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Abstract

Background

Reported associations between shiftwork and health have largely been based on occupation-specific, or single sex studies that might not be generalizable to the entire working population. The objective of this study was to investigate whether shiftwork was independently associated with obesity, diabetes, poor sleep and well-being in a large, UK general population cohort.

Methods

Participants of the UK Biobank study who were employed at the time of assessment were included. Exposure variables were self-reported shiftwork (any shiftwork and night shiftwork); and outcomes were objectively measured obesity, inflammation and physical activity and self-reported lifestyle, inflammation, sleep and well-being variables, including mental health.

Results

Shiftwork was reported by 17% of the 277 168 employed participants. Shiftworkers were more likely to be male, socioeconomically deprived and smokers, and to have higher levels of physical activity. Univariately, and following adjustment for lifestyle and work-related confounders, shiftworkers were more likely to be obese (1.26, 1.228-1.30; OR, 95% CI), to report disturbed sleep (1.10, 1.07-1.13; OR 95% CI), to be depressed (1.09, 1.06-1.12; OR, 95% CI) and have neurotic traits (0.12, 0.17; b, se).

Conclusions
Shiftwork was independently associated with multiple indicators of poor health and wellbeing, despite higher physical activity. These findings suggest the risks of shiftwork extend to the general population, and suggest that shiftwork is an emerging social factor that contributes to disease in the urban environment.
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**BACKGROUND**

Shiftwork is associated with detrimental effects on health and wellbeing, including increased risk of diabetes[1-5] and sleep problems,[6-8] higher body mass index (BMI)[1, 9-12], and increased signs of anxiety and depression.[8, 13, 14] Shiftworkers were once predominantly male, manufacturing sector workers, but this is no longer the case; a recent government survey reported that security services, transport and communications industries were the most common occupation of shiftworkers in the United Kingdom.[15] The effects of shiftwork on metabolic and mental health have been studied predominantly in female nurses in hospitals, and male shiftworkers in industrial plants. These studies have utility in avoiding some confounding or effect modification due to sex, work environment and shift patterns, but also generate data that might not be applicable to the general population.[1, 2] Large population based studies of the general workforce that adjust for multiple lifestyle and work-related confounding factors are required to address these challenges of studying the effects of shiftwork on health.

The objective of this study is to investigate whether shiftwork and night shiftwork are associated with adverse effects on metabolic and mental health and well-being that are independent of confounding factors, in shiftworkers representing diverse occupations from the UK Biobank, a large population-based study.
METHODS

Study Sample
UK Biobank recruited over 500,000 participants (5.5% response rate) aged 40-69 years, and baseline information (questionnaires, interviews and physical measurements) was collected at 21 assessment centres across the UK between 2006-2010.[16, 17] The 277,168 participants who were employed at the time of recruitment were included in this study.

Exposures and Outcomes
Shiftwork was defined by UK Biobank as a work schedule outside usual working hours (9am-5pm weekdays), and night shift as working through normal sleeping hours (12 midnight to 6am). The outcomes of interest were adiposity, diabetes, sleep disturbance, and depressed mood in the two weeks preceding assessment. Height, weight, body mass index and waist circumference, and % body fat (bioelectric impedance), were measured by using standardised methods and instruments.([18] supplementary data). The ratio of neutrophils to lymphocytes (NLR)[19] was calculated from analysis of a blood sample collected from each participant at the time of attending the UK Biobank assessment centre, and used as a marker of immune activation, that could be suggestive of higher inflammation. Immune activation is thought to contribute to the pathophysiology of diabetes, and the NLR have been previously been specifically associated with metabolic disease [20, 21]. Self-reported diabetes and cardiovascular disease were recorded by questionnaire, as well as family history of diabetes, hypertension or depression (Supplementary methods).

Sleep duration was self-reported and categorised into: short (<6h), long (>9h) and normal (6-8h).
Insomnia was defined as self-report of difficulty falling asleep or waking in the middle of the night.
Tiredness was the frequency of fatigue in the last 2 weeks. Daytime sleepiness was unintentionally falling asleep during the daytime and participants classified themselves into morning or evening chronotype.
Neuroticism was assessed using the Eysenck Personality Questionnaire (Revised Short Form) which provided a score from 0 (least) to 12 (most).[22] Current mood was assessed using two questions relating to the preceding two weeks: one on depressed mood and another on anhedonia (lacking enthusiasm for life). Participants were also asked whether they had ever consulted a GP in the past for “nerves, anxiety, tension or depression?” Mood instability was assessed using the question “Does your mood often go up and down?”

