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Abstract (228 words)

Background:
During the post discharge phase following a heart failure hospitalisation (HFH), patients are at high risk of early readmission despite standard of care therapy. We examined the impact of chronic exposure to ivabradine on early readmissions in patients hospitalised for heart failure during the course of the SHIFT study (Systolic Heart Failure treatment with the If inhibitor ivabradine Trial).

Methods and results:
1186 of the 6505 randomised patients experienced at least one HFH during the study, and were more severe than those without HFH. Of these 1186 patients, 334 patients (28%) were rehospitalised within 3 months for any reason, mostly for cardiovascular causes (86%), including HFH (61%). Ivabradine was associated with fewer all-cause hospitalisations at one month (incidence rate ratio (IRR): 0.70, 95% CI 0.50 to 1.00 p<0.05), 2 months (IRR 0.75, 95% CI 0.58 to 0.98, p=0.03), and 3 months (IRR 0.79, 95% CI 0.63 to 0.99, p=0.04). A trend for a reduction in cardiovascular and HF hospitalisations was also observed in ivabradine-treated patients.

Conclusion:
We demonstrate in this post hoc analysis that chronic exposure to ivabradine reduces the incidence of all cause hospitalisations during the vulnerable phase after a HFH. Further studies are needed to investigate if in-hospital or early post discharge initiation of ivabradine could be useful to improve early outcomes in patients hospitalised for HF.
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**Introduction**

The number of hospitalisations for heart failure remains high in many European countries, representing 1-2% of all hospital admissions.\(^1\) In comparison to outpatients with chronic heart failure, patients hospitalised for heart failure have high rates of readmission. A recent European registry reports a rate of 1-year hospitalisation as high as 44% after discharge, versus 32% in outpatients.\(^2\) These alarming figures have a considerable impact on both healthcare cost and prognosis. Patients hospitalised for heart failure are particularly at risk for death or rehospitalisation in the first weeks following discharge, while risk decreases significantly after 3 to 6 months.\(^3\) In Europe, 3 months after discharge, a quarter of patients had been rehospitalised, and 13.5% had died.\(^6\) This immediate post discharge period has been referred as the “vulnerable phase”.\(^7\)

Patients with heart failure often present with multiple comorbidities, which put them at a higher risk for recurrent hospitalisations, whatever the cause.\(^8\) The need for an effective treatment reducing the global burden of rehospitalisation -whether of cardiac or non-cardiac causes- is crucial. In SHIFT (Systolic Heart failure treatment with the \(I_f\) inhibitor ivabradine Trial), heart rate reduction with ivabradine was associated with a 26% risk reduction of first heart failure hospitalisation, and 11% risk reduction of first all-cause hospitalisation.\(^9\)

Since reducing the burden of rehospitalisations during the vulnerable phase is of critical clinical importance, we analyse here the effect of chronic exposure to ivabradine versus placebo on all-cause recurrent hospitalisations occurring up to 3 months after a hospitalisation for worsening heart failure in the SHIFT trial.

**Methods**
The complete design and results of the SHIFT trial have been previously reported.\textsuperscript{9,10} Briefly, SHIFT was a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in outpatients with symptomatic and stable heart failure ($\geq$4 weeks), systolic dysfunction (left ventricular ejection fraction $\leq$35%), heart rate $\geq$70 bpm, and in sinus rhythm. All subjects had been hospitalised for worsening heart failure in the year before inclusion. In total, 6505 patients treated with guideline-recommended therapy were randomised to placebo or ivabradine (starting dose 5 mg bid, titrated to 7.5 mg or 2.5 mg bid, according to heart rate and tolerability). The primary study endpoint was a composite of cardiovascular mortality or hospitalisation for worsening heart failure. Secondary endpoints included both individual components of the composite endpoint, all-cause mortality, heart failure mortality, and all-cause hospitalisation, among others. All hospitalisations were adjudicated by an endpoint validation committee. Diagnosis of heart failure as a main reason for hospitalisation had to be confirmed.

In the present study, we identified SHIFT patients who had had at least one heart failure hospitalisation during the trial, and analysed events subsequent to that hospitalisation during the vulnerable phase. This vulnerable phase was defined as the 3 months after the date of admission for a first hospitalisation due to worsening heart failure, and, thus, includes the period of hospitalisation. In this population, the median duration of hospitalisation was 8 days. We considered the total number of events that occurred during a selected timeframe (1, 2, and 3 months) after the first admission for worsening heart failure. Readmissions after a heart failure hospitalisation are known to be driven by both cardiac and non-cardiac causes.\textsuperscript{4} Thus our analysis focuses on all-cause rehospitalisations. Hospitalisations due to cardiovascular cause or due to heart failure are also described.
This study is a post hoc analysis of SHIFT data. Therefore, statistical methods and
analysed population were selected a posteriori. Disposition of the population was described by
counting the number of patients with a recurring event during the vulnerable phase for each
treatment group. Baseline characteristics are shown as means±standard deviations (SD) for
continuous variables, and numbers and percentages for categorical variables. Baseline
characteristics of patients with at least one heart failure hospitalisation during the study were
compared with those of patients who had no heart failure hospitalisation. Comparison was
done in the pooled treatment groups, using a Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables and
\( \chi^2 \) test for categorical variables. In addition, we present the baseline characteristics of patients
rehospitalised for any cause within 3 months after the first heart failure hospitalisation.
Treatment effect between ivabradine and placebo groups was measured as the incidence rate
ratio (IRR) for recurrent hospitalisations during the vulnerable phase (all-cause, due to
cardiovascular cause, or due to heart failure). Each IRR was calculated using a Poisson
regression model (with correction for overdispersion), with censoring time at 1, 2, and 3
months after the first hospital admission for worsening heart failure. In addition, IRRs were
adjusted for the following prognostic factors at baseline: beta-blocker intake (also used as a
stratification factor for randomisation); New York Heart Association (NYHA) class;
ischaemic cause of heart failure; age; systolic blood pressure; heart rate; left ventricular
ejection fraction; and glomerular filtration rate, estimated using the Modification in Diet in
Renal Disease equation.\(^{11}\) Associated 95% confidence intervals (CI) and p values (two-sided
test) are presented, with a p value <0.05 considered as significant. The cumulative incidence
rate of all-cause rehospitalisations was plotted across time for each treatment group, using the
Nelson-Aalen’s estimator. Death rates were calculated at 1, 2 and 3 months after the first
hospital admission for worsening heart failure in both ivabradine and placebo groups. SAS
(statistical analysis system) version 9.1 and R version 2.14.0 were used for analyses.
Results

