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ABSTRACT

The temporal pattern of postglacial rock-slope failure in a glaciated upland area of Ireland (the western margin of the Antrim Lava Group) was evaluated using both $^{36}\text{Cl}$ exposure dating of surface boulders on run-out debris and $^{14}\text{C}$ dating of basal organic soils from depressions on the debris. The majority of the $^{36}\text{Cl}$ ages (~21-15 ka) indicate that major failures occurred during or immediately following local deglaciation (~18-17 ka). Other ages (~14-9 ka) suggest some later, smaller-scale failures during the Lateglacial and/or early Holocene. The $^{14}\text{C}$ ages (2.36-0.15 cal ka BP) indicate the very late onset of organic accumulation and do not provide close limiting age constraints. Rock-slope failure during or immediately following local deglaciation was probably in response to some combination of glacial debuttressing, slope steepening and paraglacial stress release. Later failures may have been triggered by seismic activity associated with glacio-isostatic crustal uplift and/or permafrost degradation consequent upon climate change. The $^{36}\text{Cl}$ ages support the findings of previous studies that show the deglacial - Lateglacial period in northwest Ireland and Scotland to have been one of enhanced rock-slope failure.
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1. Introduction

Large-scale, relict rock-slope failures (RSFs) are conspicuous landscape features in many upland areas of former and current glaciation (Hewitt et al., 2008; Deline, 2009; Wilson, 2009; Shroder et al., 2011; Coquin et al., 2015; Nagelisen et al., 2015). Various styles of failure have been documented, namely rockslides, rock avalanches, in situ slope deformations, and rockfalls, and several triggering mechanisms proposed, including glacial erosion and subsequent debuttressing, rock stress reorganisation, seismicity, tectonic activity, and climatic changes, either singly or in some combinations (Jarman, 2006; Hewitt et al., 2008; McColl, 2012; Mercier et al., 2013). However, while some recent RSFs can reasonably be attributed to a specific recognisable trigger such as seismicity (Jibson et al., 2006; Owen et al., 2008), others cannot (Lipovsky et al., 2008; Stock et al., 2012) and such events may reflect the gradual crossing of stability thresholds in association with long-term deterioration in rock mass strength.

Sound arguments have been advanced in support of RSFs being a response to paraglacial (glacially conditioned) processes. Glaciation and deglaciation are regarded as factors that prepare slopes for subsequent failure by reducing rock mass stability through glacial erosion, and loading and unloading by glacier ice (Ballantyne, 2002; Cossart et al., 2008; McColl, 2012). These processes may trigger failure through stress release and associated extensional fracture development (Leith et al., 2014a, b). Additionally, in situ stresses may, over long time-scales, prepare rock slopes for failure as a result of progressive joint propagation through intact rock bridges (Stock et al., 2012). Seismic activity and crustal uplift linked to deglaciation (Ballantyne et al., 2014a; Cossart et al., 2014), along with the thaw of bedrock permafrost (Allen et al.,
2009; Lebrouc et al., 2013; Blikra and Christiansen, 2014) have also been proposed as key factors that can trigger RSFs.

Various methods have been used to assess the age of RSFs: e.g. $^{14}$C dating of associated organic material (Pellegrini et al., 2004; Aa et al., 2007; Agliardi et al., 2009; Borgatti and Soldati, 2010), Schmidt hammer rebound measurements (Aa et al., 2007; Deline and Kirkbride, 2009; Wilson, 2009), tephrochronology (Berget, 1985; Hermanns and Schellenberger, 2008; Mercier et al., 2013), optically stimulated luminescence dating (Balescu et al., 2007; Pánek et al., 2011, 2012; Moreiras et al., 2015) and lichenometric dating (Owen et al., 2010). In addition, terrestrial cosmogenic nuclide surface exposure dating (TCND) has become a widely adopted technique for dating RSFs because the failure mechanism exposes fresh rock surfaces both on the rock wall and amongst the resulting debris (Cockburn and Summerfield, 2004; Hewitt et al. 2008). The method has been utilised by, for example, Hermanns et al. (2004), Mitchell et al. (2007), Ivy-Ochs et al. (2009), Stock and Uhrhammer (2010), Penna et al. (2011), Akçar et al. (2012), Ballantyne et al. (2014b), Zerathe et al. (2014) and Moreiras et al. (2015) and has provided valuable age constraints on individual RSFs and local RSF clusters. Pánek (2015) provides a recent review of progress in dating relict RSFs.

Temporal patterns of failure based on seismic stratigraphy, sea level curves, and/or $^{14}$C dates have been investigated by Blikra et al. (2006) and Prager et al. (2008) for parts of the Norwegian fjords and Austrian Alps respectively. Peaks of RSF activity shortly after the last deglaciation (15-14 ka BP) in Norway, in the early Holocene (~10.5-9.4 ka BP) in the Alps, and in the later Holocene (~4.5-3.0 ka BP) in both regions, have been identified. A similar evaluation utilising a global dataset of published $^{14}$C and TCND surface exposure ages, enabled McColl (2012) to recognise a clustering of RSF events in the early Holocene (10-8 ka) and the mid-to-late
Holocene (3-2 ka). Each of these compilations demonstrates that while some RSFs occurred during or soon after local deglaciation, other slopes did not fail until several thousand years after ice retreat. A clustering of failure events in the southwestern Alps during the mid-Holocene (5.1-3.3 ka) has been demonstrated by Zerathe et al. (2014) through application of TCND. This timing along with the absence of recent local glaciation led the authors to associate the RSFs with an increased intensity of precipitation associated with the 4.2 ka BP climatic event.

