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ABSTRACT

Few have studied cholera revolts comparatively, and certainly not over the vast terrain from Asiatic Russia to Quebec or across time from the first European cholera wave of the 1830s to the twentieth century. Instead, scholars have concentrated on the first cholera wave to penetrate Western Europe in the 1830s and have tended to explain the protest and violence within the political contexts of individual nations, ignoring that, across vast differences in political landscapes from Czarist Russia to New York City, largely the same class configurations emerged of the poor and marginalized attacking governing elites and the medical profession. In addition, despite little evidence of any communication among rioters across national and linguistic divides or even an ocean, the same fears and conspiracy theories arose of elites employing the cholera poison to cull populations of the poor. Moreover, the history of cholera’s social toxins runs against present generalizations on why epidemics spawn blame and violence against others. Especially in Russia and Italy, cholera riots continued and became geographically even more widespread, vicious, and destructive long after the disease had lost its novelty and mystery. The article then poses the question: given the stark alignments of class struggle with riots of 10,000 or more, murdering state officials and doctors, destroying hospitals, town halls, and in the case of Donetsk, an entire city, why have historians on the left not noticed them? Finally, the article draws parallels between the nineteenth- and early-twentieth-century cholera experience in Europe and that of Ebola in Africa in 2014,
arguing that historical understanding of epidemics can pose solutions to problems of certain epidemics sparking social violence today.
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In cities across Eastern Europe and Russia, the scale and violence of cholera riots in 1831/2 may have been greater than those in most places in the West.¹ Yet across strikingly different cultures, economies, and regimes—East, West, and South in Europe and to the east coast of the U.S.—the content and character of the conspiracies, divisions by social class, and the targets of rioters’ wrath were uncannily similar. Without any evident communication among rioters from New York City to Asiatic Russia or evidence that protesters were aware of similar riots taking place often simultaneously across these long distances, the cholera conspiracies repeated themselves in stories of elites masterminding a cull of the poor to lessen population pressures, with doctors, pharmacists, nurses, and government officials as the agents of this planned class mass murder.² To be sure, myths of poisoning streams, fountains, and other water supplies reach back to antiquity (as with Thucydides’ claims of the Peloponnesians poisoning cisterns in Piraeus in 430 BCE) and are seen during the Middle Ages and Early Modern Period (most infamously with accusations against Jews and lepers in 1319-21 and more catastrophically against Jews in 1348-50). Yet unlike the slaughter of Jews and lepers, the targets of the nineteenth- and twentieth-century cholera riots were rarely Jews or other marginal groups (and never lepers).³ Rather than against any ‘other’, popular rage turned in the opposite direction, against the dominant classes—local authorities and especially the medical profession. Rarely were the targeted groups minorities or outsiders as with possibly the Jesuits in Spain during its first cholera wave in 1834, some Jews at St Petersburg and Königsberg in 1831 and Donetsk in 1892, English doctors in Egypt in 1883, Armenian and Persian wine and spirit dealers at Astrakhan in 1892, foreign missionaries at Tungkado, China, in 1892, and gypsies at Pontedra, Tuscany in 1910-11. Yet even in these cases, Jews, foreigners, and gypsies were
not the prime targets. Into the twentieth century, doctors, health workers, cholera hospitals, and town halls were the butts of protest, fuelled by accusations of diabolical mass slaughter.⁴

A comparative history of cholera riots

Despite these similarities, historians have sought to explain the rise of cholera violence by turning non-comparatively to specific political contexts or political crises that characterized particular localities. For Czarist Russia, the underlying political tensions and brutal repression exacted by the Cossacks or the Czar’s Russian troops or harsh and rigid quarantines were supposedly the causes.⁵ Yet, except in Italy and particularly during later cholera revolts as at Ardore (Calabria) in 1867 or Verbicaro in 1911,⁶ Russia and several places in Eastern Europe were unusual in their heavy-handed repression with protesters shot, cut down by sabre-rattling cavalries, and subjected to knouting and mass execution. In fourteen months alone (November 1831 to January 1833), the British Isles produced at least seventy-two cholera riots, many with crowds in the thousands that threatened the lives of physicians, attacked hospitals, broke cholera litters and hearses, frenetically tearing them ‘to atoms’.⁷ Yet in only four riots did municipalities feel the need to summon the military—once in Scotland (Aberdeen on 31 December 1831), once in England (Manchester, 2 September 1832), and twice in Ireland (at Clarmorris, 26 August 1832; and Londonderry, 2 September, 1832). Only once did a magistrate threaten to read the riot act to cholera protesters (again, at Manchester, 2 September 1832), and in no instances did troops or police kill any demonstrators or were capital punishments imposed. Moreover, in the British Isles as in many regions of Europe during the first European-wide wave of cholera (1830 to 1837), quarantine was not
the issue that provoked protest and violence by turning back suspected ships or isolating cholera victims, the suspected, or their contacts in segregation camps. Instead, in the British Isles and elsewhere during the 1830s, the removal of patients to hospitals for treatment, not quarantine centres, ignited rioting by patients’ families and friends, who believed their loved ones had been diabolically carted to their death chambers.

