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The report and its recommendations are available on the project website in early 2017. The complete version, inclusive of the recommendations, will also be available on the project website.
Intimate Migrations is a research project funded by the Economic and Social Research Council. The project explores the experiences of lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender migrants who have moved to Scotland from Central Eastern Europe (CEE) and the Former Soviet Union (FSU). Fieldwork was carried out in various locations across Scotland in two stages (April 2015 – June 2016). Stage 1 involved interviews with LGBT migrants about their experiences of migration and resettlement, and also relational mapping (sociograms) to chart their social networks. Stage 2 involved photo diaries and follow-up interviews to explore participants’ sense of belonging and identity. The report is based on our key findings, and will be of interest to a range of stakeholders and service providers we have engaged with throughout the project.

1 MIGRATION TO SCOTLAND

• Most of our participants were from EU countries, and their migration to Scotland was facilitated by the freedom of movement of labour within the EU. The majority of our participants had moved directly from Scotland to their country of origin.

• Participants often spoke of several concurrent motivations to migrate. For the majority of our participants, employment opportunities and related prospects of greater material security were key reasons for migrating to Scotland, reflecting wider migration trends from CEE and FSU to the UK/Scotland. Many participants had moved with a partner or other family members (including e.g. children, parents, siblings). Some of our younger participants had moved to Scotland to pursue further or higher education.

• Sexual orientation and gender identity featured to varying degrees in participants’ accounts of their reasons to migrate. None of our participants had left their country of origin because of persecution on grounds of their orientation or gender identity. However, a minority had experienced discrimination or violence, and many more had experienced more subtle forms of marginalisation (e.g. negative attitudes among family members, in education and employment, negative media/political discourse on LGBT people, lack of legal protection for same-sex couples and their families; cultural expectations that LGBT persons should be discreet). These experiences, combined with the expectation of more positive attitudes and greater legal recognition in the UK/Scotland, featured in many interviews. A relatively small number of participants, however, saw sexual orientation or gender identity as their sole or main reason to migrate.

2 MIGRANT EXPERIENCES IN SCOTLAND

Employment and material security

• The majority of our participants were in paid employment, and saw working in Scotland as a means to achieve greater material security than in their country of origin, where many had experienced economic hardship.

• Finding a job in Scotland was relatively easy. Whilst several migrants found similar or better jobs compared to the ones they had in their country of origin, a high proportion of our participants worked in low-skilled, low-paid jobs, particularly in hospitality and in the service sector; often below their educational qualifications. For some participants, entry level or low-skilled jobs were stepping stones towards better jobs; however, for some these jobs were a long-term prospect or final destination. As a result, they often experienced deskilling and felt a loss of social status.

• Participants were generally happy with the living standards afforded by their jobs in Scotland. Even when working in relatively low-paid jobs, they earned more and enjoyed a higher standard of living than in their countries of origin. For many, economic security contributed to a sense of ‘feeling secure’ in Scotland, and was seen as an acceptable trade-off for other challenges and insecurities arising from migration (e.g. deskilling, being away from family, language and cultural barriers).

Education

• Almost a third of our participants had experiences of studying in Scotland, most of them at Scottish universities or colleges.

• For university students, the no tuition fee policy for EU nationals and the quality of courses were important considerations in choosing to study in Scotland.

• For many participants, gaining skills and qualifications in Scotland (including vocational and ESOL qualifications, as well as higher/ further education) was seen as a way to secure better job prospects.

Wellbeing and LGBT equality

• Participants’ experiences as LGBT persons in Scotland generally compared favourably to what they had experienced in their country of origin. Most perceived attitudes towards LGBT persons in Scotland as more open and positive, and spoke about positive experiences at work, in educational institutions and in public services, as well as in everyday social interactions.

• Many participants spoke about the importance of having a legal and policy framework that recognises LGBT rights and equality in Scotland, and about the sense that this was upheld.

• Many participants felt that they could be more open about their sexual orientation or gender identity in Scotland compared to their country of origin. Many felt they could be out as LGBT persons, and that disclosure of their sexual orientation or gender identity was considered ordinary and unremarkable in Scotland.

• LGBT affirmative legislation and more positive attitudes towards LGBT persons contributed greatly to participants’ sense of wellbeing and security in Scotland, and was often a key factor in their decision to remain in Scotland, and not to return to their country of origin.

Prejudice and discrimination

• Despite overall positive experiences in Scotland, several participants experienced prejudice or discrimination because of their sexual orientation or gender identity, these were perpetrated both by local people and by other migrants.

• Despite overall positive and respectful interactions with local people, some participants had experienced xenophobia, prejudice or discrimination in Scotland.

• A minority had experienced intersectional discrimination, where they were singled out both because of their sexual orientation/ gender identity and because of their ethnicity.

• Some participants had challenged abuse and discrimination by reporting incidents to the police or other authorities (e.g. work managers), particularly in relation to sexual orientation.

Plans for the future

• A majority of participants expressed a desire to remain in Scotland long term, or planned to settle permanently. Most participants did not consider returning to their country of origin.

• For many, migration and resettlement were an ongoing process, as initial reasons for migrating were sometimes different from reasons for staying. Material security provided by working in Scotland, as well as having a partner, other family members and friends in Scotland, featured prominently in reasons for staying. Sexual orientation and gender identity often emerged as key reasons for staying, although they were less prominent in participants’ accounts of immediate reasons to migrate.

3 SOCIAL NETWORKS, BELONGING AND INTEGRATION

• Participants’ social networks included friends and family based in Scotland as well as in their country of origin. Many also included people based in other parts of the UK, or in third countries.

• Creating new social networks in Scotland was a common challenge for participants, who often felt socially isolated when they first arrived in Scotland. Social networks were an important source of practical and emotional support, as well as providing participants with a sense of belonging, identity and wellbeing.

• A shared national or linguistic background emerged as a significant factor in shaping participants’ social networks in Scotland. Often they included a large number of co-nationals, partners, close friends or acquaintances. This was especially the case with Polish participants, because of a presence of a sizable Polish community in Scotland.

• Participants’ social networks also included local people, although they featured less prominently, as well as migrants from other countries.

• Shared language and culture were important aspects of relations with co-nationals (many of which had started in their country of origin, prior to migration). Practical and emotional support from co-nationals was often important in mitigating risk upon moving to Scotland. Several participants were also involved in community initiatives (recreational or offering support) involving co-nationals. Some participants spoke about being better able to express emotions in their language, and about language and culture as integral to their identity.

• Some participants found socialising primarily with co-nationals restrictive, and actively branched out to meet local people. Some participants had experienced homophobia (or bi-/transphobia) at the hands of co-nationals, or perceived attitudes among co-nationals in Scotland as less tolerant; a minority of participants felt uncomfortable socialising with co-nationals for this reason. Other participants, however, had positive experiences coming out to co-nationals, and the vast majority included co-nationals in their social networks.

• Most participants had overall positive and friendly relations with local people: many participants had significant ties with local people (as friends, partners, witnesses); and had received support from them. Language and cultural barriers, however, were often experienced as an obstacle in socialising and getting to know local people, although many participants had built meaningful relationships over time.

• The vast majority of our participants were fluent in English, although a minority had little or no English upon arrival. Overcoming language and cultural barriers was an ongoing challenge, particularly in the initial stages of settlement, and affected migrants’ ability to communicate, their confidence and patterns of socialising.

• Sexual orientation and gender identity shaped participants’ social networks: for most, acceptance and being able to be themselves were important factors in developing close relationships. For some participants, having LGBT friends or socialising in LGBT spaces was important, or had been important at some stage in their lives. Some had participated in, or volunteered for LGBT initiatives in Scotland.

1 By ‘local people’ we mean the long-settled population in the UK. When asked about relations with local people, participants most often talked about Scottish or British people.
INTRODUCTION
An estimated 530,000 migrants from the region of Central and Eastern Europe (CEE) and the former Soviet Union (FSU) settled in the UK in the period 2004-2010, and about 60,000 of them have made Scotland their home (Vargas Silva 2013, Scottish Government 2010). Factors which have led to this migration include increased freedom of movement in the region since the end of socialism and breakup of the Soviet Union in 1989/1991, increased mobility across the EU since the start of accession processes in 2004, and increased levels of economic insecurity and upheaval which have been experienced to varying degrees across the region. This ‘new’ migration has been the object of a considerable amount of research, although the majority of studies have focused on regions in England, with less specifically addressing the situation in Scotland. Key themes of existing research include: aspects of migrant identities, social networks and strategies; the specifics of migration to urban and rural contexts; the impacts of migration on the labour market and the broader economy; impacts of migration on public services, particularly housing and education; and also the broader social and cultural impacts of migration (see recent overview of the evidence base in Scottish Government 2016). Despite the value of the research to date, this work has generally neglected the role of sexual orientation or gender identity in shaping migrants’ experiences of migration and settlement. Scotland for these migrants can be seen to present a specific environment in comparison to the rest of the UK, both in terms of policy and legislation towards LGBT citizens, and in terms of official and public attitudes towards the arrival of migrants.

LGBT POLICY AND LEGISLATION IN EUROPE: THE SCOTTISH CONTEXT
Comparative research has highlighted significant differences within Europe in terms of policy and legislation towards LGBT citizens. In recent years, Scotland has gained a reputation for being among the most progressive European countries for LGBT equality and human rights. In 2015 and 2016, Scotland was rated first in the Rainbow Europe Index, which ranks European countries according to their record on LGBT legal equality. Legal and policy provision is broadly similar in Scotland and in the rest of the UK; however, both in 2015 and in 2016, Scotland came ahead of the rest of the UK, meeting a higher number of set criteria (Cuthbertson 2015, Hendson 2016). This, a focus on Scotland provides a distinctive case owing to Scotland’s devolved government and specific demographic and socio-legal context within the UK.

Protection of LGBT rights in Scotland includes the legal recognition of same-sex couples through civil partnership (since 2005) and same-sex marriage (since 2015), as well as anti-discrimination and hate crime legislation that recognises sexual orientation and gender identity as protected characteristics. The UK Equality Act 2010, a reserved piece of legislation, brought together previously separate pieces of equality legislation under a single Act. It protects people from discrimination, harassment and victimisation, and promotes equality of opportunity; it recognises nine protected characteristics (age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion and belief, sex, and sexual orientation). People are protected on the basis of identifying with a protected characteristic; perception that they identify with a protected characteristic, and association with persons with a protected characteristic. Scotland also has its own devolved legislation on hate crime, which stipulates that if an offence is motivated by prejudice, this is considered an aggravating circumstance. Race, religion, disability, sexual orientation, and transgender identity (broader than the gender reassignment category covered in the Equality Act 2010) are all protected categories (on the basis of identification, perceived identification or association). The Offences (Aggravation by Prejudice) (Scotland) Act 2009 added disability, sexual orientation and transgender identity to the list of recognised protected characteristics.

The index measures protection against discrimination, measures to tackle hate crime, rights and recognition for transgender and intersex people; and equality in family law, including same-sex marriage and parenting rights. The aggregate score for the UK as a whole was brought down by Northern Ireland’s failure to introduce same-sex marriage (introduced in 2015 in England, Wales and Scotland), and by less extensive legal protection for refugees and trans people in other parts of the UK compared to Scotland (Cuthbertson 2015).