TCND ages have been reported for several individual RSFs and small clusters of sites in the Highlands of Scotland and northwest Ireland (Ballantyne et al., 1998, 2009, 2013, 2014a; Ballantyne and Stone, 2004, 2009). Collation of 89 surface exposure ages from 31 RSFs enabled Ballantyne et al. (2014a) to assess the timing and periodicity of failure occurrence. The results indicate that RSFs occurred throughout almost the whole postglacial period from ~18-17 ka until ~1.5 ka, but with failures ~4.6 times more frequent before ~11.7 ka than after that date. For sites deglaciated during retreat of the last ice sheet, peak RSF activity occurred ~1.6-1.7 ka after deglaciation but enhanced RSF activity lasted for ~5 ka after deglaciation, spanning the entire Lateglacial period.

To establish the temporal pattern of post-Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) rock-slope failure in a glaciated upland area of Northern Ireland we sampled for both $^{14}$C dating and TCND ($^{36}$Cl) on three closely-spaced accumulations of coarse run-out debris at locations of uniform lithology (basalt) and structure. This strategy was designed to provide a robust test of the existing models by eliminating complicating issues such as a variable deglaciation age and non-uniform bedrock lithology.

2. Geological context
The Antrim Lava Group (ALG) of Northern Ireland is the largest extent of the North Atlantic Igneous Province in Britain and Ireland (Wilson and Manning, 1978; Cooper, 2004a). Basaltic lavas, extruded between 62 and 55 Ma ago, dominate the group and underlie an area of ~4000 km² (Fig. 1A). Borehole records indicate a maximum thickness for the lavas of ~880 m but exposures around the margin rarely exceed 100 m in thickness. The lavas are a strong cap over Cretaceous limestone and less competent Jurassic, Triassic and Carboniferous sedimentary successions; these underlying strata are seen in outcrop around the periphery of the lavas.

The onshore area occupied by the ALG rises to several broad summits of 300-550 m above sea level (asl) along parts of its eastern and western margins. The long-term evolution of the escarpments that bound the ALG has not been established but, as noted by Whittow (1975) and Davies and Stephens (1978), the lava plateau was probably considerably more extensive than defined by its present-day limits, as evidenced by detached fragments of the lavas to both north and south of the main body.

At different times during the Quaternary the area was invaded by ice sourced in western Scotland and from within Ireland. At a late stage during the last (Midlandian) glaciation, following retreat of lowland Irish ice, Scottish ice was able to advance up to several tens of kilometres across the north and northeast coasts of the lava plateau, incursions known respectively as the North Antrim Readvance and East Antrim Coastal Readvance. These readvances are inferred by McCabe and Williams (2012) to have occurred shortly after ~15.5 cal. ka BP immediately following the Killard Point Stadial (~16.5 cal. ka BP). The area to the north of Limavady (Fig. 1B) was apparently inundated by a lobe of ice associated with the North Antrim Readvance (McCabe et al., 1998; Bazley, 2004; McCabe, 2008) and therefore did not become ice free until
The timing of deglaciation for the area south of Limavady is not known with certainty, but McCarron (2013) associated the aggradation of substantial glaciolacustrine landforms in the Dungiven area with ice-sheet events related to Heinrich Event 1 at ~17.5 ka BP, suggesting that the area was still partially ice-covered at that time. Directions of ice movement and associated timings are currently under review as part of the BRITICE-CHRONO project.

It has been proposed that along the eastern and western edges of the ALG the weaker Cretaceous, Jurassic and Triassic strata beneath the basalt and limestone were severely eroded by glacier ice and that this resulted in large-scale slope failure (Prior et al., 1968; Davies and Stephens, 1978; Lewis, 1985; Cooper, 2004b; Knight, 2008). Davies & Stephens (1978) note that nowhere do the RSFs deform mid-Holocene raised beaches and contend this points to their stability over most of the postglacial period; Lewis (1985) thought that failure had probably occurred between deglaciation and the onset of the Lateglacial Interstadial at ~14.7 ka BP. However, no absolute dating of the RSFs had been undertaken. Although the RSFs are regarded as post-dating the Midlandian ice advances it is probably the case that earlier cycles of slope failure occurred during or subsequent to pre-Midlandian glacial events, similar to those discussed by Bentley and Dugmore (1998) for the basaltic rims of Icelandic glacial troughs and Jarman (2009) for troughs in the Scottish Highlands and Norway, with significant consequences for topographic evolution and progression.

3. Research area and sites

Along the western edge of its outcrop the ALG caps a west-facing escarpment for ~50 km south from the coast to Mullaghmore (550 m) (Fig. 1B). The base of the basalt
rises irregularly from below sea level at the coast to over 400 m in the south. In plan, the scarp is divided into promontories and recesses in which deposits of glacial sediments extend to summit levels. The escarpment is cliffed along parts of the principal promontories (Binevenagh, Donalds Hill, Benbradagh and Mullaghmore). At the foot of the cliffs are a variety of landforms that result from large-scale slope failures; they extend up to 1.5 km from the present cliff line to about 200 m below the base of the basalt (Clark, 1984). The largest failed masses are the arrested translational blockslides on Binevenagh, with individual failed masses of ~1-3 M m³. Below the other promontories the failed masses have undergone various degrees of fragmentation resulting in run-out debris with numerous surface boulders. These failures conform to the arrested translational slide and sub-cataclasmic categories of Jarman (2006) and it is with these latter sites, described below, that this paper is concerned.