For France and especially Paris historians have also drawn on the immediate political context to explain the rise of cholera riots. Here, however, it was not the tight grip of a repressive, autocratic regime as in Russia but the opposite. Catherine Kudlick argues that two years earlier (1830) the rise of the progressive July Monarchy, which tossed out King Charles X and the Bourbons, led to new bourgeois anxieties and ‘fused’ cholera with the revolution, stirring the Parisian riots. Although links between the Parisian cholera riots and national politics may have been more evident than in Britain with its reform movements of 1832, the politics behind Paris’s riots were hardly progressive. Instead, religious fanatics and the right fanned them, preaching that the new disease was God’s punishment against the reformist government of Louis Philippe. Even on this score, the importance of political ideology is questionable. As Karin Salomé has recently concluded, the Parisian riots and accusations of poisoning in 1832 lacked ‘a clear and intelligible political dimension’. At Paris, economic forces played a more prominent role. The capital’s sanitary measures threatened the livelihoods of certain professions such as the proprietors of rubbish collection and their force of 1,800 rag-and-bone men (chiffoniers) and vendors of water, fruit, and vegetables. Yet, despite these factors, Parisian rioters produced much the same conspiracy theories as elsewhere. Similar to other regions of continental Europe and the British Isles, Parisians
targeted the medical profession, accusing them of instigating the poisoning. Along with setting wagons of garbage ablaze, cholera crowds drowned a medical assistant in the Seine and attacked verbally and physically physicians, whom they branded as the poisoners. An eyewitness account in 1832 by a correspondent of a U.S. medical journal explained the riots by pointing to ‘the Paris mob’ as imagining physicians in all the hospitals ‘engaged in the combination to poison the people, because few patients came out alive’.13

The British Isles also possessed its own peculiar wrinkles with cholera fear and violence. The body snatching scandals of the late 1820s to feed demands for cadavers for teaching surgery at new anatomical schools appears behind the British cholera riots. Most famous was Edinburgh’s scandal of 1828, after Burke and Hare had murdered sixteen people to supply Dr Robert Knox with bodies for his anatomy lectures. Their much recounted trial, however, was not the only such case to surface in the years immediately preceding cholera’s first spread into Britain and Ireland. Two years before, three casks containing eleven salted bodies mysteriously appeared at Liverpool for anatomical dissection and at Dublin during trials of Burke and Hare, corpses ripe for export to anatomy schools in England were found.14 Already in January that year the discovery of a snatched body for anatomical lessons sparked rioting in Dublin, resulting in the murder of a porter of Dublin’s College of Surgeons; and in 1827 the school of the Royal College in Dublin had been made a warehouse ‘for their ghastly goods…for lecturers in other Dublin institutions or to be shipped to anatomists in London or Edinburgh’.15

Connections with cholera disturbances in 1832 were not entirely imaginary. An article in the Medical Gazette, copied by London’s papers, exposed the sale of cholera bodies for medical training, claiming it was not an episodic affair with a body
snatched here or there; rather in London it was occurring on an industrial scale: the tides of the Thames served as the conveyor belt in the movement of cadavers from cholera cemeteries down river to central London. Moreover, with the spread of cholera riots a new vocabulary arose. Chants and slurs recorded in newspapers during cholera riots of 1831-2 at Sunderland, Aberdeen, Liverpool, Glasgow, Bristol, the East End of London, Camden Town, and Dublin reveal a new working-class slang, reflecting fears and obsessions before cholera had hit the British Isles: ‘to burke’, ‘burking’, and ‘Burker’. Most emblematic of these fears was the first major cholera riot in the British Isles and one of its most destructive. On 26 December 1831 a crowd at Aberdeen chanted ‘Burn the house; down with the burking shop’ and burnt to the ground the city’s new anatomical college. It was the only time in Scotland during a cholera riot that the military had to be summoned.

