Wolbachia is a maternally inherited intracellular bacteria that can manipulate the reproduction of their insect hosts, and cytoplasmic incompatibility allows them to spread through mosquito populations. When particular strains of Wolbachia are transferred into certain Aedes mosquito species, the transmission capacity of important arthropod-borne viruses can be suppressed or abolished in laboratory challenges. Viral inhibition is associated with higher densities of transinfecting Wolbachia compared with wild-type strains of the bacterium. The upregulation of innate immune effectors can contribute to virus inhibition in Aedes aegypti, but does not seem to be required. Modulation of autophagy and lipid metabolism, and intracellular competition between viruses and bacteria for lipids, provide promising hypotheses for the mechanism of inhibition. Transinfecting virus-inhibiting strains can produce higher fitness costs than wild-type mosquito Wolbachia; however, this is not always the case, and the wMel strain has already been introduced to high frequency in wild Ae. aegypti populations.

**Arbovirus inhibition phenotype**

Arboviruses transmitted by mosquitoes have great importance in global health, owing to increasing ranges and impact and often with no effective vaccines or reliable prophylactics available. The most important is the flavivirus dengue virus (DENV), which occurs in 100 countries and causes tens of millions of cases of dengue fever annually, approximately half a million of which proceed to life-threatening hemorrhagic fever or shock syndrome [1]. Chikungunya virus (CHIKV), an alphavirus, is an important emerging pathogen in many regions of the world, and a number of epidemics with tens of thousands of cases or more have occurred in the last decade [2]. A dramatic transmission-blocking phenotype (e.g., no infectious viral particles could be detected in the mosquito saliva) for both viruses was observed in the mosquito Aedes aegypti, the most important vector of DENV. This vector was artificially transinfectected with the wMelPop and wMel strains of the bacterial endosymbiont Wolbachia from Drosophila melanogaster [3–5]; inhibition of yellow fever virus was also observed in wMel-infected Ae. aegypti [5]. A wMel transinfection in Aedes albopictus blocked the laboratory transmission capacity for DENV [6] and CHIKV [7]. This species is often considered a secondary vector of DENV, although it has been the primary or sole vector in a number of epidemics, and is frequently a primary vector of CHIKV following an envelope protein mutation that significantly and specifically increased viral fitness in Ae. albopictus [8,9].

Interestingly, Wolbachia can also inhibit the transmission of some mosquito-borne parasites, such as Plasmodium by Ae. aegypti [3].

Wolbachia are intracellular and tend to be most concentrated in reproductive organs, but often have a wide tissue distribution within the host insect, including midgut and salivary glands. Arboviruses acquired in the blood meal must invade midgut cells and ultimately disseminate to the salivary glands to be transmitted, so Wolbachia and the virus can potentially be present within the same cells. Wolbachia itself is not an infectious agent, but is maternally transmitted in the egg cytoplasm and is able to invade host populations by manipulating their reproduction. The most widely observed reproductive phenotype (and the only one observed in mosquitoes) involves crossing sterilities known as cytoplasmic incompatibility. In the simplest case, consider crosses between Wolbachia-infected and uninfected individuals, early embryonic arrest occurs when uninfected females mate with infected males [10]; however, the offspring of infected females develop normally regardless of the male infection status, thus giving infected females a reproductive advantage. The self-spreading ability of Wolbachia makes it an attractive biocontrol agent to interrupt or reduce arbovirus transmission. A first field trial targeting wild populations of Ae. aegypti in northern Australia has proven successful in introducing the wMel strain to high population frequency [11].

A better understanding of the mechanisms of inhibition of DENV and CHIKV by Wolbachia
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is important in order to make informed predictions of the selective forces that will operate on the virus and mosquito that might ameliorate the inhibition phenotype over time, and thus how to maximize the effectiveness and longevity of the strategy. What is known so far and what hypotheses can be generated regarding how the viral inhibition phenotype works (Figure 1) will be considered here, together with the effects of different Wolbachia strains on host fitness, which is an important factor with respect to Wolbachia population invasion dynamics.