3.1. Donalds Hill

The Donalds Hill RSF (also known as Donalds Pot, Irish Grid Reference C 739143) extends downslope for 500 m from 360 m asl at the highest point of the headscarp to 190 m asl at the lower margin of the debris lobe; the maximum width of the RSF is 470 m, across the base of the debris lobe. The RSF (cavity and lobe) occupies an area of 0.15 km², of which 0.11 km² comprises failed material (Figs 2A and 3A).

Bedrock outcrops on the wedge-shaped headscarp (length 560 m, height 20-50 m) have numerous closely-spaced, cross-cutting fractures. The slope below the outcrops is predominantly boulder covered in the southeast, is vegetated in the central sector and has boulders and bare talus cones in the northwest. The debris lobe is largely vegetated and diversified by several (sub-) transverse ridges, benches and
mounds. Surface boulders occur in scattered small clusters except in the southeast where they extend downslope, initially as a broad swathe, across the backslope and crest of the lobe and then as a narrowing tongue towards the lobe toe.

Based on profiles surveyed across the debris lobe and inferring a regular decline in the underlying slope gradient from above to below the lobe, the mean thickness of the failed material is estimated at 18 m. Lobe volume is calculated to be 1.33 M m$^3$, net, assuming a void space of one-third.

3.2. Benbradagh

At Benbradagh (C 720110) the central sector of a 4 km length of basalt scarp where evidence for RSFs is both extensive and varied in nature was investigated (Figs 2B and 3B). RSFs in this sector extend along the scarp for 2 km, and for 1.5 km downslope from 400 m OD to 120 m asl at the southern margin; the total area affected by failure is 2.1 km$^2$ of which 1.87 km$^2$ is run-out debris.

Headscarp character ranges from gullied basalt cliffs up to 30 m high interspersed with steep smooth vegetated embayments in which bedrock is generally concealed. The sinuous planform of the headscarp comprises several arcuate and wedge-shaped failure cavities, planar segments, and projecting buttresses. Slopes directly below the headscarp are mostly vegetated but gravel- to boulder-grade talus accumulations are also present.

The zone of run-out debris is of diverse form and thickness comprising up to 15 distinct boulder-dominated tongues that rise several metres above the adjacent terrain, they have steep (20-30$^\circ$), high (3-7 m) frontal slopes and are laterally bounded by levee-like ridges (Fig. 3B). The size and morphology of these tongues, and the maximum dimensions of constituent boulders (a axes ~2-3 m) are suggestive of rapid
downslope movement as a consequence of instantaneous headscarp failure rather
than slow creep-like movement associated with periglacial blockslope accumulations.
However, it cannot be demonstrated that all the run-out debris tongues are of the same
age.

In the north, debris character is largely obscured by vegetation although
infrequent exposures show that boulders are the dominant surface materials. Several
convergent dry gullies cross part of this area. Farther south, and passing around the
promontory of Benbradagh summit, debris accumulations are considerably more
pronounced. On mid and upper slopes mega-blocks of slumped basalt with little
apparent internal dislocation indicate scarp retreat of up to 130 m. Flanking and partly
over-riding some of these blocks are lobate accumulations of steep-sided, open-work
boulders and boulder sheets. On lower slopes, lobate debris masses have been
quarried and show angular basalt clasts with an infill of sand-rich material below 1-2 m
depth.

The southern area, where the run-out zone reaches its maximum width, is a
broadly-stepped slope of basalt mega-blocks and low-relief boulder tongues and
sheets. Scarp retreat of at least 150 m is indicated by block widths. Several
depressions upslope of blocks have accumulated organic-rich sediment up to 2 m in
thickness. In places the lower margin of the RSF debris has been truncated by land
reclamation (the foreground fields of Fig. 3B).

3.3. Mullaghmore

Rock-slope failures at Mullaghmore (C 735009) are present below a 3 km length
of basalt scarp. The central 1.5 km of failure culminates at 500 m asl and extends
downslope for a maximum distance of 0.9 km to 240 m asl. The area affected by failure is 1 km² of which 0.86 km² consists of run-out debris (Fig. 2C).

A prominent failure cavity with chord length of 220 m, depth of 40-50 m, and a partly cliffed and gullied headwall on its southern side dominates the headscarp (Figs 2C and 3C). North and south of the cavity the headscarp comprises either vegetated steep slopes with a few bedrock outcrops, or low (<20 m high) cliffs of fractured basalt.

Run-out debris below the cavity is characterised by ridges, benches and mounds of well-vegetated failed materials, many of which have an amplitude of 5-10 m, and extensive covers of large boulders. Depressions between ridges and mounds contain organic-rich sediment to 2 m thickness.

At a few locations secondary slope failure has occurred within the run-out debris. These sites are evidenced by distinct head and flank scarps up to 10 m high below which debris ridges, mounds and boulder spreads are present. Below ~350 m asl the run-out debris is considerably thinner than higher on the slope and there are outcrops of Carboniferous strata. These strata form prominent hillside terraces, particularly across the southern part of the run-out zone and passing into the forest, indicating that failed material from the headscarp is insufficiently thick to have obscured their outlines. Nevertheless, basalt boulder sheets extend across these terraces and their downslope termination was taken as the lower limit of the RSFs. As with Benbradagh, several episodes of rock-slope failure may be represented at Mullaghmore.