However, in the seventy-one cholera riots to follow in the British Isles, no other anatomical schools were targeted, even in the chants of the protesters. Moreover, those using the new vocabulary or whose actions showed great anxieties of body snatching as with a cholera riot in Paisley (Scotland) at the end of March, were not predominant among cholera riots in the British Isles: only fourteen of seventy-two riots show any signs that the horrors of body snatching were the underlining cause. The others attacking physicians, cholera hospitals, and their equipment fit the fears seen across Europe and the Atlantic: physicians in cahoots with the state had invented the new disease to thin populations of the poor. In mid-February, rioters in Glasgow’s ‘poorest’ streets—Highland Close, Goose Dubbs, and Briggate (neighbourhoods just north of the Clyde, opposite Gorbals bridge)—believed the health board’s strategy was similar to cattle culls to suppress a disease by weeding out the weak. Other riots turned on fears of quick and intentional live
burials. For instance, in a cholera riot at Greenock (on the Clyde estuary) in early March, a fourteen-year-old boy died shortly after being taken to the Cholera Hospital. ‘Amongst the ignorant’, it circulated that the boy had been killed by his hospital treatment. After the post-mortem, ‘an immense crowd’ of women and boys pursued his doctor, hooting and pelting him, and insisting that the boy be given a proper Christian burial. The police had to intervene. Concerns over burial also dominated the second largest cholera-riot crowd estimated in 1831-2 (at least 2,000 persons): the Commercial Road riot in London’s East End. Here, the concern voiced, according to the papers, was of a cholera victim having been buried alive; nothing was said about anatomy schools or body snatching. Similar riots among the poor and marginal populations of Irish immigrants arose from similar suspicions over quick burials with two riots at Birmingham. Another cholera riot among the poor on Edinburgh’s outskirts gave no hints of the crowds’ motives other than their distrust and pure hatred of medical authorities. The removal of a cholera patient sparked the crowd’s fury and chant: ‘Kill the doctors, nae Board o’ Health!’ A cholera doctor, assigned to various places in Ireland since cholera began spreading there in April, described riots he witnessed at Ballyshannon, Ballina, Claremorris, and Sligo. By his assessment, their prime reason ‘was the old game played before my eyes for the fifteenth time since the arrival of pestilence at Sunderland [in November 1831]. The doctors, it was stated, were to have 10 guineas a day: £5 of every one they killed; and to poison without mercy.’ In these, nothing was hinted about profiting from supplying cadavers to anatomy colleges.

Italian cholera riots also possessed distinctive traits, especially with the peninsula’s first cholera wave in 1836-7, when such riots were confined almost entirely to Sicily. In contrast to the British Isles, where no evidence links cholera
protest with the larger political struggle to pass the reform acts, at Siracusa, momentarily, they became intertwined with national politics, the revolutionary fervour of *la Giovine Italia*, then sweeping the peninsula to topple the Bourbon monarchy. The liberal lawyer Mario Adorno led the charge against the Bourbon state, propagandizing that cholera’s spread was ‘a devilish plot bent on poisoning the people’. Elsewhere in Sicily along with a few cholera disturbances in the mainland South, the class configuration of cholera revolts mimicked those elsewhere in Europe: the poor and marginal groups (in Sicily, mostly peasants) attacked institutions of the state and the elites (in Sicily, large landowners and the nobility), accusing them of poisoning and disseminating the disease to kill off the poor. The difference here was that hospitals and physicians were rarely targeted; this was a pattern that would, however, quickly change with subsequent cholera waves in Italy.

Yet, despite Adorno’s short-lived attempt to oust the Bourbon regime by blaming them for inventing cholera, historians of Italy since the 1830s have doubted whether these riots possessed any progressive ideology. Michele Amari (1806-1889) argued that the two revolts--one aroused by cholera, the other by a progressive political ideology--travelled along different tracks that hardly intersected. He argued instead that ‘cholera blocked every revolutionary tendency’; the cholera riots ‘possessed no political character’. Later, based on prodigious archival research, Alfonso Sansoni’s verdict was more nuanced, especially regarding cholera riots in Catania and Siracusa, but his conclusion was much the same: ‘the horrible disease, cholera’, had ‘interrupted and broken the movement of *la Giovine Italia* and the message of Mazzini’. More recently, Franco della Peirutta maintained that cholera’s chaos weakened the Bourbon state in Sicily, providing opportunities for the anti-Bourbon forces. Still, the groups of cholera rebels had difficulty organizing their
movements and achieved little success.\textsuperscript{29} In summary, Europe presents a kaleidoscope of contrasting political events and regimes on the eve of cholera’s first European tour of the 1830s, but across them, cholera and its riots provoked much the same fantasies and class alignments. Of course, cholera rioting did not erupt everywhere, 1830 to 1837, even where cholera mortalities attained their highest rates as at Bilston in the West Midlands.\textsuperscript{30} Nonetheless, Fernand Braudel’s lesson for comparative history must be taken to heart: local events cannot explain pan-regional phenomena.\textsuperscript{31}