Lifestyle and Work-Related Confounding Factors

The following covariates were included in the multivariable analyses: age, sex, ethnicity (White, Black, Mixed, Chinese, Asian, Other), Townsend area-deprivation score which is derived from census data on housing, employment, social class and car availability,[18] physical activity and sedentary behaviour, alcohol intake, fruit and vegetable intake, smoking status, chronotype, sleep duration, diabetes, depression, and hours worked per week. The self-reported level and duration of usual physical activity was used to derive total physical activity measured as metabolic equivalents (MET-hours/week), as previously described,[23]-[24] and physical activity was also measured objectively by a wrist accelerometer worn for 7 days by a subset of participants (n=103,711) (supplementary methods). A proxy of sedentary behaviour was derived by summing the number of self-reported hours spent driving, using a computer and watching television per day.[24] Smoking status (never, current, former), the frequency and volume of alcohol intake, and the number of portions of fruit and vegetables ingested per day were assessed by questionnaire.

Statistical Analyses

The associations between shiftwork and body composition, prevalent disease, sleep and mood parameters were investigated using regression models: logistic regression for dichotomous outcomes presented as odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI), continuous variables as point estimates and standard errors, and negative binomial regression for count outcome variables as
incidence rate ratios and 95% CI, all calculated using Stata14 software. Participants were
categorised as:

(i) non-shiftworkers, those that did not work shifts at all (referent group)
(ii) shiftworkers – those that worked day and/or night shifts
(iii) night shiftworkers – a subset of shiftworkers that usually or always worked nights
(iv) day shiftworkers – a subset of shiftworkers that usually or always worked days

We first compared group (i) and (ii), and then in separate analyses, (i), (iii) and (iv). The relationship
between shiftwork and the outcome measures were further investigated by testing for interactions with
sex, chronotype and sleep duration.

RESULTS

The characteristics of the 277 168 participants of this study are summarised in Tables 1 and S1; 49
572 (17.3%) were shiftworkers, with more men in the shiftwork category (55%). Of those reporting
shiftwork, 22.3% (n=11 090) usually or always worked at night, with men again overrepresented. The
occupations most commonly reporting shiftwork are shown in Table S1; associate professional
occupations (e.g. nurses, technicians) had the highest percentage of shiftworkers (23%), followed by
personal services (e.g. bar staff, security guards), (12%). Shiftwork was associated with age, with
older workers less likely to report shift work, and participants in Black, Chinese, Mixed and Asian
ethnic groups were more likely to be shiftworkers compared to Whites (Table 1). Shiftworkers were
also over-represented in the more deprived quintiles of the Townsend score.

Shiftworkers had higher BMI, waist circumference, and % body fat than non-shiftworkers (Table 2),
and nightshift workers had a higher BMI and were more likely to be obese than shiftworkers who did
not work nights (Figure 1, Table S5-6). Shiftworkers were more likely to have diabetes, hypertension
and angina, but these associations were of borderline significance after adjustment for BMI (Figure 1;
Table S5). The association between shiftwork and BMI varied by sex (p < 0.001 for interaction term),
with shiftwork more strongly associated with BMI in women compared to men (Figure 2). There
were no differences in total fruit and vegetable intake per day. Shiftworkers, and especially night
shiftworkers had higher neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (Table 2), even after adjustment for
confounding factors, and after exclusion of participants with chronic disease.

Shiftwork was associated with fewer self-reported hours sleep per day (Table 2), and shiftworkers
were more likely to report extremely short (<6h) or long (>9h) sleep (Figure 1; Table S4) as well as
poor quality sleep including insomnia, daytime sleepiness, and tiredness in the last 2 weeks (Table S4;
Figure 1). Night shiftworkers were more likely to report sleep disruption (short or long duration,
insomnia, daytime sleepiness, and tiredness) compared to non-shiftworkers, and shiftworkers that did
not usually work nights (Table S5; Figure 3).

Shiftworkers were more likely to report having consulted a general practitioner for depression in the
past, mood instability, and to report feeling depressed or lacking in enthusiasm (anhedonic) in the last
2 weeks (Figure 1; Table S5), but night shiftworkers were less likely to report feeling depressed than
other shiftworkers (Figure 1; Table S6). Neuroticism scores were higher in shiftworkers even after
adjusting for depression, smoking, alcohol and multiple other potential confounding factors, but there
was no difference in neuroticism score between day shiftworkers and nightshift workers (Table S6).