4. Field and laboratory methods

4.1. Sampling and $^{14}$C measurement

Samples for $^{14}$C dating were obtained from the basal sediments accumulated within each of eight shallow (<2 m deep) depressions on RSF debris (three at
Benbradagh and five at Mullaghmore, Figs 1 and 3) in order to provide minimum ages for the failures. At each location the peat was probed with a metal rod to obtain a cross profile of the depression and to locate the maximum thickness of organic soil. Pits were then excavated at these maxima locations and the lowermost 20 cm of organic soil was removed using monolith tins. In the laboratory the basal 1 cm was removed from each monolith and oven-dried at 100ºC prior to submission as ‘bulk’ samples for 

\[ ^{14}\text{C} \] analysis. Three of the eight basal samples contained macrofossils which were isolated and submitted for \[ ^{14}\text{C} \] analysis for comparison to \[ ^{14}\text{C} \] content of associated bulk samples. Details of all samples are given in Table 1.

Bulk organic soils (samples SUERC-26059 to -26063 and -28815 to -28817) were lightly ground to disaggregate lumps and then sieved through 1 mm and 0.5 mm mesh sieves and the fine materials retained. Macrofossils (samples SUERC-26053 to -26055) were rinsed with deionised water to remove as much sediment as possible. Samples were then given a standard acid-alkali-acid pre-treatment at 80 °C where the samples were sequentially digested in 2M HCl, 1M KOH, and 2M HCl. After each digestion samples were rinsed with de-ionised water. After the final HCl digestions samples were rinsed free of acid, dried and homogenised.

The total carbon in a known weight of the pre-treated sample was recovered as CO\(_2\) by heating with CuO in a sealed quartz tube. Sample CO\(_2\) gas was cryogenically purified and an aliquot converted to graphite by Fe/Zn reduction. \(\delta^{13}\text{C} \) values were determined using a separate aliquot of sample CO\(_2\) analysed on a dual inlet stable isotope mass spectrometer (VG OPTIMA), the quoted precision is the uncertainty of repeated measurements of the same CO\(_2\) aliquot and represents machine uncertainty only.
In keeping with international practice $^{14}$C results were corrected to $\delta^{13}$C$_{VPDB}$ - 25‰ using the $\delta^{13}$C values listed in Table 1 and are reported as conventional radiocarbon years BP (relative to AD 1950), expressed at the ±1σ level for overall analytical confidence (Stuiver and Polach, 1977). Calibration of the $^{14}$C ages to calendar age ranges was performed using the OxCal on-line program (v.4.2) (Bronk Ramsey, 2009) and the INTCAL13 calibration dataset (Reimer et al., 2013). Age ranges (2σ) and their % probability values are provided in Table 1.

4.2. Sampling and $^{36}$Cl measurement

TCND was applied to 18 samples from RSF run-out debris at Donalds Hill, Benbradagh and Mullaghmore. Samples were collected in accordance with recommended practices (Gosse & Phillips, 2001). At each location two sets of three samples were obtained; one set came from a site proximal to the RSF headscarp (sample numbers -04, -05, -06), the other (sample numbers -01, -02, -03) from a distal site (Figs 2, 4A and B). On the basis of field context these ‘paired’ sites were judged as comprising debris originating from the same area of headscarp. This strategy was employed to test for within- and between-site synchronicity of failure events. A sample of bedrock from the Mullaghmore headscarp (sample number -07) was also collected and analysed for $^{36}$Cl, for comparison with the samples from run-out boulders.

Horizontal and near-horizontal upper surfaces of large (>1 m high) boulders were sampled using a hammer and chisel (Figs 4C and D). Sample locations and elevations were recorded with GPS and by reference to 1:50,000 scale maps. Topographic shielding was determined with compass and clinometer, sample thickness was measured with callipers, and rock density was calculated by
displacement of sub-samples in water. The headscarp bedrock sample was taken from an exposure inclined at ~45°. Details of all samples are given in Table 2.

Crushed and sieved (<500 μm) samples were prepared for whole rock ³⁶Cl analysis by leaching twice in hot 2M HNO₃ (trace metal analysis grade) followed by thorough washing with ultrapure water to remove meteoric ³⁶Cl contamination from grain surfaces. Each sample was then split into two fractions: ~2 g for elemental analysis by Prompt-Gamma Neutron Activation Analysis (PGNAA) and ~20-24 g for analysis of ³⁶Cl with Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS). Grains containing minerals of very high magnetic susceptibility were removed using a Frantz isodynamic magnetic mineral separator. Chlorine was extracted and purified from the 125-250 μm fraction of leached samples to produce AgCl for AMS analysis according to a modified version of procedures developed by Stone et al. (1996). High purity chemicals were used to minimize contamination with natural chloride and sulphur-containing compounds.

Samples were processed in batches of eight with each batch containing two full chemistry blanks. About 1.3 mg chloride enriched in ³⁵Cl was added before dissolution in 1.3M HNO₃ (trace metal grade) and 13% ultrapure HF. The solution containing the chloride was separated by centrifugation from the fluorides that formed during dissolution. Chloride was recovered from the sample solutions by precipitation of rough AgCl from hot solution (Stone et al., 1996). This AgCl was re-dissolved in aqueous NH₃ (14 wt%, optima) to remove sulphur compounds of Ag. Due to isobaric interference of ³⁶S with ³⁶Cl in the AMS measurements, saturated Ba(NO₃)₂ solution (99.999 wt % metal basis) was used to precipitate sulphur as BaSO₄. At least 36 hours were allowed for BaSO₄ to settle from a cold solution (4 °C) in the dark before removal by filtration. Pure AgCl was re-precipitated by acidifying [Ag(NH₃)₂]⁺ Cl⁻
solution with 5M nitric acid (optima). Finally, AgCl was recovered, washed and dried. It was then pressed into high-purity AgBr (99.9% metal basis, Alfa Aesar) in 6 mm diameter Cu-target holders. AgBr has been found to have much lower sulphur content than Cu. AgCl recovery from three samples (DON-01, MULL-01 and -03) was insufficient for an AMS measurement and therefore these are not considered further.