**Strain specificity of viral inhibition & Wolbachia density**
The viral inhibition phenotype is Wolbachia strain-dependent, and there is also an effect of host species on the degree of inhibition afforded. Wolbachia is naturally present in many mosquito species. Wild Ae. albopictus individuals usually carry two strains of the bacterium [12], wAlbA and wAlbB, and a modulating effect on transmission capacity has been reported for DENV compared with antibiotic-treated Wolbachia-free mosquitoes of this species [13]; although, this difference was not apparent in all experiments and was much more modest than that observed when wMel strain Wolbachia was introduced [6]. Interestingly, high experimental CHIKV titers produced a reduction in density of wild-type wAlbA and wAlbB Wolbachia in Ae. albopictus [14], which suggests resource competition between bacteria and virus. When the wAlbB strain was transferred from Ae. albopictus into Ae. aegypti, stronger inhibition of DENV mid-gut replication and subsequent dissemination to thorax and head was observed compared with in the natural host [15]; however, the degree of inhibition was still lower than that produced by the wMelPop transinfection in Ae. aegypti [3]. In addition wild-type Culex quinquefasciatus, carrying the wPip-strain of Wolbachia, showed reduced dissemination of West Nile virus (WNV) when compared with an uninfected, antibiotic-cured line. WNV is a zoonotic flavivirus causing increasing numbers of cases of human encephalitis, which is endemic in Africa, Europe, central Asia and Australia.

**Figure 1.** Some of the interactions between high-density Wolbachia infections and dengue virus in mosquito cells that could contribute to the arbovirus inhibition phenotype. Interactions that are more uncertain in terms of the effects or direction are shown as hatched lines. Oxidative stress was induced by the presence of high densities of Wolbachia.

DENV: Dengue virus; FASN: Fatty acid synthase; RC: Viral replication complex; ROS: Reactive oxygen species.
and has recently expanded its range into North America [16].

A number of studies have now shown that the transinfecting Drosophila strains of Wolbachia reach higher densities in mosquitoes than the naturally occurring mosquito Wolbachia infections, and there is evidence that high density is causally linked to the virus inhibition phenotype. A direct comparison of wMel and wild-type wAlbA/wAlbB in Ae. albopictus, in a genetically homogenous background, showed approximately a tenfold higher overall density reached by wMel [6]. An association of degree of inhibition of viral replication with bacterial density or loss was observed in cell lines for both DENV and WNV [17–19]. In wMelPop-infected Ae. aegypti challenged with DENV, a nonoverlapping distribution of bacterial and viral particles was reported within tissues, suggesting a cell-autonomous antiviral effect [3]. In parallel studies in D. melanogaster and Drosophila simulans, some but not all Wolbachia strains provided protection against pathogenic viruses such as DCV (associated with a reduction in viral titers) and also flock house virus and Nora virus (not associated with a decrease in viral titers); only the higher density Wolbachia strains afforded virus protection [20–23]. Indeed, when the density of one of the strains showing high viral protection was reduced using antibiotics to levels similar to that of the nonprotective strains, the viral protection phenotype was lost [23]; this provides direct evidence of the importance of bacterial density to the phenotype.

### Mosquito innate immune pathways

The transinfection of wMelPop Wolbachia in Ae. aegypti produced a chronic, major upregulation of a number of immune genes, including components of the Toll, Imd, Jak–Stat and melanization pathways and their effectors, such as cecropins and defensins, and thioester-containing proteins [3,24]. Lower level upregulation of selected immune genes was observed for the wAlbB transinfection in Ae. aegypti [16]. The Toll pathway in particular is known to be activated in mosquitoes by DENV and to modulate the replication of the virus. In addition, the presence of the gut microbiota influences viral infection levels via basal Toll pathway immune activation [25]. The activation of the Ae. aegypti Toll pathway in the line transinfected with wAlbB, has been reported to be mediated through the production of reactive oxygen species and activation of the NADPH oxidase, resulting in upregulation of Toll pathway cecropins and defensins. It has been demonstrated using knockdowns and transgenic lines that levels of these antimicrobial peptides can influence DENV titers [26]. Thus, the presence of Wolbachia in Ae. aegypti can allow the primed mosquito immune system to eliminate virus particles more effectively. It also seems likely that the enhanced immune response allows more efficient killing of parasites, such as filarial nematodes and Plasmodium [24,27].