Shiftworkers were more likely to report dissatisfaction with their finances in spite of working longer
hours, and were more likely to be dissatisfied overall with their job; these associations remained
significant following adjustment for confounding factors including depression. Night shiftworkers
were more likely to report low job satisfaction compared to shiftworkers that did not work nights
(Figure 1; Table S6). The shiftworkers were more likely to have jobs that involved physical activity
compared to the non-shiftworkers (Table S4-5; Fig 1), with those working night shifts most likely to
have active jobs (Table S4; Fig 1).
Shiftworkers, and particularly night shiftworkers, were more likely to report an evening chronotype (Table 1). The strength of the association between shiftwork and sleep duration varied by chronotype (p < 0.001); morning types were more likely to report reduced sleep duration associated with shiftwork compared to evening types (Figure 3). The odds of reporting sleep disruption were also related to chronotype, with evening types more likely to report daytime sleepiness and insomnia, compared to morning types, especially for the nightshift (p < 0.01 for interaction term; Figure 2).

Shiftworkers and night shiftworkers reported higher levels of both sedentary behaviour and physical activity compared to non-shiftworkers, a finding confirmed by accelerometry in sub-group analysis (Figure 1, Table 1, Table S4). Despite this, they were more likely to report sedentary lifestyles; for example, they were more likely to play computer games and to spend time in pubs and social clubs, and less likely to belong to a gym or sports club, compared with non-shiftworkers (Figure 1). They were however, more likely to report work-related physical activity and to engage in DIY than non-shiftworkers. Nightshift workers were even more likely to report sedentary behaviour and physical activity and had increased odds of being a smoker compared to shiftworkers that did not work nights (Figure 1; Table S6).

**DISCUSSION**

Shiftwork was independently associated with obesity in this UK population-based study, extending previous highly selective studies on shiftwork and metabolic health to a wider range of outcomes in a sample of the general population.[4, 5] The shiftworkers in UK Biobank presented a different personality profile to non-shiftworkers, they had higher neuroticism scores, they were more likely to be evening chronotypes, and to report disrupted sleep, reduced job satisfaction and feeling tired, depressed and lacking enthusiasm. Shiftwork was associated with obesity and with increased inflammation, particularly in nightshift workers, supporting the suggestion that night work might potentiate the effects of shiftwork on metabolic health. These findings were independent of multiple
confounding factors, and suggest that shiftwork and especially night shiftwork, affects overall well-being in addition to comprising metabolic health.

The associations between shiftwork and BMI were sex-dependent, with female shiftworkers more likely to be affected, a finding in contrast to previous reports of increased susceptibility of men.[4, 6] This discrepancy is probably related to the paucity of studies that included both sexes, as well as occupation-related factors that might differentially affect the implications of shiftwork for men and women. Also in contrast to previous work, we did not find strong evidence that the associations between shiftwork and BMI were dependent on chronotype, ethnicity or sleep duration. Shiftworkers were more likely to report diabetes and hypertension, but these associations were largely attenuated by adjustment for BMI.

A striking paradox of this analysis is that shiftworkers reported higher levels of overall physical activity, but still had higher BMI and waist circumference and were more likely to be depressed compared to the non-shiftworkers. Interestingly however, previous studies consistently report little or no difference between the dietary intake of shiftworkers and non-shiftworkers[1, 9, 25, 26] although the frequency and timing of meals is very potently disturbed,[26] and the risk of shiftworkers having metabolic syndrome was associated with the timing of meals but not with overall energy intake.[25] Human circadian rhythms in glucose and insulin sensitivity are optimised towards ingestion of food in daytime, yet shiftwork often necessitates that food is ingested at night when these endogenous metabolic rhythms are not prepared for food. Experimental studies in humans and animals have shown that this metabolic mismatch can disrupt blood glucose and triglyceride rhythmicity,[27] dampen liver transcriptional rhythms,[28] and ultimately lead to compromised pancreatic beta cell function, decreased energy expenditure, leptin resistance, and diabetes.[28][29][30] These mechanisms might underlie the epidemiological associations between BMI and diabetes and shiftwork that are identified in this study and others, and the effects of shiftwork on circadian rhythms in metabolic function require further investigation.
Interestingly, shiftwork was associated with increased ratio of neutrophils to lymphocytes, a marker of low grade inflammation that has been previously associated with metabolic disease. [19-21] This association persisted even when participants with chronic disease were excluded, and after adjustment for multiple confounding factors, supporting that shiftwork might independently contribute to systemic inflammation. Previous studies have also reported higher systemic inflammation in shiftworkers [31, 32], and this might be one mechanism through which shiftwork could contribute to the risk of cardiometabolic disease.