The $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ and $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ ratios were measured with the SUERC 5 MV accelerator mass spectrometer (Wilcken et al., 2010); gas stripping (for good brightness/low ion straggling) to the 5+ charge-state suffices for effective interference separation combined with sample-efficient and rapid analysis. The Purdue Z93-0005 (nominally 1.20 x 10$^{-12}$ $^{36}\text{Cl}/\text{Cl}$) AMS primary normalization standard is long-term consistent (Wilcken et al., 2010) with the secondary standard (nominally 5.0 x 10$^{-13}$ $^{36}\text{Cl}/\text{Cl}$; Sharma et al., 1990) used for assessing overall uncertainties. Corrections for $^{36}\text{Cl}$ measured in blanks prepared together with the samples (the average of the two fully processed blanks containing ~3.10$^{-14}$ $^{36}\text{Cl}/\text{Cl}$ within a batch was used for the respective samples) ranged between 3 and 32%.

PGNAA is appropriate for the determination of the target elements affecting the production of $^{36}\text{Cl}$ in rocks (Gméling et al., 2005; Di Nicola et al., 2009). Thus, the concentrations of the target elements for $^{36}\text{Cl}$ production, Cl, K, Ca, Ti and Fe, were determined with PGNAA in the Nuclear Research Department, Institute of Isotopes, HAS, Budapest together with concentrations of neutron absorbers, such as B, Sm and Gd, the neutron moderator H and major elements (Tables S1 and S2).

The $^{36}\text{Cl}$ ages were calculated according to the production rates given by Marrero et al. (2016) through the CRONUS-Earth $^{36}\text{Cl}$ Exposure Age Calculator v.2.0 using the Lm scaling scheme. Exact values and uncertainties used are given in Table 3. No correction for post-depositional surface erosion was made.
5. Results

5.1. Calibrated $^{14}$C ages

All samples submitted for $^{14}$C analysis returned ages that, when calibrated, indicate organic soil formation in the enclosed depressions to have occurred from ~2.36 ka cal BP onwards (Table 1). For two of the three sites at Benbradagh from which bulk and macrofossil ages were determined (BEN-S1 and S3) the bulk sample ages are indistinguishable at 1σ confidence limits from the age of contained macrofossil material (BEN-S1: 0.501-0.315 ka cal BP, BEN-S1a: 0.499-0.314 ka cal BP; BEN-S3: 0.473-0.304 ka cal BP, BEN-S3a: 0.461-0.151 ka cal BP) giving confidence in the use of these age ranges to determine initiation of organic accumulation at those sites. At the third site with paired macrofossil and bulk organic soil results (MULL-S4) the bulk sample was significantly older than the associated macrofossil (MULL-S4: 0.536-0.334 ka cal BP, MULL-S4a: 2.36-2.16 ka cal BP). The explanation for this age discrepancy (of ~1.8 ka) is not known with certainty but one of two scenarios can be envisaged. The first is that the bulk age may be correct, with the macrofossil sample having penetrated from higher in the organic soil profile. The second is that the macrofossil age may be correct with the bulk sample comprising older humified organic material washed into the depression as organic soil accumulation commenced.

Irrespective of this disparity, the data indicates that organic soil initiation can be assigned to one of three periods: firstly, 2.36-2.16 ka cal BP represented by MULL-S4a; secondly, 1.52-1.18 ka cal BP, incorporating BEN-S2, MULL-S1, -S2 and -S5; and thirdly, 0.63-0.15 ka cal BP, incorporating BEN-S1, -S1a, -S3 and -S3a, and
MULL-S3 and -S4. The clustering of ages into the second and third periods may indicate that for MULL-S4/4a the second scenario above is more likely correct.

5.2. $^{36}$Cl exposure ages

The $^{36}$Cl ages range from 47.9±3.65 ka (DON-03) to 9.0±1.84 ka (BEN-06) (Table 2). Three samples (DON-02: 31.7±4.8 ka, DON-03: 47.9±3.65 ka and BEN-03: 24.4±2.79 ka) returned ages that, within 2σ uncertainties, pre-date the timing of local deglaciation (~18-17 ka BP; McCabe et al., 1998; Bazley, 2004; McCabe, 2008, McCarron, 2013) following the LGM. These samples are considered to be influenced by $^{36}$Cl inherited from pre-LGM exposure to cosmic radiation and consequently they are of no value in establishing the timing of the failure events. All other samples except BEN-06 (9.0±1.84 ka) returned ages that are statistically indistinguishable from the local deglaciation age at either 1σ or 2σ.

Following the exclusion of ages compromised by inheritance, between-sample age variation within each distal and proximal cluster of samples was assessed by a filtering procedure aimed at identifying consistent results within clusters yielding two or more apparently compatible ages. The reduced Chi-squared ($\chi^2_R$) test was applied to determine whether cluster ages could be considered consistent with sampling from a single normally distributed age population ($\chi^2_R \leq 1$), or whether outlier ages were present ($\chi^2_R > 1$; Balco, 2011; Applegate et al. 2012; Small and Fabel, 2016). This procedure identified two ages (DON-04: 12.9±2.11 ka and MULL-04: 14.2±1.39) that may be compromised by surface erosion, shielding by a former debris cover or, given their locations proximal to their respective headscarps, they may represent younger additions of rockfall debris. These ages are indicated as outliers in Table 3.