\textbf{Cholera protest over time}

Historians have paid much less attention to European cholera riots and their psychological and ideological underpinnings with successive epidemics into the twentieth century. None have considered the temporal transitions and continuities beyond two cholera waves or have compared them across national settings.\textsuperscript{32} First, the second cholera epidemic to spread through Europe coincided with an even more tumultuous revolutionary period—\textsuperscript{33} that of 1848--9—\textsuperscript{33} but now the fusing of cholera with riotous fervour was less evident than in the 1830s. This second cholera wave, moreover, killed more than Europe’s first one as well as any after it.\textsuperscript{33} I have spotted only four cholera riots across the Britain Isles: one at County Kerry at the Western tip of Ireland in June 1849; a second at the fishing village of Findhorn in northern Scotland, west of Elgin, in July; a third, at another northern Scottish fishing village, Dunbeath, twenty miles south of Wick; and a fourth at Totnes in South Devon.\textsuperscript{34} Not only were these far removed from major cities and comprised of handfuls of neighbours that newspapers did not bother counting, but in addition, they no longer reflect the same class cleavages and hatred of the 1830s. The threats of County
Kerry concerned whether a Protestant cholera victim had lapsed to Catholicism in his dying hours. His Protestant neighbours assembled and violently opposed the intervention of a Catholic priest who came to perform the patient's last rites. The two Scottish ones turned on fears of contagion by illegally preventing outsiders entering their communities. Only the riot at Totnes resulted in a crowd attacking a hospital but the reasons now differed from those in 1832. Instead of attempting to ‘liberate’ cholera patients from the clutches of doctors and the state, the rioters sought to block any cholera victim coming into their midst. As with the three others, fears of contagion motivated the protesters.

In addition, several small incidents spurred by the spread of cholera lingered on in the United States with one significant riot erupting across the border in Quebec City: a crowd of 2,000 demolished the cholera hospital; the police were incapable of controlling the crowd, and the military had to be summoned. Yet, even here, with the largest estimated crowd of any cholera riot in North American history, earlier mythologies of physicians or state agents poisoning the poor fail to appear. Instead, as with those in the British Isles during its second cholera wave, the spark of Quebec’s riot rested on fear of contagion and the desire to remove any carriers from their city. For France, I have not found any cholera disturbances for these revolutionary years; nor has Kutlick or others working in French archives. Instead, the mid-century one appears as a watershed in France. I know of only three further cholera riots and these were in provincial towns or villages in mountainous regions of southern France: Le Var, Arles, and Auriol. From this trajectory, Kutlick has concluded that ‘cholera’s potency’ to provoke violence had derived from ‘its novelty in 1832’. Recent historiography on epidemics in general — the assumption that epidemics most readily spur blame and violence when diseases are new and
mysterious without cures at hand\textsuperscript{38}-- supports Kutlick's conclusion. By the third European cholera wave of the mid-1860s, John Snow's theories of cholera's water transition had gained acceptance.\textsuperscript{39}

**Later cholera riots in Russia and Eastern Europe**

The trajectories of cholera violence in the British Isles, France, and to some extent in North America underlie the common view that the social toxins of epidemics declined or disappeared altogether after their first appearance or with increased medical understanding of them.\textsuperscript{40} Yet, should we generalize from these countries' experiences? In Russia, places in Eastern Europe, and Italy, trajectories of cholera violence instead evolved in the opposite direction: rioting became more violent and extensive with successive waves of cholera to the end of the nineteenth century or even to Europe's last major epidemic in 1910-11. To date, no one has compared the number, range, or ferocity of these revolts, or how their ideologies, conspiracies, and myths may have changed. To take the cholera year of 1892 alone for Russia: in July at Astrakhan, an administrative centre on the Volga, 28 kilometres northwest of the Caspian Sea, rumours spread that the sick were forced into hospitals and buried alive. A crowd of 10,000 and by some estimates 30,000 in a city of around 80,000--the highest estimated for any cholera riot, those of the 1830s included -- set the city's hospitals ablaze, and then attacked the Governor's house. A military detachment arrived, arrested the leaders, and dispersed the crowd, killing three.\textsuperscript{41} Less than a month later, at Saratov, a major port on the Volga, rumours again spread of hospitals as death chambers and physicians as murderers: 'ignorant peasants, small tradesmen, and mechanics' attacked medical assistants, killed two and mutilated their bodies, smashed windows, burst into the dwellings of policemen and doctors,
destroyed the residence of the Chief of Police, ransacked shops, forced their way into the police station, burnt official books and documents, destroyed cholera carts, ‘ruthlessly massacred all members of the hospital staff’, looted the new Central Hospital, and set its sheds on fire. Several days later, ‘a serious riot of the same character’, erupted at Pokrovsk, near Saratov, attacking the hospital, wrecking the resident physician’s lodgings, and killing a medical assistant. One hundred and sixty were arrested and tried. Riots spread to other towns in the province at Volsk, and Khvalinsk, where the ‘mob’ took over the town for three days. Rioting returned to Astrakhan, targeting doctors, sacking the hospital again and threatening the police. In the same week, ‘serious disturbances’ flared in the province of Astrakhan, in the small town of Treduaia (Srednaia-Akhtouba) and in surrounding villages of Tolenoi, Zaimische, Sye-Darya: physicians, pharmacists, and government buildings were targeted.