Comparative research has highlighted signifi cant differences within Europe in terms of policy and legislation towards LGBT citizens. In recent years, Scotland has gained a reputation for being among the most progressive European countries for LGBT equality and human rights. In 2015 and 2016, Scotland was rated first in the Rainbow Europe Index, which ranks European countries according to their record on LGBT legal equality. Legal and policy provision is broadly similar in Scotland and in the rest of the UK; however, both in 2015 and in 2016, Scotland came ahead of the rest of the UK, meeting a higher number of set criteria (Cuthbertson 2015, Hendson 2016). This, a focus on Scotland provides a distinctive case owing to Scotland’s devolved government and specific demographic and socio-legal context within the UK.

Protection of LGBT rights in Scotland includes the legal recognition of same-sex couples through civil partnership (since 2005) and same-sex marriage (since 2015), as well as anti-discrimination and hate crime legislation that recognises sexual orientation and gender identity as protected characteristics. The UK Equality Act 2010, a reserved piece of legislation, brought together previously separate pieces of equality legislation under a single Act. It protects people from discrimination, harassment and victimisation, and promotes equality of opportunity; it recognises nine protected characteristics (age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion and belief, sex, and sexual orientation). People are protected on the basis of identifying with a protected characteristic; perception that they identify with a protected characteristic, and association with persons with a protected characteristic. Scotland also has its own devolved legislation on hate crime, which stipulates that if an offence is motivated by prejudice, this is considered an aggravating circumstance. Race, religion, disability, sexual orientation, and transgender identity (broader than the gender reassignment category covered in the Equality Act 2010) are all protected categories (on the basis of identification, perceived identification or association). The Offences (Aggravation by Prejudice) (Scotland) Act 2009 added disability, sexual orientation and transgender identity to the list of recognised protected characteristics.

Scotland presents a specific case in terms of its migration environment in comparison to the rest of the UK. In terms of numbers of migrants, Scotland saw a higher proportion of European migration over the period 2001-2011 compared to most UK regions. However, it is important to note that overall migration levels to Scotland compared to many parts of the UK remain relatively small (Blindey 2014: 2). In terms of official attitudes and approaches towards immigration, Scotland again is distinctive. Although migration is a devolved matter and responsibility for agenda and policy setting lies with the UK Government, the dominant attitude of the Scottish Government has tended to be positive, with migration being seen as a means of ensuring demographic stability and growth in order to facilitate wider short and medium term economic development. In recent years, the Scottish Government has consistently argued for the need for a regionally specific policy in terms of migration for Scotland, however, due to current constitutional arrangements it is unable to significantly depart from dominant UK Government approaches to immigration (Scottish Government 2016). In broader terms, the issue of migration has not been politicised in Scotland to the same extent as has occurred elsewhere in the UK, and a broad political consensus seems to exist which maintains that Scotland both needs and welcomes migrants (McCollum et al. 2014: 80). This is also reflected in wider public attitudes to immigration: overall there is less public opposition to immigration, where concerns about migration are lower on the public’s list of priorities (Blindey 2014: 2). Nevertheless, studies have also noted that there are ‘pockets’ of hostility to migration within certain sectors of the population (McCollum et al. 2014: 82).

THE SCOTTISH MIGRATION CONTEXT: ATTITUDES AND APPROACHES
Scotland presents a specific case in terms of its migration environment in comparison to the rest of the UK. In terms of numbers of migrants, Scotland saw a higher proportion of European migration over the period 2001-2011 compared to most UK regions. However, it is important to note that overall migration levels to Scotland compared to many parts of the UK remain relatively small (Blindey 2014: 2). In terms of official attitudes and approaches towards immigration, Scotland again is distinctive. Although migration is a devolved matter and responsibility for agenda and policy setting lies with the UK Government, the dominant attitude of the Scottish Government has tended to be positive, with migration being seen as a means of ensuring demographic stability and growth in order to facilitate wider short and medium term economic development. In recent years, the Scottish Government has consistently argued for the need for a regionally specific policy in terms of migration for Scotland, however, due to current constitutional arrangements it is unable to significantly depart from dominant UK Government approaches to immigration (Scottish Government 2016). In broader terms, the issue of migration has not been politicised in Scotland to the same extent as has occurred elsewhere in the UK, and a broad political consensus seems to exist which maintains that Scotland both needs and welcomes migrants (McCollum et al. 2014: 80). This is also reflected in wider public attitudes to immigration: overall there is less public opposition to immigration, where concerns about migration are lower on the public’s list of priorities (Blindey 2014: 2). Nevertheless, studies have also noted that there are ‘pockets’ of hostility to migration within certain sectors of the population (McCollum et al. 2014: 82).

PROJECT AIMS AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS
The project set out to explore how East-West post-accession migration, widely understood as primarily driven by economic factors, maps on to different attitudes, policy and legislation towards LGBT citizens across Europe. The project explored the role sexual orientation and, to a lesser extent, gender identity, play in motivations to migrate, as well as experiences of migration and plans for long-term settlement. It aimed to capture a diverse group of migrants in terms of ethnicity, nationality, gender, class and migrant status, and explored how these factors may shape migrants’ experiences alongside sexual orientation. It investigated their sense of security and belonging across countries of origin and Scotland, and looked at how they construct their social networks and negotiated their multifaceted identities across them.

Through the project the following research questions have been addressed:

1. What is the relative importance of kinship, friendship, ethnic/ migrant and LGBT local networks in networks in migrant personal communities, and how are these relations drawn upon for support at different stages of migrants’ lives?

2. How do migrants negotiate their sense of ‘home’ and belonging through their personal communities?

3. How can engagement with a range of actors (migrants, voluntary/ public sector organisations working with LGBT, migrant and BME populations) identify gaps in service provision and communication and facilitate dialogue across different stakeholders and communities?

In relation to the last question above, throughout the project we have engaged with a range of Scotland-based stakeholders from the voluntary and public sectors, with a remit on LGBTQI, migration, race and ethnicity, and equality and diversity issues. This engagement has occurred through regular meetings with our Project Advisory Group as well as through two dissemination and discussion events (April 2016 and December 2016), involving a broad range of organisations, practitioners and service providers. The aims of the report are to share our findings with these stakeholders, and to provide a platform for dialogue and for identifying areas for future work and collaboration.

Please note that two additional sections of the report will be published separately in early 2017. These include:

1. the report recommendations (emerging from our consultation event in December 2016)

2. an additional section on migrants’ experiences in their country of origin.
2. METHODOLOGY AND PARTICIPANTS’ DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

METHODS OF DATA COLLECTION

Pilot study
A pilot study involving 9 participants was carried out in April-July 2013. Pilot study interviews were broadly similar to stage 1 interviews for the main project (see below). Three pilot study participants were re-interviewed for the main study.

Main study
The research was carried out in various locations across Scotland between April 2015 and June 2016. A range of qualitative methods were used, and data was collected in two stages:

• Stage 1 involved biographical interviews with 50 participants. Stage 1 interviews explored migrants’ backgrounds, their reasons for migrating and their experiences of migration and resettlement in Scotland, and lasted between 1 and 3 hours. At the end of the interview, participants were also asked to draw a map of their closest social relations (sociogram), to explore their social networks in Scotland and beyond.

• In Stage 2, a sub-set of stage 1 participants (18) were invited to produce a photo diary focussed on the topic of home, to further explore issues of belonging and identity. Participants were given the option of producing new photographs, utilising old pictures, or using a mixture of the two. The photo diary was then discussed in a follow-up interview.

Participants were also asked to complete a demographic questionnaire at the end of stage 1 interviews to collect details of participants’ age, occupation, education, length of stay in the UK and similar.

RECRUITMENT AND FIELDWORK

Focus on sexual orientation and limited coverage of transgender experiences
The research focused specifically on sexual orientation, rather than gender identity, and this is reflected in the very limited space devoted to the report on transgender experiences.

Neither the pilot nor the main project targeted transgender migrants specifically; recruitment material was addressed at CEE/FSU migrants who identify as lesbian, gay or bisexual, and participants self-selected to participate in our study. This was a decision taken in consultation with relevant voluntary sector organisations before the project began. Our shared concern was that we might struggle to recruit any transgender migrants at all.

Nonetheless, two of our participants identify as transgender, and in the interview they mainly focussed on issues of gender identity, rather than sexual orientation, although one of the trans participants also identifies as bisexual. We have included their experiences wherever possible in the report; however, none requested to be interviewed through an interpreter.

The interviews were conducted in Polish (31 participants), Russian (3 participants) or English (14 participants).

Language
We produced advertising leaflets in a number of CEE/FSU languages and offered to interview participants in their first or preferred language whenever possible. This was done to make participation accessible to a wide range of participants, regardless of fluency in English. We also thought that some participants may be more comfortable talking about very intimate and personal issues in their first language.

We offered to interview Polish and Russian-speaking participants in their respective language, as members of the research team speak these languages. In the process of recruitment, speakers of other languages were given the option of being interviewed via an interpreter or in English; however, none requested to be interviewed through an interpreter.

The interviews were conducted in Polish (31 participants), Russian (3 participants) or English (14 participants).

Recruitment
We used a range of strategies to advertise the project and reach out to potential participants. These included:

• Advertising through a range of voluntary sector organisations and ESOL colleges (including via their newsletters and social media)
• Online advertising, including posting ads on dating sites (e.g. Gaydar, Planet Romeo) and on social media spaces targeting specific national and language communities in Scotland (e.g. facebook pages such as Latvians in Scotland, Russians in Aberdeen, Polacy w Oban)
• Leaflets and posters: these were left in specific shops, restaurants, pubs and community spaces (e.g. Polish-Russian-Baltic corner shops and spaces likely to be frequented by CEE/FSU migrants), LGBT and LGBT-friendly clubs, bars and pubs, relevant voluntary sector organisations, and public libraries
• Snowballing (‘chain’ referral by other participants)

Intersectional approach
Our recruitment strategy was intended to capture a diverse range of participants in terms of country of origin, socio-economic background, age and place of residency in Scotland. We also tried to obtain a balanced sample in terms of gender and sexual orientation.

Participants were from Poland (31) and one was from Slovakia. With the exception of one participant who had recently arrived in Scotland, all had lived in Scotland for several years (average 6.5 years). Participants self-identified as either gay in lesbian and lived in the Greater Glasgow area or Edinburgh. With one exception, all were educated to university degree level, and were employed in healthcare, the service sector or white collar jobs.

The pilot study report is available at https://intimatemigrations.net/outputs.
PROFILE OF RESEARCH PARTICIPANTS

Location

Research participants were based in various locations across Scotland. The majority of them lived in the Central Belt, and most of them were based in Edinburgh (22) and Glasgow (9). However, a substantial number of our participants were based in smaller Scottish cities or in small towns. The map above shows the distribution of participants by place of residence.

Nationality and migration status

Over half of our research participants (31) were Polish. This reflects the characteristics of recent migration from CEE and FSU, both in Scotland and in the UK. Poles are by far the biggest national migrant community in terms of post-2004 migration (Scottish Government 2016, White 2016). Other participants were from Belarus, Bulgaria, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Romania, Russia and Ukraine. Both our Ukrainian participants had lived in Poland for several years prior to moving to Scotland, and had Polish citizenship.

Most of our participants (47) were citizens of countries that joined the EU in recent rounds of EU enlargement (2004 and 2007). EU nationals were able to travel to the UK without restrictions on their right to work, under the principle of free movement of labour within the EU. The exception are Romanian and Bulgarian migrants, who had their right to employment restricted for 7 years following their countries’ accession to the EU (2007), prior to 2014, they needed a permit to work in the UK and were only allowed to work in designated shortage occupations. Unlike EU migrants, non-EU nationals needed a visa to enter the UK, and were subjected to much stricter requirements to be able to remain in the country (e.g. residence permit, sponsorship from employer or family members).