However, in an Ae. albopictus line transinfected with wMel, a selection of orthologs of immune effector genes that were upregulated in the presence of Wolbachia in Ae. aegypti, including a cecropin and defensin, were not significantly upregulated at generation ten [6]. Given that this mosquito naturally carries Wolbachia, it would seem that a long evolutionary history of association has modulated its immune response, even to a non-native strain such as wMel. Whether or not the host naturally harbors Wolbachia appears to be an important factor in the different immune pathway responses, rather than the differing densities reached by natural versus transinfecting strains. While the involvement of immune genes in virus inhibition has not been ruled out, since an exhaustive screen has not yet been carried out for this Ae. albopictus transinfection, it does appear that other factors beyond the mosquito innate immune system are primarily responsible for the blockage of DENV and CHIKV transmission (whereby no infectious viruses were detected in the saliva) in Ae. albopictus; Wolbachia strain type and density reached are the important factors here. In parallel, the low or insignificant induction of AMPs and other Toll and Imd pathway immune genes observed in Wolbachia-infected Drosophila [28,29] has suggested that other mechanisms beyond the canonical immune pathways must be operating to provide viral protection.

The mosquito antiviral RNA interference response has been shown to be a significant determinant of the efficiency of DENV transmission by Ae. aegypti [30]. However, the presence of Wolbachia reduces DENV replication in the Ae. albopictus C6/36 cell line [17], and this cell line shows an impaired RNA interference antiviral response, lacking functional Dicer-2 expression [31], suggesting that this pathway of viral defense is unlikely to be an important component of the phenotype [32].

### Autophagy, lipid metabolism & cholesterol

Autophagy is the essential and ubiquitous lysosomal degradation pathway by which eukaryotic
cells dispose of organelles and large protein aggregates, especially in times of stress and starvation, and for recycling cellular components during development. It is also used to degrade bacteria, viruses and protozoan parasites that invade cells, and the autophagy machinery thus interfaces with innate immune response pathways [32]. Despite its antiviral functions as a branch of the immune system, autophagy can also be subverted by some viruses to perform proviral roles. DENV has been shown to both induce and require autophagy for robust viral replication in mammalian cells [33,34], specifically of a form of autophagy that is targeted to lipid droplets, producing changes in the metabolism of the cell. The DENV-induced autophagosomes colocalize with lipid droplets and become autolysosomes, leading to a release of free fatty acids. DENV replication inhibition, caused by inhibition of autophagy, is rescued by the addition of free fatty acids with β-oxidation; thus, this pathway is believed to provide a favorable environment for viral replication by generating energy [35-36]. CHIKV has likewise been shown to activate autophagy, and this process promotes its replication [37]. Lipid droplets have also been proposed to be involved in DENV particle assembly [38].

The intracellular bacterium *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*, which is related to *Wolbachia*, secretes a protein that hijacks the autophagy initiation pathway, probably as a mechanism to acquire host nutrients [39]. It has recently been demonstrated that a protein that provides a marker of activation of autophagy, ATG8a, is abundant in *Brugia* tissues in which *Wolbachia* is abundant. In addition, autophagy activation using rapamycin can reduce *Wolbachia* density in *Ae. albopictus* cells [40]. Experiments are now needed to determine the ways in which *Wolbachia* may modulate autophagy to establish its intracellular niche, and whether that modulation could influence arboviral interactions with the autophagy pathways. Autophagy is a complex process and it is possible that the autophagy-related interactions of these viruses observed so far in mammalian cells will prove to be specific to the mammalian host. Experiments investigating how DENV and CHIKV may modulate autophagy in mosquito cells are now needed.

Intracellular membranes are modified by DENV to form the sites of viral replication complexes, and the virus makes significant modifications to the lipid repertoire of infected mosquito cells [41]. DENV has been shown to co-opt the fatty acid biosynthetic pathway, increasing the rate of biosynthesis, for this purpose. Fatty acid synthase, a key enzyme in the pathway, is required by the virus and is localized to the sites of DENV replication in both mammalian [35] and mosquito cells [41]. *Wolbachia* requires unsaturated fatty acids from host cells, since it lacks fatty acid desaturation genes, and at high bacterial densities fatty acid depletion could represent a cellular perturbation that disturbs the viral manipulation of these pathways. DENV replication is also modulated by cholesterol biosynthesis [42], and alphaviruses such as CHIKV also require cholesterol and sphingolipids for optimal endosomal fusion [43]. Cholesterol is obtained by insects from their diet and is a limiting resource. *Wolbachia*, like *Anaplasma*, lack genes for lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis, so may need to incorporate cholesterol derived from host cells into their membranes to ensure their integrity. It has therefore been hypothesized that the presence of *Wolbachia* at high densities in relevant tissues could inhibit these viruses by depleting cholesterol levels, through direct intracellular competition for this resource [3]. Experimental testing of this hypothesis is needed.