During the same month -- but because of Czarist censorship, seeping to the foreign press almost a month later -- riots’ erupted at Tashkent on the Uzbekistan-Kazakhstan border. Immigrant Asian Sarts believed cholera was the work of Russian doctors to poison their tribesmen. Armed with revolvers and daggers, 5,000 of them invaded the Russian quarter of the city, plundered shops, and stoned ‘all citizens in their way’. They destroyed the residence of the Deputy Governor, Count Poutinstinoff, chased him through the streets, trampled, stoned, and beat him to death, mutilating his features ‘beyond recognition’. Cossacks and the army quelled the revolt, killing sixty Sarts by one account, seventy, by another, with ‘hundreds’ wounded. Despite the Czar proclaiming a state of siege at Nijni-Novgorod and sending military vessels down the Volga, cholera riots spread through central Russia, at Seradanach, Toubanound, Astrakham, Tolenoi, Zamischa, Balakovo,
Kazan (capital of Tartastan), and other places. At the end of the month, a U.S. paper concluded that these Russian acts of violence ‘scarcely find a parallel in modern history since the plague riots of the Middle Ages or only in Russian annals of the seventeenth-century uprisings of Stenko Razin and Pugacheff’. Surely, this was an overstatement. Nonetheless, it is striking that the earlier cholera riots at St Petersburg and other places in Russian and Eastern Europe in 1831 were not mentioned.

In August, cholera rioting spread further, as at Serdodsck [Serdobsk], hundreds of miles from the Volga to the east, where rioters burnt 300 houses. Still more seriously, a riot flared on 1 August at the Hughes’ steel works and collieries at Hughesofka, now Donetsk in the Ukraine. It began with the usual fears of intentional murder by elites and cholera hospitals as pre-planned death chambers: ‘a mob’ stoned the police removing a woman to the hospital. The crowd next sacked the hospital and that evening tried to set the town on fire. According to an Odessa correspondent, 10,000 assembled the following morning, sacked the hospital and public pharmacy, killed several Jews, invaded the factories, and burnt down the town, ‘every house reduced to ashes, including even the church’. It took three days to restore order and only with the intervention of two regiments and a battery of artillery. By Le Temps’s count, on the first day of fighting alone, the rioters killed or gravely injured twenty-five Cossacks, while for the rioters, ‘two hundred were put out of combat’. Yet by the second day ‘the Cossacks were unable to defend themselves against the thousands of workers and were mostly killed’. Over the next months to 1895, cholera riots spread into Syria, Asia Minor, the Congress of Poland, Persian, villages in Hungary, then to Hamburg, Munich, Livorno, and as far west as Belgium.
Europe’s last major cholera wave in Italy

For Italy, the second deadly cholera wave of the late 1840s into the 1850s proved only a momentary pause in cholera’s social violence. From its next cholera epidemic in 1867 to its last major one, of 1910-11, the old mythologies of physicians, hospitals, and the state engaged in purposeful poisoning re-ignited grisly collective violence from workers, agricultural labourers, and impoverished women and children. We will focus on this last cholera wave. Despite prime-minister Giovanni Giolitti’s efforts to censor all news of cholera and especially the internationally embarrassing mass assaults against hospitals, health workers, and public officials, these two years chart the widest geographic spread of collective cholera violence in Italian history. At least twenty-six major riots can be counted, several with crowds estimated at 3,000 in small towns such as Taranto, Massafra, and Segni. At Ostuni, in the Province of Brindisi, Puglia, one paper estimated the crowd at 8,000, but another, more realistically, put it at 3,000 for this cathedral town of 18,500 in 1910. These riots had two major epicentres, the first in Puglia in the fishing and fig-growing regions of Bari; the second in Calabria, north of Cosenza. The local context in Puglia was an agricultural recession made worse by crippling sanitary sanctions that declared figs and shellfish as cholera’s sources. Those at the forefront of the cholera violence, however, were impoverished women (‘donnicciuole’) and the targets of their discontent were the same as they had been across much of Europe since the 1830s: physicians, local authorities, hospitals, and town halls. At Massafra, in the province of Lecce, impoverished men and women, ‘armed with spades, sticks and other farm implements’, congregated in front of City Hall, marched to the hospital, where they ‘liberated’ cholera patients, ‘parading them triumphantly on their shoulders’ back to
their homes. They then destroyed the hospital: ‘The general belief of the people is that the doctors inoculate cholera-stricken persons with poison.’ In addition, traditional religious beliefs often entered the toxic brew of violent emotions. At the Cathedral town of Bisceglie, forty kilometres north of Bari, concerns about shell-fishing and economic insecurity as seen with the cholera riots at Taranto and the fig-growing towns Molfetta, Mola di Bari, and Barletta also conditioned mistrust and violence at Bisceglie. Agricultural workers and fishermen mobilized to oppose supposed anti-cholera preventive measures that crippled the economies of small towns and villages through Puglia. Yet at Bisceglie the Cathedral was the principal battlefield, where first women and children stormed its doors to prevent the Red Cross fumigating the sacred statues: ‘many hundreds of women and children…poured into the Cathedral as though a swollen river’ and ran to the high altar. Seeing the statues of their saints blackened, ‘they turned demonic’ and succeeded in ousting the Red Cross. Aided by fishermen and fig-growers armed with revolvers, ‘i rivoltesi’ then took possession of the city.