Gender identity

Our sample was mostly split between women (24) and men (25), including one female to male transgender man), with one participant identifying as non-binary. Both the FtM trans participant and the non-binary participant identify under the umbrella term transgender. Both transgender participants are referred throughout the report by using their preferred pronouns (in both cases he/male).

Sexual orientation

In terms of sexual orientation, 18 participants identify as lesbian, 19 identify as gay men, 12 identify as bisexual, our only non-binary participant identifies as gynosexual. Sexual orientation and gender identity were discussed discursively during the interview. This was considered a more sensitive approach than collecting information through a demographic questionnaire; we considered a more open-ended approach more suited to capture complexities and ambiguities, as well as cultural and linguistic differences.

None of the female participants identified as gay, probably because, unlike in English, the term ‘gay’ in Polish, Russian and in many other CEE and FSU languages is exclusively used to mean ‘gay man’. Participants interviewed in Polish and Russian sometimes used other colloquial expressions (e.g. ‘branza’ (PL), ‘tema’ (RU)), however, these expressions were not used as terms of self-identification.

Age, relationship and family status

Our participants ranged in age from 19 to 49. 9 participants had lived in other parts of the UK (mostly in England) before moving to Scotland. With the exception of 5 participants, who had lived in Scotland for less than a year, the vast majority of our participants had lived in Scotland for several years (average: 5.5 years). 34 participants had left their country of origin in their twenties or late teens (19); 15 participants had left in their thirties, and one had left in her early forties.

Participants were also diverse in terms of their relationship and family status.

4 participants were in a civil partnership and 6 were in a same-sex marriage; three participants were in a mixed-sex marriage. 15 were in long-term relationships, while the remaining 22 participants were single. 9 participants (7 women and 2 men) had children, most from previous or current heterosexual relationships.

Education and employment

A large majority of our participants (38/50) were educated to undergraduate degree level or above. This reflects the specific characteristics of our sample, which is in all likelihood skewed towards migrants from a better educated and relatively more affluent socio-economic background. Other research, however, suggests that CEE and FSU migrants in the UK tend to be well educated (Drinkwater et al. 2010).

The majority of our participants (46) were in paid employment. Only 4 participants did not work, including 3 full-time students and one person who was temporarily out of work and financially dependent on his civil partner. Several of our participants (5) were working students who juggled further or higher education with paid employment.

Participants worked in a range of occupations and sectors. A large number were employed in low-pay jobs in the service and hospitality sector, and many worked below their qualifications, which reflects wider tendencies amongst the CEE/FSU migrant population in Scotland and the UK.
3. MIGRATION TO SCOTLAND

Our participants often spoke of complex reasons to migrate. Employment opportunities and related prospects of greater material security emerged as particularly significant, while many participants had moved with a partner or family members, or in order to join them in Scotland. Sexual orientation and gender identity featured to varying degrees in participants’ accounts; for most participants, they featured alongside other reasons to migrate, although mostly not as primary reasons.

3.1 FACTORS FACILITATING MIGRATION

• For most of our participants, migration to Scotland was facilitated by free movement of labour within the EU. 47 of our participants were citizens of countries that joined the EU through EU enlargement in 2004 (Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland) and 2007 (Bulgaria, Romania). All but one of these 47 participants migrated after their countries of origin joined the EU. Only three of our research participants held a non-EU passport upon arrival to the UK; they migrated on work or family visas.

• The majority of our research participants had moved directly to Scotland from their country of origin. 9 had lived in other parts of the UK (especially England) before moving to Scotland; some had histories of seasonal migration or multiple short-term stays in Scotland/UK before moving on a more permanent basis. A significant number had complex histories of international migration, and had lived in other countries prior to moving to the UK/Scotland (Canada, Cyprus, France, Germany, Israel, Italy, New Zealand, Sweden, Poland, Russia, US).

• The vast majority of our participants moved to Scotland for work. A minority of participants migrated to Scotland to pursue higher or further education, or as dependent family members (typically to follow their families of origin moving to Scotland for economic reasons).

• The choice of Scotland as a migration destination, and of specific locations within Scotland was, in many cases, related to:
  – existing personal connections (e.g. having a partner, family members, friends or acquaintances in the migration destination)
  – ability to secure employment and/or accommodation remotely, prior to migration
  – familiarity with Scotland/UK through e.g. previous visits, knowledge of the language and culture (e.g. through school curriculum, pop music), stories about migration to the UK in the media, or related by other co-nationals.

• Some of our younger participants had moved to Scotland to pursue higher or further education; most of them had moved to Scotland after completing secondary school in their country of origin, and had been encouraged to pursue higher education abroad as a way to secure better career prospects. The main reasons for choosing Scotland were the no tuition fee policy for EU students studying in Scotland, the quality of degree courses and teaching, and familiarity with English, often studied at school. Some of our participants had remained in Scotland to live and work after graduating from a Scottish university (see case study 2 and section 4.1.2).

• ‘Sexual orientation or gender identity featured in participants’ accounts of their motivations to migrate to varying degrees. Relatively few participants spoke about their sexuality or gender identity as their sole or main reason for migrating, and a significant number disregarded their sexuality or gender identity as immediate reasons for migrating. For most participants, they featured alongside other motivations in their migration histories (see case study 3).

• None of our participants spoke about leaving their country of origin because they had experienced persecution on ground of their sexual orientation or gender identity. Nonetheless, experiences in their country of origin and expectations of an easier life in Scotland were sometimes a factor in their decision to migrate. A few of our participants had experienced incidents of homo-, bi- or transphobic discrimination or violence in their country of origin. Many more talked about more subtle forms of marginalisation and negative experiences in their countries of origin. These included:
  – difficult interpersonal relations (for example, tense or estranged relations with their family of origin, being bullied at school)
  – more subtle forms of marginalisation (e.g. in education or employment)
  – oppressive social conventions (e.g. expectations they had to hide details of their personal lives in order to ‘fit in’)
  – feelings of insecurity fed by negative media and political discourse on LGBT rights.

• A minority of our participants were well-educated professionals, some of whom had moved to Scotland to pursue higher or further education; most of them had moved to Scotland after completing secondary school in their country of origin, and had been encouraged to pursue higher education abroad as a way to secure better career prospects. The main reasons for choosing Scotland were the no tuition fee policy for EU students studying in Scotland, the quality of degree courses and teaching, and familiarity with English, often studied at school. Some of our participants had remained in Scotland to live and work after graduating from a Scottish university (see case study 2 and section 4.1.2).

• For the majority of our participants, migration to Scotland was facilitated by free movement of labour within the EU. 47 of our participants were citizens of countries that joined the EU through EU enlargement in 2004 (Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland) and 2007 (Bulgaria, Romania). All but one of these 47 participants migrated after their countries of origin joined the EU. Only three of our research participants held a non-EU passport upon arrival to the UK; they migrated on work or family visas.

• The majority of our research participants had moved directly to Scotland from their country of origin. 9 had lived in other parts of the UK (especially England) before moving to Scotland; some had histories of seasonal migration or multiple short-term stays in Scotland/UK before moving on a more permanent basis. A significant number had complex histories of international migration, and had lived in other countries prior to moving to the UK/Scotland (Canada, Cyprus, France, Germany, Israel, Italy, New Zealand, Sweden, Poland, Russia, US).

• The vast majority of our participants moved to Scotland for work. A minority of participants migrated to Scotland to pursue higher or further education, or as dependent family members (typically to follow their families of origin moving to Scotland for economic reasons).

• The choice of Scotland as a migration destination, and of specific locations within Scotland was, in many cases, related to:
  – existing personal connections (e.g. having a partner, family members, friends or acquaintances in the migration destination)
  – ability to secure employment and/or accommodation remotely, prior to migration
  – familiarity with Scotland/UK through e.g. previous visits, knowledge of the language and culture (e.g. through school curriculum, pop music), stories about migration to the UK in the media, or related by other co-nationals.

• Some of our younger participants had moved to Scotland to pursue higher or further education; most of them had moved to Scotland after completing secondary school in their country of origin, and had been encouraged to pursue higher education abroad as a way to secure better career prospects. The main reasons for choosing Scotland were the no tuition fee policy for EU students studying in Scotland, the quality of degree courses and teaching, and familiarity with English, often studied at school. Some of our participants had remained in Scotland to live and work after graduating from a Scottish university (see case study 2 and section 4.1.2).

• ‘Sexual orientation or gender identity featured in participants’ accounts of their motivations to migrate to varying degrees. Relatively few participants spoke about their sexuality or gender identity as their sole or main reason for migrating, and a significant number disregarded their sexuality or gender identity as immediate reasons for migrating. For most participants, they featured alongside other motivations in their migration histories (see case study 3).

• None of our participants spoke about leaving their country of origin because they had experienced persecution on ground of their sexual orientation or gender identity. Nonetheless, experiences in their country of origin and expectations of an easier life in Scotland were sometimes a factor in their decision to migrate. A few of our participants had experienced incidents of homo-, bi- or transphobic discrimination or violence in their country of origin. Many more talked about more subtle forms of marginalisation and negative experiences in their countries of origin. These included:
  – difficult interpersonal relations (for example, tense or estranged relations with their family of origin, being bullied at school)
  – more subtle forms of marginalisation (e.g. in education or employment)
  – oppressive social conventions (e.g. expectations they had to hide details of their personal lives in order to ‘fit in’)
  – feelings of insecurity fed by negative media and political discourse on LGBT rights.

• Several participants spoke about their migration to Scotland as primarily related to family and relationships (moving with or joining a partner/spouse/children and, more rarely, parents or other family members). Experiences of negative attitudes towards the LGBT community, lack of legal recognition of same-sex couples and their children and expectation of more positive attitudes and greater legal recognition in Scotland/the UK often featured in experiences of couple or family migration. However, in some cases couple migration was more serendipitous (e.g. it involved moving to ‘try things out’ with a new partner, and considerations about legal recognition or social attitudes were absent or less prominent) (see case study 3).

• Participants spoke of their migration as a process where initial motivations to migrate often changed over time. Participants’ sexual orientation and gender identity feature much more prominently in their reasons for staying in Scotland than in original motivations to migrate. For example, feeling protected by LGBT-affirmative legislation, and the ability to live more openly in Scotland as LGBT individuals, were often important in their reasons for staying, or for not wishing to return to their country or origin (see section 4.2.4 and case study 10).
CASE STUDY 1: Economic migration

Renata, Przemek and Ola migrated to Scotland primarily for economic reasons. After completing higher education, Renata could not secure a job in her profession in her native Poland and experienced economic hardship. Similarly, Ola and her partner had migrated mainly because they struggled to provide for themselves in Poland. Przemek was seeking economic stability and a more prosperous life. Both Przemek and Ola also talk about their complex motivations to migrate. Przemek had dreamt about living abroad since childhood and saw moving to Scotland as an adventure; Ola spoke about more open attitudes towards the LGBT community in Scotland as a ‘bonus’.

I didn’t have a proper job in Poland. It was you know – I had it and I didn’t have at the same time. I was even a postwoman for three days. I did everything. I worked in a factory, in a shop. I was selling pictures, kitchy copies. I did everything apart from prostitution. And, I was fed up with it. I had zero, little money. I managed to pay my bills, and that was all I could afford. You know how it is in Poland, a room for 700zł [approx. £150] and I was earning 140zł [approx. £30] – just terrible.