The filtering process resulted in two samples from each of the four clusters tested being regarded as belonging to the same age population and the uncertainty-
weighted mean age of each pair was taken as the best-estimate age for emplacement of the run-out debris at the respective sites (Table 3). The BEN-04 – 06 cluster gave two possible combinations of consistent ages (-04 and -05, and -05 and -06) because of the large uncertainty value associated with BEN-05. Mean ages are given for both pairings.

Samples MULL-02 and -07 are also considered in discussion below. Although MULL-02 is the only sample from its cluster to have yielded an age, it represents the distal sampling location at Mullaghmore, and MULL-07 is from bedrock exposed on the RSF headscarp.

6. Discussion

6.1. Implications and significance of the $^{14}$C age determinations

Although environmental conditions favouring organic soil accumulation in upland areas of Northern Ireland have prevailed from at least the mid-Holocene (Hall, 2011) $^{14}$C ages from basal deposits in depressions on the RSF runout debris are considerably younger than the $^{36}$Cl ages from surface boulders. The reason for this is unclear but we hypothesise that the run-out debris was sufficiently coarse that surface depression drained freely, preventing sediment accumulation and organic soil development until sub-surface drainage routes became choked by fine clastic debris and organic materials washed from upslope. This did not happen until after ~1.5 ka BP. Thus, although the $^{14}$C ages are minimum estimates for the timing of the RSF events, when considered in relation to the $^{36}$Cl ages, they do not provide close limiting constraints. This finding is in marked contrast to the observations of Pánek (2015) who asserted that in most cases the age of peat on RSF debris is not normally significantly
different from the timing of the RSF event (i.e. it is within dating uncertainties), but this may reflect the degree to which failure debris is open-work and freely/poorly drained.

6.2. Implications and significance of the $^{36}$Cl age determinations

Three of the four sets of $^{36}$Cl ages that yielded statistically consistent values have uncertainty weighted means of $17.89 \pm 1.79$ ka, $16.52 \pm 3.17$ ka and $17.67 \pm 1.52$ ka (Table 3). These means also form a statistically consistent cluster ($\chi^2_R = 0.1$) with mean age of $17.36$ ka. Taken at face value these data indicate that failure events at Donalds Hill, Benbradagh and Mullaghmore were broadly synchronous and most likely occurred as the sites were undergoing deglaciation. However, the ages for the Benbradagh proximal location present some difficulties with respect to their interpretation (see below).

At Donalds Hill, site morphology suggests a single episode of rock-slope failure occurred; based on proximal boulder ages this happened at $17.89 \pm 1.79$ ka. Distal boulder ages are compromised by inheritance and these are likely to have been part of the pre-failure scarp face in which some previously acquired $^{36}$Cl remained due to insufficient glacial erosion.

The mean ages for the two groupings of proximal samples from Benbradagh indicate that failure occurred either in the Lateglacial period (BEN-04 and -05: $13.13 \pm 2.27$ ka) or the early Holocene (BEN-05 and -06: $9.22 \pm 1.73$ ka). Alternatively, the range of ages may suggest slope failure activity spanning the Lateglacial to early Holocene.

At Mullaghmore, sample MULL-07 ($15.70 \pm 2.02$ka) from headscarp bedrock overlaps within $1\sigma$ limits with the weighted mean age from proximal debris samples MULL-05 and -06 ($17.67 \pm 1.52$ka) providing support for failure at $\sim 18-16$ ka. Sample
MULL-02 (21.0±2.54 ka) from the distal component of the run-out debris overlaps at
1σ with the mean age from the proximal run-out debris (and also overlaps at 1σ with
the ~17.9 ka age from Donalds Hill and at 2σ with the ~16.5 ka age from Benbradagh).

Until such time as more ages with higher precision become available we propose that
the rock-slope failure debris at Donalds Hill (distal and proximal), Benbradagh (distal)
and Mullaghmore (distal and proximal) most likely accumulated around ~18-16 ka,
during or immediately following local deglaciation. At Benbradagh (proximal) the debris
is likely younger, having accumulated during the Lateglacial or early Holocene periods.
These timings have implications for the range of trigger mechanisms that are normally
held responsible for rock-slope failure.

6.3. Trigger mechanisms

Several trigger mechanisms involving regional environmental changes
(geological and climatic) have been proposed to explain RSFs. These mechanisms
are not mutually exclusive; they may have operated in various combinations with
different levels of influence.

6.3.1. Debuttressing, slope steepening and paraglacial stress release

Debuttressing refers to the removal of supporting glacier ice during deglaciation,
a consequence of which may be the kinematic release of rock masses. Its role has
been discussed by several authors (e.g. Ballantyne, 2002; Agliardi et al., 2009; Ivy-
Ochs et al., 2009; Mercier et al., 2013; Cossart et al., 2014) although not all have
regarded it as a key factor in rock-slope failure. Because the mean ages at Donalds
Hill, Benbradagh (distal) and Mullaghmore overlap with the age of local deglaciation
we consider that slope failure at all sites along the basalt scarp was probably in direct
response to slope debuttressing. A similar conclusion was reached by Ballantyne et al.
(2014a) with respect to 31 dated RSFs in the Highlands of Scotland and northwest Ireland.

In addition, glacial erosion may promote the destabilization of slopes by undercutting and steepening (McColl and Davies, 2013; Cossart et al., 2014) and this had been earlier proposed as an explanation for rock-slope failure along the margin of the ALG where undercutting had been favoured by outcrops of less competent Cretaceous, Jurassic and Triassic strata (Prior et al., 1968; Davies and Stephens, 1978; Lewis, 1985; Cooper, 2004b; Knight, 2008). Glacial undercutting and steepening of the basalt probably occurred and the temporal correspondence between the $^{36}$Cl ages and deglaciation indicates that failure may have been a direct consequence of these effects.