North of Cosenza at Verbicaro, an isolated mountain town of 6,000, eighteen kilometres from the nearest railway station (Paola), without a road to it passable by automobile or horse-drawn coach, the destructiveness of cholera rioting reached a crescendo rarely seen with earlier cholera waves in Italy, those of 1836-7 included. Verbicaro’s cholera social violence was such that it momentarily broke the Giolitti censorship, producing numerous and long soul-searching editorials in Italy’s major newspapers for more than a month after the tragic events. Yet, historians have hardly noticed it. Its origins exemplify as well as any cholera riot the old cholera myths and fears. A crowd of rebels (‘i rivoltosi’), numbering 1,200 attacked the town hall, while the mayor was holding a meeting. At first, women ‘animated by the desire
to do violence against the mayor’, comprised the crowd, but soon men and boys armed with axes, scythes, and knives arrived, chanting ‘Death to the Mayor!’ An employee, late for the meeting, appeared at the back and soon was discovered as the clerk involved with the town’s recent census. A woman struck his head with a knotty stick. Another shot him with a revolver, while a third slashed his head off with a pruning knife. The papers maintained that this horrific scene had not occurred at random. The employee’s job with the census had been his undoing. Harking back to a basic plot of cholera conspiracies across time and space, the peasants believed it to be the instrument to determine who should be eliminated because of supposed overpopulation: ‘Certain ones’ had been selected in advance ‘for the sacrifice’. With spades, knives, sticks, and agricultural implements, ‘the rebels’ paraded through the town, shouting ‘Long live the king!’ ‘Death to the Mayor!’ They knocked down telegraph poles to prevent authorities summoning troops, besieged the town hall, wrecked the furniture, burned its archives and the building, along with the praetorial court, the telegraph office and the mayor’s house, and released prisoners from the local gaol. The mayor, town clerk, and judge fled. A group of eleven, including three women, caught the clerk, ‘hacked [him] to pieces’. The judge ‘died of fright’ as he reached the train station. The mayor escaped but not for long: two days later, he returned to resume his duties but was murdered, replicating his grandfather’s fate fifty-five years before, when he had been Verbicaro’s mayor during a cholera riot. With the 1911 revolt, troops did not arrive until the following day and arrested eleven ringleaders. Corpses were left unburied; half the town’s population fled to the mountains, believing the government planned to poison them. A month later, they still resided in mountain gorges, returning nightly in armed bands to scavenge food. Famous journalists such as Luigi Barzini (Sr.) reflected on Verbicaro’s disaster and
explained it as the ‘southern problem’ or even more specifically as a ‘Calabrese problem’ that rested on peasant conceptions of the state, a feudal relationship between government and the people [popolo], in which the state was seen as a ‘foreign power’, an occupying army.  

Not only were similar cholera riots simultaneously raging in Sicily, Puglia, and the Abruzzo, neither in 1910-11 nor earlier had these disturbances been exclusive to the Italian South. In 1910-11 alone, cholera uprisings occurred in the hinterland of Rome at Terracina, Ansio, and Nettuno (twice). Moreover, a correspondent from the *Lancet* claimed that ‘a similar uprising, equally inspired by superstitious dread of hygienic precautions and the institution of a lazaretto’, occurred at Venice, ‘doubtless to be followed by others’. The largest and most destructive of these northern ones occurred at Segni, a town in Lazio, then less than an hour by train southwest of Rome. With a crowd estimated at 3,000, it was among Italy’s largest cholera revolts and was richly interwoven into the old mythologies of government agents and physicians out to massacre the poor. With only five cholera patients in Segni’s newly-formed cholera hospital, inhabitants marched on the town hall, believing that ‘the municipal authorities, the Government, and the Carabineers were in league to poison them, and had organized a slaughter of the innocents’. Quickly, the rioters overwhelmed the police and battered down the door of the town hall. Their targets were the mayor and hospital clerks. ‘The women were particularly ferocious.’ One ‘seized a Carabineer by his tunic and threw him to the ground. He was disarmed, trampled upon, and wounded.’ After destroying the hall’s furnishings, another ‘woman seized the municipal flag and shouted, “To the hospital”.’ The ‘mob’ followed and surged through the town, crying ‘Death to the doctors and nurses.’ They entered the hospital and released three patients, two of whom were at the point
of death, ‘and carried them in their arms in a procession to their homes. The scene was ghastly in the extreme.’