Renata (Polish, female, lesbian, 30-34)

The reasons [of migration] were purely economic – the issue of how LGBT people are treated here – that was just a bonus.

Ola (Polish, female, bisexual, 30-34)

Well, I’ve always wanted to live abroad. It was in my head all the time. This would be a dream come true. But it was also about the finances – and a better life, right? So, I’d been thinking about it all the time. And when my friend called me and told me it was possible, because I didn’t want it to be a blind shot. So, when he called me – I moved. (…) I’d say that trying something new – a change – was equally important as my dream coming true… and they were equally important as economic reasons. And sexuality – no. It wasn’t important at all.

Przemek (Polish, male, gay, 35-39)

CASE STUDY 2: Educational migration

Gergana moved to Scotland after finishing high school in her native Bulgaria to study at a Scottish university. She was encouraged by her family and her teachers to pursue higher education abroad as a way to secure better job prospects, whether in Bulgaria or abroad. She considered other UK universities, and chose a Scottish university because of the no tuition fee policy. After graduating, she is planning to remain in the UK to work.

I graduated 2014 and September 2014 I came to Scotland, yeah. (…) I decided to come here because of better opportunities than Bulgaria in terms of work and the things that I can actually do with my degree. (…) And Scotland because of the tuition fees, because it’s actually cheaper to come and study in Scotland than in the rest of the UK. (…) I think it’s also cheaper in terms of way of living, but obviously you don’t get to pay tuition fees so that is easier.

Gergana (Bulgarian, female, lesbian, 19-24)

I graduated 2014 and September 2014 I came to Scotland, yeah. (…) I decided to come here because of better opportunities than Bulgaria in terms of work and the things that I can actually do with my degree. (…) And Scotland because of the tuition fees, because it’s actually cheaper to come and study in Scotland than in the rest of the UK. (…) I think it’s also cheaper in terms of way of living, but obviously you don’t get to pay tuition fees so that is easier.

CASE STUDY 3: Couple migration

Igor had met his ex-partner in a gay club in a large Polish city. His ex-partner, a Polish gay man, was in Poland on holiday, but was living in Scotland, and invited Igor to visit him. Igor had never planned to move abroad, but remained in Scotland after starting a relationship with his new ex-partner. He sees his sexuality as loosely related to his reasons for migrating. For Nadiya and her Polish partner Marta, however, being in a same-sex relationship was key to their decision to migrate and to remain in Scotland. They moved with the specific aim of entering a civil partnership and starting a family. They now have a child, and are both named as parents on the child’s birth certificate; their child has a British passport in order to allow both mums to be named as parents, something which would not have been possible had they opted for a Polish passport.

It [sexuality] was the only reason. We knew that in 2005, in December, a civil partnership bill was legalised here… and that’s why we decided to move to Scotland, rather than England. We got our civil partnership in 2009. We wanted to have everything sorted – it’s not about having a paper. A lot of people ask me why we need that… given that we’ve got the same rights. Well, I don’t quite agree with that. It’s not the same rights… Having children for example – we discussed it for a very long time… Having a child is a very responsible and planned decision as long as LGBT people are considered. We wanted our child to be fully-secure – in terms of the law.

You know, I’ve never thought about it. Obviously, the reason why I’m here was that I met a guy. So in that way my sexuality was related, however it wasn’t the main motive in a sense that, I don’t know, I wanted to escape from Poland because I was gay. (…) You know, the reason why I’m here is that I met [name of ex partner].

Igor (Polish, male, gay, 25-29)

I graduated 2014 and September 2014 I came to Scotland, yeah. (…) I decided to come here because of better opportunities than Bulgaria in terms of work and the things that I can actually do with my degree. (…) And Scotland because of the tuition fees, because it’s actually cheaper to come and study in Scotland than in the rest of the UK. (…) I think it’s also cheaper in terms of way of living, but obviously you don’t get to pay tuition fees so that is easier.

Gergana (Bulgarian, female, lesbian, 19-24)

Nadiya (Polish/Ukrainian, female, lesbian, 30-34)

In the interest of preserving participants’ anonymity, all names used in the report are pseudonyms, and some personal details are not given in full. For example, the exact age has been replaced with age bracket; the gender of participants’ children has been omitted (by referring to them with the gender-neutral ‘child’); wherever mentioned, participants’ places of residence in Scotland have been replaced with more general expressions such as ‘Scottish town’, ‘large Scottish city’.
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[1] In the interest of preserving participants’ anonymity, all names used in the report are pseudonyms, and some personal details are not given in full. For example, the exact age has been replaced with age bracket; the gender of participants’ children has been omitted (by referring to them with the gender-neutral ‘child’); wherever mentioned, participants’ places of residence in Scotland have been replaced with more general expressions such as ‘Scottish town’, ‘large Scottish city’.

[2] In the interest of preserving participants’ anonymity, all names used in the report are pseudonyms, and some personal details are not given in full. For example, the exact age has been replaced with age bracket; the gender of participants’ children has been omitted (by referring to them with the gender-neutral ‘child’); wherever mentioned, participants’ places of residence in Scotland have been replaced with more general expressions such as ‘Scottish town’, ‘large Scottish city’.
4. MIGRANT EXPERIENCES IN SCOTLAND

4.1 EXPERIENCES OF EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION

In this section we explore participants’ experiences of employment and education in Scotland. Working in Scotland was viewed as a means to achieving greater material security than in their country of origin, and most participants were able to achieve this in Scotland, although many participants worked below their skills level in low-pay jobs. Participants had overall positive experiences of studying in Scotland, and saw it as a way to secure better job prospects in the future.

4.1.1 Experiences of work in Scotland

For many participants, entry-level or lower-skilled jobs were a stepping stone but a final destination. Some participants talked about jobs that paid the bills but gave them no satisfaction, and often involved physically demanding work, long hours, or shift work; sometimes their working conditions were unsatisfactory, or exploitative. Some participants experienced deskilling and downward social mobility, where it was difficult to come to terms with loss of social status, others considered this a trade-off for a more secure life in Scotland (see case study 4).

Many participants found it easy to secure a job in Scotland compared to their country of origin. However, migrants from non-EU or new EU countries faced more hurdles and restrictions. For example, Ivan, a Belorussian citizen, found his work visa registration requirements an unnecessary bureaucratic maze; two Bulgarian participants spoke of not being able to secure a job or being allowed to work only for a certain number of hours a week owing to restrictions on Bulgarian and Romanian nationals prior to 2014.

Some participants received support in finding a job or in dealing with related practicalities (for example, obtaining a national insurance number, opening a bank account) from other migrants (often co-nationals) as well as supportive colleagues and bosses.

A common challenge experienced at work related to language barriers, particularly for those who had arrived in Scotland with little or no English. This was often experienced when communicating and socialising with colleagues, and sometimes when performing work tasks.

A few participants mentioned difficult relationships at work, where they felt they were treated differently or marginalised because of their nationality, ethnicity or language background (see case study 12).

Workplaces were generally environments where participants were relatively comfortably disclosing their sexual orientation or gender identity, and where prejudice could be challenged when it emerged. A few participants mentioned supportive managers, who had stood by them when they had experienced prejudice at work or had come out to colleagues.

Nonetheless, not all participants felt comfortable being out at work. A few participants felt that being out to clients or colleagues could be damaging or undermining, or a potential source of conflict. This was linked to the nature of their work (e.g. teacher, social worker) or their work environment (for example, working in a male-dominated environment). Two participants felt uncomfortable disclosing their sexual orientation or gender identity, for fear of repercussions. Other participants reported hearing homophobic or transphobic views from clients or colleagues, one participant, while living in England, lost her job in a religious institution when one of the nuns found out she was a lesbian.

For the majority of our research participants, employment opportunities and prospects of greater material security were key reasons for migration. Prior to moving to Scotland, some participants had moved from their country of origin to other parts of the UK or other European or North American countries to pursue employment opportunities (see sections 3.3 and 3.2).

• Nonetheless, not all participants felt comfortable being out at work. A few participants felt that being out to clients or colleagues could be damaging or undermining, or a potential source of conflict. This was linked to the nature of their work (e.g. teacher, social worker) or their work environment (for example, working in a male-dominated environment). Two participants felt uncomfortable disclosing their sexual orientation or gender identity, where it was difficult to come to terms with loss of social status; others considered this a trade-off for a more secure life in Scotland (see case study 4).

• For the majority of our research participants, employment opportunities and prospects of greater material security were key reasons for migration. Prior to moving to Scotland, some participants had moved from their country of origin to other parts of the UK or other European or North American countries to pursue employment opportunities (see sections 3.3 and 3.2).

4.1.2 Experiences of education in Scotland

Almost one third of research participants (9 out of 50) had experience of studying in Scotland. The vast majority of them studied at Scottish universities or colleges. One participant had completed secondary school in Scotland. Several participants had experience of studying either in England or another third country before moving to Scotland.

The majority of university students in the study migrated straight after completing secondary school in their country of origin with the aim of studying abroad. The main reason for choosing Scotland was the no tuition fee policy (i.e., no fees required from EU students for their first undergraduate degree). Familiarity with English, often studied at school, further contributed to their choice (see case study 2).

Gaining further or higher education qualifications in Scotland was seen as a way to secure better job prospects, particularly for mature students who had lived and worked in Scotland for some time. Participants mentioned attending ESOL classes to improve their language skills, and also gaining professional qualifications and degrees (see case study 5).

• The majority of students worked simultaneously to be able to provide for themselves. They often had very intense schedules and spoke of the challenges involved (e.g., limited social life).

• A few participants in the broader sample (non-students) spoke of their aspirations to pursue either higher education or further professional training in Scotland.
It was difficult. Because in Poland we were people, we were important, we did important things. And here we found that we weren’t good enough for a cleaning job. And, it happened a few times. I remember my first phone conversation. The lady on the phone speaks Scottish… it’s not a nice English. She arranges a visit, she mentions a street and I can hardly understand the name. You know how it is — you feel stupid to ask for the fifth time about the same street. I’m there to sell myself, not to be an idiot. Another example, I take several busses and go somewhere, I change three times… I get there and the first question is: ‘Do you have a National Insurance Number?’ I knew this wasn’t a requirement for the job, but was told that if I didn’t have it, I couldn’t apply. So, such things happened all the time. I thought it was tough, that I was insensitive, but in such moments rain would mix with my tears.

**CASE STUDY 4: Experiences of work**

Piotr has lived in Scotland for 9 years and has worked for most of this time as a baker. In his native Poland, Piotr completed a university degree and went on to run a successful voluntary sector organisation. Upon migrating to Scotland, however, he found it impossible to secure a similar job. While Piotr had experienced deskilling and downward social mobility, for other participants, entry-level or low-skilled jobs were stepping stones towards better job prospects. Jakub, a young Polish man with high school education, talks of how easy it was for him to find a job in Scotland and gain promotion.

On the second day (after arrival to Scotland) we went to drop off CVs and in the afternoon of that second day I was at work. I’ve been working there ever since. I’ve made some kind of a career. I started with washing the dishes. Later my boss told me there was time to move on and she came up with an idea… either kitchen or direct contact with customers. And I chose to work on the floor (as a waterer). After a few months of working I became a supervisor and my boss’s protege.

**CASE STUDY 5: Education and job prospects**

Adam, a pilot study participant, used to work as a teacher in Poland and changed line of work when moving to Scotland. At the time of the interview he had lived in Scotland for 7 years and, after working as a care assistant for several years, he had become a support worker. Working as a care worker, he was improving his English and gain relevant experience, he was doing a vocational course which, he expected, would lead to better job prospects.