The shiftworkers in the UK Biobank were more likely to report an evening preference, which may be important as this phenotype is associated with susceptibility to metabolic disease including increased BMI,[33-35] metabolic syndrome,[33] type 2 diabetes and hypertension,[35] as well as all-cause mortality,[36] and might contribute to the increased prevalence of metabolic disease in shiftworkers. Shiftwork might be attractive to those with evening chronotypes, facilitating their late night preference, while morning chronotypes might be less tolerant of shiftwork causing them to drop out, or not to consider occupations where shiftwork is a requirement. The association between sleep and shiftwork was strongly dependent on chronotype with morning-types reporting shorter shiftwork-associated sleep times, while the sleep time of the evening-type shiftworker was minimally affected. Despite this smaller effect of shiftwork on sleep duration, evening chronotype shiftworkers were still more likely to report insomnia and daytime sleepiness. Morning chronotypes might be less able to acquire adequate sleep in daytime after shiftwork, causing them to self-select out of shiftwork. Prospective studies are warranted to establish if shiftwork encourages development of an evening preference, or if evening types are more likely to undertake, and to remain in, shiftwork. In support of the latter, shiftworkers also had higher neuroticism scores which might be another example of self-selection of shiftwork by people with specific behavioural phenotypes. Neuroticism is a personality trait that is thought to be stable throughout life, and although neuroticism score is related to depression,[37] neuroticism remained associated with shiftwork in this study even after adjustment for
self-reported depression, suggesting that people with these personality traits self-select shiftwork. Neuroticism has been previously associated with metabolic disease, and high neuroticism scores were predictive of having metabolic syndrome in a cross sectional study.[38] The high prevalence of personality traits that might predispose to metabolic disease (evening chronotype, neuroticism) that we report in the shiftworkers participating in UK Biobank might contribute to their increased risk of metabolic disease.

Shiftworkers were more likely to report mood instability and depression in this study, which concurs with previous work reporting a higher prevalence of depressive symptoms in nurses that worked shifts.[39] Shiftworkers were also more likely to smoke, to regularly use non-prescription analgesic and gastroesophageal reflux medication, to report unhappiness with their finances and their jobs, fatigue and lack of enthusiasm. These indicators of reduced well-being were independent of confounding factors, and suggest that shiftwork had extensive negative effects on overall quality of life. Multiple aspects of shiftwork could mediate these effects. For example, disruption of circadian rhythms is associated with mood disorder in humans, and causes depressive behaviour and neurobiological changes in animals.[40] Lack of exposure to sunlight, isolation and reduced opportunities to engage in social activity and family life could also contribute to negative effects of shiftwork on mood. Mood and metabolic disorders are frequent co-morbidities,[41, 42] with overlapping pathophysiology (eg inflammation, insulin resistance, neurocognitive deficits), and animal studies link central insulin resistance to behavioural changes.[43] It should be considered if the effects of shiftwork on mental health might contribute to the increased metabolic disease already associated with these work schedules.

**Implications**

The implications of shiftwork for health and well-being are an important consideration as society edges further towards a 24/7 lifestyle. The data presented here associate shiftwork with compromised metabolic health, sleep, mood and job dissatisfaction, even in shiftworkers that do not regularly work
nights. Causality is not inferred, and prospective studies are required to establish the nature of the associations between shiftwork and metabolic and mental health. Shiftworkers were more likely to have higher BMI despite higher physical activity, yet there is little evidence that they eat more than non-shiftworkers. [4, 25] It may be more beneficial to modulate the timing and frequency of their meals and activity rather than volume. However, even if this were possible, it will not address the fundamental uncoupling of physiological and environmental timing that most likely underlies the effects of shiftwork on metabolism and behaviour.

**Strengths and Limitations**

The greatest strength of this study is that it was population based; recruitment to UK Biobank was completely independent of employment status, so the participants represented an unbiased sample of the whole UK workforce. This is largest study of the association between shiftwork and health across diverse occupations, that served to minimise the potential work-related confounding effects of studying shiftworkers in hospitals and factories. Shiftwork encompasses all possible work patterns outside of normal working hours, and it is a limitation that we were unable categorise these data any further than to discriminate between day and night shiftwork, and that we were not able to account for the duration of shiftwork from the data in UK Biobank. We cannot be certain that the comparison group of non-shiftworkers did not include some people that had been shiftworkers in the past. The self-reported nature of some of the data is a potential limitation, but this was minimised by trimming unlikely observations, and by the large sample size. The quantitative and objective measures of body composition, inflammation and physical activity are a strength, but it is a limitation that quantitative measures of dietary intake were not available for the whole UK Biobank sample. However, many previous studies have addressed the issue of diet in shiftworkers, but none have shown differences in food intake that could account for their increased obesity and diabetes risk.

**CONCLUSIONS**
Shiftwork should be considered as a factor contributing to the effects of the urban environment on health and well-being across diverse occupations.
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