Paraglacial stress release refers to the development of tensile stresses in rock slopes as a result of the unloading of and/or erosion by glacier ice (Ballantyne, 2002; McColl et al., 2010; McColl, 2012; Leith et al., 2014a, b) and it is widely accepted that it plays a major role in weakening slopes and preparing them for failure. In general, stress release is considered to facilitate internal fracture propagation and development of slope-parallel sheeting joints which may cause immediate or delayed failure depending on local rates of joint network development (Eberhardt et al., 2004; Cossart et al., 2008; Gugliemi and Cappa, 2010). In the ALG basalt the vertical joint network probably formed as the lava cooled but was likely to have undergone further extensional development during deglaciation. The rate of fracture propagation in the basalt of the ALG cannot be known but given its undoubted time-dependent nature and strength-reduction impact it cannot be entirely rejected as an intrinsic contributor to rock-slope failure. Progressive joint development was regarded by Ballantyne et al.
(1998) as of critical importance in causing failure on a glaciated basalt scarp on the Isle of Skye, Scotland, ~10 ka after deglaciation.

Taken together or individually, debuttressing, slope steepening and paraglacial stress release are mechanisms widely regarded as capable of triggering rock-slope failure. The temporal pattern of the basalt RSFs strongly suggests that one or more of these mechanisms was involved.

6.3.2. Palaeoseismicity

Presently, Ireland lies along a passive continental margin and is regarded as an aseismic region (Musson, 2007). Nevertheless, low magnitude earth tremors are quite common around the head of Lough Swilly, Donegal, ~60 km west-southwest of the basalt escarpment. This seismicity is considered by Blake (2006) to be due to the extension into Donegal of the large and complex fault systems that cross the western Highlands of Scotland.

Enhanced seismotectonic activity may have been associated with deglaciation from LGM limits. In the Sperrin mountains, ~40 km south of the basalt RSFs, Knight (1999) has described metre-scale normal faulting in glacigenic sediments that he attributed to reactivation of pre-existing Caledonian lineaments by ice unloading following the LGM, and Ballantyne et al. (2014a) argued that maximum rock-slope failure activity in the Highlands of Scotland and northwest Ireland coincides generally with maximum rates of glacio-isostatic crustal uplift in the former area. From Arisaig, western Scotland, 230 km north of the basalt escarpment, maximum rates of crustal uplift coincided with the Lateglacial period ~15.7-12.7 ka BP (Firth and Stewart, 2000), suggesting the period was one of heightened seismicity. The weighted mean age of 13.13±2.27 from BEN-04 and -05 falls within this period as do ages of 12.9±2.11 ka
(DON-04) and 14.2±1.39 ka (MULL-04) suggesting that palaeoseismicity may have triggered a later phase of failure at all sites. However, evidence for high-magnitude seismic events in the Late Glacial period has proved elusive; it is advocated here on the basis of a 'coincidence of timing' and presently cannot be dismissed.

6.3.3. A climate-related mechanism

A range of proxy data indicates that marked fluctuations in temperature and precipitation have occurred since deglaciation and these have been suggested as possible trigger mechanisms for paraglacial rock-slope failure (McColl, 2012). Climatic transitions have been regarded as important with respect to the timing of RSFs, both in the past and at the present day (Grove, 1972; Alexandrowicz, 1997; Borgatti and Soldati, 2010; Ravanel and Deline, 2010; Huggel et al., 2012).

The δ¹⁸O record of the NGRIP ice core indicates that the interval between the start of deglaciation, around 19-18 ka BP, and the opening of the Late Glacial Interstadial, at 14.7 ka BP, in the circum-North Atlantic, was probably one of sustained cold, continental climate (Svensson et al., 2006) in which permafrost aggraded. Climate proxies from western Europe indicate that a cold, arid and windy environment prevailed at that time. In Northern Ireland mean July temperatures were probably no higher than 10 °C, mean January temperatures were within the range -25 to -20 °C and mean annual temperatures were ~-8 °C (Atkinson et al., 1987; Huijzer and Vandenberghe, 1998). Rockwalls probably remained frozen during and following deglaciation. From Lough Nadourcan, Donegal, ~70 km west-northwest from the basalt RSFs, organic sedimentation and chironomid-inferred mean July air temperatures indicate warming was underway by ~15.3 cal. ka BP (Watson et al., 2010). The weighted mean age of 13.13±2.27 ka (BEN-04 and -05), and ages of
12.9±2.11 ka (DON-04) and 14.2±1.39 (MULL-04) are coincident with this warming. In
the NGRIP ice core this transition corresponds to a temperature rise of ~10 °C and this
occurred within a few years to several decades (Steffensen et al., 2008). At Lough
Nadourcan the warming was ~6-7 °C (Watson et al., 2010). Such a marked
temperature shift would likely have resulted in the rapid degradation of permafrost
raising the potential for RSF through the thaw of ice-bonded rockwall joints, and is
supported by contemporary examples from Alpine regions (Allen et al., 2009; Hipp et
al., 2014), and modelling studies (Krautblatter et al., 2013; Lebrouc et al., 2013).
Therefore, the possibility that permafrost degradation was a contributory factor in later
rock-slope failure along the basalt escarpment is one deserving of further investigation.