**Strain density & host fitness**

The dynamics of *Wolbachia* population replacement using cytoplasmic incompatibility as a disease biocontrol strategy depend in part on any fitness costs of transinfecting *Wolbachia* strains, which will raise the unstable equilibrium frequency that represents a threshold that must be exceeded for spread to occur. Full characterization of the comparative fitness of each transinfecting strain is of fundamental importance in determining which strains should be used for biocontrol, and to optimize efficiency. The viral inhibition phenotype itself is unlikely to provide any major selective advantages with respect to protection from arboviruses, such as DENV, which do not impose major fitness costs on the mosquito [44]. The extent of any fitness advantages that could be accrued through protection from other mosquito pathogens is largely unknown.

In *Ae. aegypti*, the high density *wMelPop* strain is virulent and approximately halves the lifespan of the *Ae. aegypti* host [45,46]. This life-shortening is advantageous with respect to disease control, since a viral extrinsic incubation period is required within the mosquito before it becomes infectious, such that reducing the proportion of older females will have a disproportionate effect in reducing virus transmission [45]. In theory *wMelPop* could still spread through populations if fitness costs are primarily incurred by older individuals,
since most reproduction is undertaken by young females in nature, only a minority would live long enough for the additional fitness load imposed by wMelPop to have a significant negative effect. Indeed, invasion of cage populations of Ae. aegypti by wMelPop has been documented [4]. However, the wMelPop transinfection also decreased the viability of stored Ae. aegypti eggs over time [5,47]. Descracted eggs often play an important role in population maintenance during dry periods, so this could be an important impediment to population spread. Female feeding was also negatively affected in some individuals, primarily (but not only) in older wMelPop-infected females, with a 'bendy proboscis' phenotype and difficulty in completing the process of blood feeding effectively (i.e., smaller blood meals taken and lower saliva volumes) [48,49]. Susceptibility to predation (using six different predator types) was not, however, significantly increased in laboratory tests of wMelPop-infected individuals compared with uninfected wild-types [50].

The wMel transinfection is considerably more benign in Ae. aegypti in the laboratory [4], without a significant life-shortening phenotype, and its successful introduction into wild populations of Ae. aegypti confirmed its utility under natural conditions [5]. Male mating competitiveness was not found to be significantly impaired by either the wMel or the wMelPop transinfection in laboratory cage challenges [51]. Assuming the viral inhibition phenotype produced by wMel remains as strong in natural populations as in laboratory challenges, then it will clearly be preferable to use this strain for control of disease transmission by Ae. aegypti over wMelPop. In Ae. albopictus the difference was even more pronounced: greatly reduced hatch occurred in eggs laid by females transinfected with wMelPop [52], likely to preclude its use for disease control in this species. However, replacing the wild-type wAlbA + wAlbB infection in Ae. albopictus with wMel did not produce significant reductions in egg hatch from transinfected females, and no major fitness costs in laboratory cage assays of fecundity, longevity and relative male mating competitiveness [7].

The fitness effects of different Wolbachia strains show a correlation with the overall density reached; however, more specifically, there could be differences in fitness costs even where the overall density reached is similar, according to the range of tissues that are colonized by different strains; for example, the degree of proliferation in nervous tissues. It is possible that there may prove to be trade-offs between the density reached by different strains, degree of viral inhibition achieved under field conditions and fitness costs. It is also important to note that strategies for using Wolbachia in disease control, even strains that cause fitness costs, and thus have higher threshold release frequencies that must be exceeded, can be designed to reduce the population of biting females at the same time. Male-biased releases, where these males are incompatible with wild females [53], can be achieved by pupal size sorting.