Despite new elements of an economic rationale and a clearer sense of organization, planning, and leadership in these riots, the old myths present at the outset in the 1830s persisted: beliefs of intentional poisoning and planned massacres of the poor, with hospitals, doctors, and governments as the agents of a grandiose Malthusian plot. These ideas were present not only in isolated and neglected agrarian districts as at Verbicaro but within Rome’s hinterland and seaside resorts and possibly at Venice, nodes of international tourism and modern commerce. No doubt, this last major wave of cholera in Italy produced further incidents, presently hidden by the national censorship. A long Sunday front-page editorial in Milan’s Corriere della Sera two weeks after the Verbicaro riots maintained that this ‘atrocious event had not yet to run its course…beliefs in the intentional man-made origins of the disease persisted at Massafra, Sant’Angelo in Grotte, Cetara and several other rural communes of the South’. Except for Massafra, none of the other tumults have surfaced from my searches through thousands of newspaper pages. As this same editorial alleged, belief that the State was poisoning the people had become stronger in 1910-11 than during the previous cholera waves of 1866-7 or 1884-5, that is, after the mechanisms of cholera transmission had been largely discovered and Robert Koch had cultured the bacillus. For at least a half century, cholera had no longer been a new or mysterious disease.

Marx, Engels, and modern historians

Despite these waves of revolt from the 1830s into the twentieth century -- consistently aligned by class, with peasants, workers, and impoverished women
mounting barricades to attack state officials, governments, elites, and the medical profession -- historians have yet to investigate them over the long term and especially within the parameters of class struggle. Although these events swirled through their lives, filling their contemporary newspapers, neither Karl Marx nor Fredrick Engels ever reflected on them. In *The Conditions of the Working Class in England* (1845), Engels mentions cholera only twice. His brief comments attributed its rise at Manchester in 1832 to the horrendous housing conditions of the poor. The fifty volumes of Marx’s and Engels’ correspondence and their other works mentions cholera only in three further places. On 13 September 1854, when Marx resided at 28 Dean Street, within 100 yards of the Broad Street pump, which John Snow famously identified as Soho’s source of cholera, Marx, similarly to Engels, attributed the disease to social conditions:

> Dans ce moment the total absence of money is the more horrible -- quite apart from the fact that family wants do not cease for an instant -- as Soho is a choice district for cholera, the mob is croaking right and left (e.g. an average of 3 per house in Broad Street) and ‘victuals’ [Lebensmittel] are the best defence against the beastly thing.

Eleven days later Marx’s speculation about cholera’s cause was closer to the mark but with a bizarre twist:

> London, 22 September 1854: The cholera epidemic, now much abated, is said to have been particularly severe in our district because the sewers made in June, July and August, were driven through the pits where those who died of the plague 1668 (? I think) were buried.

Finally, with the fourth cholera wave in 1866 Marx returned to his original supposition, emphasizing housing stock, despite water sources now becoming the
accepted mode of cholera’s transmission.\textsuperscript{72}

The cholera is paying us [Londoners] its respects with the utmost gravity, and Dr. Hunter’s report, in the VIII\textsuperscript{th} Report of the Health Board on the Housing of the Poor, which appeared last week, is presumably intended to serve Madame Cholera as a Directory of addresses calling for preferential visitation.\textsuperscript{73}

In addition, one of the ten to attend Marx’s funeral at Highgate cemetery was E. Ray Lankester, son of Edwin, first to identify the cholera bacillus in water. Yet, an analysis of cholera and its social consequences did not enter any of Marx’s works published in his lifetime, and he appears to have been oblivious to any manifestations of its social protest and class struggle. Still more surprising is an absence of attention to cholera’s social violence by more recent historians of the New Left who have studied nineteenth- and twentieth-century class struggle meticulously—E.P. Thompson, Eric Hobsbawm, John Foster, John Calhoun, and others—despite these events sparking crowds estimated as high as 30,000, taking control of cities (even if only briefly), murdering governors, mayors, judges, physicians, pharmacists, and nurses, destroying factories and towns and which some contemporary commentators believed, ‘scarcely [finds] a parallel in modern history’.\textsuperscript{74}

Certainly, the bitter class distrust, hatred, and antagonism ignited by cholera was not ‘class struggle’ in a classical Marxist sense, tied to wresting control over the means of production from the bourgeoisie or altering social hierarchies and rule by industrial, mercantile, or landed elites. As noted above, this violence rarely touched the larger political contests then swirling throughout much of Europe in 1831-7 or later. As Italian historians have emphasized, even in Sicily in 1837, where at
Siracusa cholera riots shared the same platform with the struggle to liberate Italy from large landowners and the Bourbon monarchy, the two intersected only momentarily. Instead, cholera violence blunted the effectiveness of social and political revolt, and its association with wider mass popular and political movements was less evident with cholera’s return during the revolutionary years of 1848-9.