I think I was just lucky because even with my very limited English I went to job interviews and I got two offers so had to choose between two jobs. I worked as a care assistant with people with dementia for a year and a half, that’s when my English was much better, and I left. I worked with agency and that gave me amazing experience with working with different clients, different people, mixing with different — really, like every day I’d be going somewhere else and would work with different type of clients. And that’s when I gained my confidence, I was told I was really good. I think I was just lucky because even with my very limited English I went to job interviews and I got two offers so had to choose between two jobs. I worked as a care assistant with people with dementia for a year and a half, that’s when my English was much better, and I left. I worked with agency and that gave me amazing experience with working with different clients, different people, mixing with different — really, like every day I’d be going somewhere else and would work with different type of clients. And that’s when I gained my confidence, I was told I was really good. I think I was just lucky because even with my very limited English I went to job interviews and I got two offers so had to choose between two jobs. I worked as a care assistant with people with dementia for a year and a half, that’s when my English was much better, and I left. I worked with agency and that gave me amazing experience with working with different clients, different people, mixing with different — really, like every day I’d be going somewhere else and would work with different type of clients. And that’s when I gained my confidence, I was told I was really good.

**4.2 SECURITY AND WELLBEING**

Feeling secure in Scotland was an important aspect of LGBT migrants’ overall sense of wellbeing. Our participants spoke of the importance of material security and economic stability, as noted, for many seeking greater economic stability was a key reason for migrating. Our participants also spoke about feeling more secure in relation to their LGBT identity: they generally felt they could be more open in Scotland about their sexual orientation and gender identity, and talked about how Scotland’s legal and policy framework on LGBT rights made them feel more protected and recognised. Participants also spoke about the insecurities faced after arriving to and setting in Scotland.

For the majority of our research participants, employment opportunities and related prospects of greater material security were key reasons for moving to Scotland. Participants were generally satisfied with the living standards afforded by their jobs in Scotland, these compared favourably to living standards in their country of origin. Our participants generally earned more money and enjoyed a higher standard of living than in their country of origin. Even relatively low-paid jobs were generally seen to guarantee a more secure and prosperous life. For example, participants talked about how their current jobs in Scotland allowed them to live independently and make ends meet, without having to seek support from family members, and provided them with enough money to buy non-essential items and have hobbies (see case study 6).

Migration to Scotland sometimes led to deskilling and downward social mobility. However, for many this was an acceptable trade-off, compensated by a general sense of economic stability or other forms of material security (e.g. access to home and car ownership, affordable tenure, leisure pursuits, holidays abroad).

For many participants, economic security contributed to a sense of emotional security. This was expressed in interviews through phrases such as having a ‘good life’, a ‘peaceful life’, a ‘normal life’ and of ‘feeling great’ in Scotland. For many, feeling materially and emotionally secure was something that was achieved over time.

Nonetheless, some participants spoke of having a ‘good life’ in their home countries and of the difficulties they faced in Scotland, particularly when they had first arrived.
CASE STUDY 6: Material security

Przemek migrated from Poland because he was seeking a more secure and prosperous life. Over time he realized that ‘felt good’ in Scotland and decided to stay permanently. In his case, economic security contributed to a sense of emotional security. On the other hand, Marcel removed from Hungary in order to study in a Scottish university. As a working student, he soon realized that wages in Scotland were significantly higher than in Hungary. Upon completing his education, he decided to settle down in Scotland despite the fact that he missed Hungary and his lifestyle there.

If the economic situation was much better in Hungary, and the salaries were much higher, I think I would go back to Hungary tomorrow, because I’m missing my country a lot. (…) Being gay means: living a gay kind of lifestyle in Hungary is not a problem at all. There’s no reason for me to leave, but unfortunately, I don’t want to say it. I’m not materialistic, but earning, like, four or five times higher salary with exactly the same job in the UK, is definitely something that really attracted many people, not just gay people.

Marcell (Hungarian, male, gay, 35-39)

4.2.2 Wellbeing and LGBT equality

• Participants also spoke about feeling more secure in relation to their sexual orientation or gender identity in Scotland. Their experiences in Scotland as LGBT persons often compared favourably to the ones they had in their country of origin.
• None of our participants had left their country of origin to flee persecution, and few had experienced violence or blatant discrimination on the basis of their sexual orientation or gender identity. Several participants, however, had experienced more subtle forms of insecurities and marginalisation in their countries of origin, including tense relations with family members, bullying, casual abuse or intimidation, feeling pressured to hide their sexual orientation or gender identity in order to conform to societal expectations, fear of discrimination at the workplace and shaming media or political discourse about LGBT communities.
• Greater acceptance of LGBT persons was often a key factor in migrants’ decision to remain in Scotland, or not to return to their countries of origin.
• Many participants experienced a new sense of freedom upon moving abroad, and felt that they could be more open about their sexual orientation and gender identity, e.g. they did not need to edit out personal information, or be discreet. Many participants spoke about their positive experiences at work, in educational institutions or when using public services (e.g. G.P., registry offices). For many participants, this contributed greatly to their sense of wellbeing and emotional security (see case study 7).
• Public visibility and positive recognition of LGBT persons and rights was seen as evidence that being LGBT is ‘normal’ and unremarkable in Scotland. This was expressed in interviews through phrases such as having a ‘normal life’, being ‘less tense’ in Scotland and ‘this piece of information (having a boyfriend) doesn’t matter’. Participants felt they could be visible as LGBT persons, and that disclosure of their sexual orientation or gender identity was generally received as something ordinary and unremarkable (see case study 8).

• Although Scotland as a whole was widely perceived as accepting of LGBT people, some participants spoke of experiences of being treated differently, because of their sexual orientation or gender identity, of not feeling safe or of not wishing to be out in certain locations and contexts (see section 4.3.1 and case study 11 and 13).

Many participants spoke about the importance of the legal and policy framework in Scotland, and the sense that it was upheld, in making them feel secure. The legal situation in migrants’ countries of origin was often compared to greater recognition of LGBT rights in Scotland, particularly with reference to family rights by and large unavailable to them in their country of origin (legal recognition of same-sex couples and parenting rights). 10 participants had entered a CP or same-sex marriage in Scotland, and 9 had parenting responsibilities. Several participants felt confident that they could report instances of abuse or discrimination (see case study 7 and section 4.3.1). More accepting attitudes towards LGBT people were also associated to broader positive attitudes towards equality and diversity in Scotland (e.g. around race/ethnicity, religion, gender, disability). Attitudes in Scotland were generally considered more liberal than in participants’ countries of origin.

Many participants spoke about the importance of the legal and policy framework in Scotland, and the sense that it was upheld, in making them feel secure. The legal situation in migrants’ countries of origin was often compared to greater recognition of LGBT rights in Scotland, particularly with reference to family rights by and large unavailable to them in their country of origin (legal recognition of same-sex couples and parenting rights). 10 participants had entered a CP or same-sex marriage in Scotland, and 9 had parenting responsibilities. Several participants felt confident that they could report instances of abuse or discrimination (see case study 7 and section 4.3.1). More accepting attitudes towards LGBT people were also associated to broader positive attitudes towards equality and diversity in Scotland (e.g. around race/ethnicity, religion, gender, disability). Attitudes in Scotland were generally considered more liberal than in participants’ countries of origin.
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• More accepting attitudes towards LGBT people were also associated to broader positive attitudes towards equality and diversity in Scotland (e.g. around race/ethnicity, religion, gender, disability). Attitudes in Scotland were generally considered more liberal than in participants’ countries of origin.

• Although Scotland as a whole was widely perceived as accepting of LGBT people, some participants spoke of experiences of being treated differently because of their sexual orientation or gender identity, of not feeling safe or of not wishing to be out in certain locations and contexts (see section 4.3.1 and case study 11 and 13).
I've never seen anybody reacting in any way. Officers would never express any dislike… for example. There's always somebody here that support [LGBT] people. The police with others. There are so many organisations. That fact that we're treated on equal terms. The fact that we're just treated as a couple. The fact that we don't need to explain things. I've kind of noticed that being a homophobe is quite shameful here.

It was amazing that for everyone it was normal that – that she was my girlfriend. And that meant a lot. Nadiya also told her boss and everyone around that she was a homosexual person and everyone said ‘OK’. It was amazing that for everyone it was normal that – that she was my girlfriend. And that meant a lot. Nadiya also told her boss and everyone around that she was a homosexual person and everyone said ‘OK’.

CASE STUDY 7: LGBT equality legislation and wellbeing

For both Iza and Tomek, LGBT-affirmative legislation was a key reason to stay in Scotland. They were in long-term relationships and had moved to Scotland with their respective partners. They spoke extensively of the significance of legal recognition and protection of LGBT communities. They linked equality legislation to more favourable social attitudes towards LGBT people and stressed that they felt secure in Scotland because of the ways the law operates.

CASE STUDY 8: Wellbeing and being oneself

Both Czazyina and Marta used to hide the fact that they were in same-sex relationships in their native Poland. They never held hands, hugged or kissed in public as they feared this would draw people’s attention and trigger negative responses, and recalled being tense and alert among strangers. Upon arrival to Scotland they found that being openly LGBT in public was seen as ordinary and unremarkable. This significantly contributed to their sense of safety, security and feeling ‘at home’. From hate crimes. (…) People know they need to obey certain rules… or (…) And, when you’re among people it’s kind of unacceptable. And… when you’re in good company – it’s simply inappropriate. I’ve kind of noticed that being a homophobe is quite shameful here.

The fact that we don’t need to explain things. The fact that we’re just treated as a couple. The fact that we don’t need to explain things. The fact that we’re just treated as a couple. The fact that we don’t need to explain things. The fact that we’re just treated as a couple. The fact that we don’t need to explain things. The fact that we’re just treated as a couple. The fact that we don’t need to explain things. The fact that we’re just treated as a couple. The fact that we don’t need to explain things. The fact that we’re just treated as a couple.

CASE STUDY 9: Brexit and changes in the legal status of EU migrants

The vast majority of our participants were EU nationals, and a minority of these participants spoke about feeling insecure about their migrant status and ability to remain in Scotland/the UK in the long term, despite interviews being collected before the UK referendum on EU membership (23 June 2016). It is very likely that the vote to leave the EU, and the ensuing change in legal status of EU migrants in the UK, will create more concern and protracted insecurity amongst our participants.11

I don’t know if that’s related to opinions, but I know that in Poland many people would turn their attention at me and Mags if we were holding hands in public places. Here, it doesn’t matter at all. You don’t even think to hide here… for safety reasons. Iza (Polish, female, lesbian, 25-29)

My only fear is that something might go wrong and I will need to go back. In fact, it’s more an anxiety rather than a real fear, so nothing real. Because I don’t know what would have to happen, to be honest. A political problem, that they would do something with migrants? I don’t know. I would really have to. There are fears like that. I’m still unaware of my rights… what I am eligible to and after what time… I already have an idea that I would like to apply for citizenship. I’m aware I will need to wait a very long time.

11 As of 16 November 2016, the UK government had not given any guarantees to EU residents that they will be able to remain unconditionally in the UK, and had not clarified which criteria might be used to ensure their leave to remain. These issues are likely to be part of protracted negotiations between the UK government and the EU after the process of leaving the EU is started early 2017.
4.2.4 Plans for the future and reasons for staying in Scotland

• The vast majority of participants said that they would like to stay in Scotland for the medium to long-term. Many spoke of Scotland as an ‘ideal’ place to live and planned to settle there permanently.