**Conclusion & future perspective**

It is likely that a much better understanding of the mechanisms of Wolbachia inhibition in mosquitoes will soon emerge, as experiments to manipulate various genes and cellular pathways are undertaken. There is already evidence that more than one factor can contribute to the phenotype; innate immune effector upregulation can reduce viral replication in Ae. aegypti, but in other systems it is not required for strong virus inhibition to occur. Thus it will be important to not generalize between Drosophila models and
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**Executive summary**

**Arbovirus inhibition**
- Transinfesting Wolbachia can block dengue and chikungunya virus transmission.
- Arbovirus inhibition is associated with higher density compared with wild-type mosquito Wolbachia.

**Innate immune upregulation priming**
- Can play a role in viral inhibition.
- Not observed in all cases of viral inhibition/protection, thus apparently not required.

**Autophagy & lipid metabolism**
- Modulation of lipid pathways or competition for resources required by both bacteria and viruses could be the cause of viral inhibition.

**Fitness effects**
- wMelPop strain can have high associated fitness cost, whereas wMel has much less so.

**Conclusion**
- A promising new control strategy for dengue and chikungunya virus.
- Wolbachia-based strategies could be applied to control other arboviruses.
mosquitoes, or between mosquito species, which differ in their immune response to Wolbachia, or between different viruses given the complexity of viral cellular interactions with the host. Cell lines have a useful role to play in these experiments as simplified model systems; in some cases, lacking functional immune pathways. Regulation of lipid metabolism is an important component of DENV and CHIKV replication, and investigations of the effects of Wolbachia on these processes are needed in mosquitoes. Viruses can also modulate or usurp a range of other host cell functions to facilitate their replication (for example, the suppression of apoptosis), and Wolbachia may do likewise. A better understanding of these interactions could provide new hypotheses for the inhibition phenotype. There is also emerging evidence that the presence of Wolbachia changes transcription levels of a host miRNA, and consequently a methyltransferase gene in Ae. aegypti. In addition, levels of expression of methyltransferase could have some influence on DENV replication (54). Research is needed to determine to what extent this could contribute to arboviral inhibition phenotypes.

The extension of initial open field release trials to other Ae. aegypti populations and into Ae. albopictus will give a much more complete understanding of the utility of this system for disease control, in particular whether complete viral inhibition is always maintained under field conditions and whether the phenotype might be modulated over time. Wolbachia could be used for the control of other arboviruses if transfections also cause strong inhibition, such as WNV, the encephalitis viruses (Japanese encephalitis, eastern equine encephalitis, Venezuelan equine encephalitis, Saint Louis encephalitis and Murray Valley encephalitis), Rift Valley fever, Ross River virus and Sindbis. All have multiple mosquito vectors and it is unlikely that it will be possible to prevent transmission by them all, given that Wolbachia is solely maternally transmitted and creating transfections by embryo microinjection and selection is a challenging and labor-intensive process. However, individual important vector species provide attractive potential targets, such as members of the Aedes scutellaris group (regional vectors of DENV and CHIKV), and Culex species such as Culex quinquefasciatus (WNV and others) and Culex triteanirohynchos (Japanese encephalitis virus). Laboratory cage studies, field cage trials and, most usefully, open field release studies will give the best indication of population dynamics of transfections and their effectiveness for arbovirus control. Where there are trade-offs between high-density Wolbachia infections needed to produce strong viral inhibition, and fitness costs that may be imposed by the highest Wolbachia loads, the strain–host combinations most useful for arbovirus control will balance these factors.
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Papers of special note have been highlighted as:
• of interest
• Shows the wMelPop transinfection in Aedes aegypti strongly inhibits dengue and chikungunya viruses.
• Reports the creation and characterization of a wMel transinfection in Aedes aegypti and shows that it strongly inhibits dengue.
• Reports the creation and characterization of a wMel transinfection in Aedes albopictus and shows that it strongly inhibits dengue.


- Demonstrates, using an open field trial, that the wMel strain can be taken to high population frequency in Ae. aegypti, validating its potential as an arbovirus control tool.


- Demonstrates that the wAlbB strain of Wolbachia from Ae. albopictus can reduce dengue virus vector competence when transferred to Ae. aegypti.