Yet during the second half of the twentieth century and into the twenty-first, Marxist historians, especially in Britain, have widened notions of class struggle beyond the point of production as with popular insurrections against abuses of power by nobilities, or encroachments by states and municipalities on rights in common or against broad-based citizenship during the late Middle Ages. With the revolts of Levellers, Diggers, Fifth Monarchists, and the Ranters during the English Civil War, the range of these struggles extended to religious toleration and political participation. For the nineteenth century, the spotlight has turned on social movements of ‘primitive rebels’—mafia, pre-industrial urban mobs, labour religious sects and millenarian groups, whose attitudes and actions recalled movements of the central Middle Ages. As Eric Hobsbawm illustrated, social banditry, which had ‘next to no organization or ideology’ and classical pre-industrial urban ‘mobs’ of the late nineteenth century that attacked foreigners, have not endeared themselves to historians, Marxist or not: few will regret their disappearance. Yet Hobsbawm insisted that historians must attempt to understand them, because ‘they played an important role in the political evolution of the modern world, before giving way to better movements’.

With the mythologies, conspiracy theories, and violence associated with certain epidemic diseases, as with cholera in Venezuela in 1992, or Ebola in West Africa in 2014, we cannot now be as confident as was Hobsbawm in 1959 that such
movements have vanished from our present worlds. On a positive note, the study of these past movements not only can lead to historical understanding, it can plot historical parallels and from them point to solutions to current problems. Although Ebola is not cholera or a bacterium, similarities between the two diseases are striking: the effects of rapid dehydration, explosion of bodily fluids, high rates of lethality of 50 per cent and greater (at least in Africa for the former, and before antibiotics for the latter), and their socio-psychological reactions, as witnessed with Ebola in Guinea, Liberia, and Sierra Leone from April to December, 2014.\textsuperscript{80} Despite distances in time and differences in social, economic, and cultural contexts, similar distrust and suspicion of health and state authorities produced similar slogans and uses of violence. In West Africa, inhabitants resisted quarantine; accused the Red-Cross of purposeful poisoning; assaulted health workers, especially when they interfered with traditional burial customs; brutally wounded and murdered hospital workers, doctors, politicians and journalists; and chanted slogans reminiscent of European cholera revolts reaching back to the 1830s: ‘Ebola is a lie!’ ‘Here, if the people come in [the hospital], they don’t leave alive.’\textsuperscript{81}

An eventual resolution to these patterns of distrust and violence followed paths seen much earlier in Europe. Cholera riots continued in many cities and regions through the late nineteenth century and, in Italy and Russia, into the twentieth, where elites continued to belittle the supposed ‘superstitions’ of villagers, minorities, and the poor, violated their burial customs and religious beliefs, and imposed stringent anti-cholera regulations even after most of them had been proven to be ineffectual. Moreover, ruling elites in these places addressed popular resistance with military force and brutal repression. By contrast, distrust and rumours of purposeful poisoning abated where elite attitudes and impositions changed,
substituting charitable assistance and negotiation for harsh regulations and repression. With cholera riots, destruction, killings, and arrests that mounted to 263 at Königsberg in 1831, Berlin learnt these lessons fast. The king, city government, and its middle classes intervened, modified stringent regulations over quarantine and burial practices, organized soup kitchens for the unemployed, and provided care for the orphans of cholera victims. Despite rumours of poisoning ‘common throughout Prussia’ and cholera riots that had just flared or would shortly ensue at Königsberg, Memel, Stettin and smaller Prussian towns and villages in 1831, none occurred at Berlin, either in 1831 or during later cholera waves.82

On the other hand, recalcitrant policies and resulting social violence could recur over long periods. Space allows only a glance at an Italian case from its first cholera wave in 1836-7 to its last major one in 1910-11. Throughout this period, municipal authorities in the Pugliese Cathedral town of Ostuni (mentioned above) continued to prohibit non-elites (il popolo) from performing their traditional burial rites, visiting afflicted friends and relations, and viewing victim’s cadavers before burial. However, the town’s elites could continue to bury their loved ones stricken by cholera in traditional ecclesiastical grounds. Such class-based impositions intensified fears that doctors backed by the state had poisoned the cholera infected or had buried them alive. Seeing their relatives unceremoniously thrown into ditches of newly-created cholera grounds outside town, Ostuni’s popolo in 1837 shouted they would not tolerate it and rioted.83 The town’s authorities appear not to have learnt any lessons. During Italy’s last major cholera wave in 1910-11, the state continued to impose the same burial restrictions, provoking the same fears of poisoning and live burials as in 1837; but now Ostuni’s collective violence exploded beyond any that had occurred before. In mid-November 1910, 3,000 wrecked the cholera hospital,
‘liberated the patients’ and paraded them home, burnt down the town hall and offices of the health department, attacked health workers, stoned carabinieri, destroyed doctors’ homes, and took possession of the town square.\textsuperscript{84}

During the recent riots and violence in Western Africa, health workers and authorities believed that its disease-fuelled violence spurred by Ebola was new to history and particular to Africa.\textsuperscript{85} Perhaps had authorities been aware of Europe’s long past with certain socially violent epidemics, which possessed high lethality rates, they would have been quicker to negotiate with local populations and to explain the need to modify traditional burial practices and implementation of preventive measures in Guinea, Liberia, and Sierra Leone before any rioting had erupted.
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