• Most participants did not consider returning to their countries of origin. Only one participant planned to return and two participants spoke of possibly returning in the more distant future.

• Several participants were considering onwards migration, either within Scotland/the UK (e.g. to Glasgow, London, Brighton) or to other countries (e.g. to Canada, Australia). Participants considering onwards migration were most often motivated by job opportunities, more rarely, they were motivated by a desire to live in a country with a sunnier, milder climate.

• Some participants wished to move from small Scottish towns to larger cities, often to take advantage of greater anonymity and opportunities to socialise on the LGBT scene. Some participants living in small Scottish cities and towns found them constraining or conservative (see case study 11). This is in line with the findings of a recent report on LGBT people’s experiences of inequality in Scotland (Equality Network 2015).

• The majority of participants spoke of their migration and resettlement as an ongoing process. The perception of their reasons for migrating and for staying often changed over time, and initial reasons for migrating were sometimes different from reasons for staying. For example, some participants who migrated to pursue higher education in Scotland decided to stay because of better job opportunities and greater legal recognition of LGBT rights.

• Participants’ sexual orientation and gender identity featured much more prominently in motivations for staying in Scotland than in initial reasons for migrating. This was often linked to LGBT equality and general public acceptance of LGBT persons, which generated a sense of wellbeing and greater security for the future (see section 4.2.2 and case study 10; see also case studies 7 and 8). Some participants also entered relationships with Scottish people or other migrants, and viewed settling in Scotland as part of this process.

• A few participants spoke of putting down roots in Scotland, having family and friends in Scotland or reaching an age in which it would be very difficult for them to move abroad or to return to their country of origin.

• Work and education also featured prominently in reasons to stay in Scotland. Many participants spoke of the sense of material security that working in Scotland provided. Many thought that they would be unable to find satisfactory jobs or develop professionally in their countries of origin. A few planned to start a university degree or to pursue further education in a Scottish institution (see case study 10).

• Social and cultural norms were discussed by several participants as contributing to their decision to stay. They spoke of their appreciation of the social etiquette and everyday culture of conviviality that they came across in Scotland.

CASE STUDY 10: Reasons for staying in Scotland

Both Vita and Konrad had complex reasons for staying in Scotland, which differed from their initial motivations to migrate. Vita originally moved to Scotland to pursue a university degree and is currently working in research; however, her sexual orientation was a key consideration in her reasons to stay, alongside lack of job opportunities in her field in Lithuania, a society she perceived as patriarchal and sexist. Comparing her experiences of having a same-sex relationship in Lithuania and in Scotland made her realise how important the greater freedom she experienced in Scotland as a lesbian is to her. Konrad moved from Poland to Scotland when he became frustrated with his job, he moved in with his parents, who were already living in Scotland. Since moving to Scotland, Konrad had his first sexual experiences with men, he went on to date both men and women and started to identify as bisexual.

It [sexuality] became my priority. (…) [It is a key reason] To stay, exactly! Exactly! I know now that it’s for many reasons. (…) Scottish people ask me why I left. (…) Keep telling them, not for the money. Not for work to be true. But for a better life. For a dignified prosperous life in a free and nice place. Where nobody is nosy about someone else’s bed. (…) If you asked me [now] if I wanted to go back – I wouldn’t like to go back to Poland if I had to leave Scotland, I’d go to another country, not to Poland. I don’t know whether I’ll ever return to Poland, most likely I won’t.

Konrad (Polish, male, bisexual, 25-29)
4.3 PREJUDICE AND DISCRIMINATION

The project explored LGBT migrants’ experiences of prejudice and discrimination in Scotland. These issues were explored with a focus on sexuality and ethnicity/nationality. Our participants had overall positive experiences as migrants and as LGBT individuals in Scotland. While a minority of our participants experienced outright discrimination or violence, neither xenophobic nor hom-, bi- or transphobic incidents constituted crimes emerged as a concern for the majority of those with whom we spoke. Nonetheless, many participants experienced more subtle forms of hom-, bi-, and xenophobia that were still prejudice-based in nature. Some of the more subtle experiences of marginalisation and discrimination took the form of ‘microaggressions’, or daily verbal, behavioural and environmental indignities [. . .] that communicate hostile, derogatory threats of violence on social media (see case study 11). Several other participants, however, experienced more subtle forms of discrimination or microaggressions, some of which were potentially crimes (see case study 11): these included:

- casual homophobic abuse on the street;
- marginalisation at work by colleagues or supervisors;
- being asked to move out of a flatshare by homophobic flatmates;
- being the object of malicious gossip on social media;
- deliberately misgendering a transgender person (referring to them by using a form of address that does not reflect the gender with which they identify).

A significant number of participants, however, had experienced prejudice or discrimination in Scotland because of their sexual orientation or gender identity. This is in line with the findings of a recent report on LGBT people’s experiences of inequality in Scotland (Equality Network 2015). Prejudice and discrimination were mostly experienced on the street, at work or on social media specific to certain migrant communities (e.g. a UK-based Polish language website, a Lithuanian language facebook page).

A handful of cases (the most serious ones) involved incidents of verbal or physical confrontations, sustained harassment or threats of violence on social media (see case study 13). Several other participants, however, experienced more subtle forms of discrimination or microaggressions, some of which were potentially crimes (see case study 11): these included:

- casual homophobic abuse on the street;
- marginalisation at work by colleagues or supervisors;
- being asked to move out of a flatshare by homophobic flatmates;
- being the object of malicious gossip on social media;
- deliberately misgendering a transgender person (referring to them by using a form of address that does not reflect the gender with which they identify).

4.3.1 Sexual orientation and gender identity

- Attitudes towards the LGBT community in Scotland were widely seen as positive or neutral. Many participants felt that sexual orientation and gender identity ‘don’t matter’ in everyday interactions, and that in Scotland people are generally more accepting of LGBT people, this often made them feel they could be more open about their sexuality or gender identity (see section 4.2.2 and case study 8).
- Many participants encountered less prejudice around sexual orientation and gender identity in Scotland than in their country of origin. The legal and policy framework in Scotland, supportive of LGBT equality, played an important role in this (see section 4.2.2 and case study 7).
- Some participants had experienced prejudice at the hands of co-nationals; these participants were predominantly Polish, and some perceived the Polish community in Scotland as more homophobic than mainstream Scottish society (see section 5.3.2 and case study 16). However, the cases of discrimination and microaggressions reported in interviews were perpetrated both by local people and by co-nationals.
- Some participants had challenged abuse and discrimination by reporting incidents to the police or to work managers; one incident was taken to court (see case study 13). In most of these cases, participants had received support and the incidents reported had been dealt with. The presence of a legal and policy framework supportive of LGBT equality seemed to make a difference: several participants feel confident that they could report instances of abuse or discrimination, and that they would be taken seriously.
- Several participants reported instances of casual homophobic abuse, or of being singled out because of their sexual orientation in Scotland. Casual homophobic abuse on the street, or on public transport, was a fairly common experience among our participants, although this rarely escalated to full-blown confrontation or violence. In some cases, casual abuse happened on social media specific to certain migrant communities, such as the UK-based Polish language website Eimoto Agnieszka, a lesbian mother from Poland, talks about how she started to avoid Eimoto after seeing a raft of abusive comments about same-sex families, following the legalisation of adoption for same-sex couples in the UK (2000). Roman, a Ukrainian gay man who lives in a small Scottish city, experienced several instances of homophobia, including being asked to move out of two flat shares when his flatmates found out he was gay and being made to feel uncomfortable when buying a gay magazine.

CASE STUDY 11: Experiences of homophobia

Several participants reported instances of casual homophobic abuse, or of being singled out because of their sexual orientation in Scotland. Casual homophobic abuse on the street, or on public transport, was a fairly common experience among our participants, although this rarely escalated to full-blown confrontation or violence. In some cases, casual abuse happened on social media specific to certain migrant communities, such as the UK-based Polish language website Eimoto Agnieszka, a lesbian mother from Poland, talks about how she started to avoid Eimoto after seeing a raft of abusive comments about same-sex families, following the legalisation of adoption for same-sex couples in the UK (2000). Roman, a Ukrainian gay man who lives in a small Scottish city, experienced several instances of homophobia, including being asked to move out of two flat shares when his flatmates found out he was gay and being made to feel uncomfortable when buying a gay magazine.

“I will never, I will never want my child to be adopted by two guys or two women because that’s just fucking ask from the start, and things like this. And I’ve got a child and my child is with two women and it is absolutely brilliant and there’s nothing wrong with [the child] and it’s the happiest child ever, and it’s hard thing, as is (pause) I have really tried to avoid that because that makes me really really upset, because I know it’s not the and I always, my child is my child, I always challenge people and say. I ask ‘how many gay people you know actually?’ I don’t want to know anybody any gay, I don’t want to be even close to them’, “so how you can say anything about them”.

Agnieszka (Polish, female, lesbian, 35-39)

[After that I moved in with a Polish family with which I lived for 3 years. And I had to leave that place because they found out that I was gay. And despite not telling me directly, they did everything to make me move away. Then I lived at a Scottish woman’s place and again, when she found out she did everything to make me move out. Very fiercely. And at the moment I live with another Scottish woman who doesn’t know anything about me, but it’s been good so far. So far it’s been good. Besides, once, when I came back from Ukraine – I don’t go there too often, once a year or once every two years . . . here in a shop nearby, it’s called Co-Operative, I was buying the Attitude magazine – it’s a gay magazine here [. . .]. And I saw the eyes of the cashier when I was buying it. ‘So people’s attitudes towards sexual minorities aren’t good here in Scotland’ at all.

Roman (Ukrainian, male, gay, 35-39)
4.3.2 Ethnicity

- Many participants spoke of positive and respectful interactions with local people; they said that they were made to feel welcome and mentioned friendly gestures and support. Some participants perceived Scotland, and the UK as a whole, as more multicultural and accepting of ethnic diversity on a general public level than participants’ countries of origin (see also section 4.2.2).

- Scotland was sometimes compared with England, and was generally viewed as more welcoming of migrants. Several participants also reflected on the role of the media and of prominent British politicians in fuelling negative attitudes towards migrants. Some of these participants believed that CEE/FSU migrants were misrepresented in the media and in political debates, and that their everyday lives were negatively affected because of that.

- Many participants felt ‘different’ in Scotland because of their ethnicity/nationality, and because of language or cultural barriers. This was not always linked to experiencing xenophobic prejudice: ethnicity/nationality, and because of language or cultural barriers. Some participants, however, experienced more subtle instances of prejudice or discrimination.

- Xenophobic prejudice or discrimination was largely experienced in public or semi-public spaces (e.g. the workplace, the street). Overtly or implicitly discriminatory practices at work included language discrimination (unfair treatment of an individual based on migrants’ use of their native language, often by customers rather than co-workers or supervisors); questioning migrants’ professional qualifications or fair judgement at work; and arranging shifts so as migrants from particular regions worked together and in isolation from local people (see case study 12).

- Patronising attitudes towards migrants’ countries of origin (e.g. remarks about particular countries being ‘all rural’ or ‘undeveloped’).

- Participants spoke more rarely about xenophobic incidents compared to homophbic, biphobic or transphobic abuse; they also spoke more rarely about reporting xenophobic abuse (e.g. to the police, to work managers). It is worth noting, however, that due to the focus of the study the theme of xenophobic prejudice and discrimination was discussed in less detail in the interviews compared to homo-, bi- and transphobic prejudice. Some participants suggested that for LGBT migrants from CEE/FSU it could be more difficult to challenge prejudices around ethnicity or nationality than around sexual orientation or gender identity.