6.4. Wider significance
The weighted mean ages of the three RSFs lend support to earlier results from other
areas of Ireland and Britain that indicate the deglacial and Lateglacial periods were
times of enhanced rock-slope failure. Nine RSFs in Donegal, 90-150 km west of the
basalt escarpment, returned 10Be exposure ages of 17.71±0.89 ka to 11.69±0.51 ka
(Ballantyne et al., 2013). From the Isle of Jura, 150 km northeast of the escarpment,
10Be ages imply that five RSFs occurred between 15.37±0.92 ka and 12.78±0.57 ka
(Ballantyne et al., 2014b). Several other RSFs in the Highlands of Scotland have
yielded similar ages (Ballantyne et al., 2014a). Together these ages demonstrate that
peak failure occurred within ~1.6-1.7 ka of local deglaciation, and the basalt ages from
Northern Ireland fit this pattern.

The only other basalt RSF subjected to TCND in Britain (The Storr, Isle of Skye)
gave a weighted mean age of 6.08±0.49 ka (Ballantyne and Stone, 2013). This mid-
Holocene age for failure is intriguing because the site is only 85 km north-northwest of
Arisaig where maximum rates of glacio-isostatic crustal uplift, and by inference intensified seismicity, have been recorded for the Lateglacial period (Firth and Stewart, 2000). If uplift-induced seismic activity was the principal cause of the many RSFs in Scotland and Ireland (Ballantyne et al., 2014a) then rock-slope failure at The Storr ~10 ka after deglaciation is a major anomaly. This failure could perhaps be explained by a local collapse of the escarpment, subsequent to the main failure event. Some support for crustal uplift and palaeoseismic activity as triggering mechanisms for RSFs on basalt escarpments in Iceland shortly after deglaciation is provided by Mercier et al. (2013) and Cossart et al. (2014). Given the extent of basalt RSFs in both Northern Ireland and the Inner Hebrides of Scotland (isles of Skye and Mull) the existing TCND dataset requires augmenting before firm conclusions can be drawn concerning failure events and the timing of regional environmental changes.

7. Conclusions

1. Fifteen rock samples from surface boulders among rock-slope failure run-out debris at three locations along the western margin of the Antrim Lava Group yielded TCND ages within the range 47.9±3.65 to 9.0±1.84 ka. A sample of bedrock from a headscarp outcrop returned an age of 15.7±2.02 ka. These ages are the first direct age determinations to be obtained from RSF run-out debris and headscarp outcrop in Northern Ireland.

2. Three of the ages pre-date the timing of local deglaciation following the LGM and are considered to be comprised by 36Cl inherited from pre-LGM exposure to cosmic radiation. A further two ages may have been influenced by surface erosion or shielding by a former debris cover, or may represent debris associated with younger smaller-scale rockfall events.
3. Of the remaining ages, three groupings, each of two ages, are internally statistically consistent. Weighted means of these groups of 17.89±1.79 ka, 16.52±3.17 ka and 17.67±1.52 ka indicate that rock-slope failure at each site occurred during or immediately following local deglaciation (~18-17 ka).

4. Support for the 17.67±1.52 ka timing of the rock-slope failure event at Mullaghmore is provided by the age from the headscarp bedrock of 15.70±2.02 ka.

5. The temporal pattern of rock-slope failure along the basalt escarpment strongly suggests that failure was primarily a response to some combination of glacial debuttressing, slope steepening and paraglacial stress release. In addition, palaeoseismicity and permafrost degradation may have been implicated in later, smaller-scale failures.

6. The ages of the three RSFs are similar to ages established for other RSFs in Scotland and northwest Ireland, and indicate that major failures probably occurred within ~2-3 ka following deglaciation. The results support the contention of earlier studies that the deglacial and Lateglacial periods in Ireland and Britain were characterised by enhanced rock-slope failure.

The TCND ages are the first to be obtained from basalt RSFs in Northern Ireland and their interpretation represents a significant advance in understanding the post-LGM evolution of landforms along the western margin of the ALG. However, we recognise the need for more ages from a greater range of locations in order to verify or refute the temporal relationships outlined above, and for comparison with results of other RSF dating studies drawn from areas inundated by the last British-Irish Ice Sheet.
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**Figure captions**

**Figure 1.** A: Onshore extent of the Antrim Lava Group (shaded) in Northern Ireland and outline of study area. B: Areas of large-scale rock-slope failure along the western margin of the Antrim Lava Group.

**Figure 2.** Geomorphological maps of the RSFs on Donalds Hill (A), Benbradagh (B) and Mullaghmore (C), showing locations of samples for TCND. Ages are given in Table 3.

**Figure 3.** A: The RSF on Donalds Hill showing arcuate headscarp and hummocky surface of failed materials. B: The northern and central sectors of the Benbradagh RSF. C: The central sector of the Mullaghmore RSF showing headscarp cavity and ridges and mounds of failed materials.

**Figure 4.** A: Boulder-dominated run-out debris at the Mullaghmore TCND proximal site. B: Cluster of boulders at the Benbradagh TCND distal site. C: Boulder DON-05. D: Boulder BEN-05. Scale bar is 30 cm long.

**Tables**

**Table 1.** Radiocarbon dates from peat-filled depressions on RSF debris at Benbradagh and Mullaghmore.

**Table 2.** Details of samples for cosmogenic isotope ($^{36}$Cl) surface exposure dating.

**Table 3.** $^{36}$Cl concentrations, production rates of $^{36}$Cl from Ca, K, Cl, Ti and Fe, and uncorrected exposure ages. Uncertainties on exposure ages are internal uncertainties at one sigma. Samples DON-01, MULL-01 and -03 (Table 2) yielded insufficient AgCl for AMS measurement.

**Tables S1 and S2.** As given on p.27.