inside of it.
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