- Participants largely focused on personal experiences of xenophobia. A few, nonetheless, reported systematic instances of prejudice or discrimination.

CASE STUDY 12: Experiences of xenophobia

Below two research participants, Ola and Marta, recall xenophobic incidents which happened at their workplaces (a hotel and a cinema respectively). The instances reported here are illustrative of verbal abuse that a number of research participants reported. Ola draws attention to the issue of language discrimination in the experience of a few participants, prejudice was articulated in response to the use of a language different than English. Marta talks about a situation when a client at the cinema where she works wanted to buy a ticket for his son, despite the film being rated as suitable for 15 or over, and his son being much younger.

We were coming back from a pub in a car. A few people. And, I had to stop the car because there were two girls and one of them stood in the middle of the road, and it was just impossible to go around her. She was shouting something. And, I was stupid enough to use the horn. (…) She flipped out. She had taken some drugs as we found out later. She vandalised my car. She shouted at him. We wanted to pull her back. She started to call us n*****. (…) The discussion about Poles taking British jobs was already on. And she shouted that we were Poles, this and that. And, later one of the girls started to use words such as ‘faggots’ and many other ugly terms… which are commonly understood to be offensive. So, they were quite accurate about that, because everybody in that car was gay. (…) So, it was a double bashing. (…) The police came. They were arrested. There was a court [case]. Lots of things. But, it was the only [bad] thing that happened to us here [in Scotland].

Tomek (Polish, male, gay, 35-39)

CASE STUDY 13: Intersectional discrimination

That was at work, at the beginning, the clients mainly. (…) We quite often have a problem when it comes to IDs. If the film is from 15 years we quite often ask for a passport or a Young Scotland Card. (…) And it was in relation to that when I was (dubbed) a foreigner and that adjective ‘fucking’ had come up.

Marta (Polish, female, lesbian, 30-34)

Ola (Polish, female, bisexual, 30-34)

Intersectional discrimination

What Tomek, a Polish gay man, discusses here is an example of intersectional discrimination where he and his friends were singled out and abused both because of their sexual orientation and their ethnicity. The incident was reported to the police and then taken to court.
Creating new social networks was a common challenge faced by participants after moving to Scotland. Social networks were important sources of practical support in adapting to life in Scotland upon arrival, while they also significantly contributed to migrants’ wellbeing and sense of belonging. This section explores the role of ethnicity, language and sexual/ gender identity in shaping migrants’ social networks and sense of belonging.

5.1 MIGRATION TO SCOTLAND AND SOCIAL NETWORKS
- Common challenges faced after moving to Scotland related to language and cultural barriers and social isolation.
- Many of our participants moved to Scotland either with, or in order to join:
  - a partner
  - other family members (e.g. children, parents, siblings)
  - friends and acquaintances from their country of origin
  - A smaller but significant number of our participants migrated to Scotland for several years.

5.2 MIGRANTS’ PERSONAL COMMUNITIES
- Participants were asked to draw a graph (sociogram) of the most significant personal relationships. Sociograms were used to chart the ‘personal communities’ in which migrants are embedded, and the ways in which people give and receive companionship, intimacy and support – whether this is with family members, friends, or other significant ties (Pahl and Spencer 2010). Migrants’ personal communities were discussed in stage 1 interviews, using the sociograms produced by participants as a prompt.

5.2.1 Transnational social networks
- Transnational social ties included family and friends in participants’ countries of origin; many also included people based in other parts of the UK or third countries, particularly for participants who had a complex migration history.

5.2.2 Social networks within Scotland
- A shared national and linguistic background emerged as a significant factor in participants’ personal communities.
  - a. All migrants were in long-term relationships with partners from the same country of origin (16), of which 14 were relationships between two Polish partners.
  - b. Many of our participants’ close friendships and broader social networks in Scotland included a large number of nationals. This was especially the case with Polish participants, and is linked to the presence of a sizable Polish community in Scotland.

5.3 CASE STUDY 14: Isolation and rebuilding social networks

Ola moved to Scotland with her Polish partner three months prior to the interview and missed her immediate family in Poland a great deal (Igor). It was difficult to make new friends at the beginning of his stay in Scotland because he worked long hours and spent the rest of his time with his Polish boyfriend and flatmates. Over time, however, his friendship network expanded, and included local people as well as migrants from other countries. Ola moved to Scotland with her Polish partner three months prior to the interview and missed her immediate family in Poland a great deal. She was very close to her family’s not here. And, to be honest, that’s the only problem for me. As I said, when you are close – which is sometimes an issue. But, well, I miss them.

I am all alone, unfortunately. I don’t have anyone to go out with. Even, even on Christmas I am alone and I have no one to meet. Unfortunately.

Roman (Polish/Ukrainian, male, gay, 35-39)

The most difficult thing for me is the fact that my family is not here. And, to be honest, that’s the only problem for me. As I said, when you are close – which is sometimes an issue. But, well, I miss them.

Ola (Polish, female, bisexual, 30-34)

Lack of friends, you know, I was limited to the flat where I lived with my boyfriend and two other people. After the whole day at work in a hotel, I was coming back and I was closed in the same surroundings, in fact.

Igor (Polish, male, gay, 25-29)

I’m all alone, unfortunately. I don’t have anyone to go out with. Even, even on Christmas I am alone and I have no one to meet. Unfortunately.

Roman (Polish/Ukrainian, male, gay, 35-39)
• Migrants from other countries also featured in participants’ sociograms. Some participants were in long-term relationships with partners of other nationalities (e.g., including a Polish-Bulgarian and a Ukrainian-Polish couple). Many participants also included migrants from other countries as friends in their sociograms. Some participants found that language and cultural barriers were less significant in interacting with other migrants (e.g., English spoken by both parties as a second language, ability to understand or communicate across CEE/FSU languages, shared experience of migration). The opportunity to meet friends from other parts of the world in Scotland was highly valued by some participants.

5.3 ETHNICITY AND SOCIAL NETWORKS

5.3.1 Engagement with co-nationals and other migrants in Scotland

• Several participants spoke of a shared language and culture as an important aspect of current relationships with co-nationals (many of which had started in their country of origin, prior to migration). Some single participants wished to find a partner from the same national or linguistic background in Scotland; this was linked to finding cultural and language differences difficult to negotiate in intimate relationships, particularly in relationships with Scottish or British partners (less so in relationships with other migrants).
• Particularly for Polish participants, shared nationality and cultural/linguistic background were also important in shaping friendship and support networks in Scotland (see case study 15).
• As noted, support from co-nationals or other migrants, including sharing information, sharing accommodation, practical help and emotional support was often important upon arrival in Scotland.
• Some participants found developing friendships with co-nationals easier because of a shared culture and language, social etiquette and circumstances (e.g., working with co-nationals, or other migrants, who had migrated to Scotland in similar circumstances).
• Participants with more limited English language skills often felt they could understand co-nationals better, and that their opportunities to socialise and get to know local people were much more limited.
• For some participants, having a partner, family members or long-term friends from their country of origin in Scotland contributed to their wellbeing and to a sense of having a ‘home away from home’.
• Several Polish participants were involved in community organisations or initiatives with co-nationals; these included cultural organisations (e.g., a Polish-Scottish theatre group, the Interactive Salon of [Polish] Writers in Scotland); volunteering for community initiatives (e.g., interpreting for co-nationals with poor English, offering support to those experiencing work discrimination); and helping newly arrived co-nationals (e.g., by offering accommodation, practical help).

CASE STUDY 15: The importance of co-nationals in social networks

Many participants received practical and emotional support from co-nationals in Scotland. Jakub migrated to Scotland because his Polish friend Przemek encouraged him to ‘try it out’ when Jakub was experiencing personal difficulties in Poland. Upon arrival, Przemek and a Polish girl Jakub had just met helped him to find a job and deal with practicalities. For both Karolina and Renata, close ties with co-nationals contributed to their sense of wellbeing and ‘feeling at home’. Karolina joined her Polish partner in Scotland, and her closest ties in Scotland were with her niece and nephew, who had moved to Scotland after her. Similarly, Renata mostly relied on friends from Poland.

Part of my pack from Poland is here [Scotland] and probably that’s why I’ve been here that long. That’s probably why I’m still here – because of my friends and acquaintances.

Renata (Polish, female, lesbian, 30-34)

It’s not about relationships with other Polish people but with my family, because first and foremost this is my family. It doesn’t matter that they’re Polish. Because with other Polish people, maybe we’re not looking for meeting new people. The relationships that we’ve got, or are there already, they are mostly Polish. Besides that friend we’ve got in Aberdeen, who has Scottish fiancé. He is the nicest guy ever, we went for a motorbike trip together... Yeah, there are mostly Polish people. We don’t have any close relationships with the Scots, besides some loose friendships at work for example.

Karolina (Polish, female, lesbian, 40-44)

That was Przemek mainly, however that [Polish] girl I told you about – we worked together in the hotel. However, Przemek, yeah? Really, the flat, some financial support as well. I think, but it was like, ‘We are going to look for work. I will help you. You’ll go with the CV and I’ll show you a few places’. He posted a question on Facebook. The head chef from my place answered that they were looking for someone, so we went there as well. And I came back to work there. (Also) My bank account, NINo, how to get to the city, to work, all those things...

Jakub (Polish, male, gay, 19-24)
5.3.2 Disengagement from co-nationals

- Several migrants found socialising only or primarily with co-nationals restrictive, and made a particular effort to meet local people, or a broader range of people of different nationalities. Again, this disengagement with co-nationals was especially significant among some Polish migrants, who had more access to co-nationals and to Polish community spaces in Scotland.

- Some participants were more isolated from co-nationals, and included both LGBT and non-LGBT Polish friends.

CASE STUDY 16: Disengaging from co-nationals

Justyna and her partner, both from Poland, found relationships with other Poles uncomfortable at times. All-Polish environments, such as her partner’s workplace, could be very gossipy and claustrophobic. Coming across homophobic attitudes in these environments made Justyna and her partner wary of disclosing being in a same-sex relationship. Despite the strong and emotional language she uses here, Justyna was not completely negative about Polish migrants; indeed, her personal network in Scotland was dominated by co-nationals, and included both LGBT and non-LGBT Polish friends.

5.3.3 Engagement with long-settled population in Scotland

- Most participants had overall positive relations with local people in Scotland, and perceived Scottish people to be generally friendly, helpful, pleasant and polite, whether they met them in formal or informal situations. Nonetheless, some participants experienced or witnessed prejudice and discrimination from local people, based on their ethnicity as well as on sexual orientation/gender identity (see section 4.3 and case studies 11, 12 and 13).

- Many participants met local people through work and reported very warm and supportive relationships with some colleagues, who eventually became friends. Other participants had good but more superficial relations with local people at work, and included them in socio-circles as acquaintances. Some participants met local people through their studies, hobbies, LGBT dating sites or existing friends. For some participants, developing meaningful relationships with local people took some time (see case studies 17 and 18).

- A significant number of participants had received support and practical help from local people, including e.g. help with finding accommodation or moving home, donating furniture, providing language support, accessing services. Some participants had been championed or supported at work by local bosses or colleagues (e.g. facilitating career progression, support with dealing with instances of homo- or transphobia at work) (see case study 17).

- Having relationships or close friendships, feeling accepted by local people and feeling part of local communities were sometimes mentioned as important in participants’ plans to remain, and in making them feel ‘at home’ in Scotland.

Being with Polish people here [in Scotland] – you need to make choices. I guess the worst thing is to work with other Poles here. Because, it’s a nightmare – the Polish hell in a nutshell. I know that because my flatmates, both current and previous, worked with Poles. And, they say it’s a cesspool. A cesspool of gossip. Unfortunately, my own [name of partner] used to work with Poles a couple of years ago. She had to hide terribly [hide her sexuality]. She had to hide me. I was referred to as Michał [male name]. They would steal her phone to see who texted her. In short, everybody needed to know everything about everybody else. It was sick. That’s why we try to control information about us. It’s because of other Poles. But, there are wonderful [Polish] people here as well. We’ve got these two friends, the girls.

Justyna (Polish, female, lesbian, 35-39)
CASE STUDY 17: Local friends, support and belonging

Over time many participants developed meaningful relationships with local people. In Marta’s case, the friendship she found was in a way ‘unexpected’ as she had arrived to Scotland with no knowledge of English. Przemek talks about receiving a lot of practical and emotional support from his Scottish friend.

I’ve got two friends in [name of city in Scotland] – one’s Scottish and the other one’s Polish. (…) There’s [name of friend] – he’s Scottish. We’re mates; I could say we’re friends. He helps me with… for example, I needed to make a phone call about the Internet connection… And I’m still not great when it comes to phone conversations – so I asked him to call for me. And, he helped me with that. Also, I needed to find a GP… and I didn’t know where to go… so he helped me too. He also took me to hospital when I had a health emergency. He spoke for me, explained everything. So, he helps me with the issues that I struggle with as a foreigner. But, we also spend a lot of time together – we play football together, we go out.

Przemek (Polish, male, gay, 35-39)

I never thought that, from the perspective of the language, I will be able to create a friendship like that. (…) It’s a very interesting kind of friendship, because it isn’t that, that we… it’s obvious that we see each other at work almost. (…) In general, I see her five times per week and we know each other through and through, really. (…) And that’s a very interesting friendship, because although we come from completely different countries and, and I don’t know, we just got closer.

Marta (Polish, female, lesbian, 30-34)

CASE STUDY 18: Cultural and language barriers

Many participants spoke of language and cultural barriers when talking about socialising with local people and developing close ties with them. Hania initially found Scottish accents difficult to understand, and this impacted on her confidence and ability to socialise. Kosta, a university student from Bulgaria, initially found it easier to socialise with other international students, because they relied less on shared cultural meanings than his Scottish or British peers. Krzysztof felt unable to overcome language and cultural barriers; he found that the Scottish men he met through dating websites were not interested in making an effort to overcome language and cultural barriers to get to know him, and his relationships with them did not go beyond casual sex. While Hania and Kosta had gradually started to socialise with local people, Krzysztof mainly socialised with other Poles, and had only one British friend, despite having lived in the UK for 10 years.

In the beginning, I was mostly kind of hanging out with (…) international [people]. And throughout university as well, I mostly used to kind of hang out with international students. So, a guy from Germany, a girl from Estonia, Slovenia. But a few locals as well, but a bit less. I mean, I remember, in the student halls, you know, when we would kind of gather in the evenings, and I wouldn’t, I wouldn’t always understand what they were talking about or joking about… because I, you know, I didn’t know the bands that they were talking about, or I didn’t know about the TV shows that they were talking about, or the celebrities, or these kind of things, that it’s all common to them, but I had no experience of it, I had no idea what they were talking about.

Kosta (Bulgarian, male, gay, 25-29)

I’ve got a problem with the accent here. I can’t understand people, it hinders getting to know people. And, you know… and felt I was behind. It wasn’t the stage at which I could enter a group and feel relaxed to talk. It has started to change recently, because I have opened up a little and I try to have a social life, whatever social life.

Hania (Polish, female, lesbian, 25-29)

I guess, it’s also easier to get to know a sexual partner when you share a common language. If you’re not Scottish, if you’re not a Brit, if you’re not good or fluent in English, people don’t feel like taking the effort to get to know you. The majority of my acquaintances… (…) When I meet sexual partners – just to have sex… They’re usually migrants from other countries rather than British people. When British people hear that you’ve got a different accent, they just ignore you. So, I’m much more likely to go out with a Brazilian… I’ve been recently going out with a half-Chilean half-Spanish.

Krzysztof (Polish, male, gay, 45-49)
5.4 LANGUAGE, CULTURE AND SOCIAL NETWORKS

• The vast majority of participants were fluent in English at the time of fieldwork. Most had prior knowledge of English, and were relatively fluent in English upon moving to Scotland. A few participants, however, had little or no English upon arrival.

• For the majority of our participants, language was the most challenging issue in the initial period of settlement. This impacted on their ability to communicate in everyday situations, confidence in social interactions and patterns of socialising (see case study 18).

• Some of our participants had attended ESOL classes to improve their English, sometimes in conjunction with other college courses. Others had improved their English skills by practising it in everyday interactions with colleagues, friends, acquaintances and partners.

• Many participants spoke of how language barriers were an obstacle in socialising with local people, particularly in the initial stages of settlement. However, for many participants it was still difficult to fully understand language subtleties and cultural meanings, even having spent a long time in Scotland and having developed a good level of English. This was compounded by the complexities of language use within Scotland and the UK (e.g. Scots words, regional Scottish or UK accents such as Doric, Glaswegian, Brummie).

• Barriers to social interaction concerned not only language competence, but also cultural references and rules of everyday interactions which participants found sometimes difficult to master (e.g. small talk, humour, references to specific TV shows or books, speaking about the weather, speaking to strangers, using courtesy phrases such as good morning, thank you, sorry, the social etiquette of inviting people for dinner or socialising in a pub).

• For some participants (particularly those with more basic English skills), this made interactions with local people more superficial: they found it difficult to go beyond the niceties and to develop meaningful friendships or relationships.

• Participants often communicated with other migrants from non-English speaking backgrounds in English, however, participants often found speaking in English to other migrants easier and more comfortable, because they had similar levels of language competence in English and relied less on shared cultural background (e.g. references to specific films, political events) (see case study 18).

• Some participants spoke about being better able to express their emotions in their first language. Some participants spoke about missing their native language, and of choosing to read books or media from their country of origin. This was also a way of maintaining a link and sense of belonging to their country of origin. Language was sometimes seen as ‘second nature’ and as something intimately linked to participants’ identity.

• A small number of Polish participants were able to get by in their everyday lives by speaking mostly Polish. This was possible because Polish was the dominant or only language spoken at home, at work and in their social networks. This, however, often also led to decreased motivation or fewer opportunities to improve their English language skills.

• Ethnic complexities within the CEE and FSU region meant that several of our participants were able to speak and understand other languages from the region. For example, some participants had grown up in a bilingual environment (e.g. Russian/Polish, Latvian/Russian, Belarusian/Russian, Russian/Lithuanian). Others had developed some knowledge of another language from the region (e.g. many older participants had been taught Russian at school, or were able to understand other Slavonic languages from the region (e.g. Polish, Czech, Slovak). This sometimes facilitated mutual help, understanding and closer relationships among migrants from the CEE/FSU region.

5.5 SEXUAL ORIENTATION/GENDER IDENTITY AND SOCIAL NETWORKS

• Most participants included other LGBT-identified people in their sociograms as partners and friends; many had several LGBT-identified close friends. All participants included in their sociograms family members (irrespective of their sexual orientation/gender identity) and non-LGBT friends and acquaintances.

• A good connection and common interests, experiences and views were seen as important to create meaningful social ties, irrespective of a shared sexual orientation, gender identity or national/cultural background. However, for many participants, acceptance and being able to be themselves as LGBT people were important in developing and maintaining close relationships with friends and family (see case study 19).

• For many participants, being out to close friends and family was important, because it meant being able to fully share details of their relationships and personal experiences. In this respect, sexual orientation and gender identity shaped their social networks.

• Some of our participants were only open about their sexual orientation or gender identity to some of the people they included in their sociograms. They often compartmentalise their personal communities into spaces/networks where they were out and spaces/networks where they were not out. Family networks and social networks in their countries of origin were often mentioned as uncomfortable to negotiate.

• For some, socialising with other LGBT people, or in LGBT spaces, was important, or had been important in the past (e.g. involvement in activism in country of origin, having LGBT peers in period of coming out). After moving to Scotland, some participants participated in, or volunteered for, LGBT community initiatives such as language cafes, LGBT family meetups, a polyamorous support group, LGBT societies at Scottish universities colleges; themed music events at a gay club (see case study 19).

• Some examples of grassroots migrant solidarity had developed specifically around LGBT issues. Some Polish participants had instigated a regular meetup specifically aimed at Polish gay migrants, to meet in a space where they would feel comfortable both as gay men and as Polish migrants. This initiative was short-lived, although some members of the original network were still in contact. Some Polish participants had helped LGBT co-nationals settle in Scotland, or provided information and support to couples travelling to Scotland to enter a civil partnership or same-sex marriage, even if these are not recognised under Polish law.

• Some participants had no active interest in frequenting LGBT spaces, either through lack of interest in the commercial scene or community organisations, or because they felt that in Scotland, unlike in their country of origin, they did not need to socialise in LGBT spaces in order to be able to ‘be themselves’.

• Some participants experienced language and cultural barriers when socialising in LGBT spaces similar to those experienced in interactions with local people more generally (see case study 18).

• Bisexual and transgender participants did not always find LGBT community and commercial spaces in Scotland welcoming, or relevant for them. For example, a bisexual participant felt marginalised at a meet-up for LGBT families she attended with her husband where he was the only man and was ignored by the other women attending. One transgender participant was disappointed that his local LGBT youth group did not include any other transgender persons.

• Virtual spaces (e.g. LGBT information websites, dating sites) were, for many participants, more important than physical ones (e.g. LGBT venues, community spaces). For example, many participants met potential partners or new friends through the internet more frequently than in clubs or community spaces.
CASE STUDY 19: Gender identity, sexual orientation and social networks

For many participants, such as Daniel and Vita, acceptance and being able to be themselves as LGBT individuals were important in creating close social ties in Scotland. Daniel, a young trans man from Poland, had moved to Scotland as a teenager with his mum and siblings. He had begun the process of transitioning after arriving in Scotland, and had come out to members of his immediate family living in Scotland; all of them had eventually come to terms with his new gender identity. Daniel was asked by his sister to be her child’s godfather, which was a really important sign of acceptance for him. Besides members of his immediate family, Daniel included in his sociogram two Scottish men: an older friend he affectionately called ‘uncle’, who had given him a lot of practical and emotional support, and his boss. The latter had been very supportive of Daniel’s transition, including promptly providing him with a male uniform, re-introducing him to other staff as Daniel and explaining to them how they should address him (see Daniel’s sociogram above). The other two quotes below are from Vita and Roxana. Vita moved into a flat with four Czech girls, unknown to her, all of them were lesbians. They became very close friends, and supported Vita throughout the process of coming out, elsewhere in the interview, Vita referred to them as her ‘gay sisters’. Roxana found it particularly important to socialise in LGBT-friendly spaces; she saw them as safe, welcoming and good places to find friends.

I met a girl who’s my friend now, well I think [laugh], I hope, and she’s really cool and she told me about an LGBT badminton club, that I joined in January, so that was pretty cool. There’s a social element as well. We go to the pub after, to [name of pub] afterwards, and it’s every week so that’s cool. It’s good for socialising. And then I met another person at the next lesbian party and she told me about the LGBT centre and that they have this thing called the language cafe, which I go to, and it’s like every two weeks (…)

Roxana (Romanian, female, lesbian, 25-29)
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