In total, 1186 of the 6505 randomised patients experienced at least one hospitalisation for heart failure during the study. The breakdown of participants and events in this population is presented in Figure 1. A total of 334 patients (28%) were rehospitalised within 3 months for any reason (131 [25%] in the ivabradine group, and 203 [30%] in placebo). The reasons for rehospitalisations were cardiovascular for 286 (86%) patients (109 [83%] ivabradine, 177 [87%] placebo), and worsening heart failure for 204 (61%) patients (78 [60%] ivabradine, 126 [62%] placebo). A total of 85 patients experienced at least two recurrent hospitalisations for any cause after the first heart failure hospitalisation during the study (27 ivabradine, 58 placebo). Of these, 53 had at least two recurrent hospitalisations due to cardiovascular cause (16 ivabradine, 37 placebo), and 27 due to heart failure cause (7 ivabradine, 20 placebo).

Baseline characteristics of patients who had at least one hospitalisation for heart failure during the study were compared with those who had no heart failure hospitalisation (Table 1). Overall, the two groups of patients differed significantly in many respects. As compared with patients with no heart failure readmission, those who had at least one heart failure hospitalisation were more likely to be older, to have a higher heart rate, a lower blood pressure, a lower left ventricular ejection fraction, a lower glomerular filtration rate, and were more likely to be in NYHA class III or IV. As regards their medical history, hospitalised patients had a longer duration of heart failure, and were more likely to have renal failure, diabetes, atrial fibrillation and/or flutter, and to have had a history of stroke. Both groups of patients also differed in terms of their concomitant treatments: patients hospitalised for heart failure during the study were more likely to be treated with mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists, other diuretics, and digitalis at baseline as compared with patients who had no
heart failure rehospitalisation. On the other hand, patients hospitalised for heart failure during the study were less likely to be prescribed beta-blockers or angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors at baseline, and fewer patients were receiving ≥50% of target dose of beta-blocker as compared with patients who had no heart failure admission.

The 334 patients rehospitalised for any cause within 3 months after the first heart failure hospitalisation had similar baseline characteristics as compared with all patients who had an hospitalisation for heart failure during the study, with the exception of the dose of beta-blockers at randomisation: fewer (39%) patients rehospitalised within 3 months were receiving ≥50% of target dose, versus 47% of all patients hospitalised for heart failure (Table 1).

Cumulative incidence of all-cause hospitalisations was lower in the ivabradine group as compared with the placebo group over the 3 months after a first hospital admission for worsening heart failure (Figure 2). Accordingly, ivabradine was associated with fewer total all-cause hospitalisations as compared with placebo at 1 month (54 events with ivabradine versus 102 events with placebo, IRR 0.70, 95% CI 0.50 to 1.00, p<0.05), 2 months (115 versus 201 events, IRR 0.75, 95% CI 0.58 to 0.98, p=0.03), and 3 months (166 versus 278 events, IRR 0.79, 95% CI 0.63 to 0.99, p=0.04) after the first heart failure hospitalisation.

As regards the other endpoints, there was a trend towards reduction in the recurrence of hospitalisations due to cardiovascular causes in the ivabradine group as compared with placebo at 1 month (38 versus 76 events, IRR 0.66, 95% CI 0.44 to 1.01, p=0.05), 2 months (90 versus 155 events, IRR 0.77, 95% CI 0.57 to 1.02, p=0.07) and 3 months (131 versus 221 events, IRR 0.79, 95% CI 0.62 to 1.01, p=0.06) after the first heart failure hospital admission.
event (Table 2). A similar pattern of effect was identified for rehospitalisations due to heart failure at 1 month (21 versus 42 events, IRR 0.67, 95% CI 0.40 to 1.13, p=0.13), 2 months (56 versus 97 events, IRR 0.77, 95% CI 0.55 to 1.09, p=0.14), and 3 months (86 versus 148 events, IRR 0.78, 95% CI 0.59 to 1.02, p=0.07). Death rates were similar in both treatment groups at 1 month (8% with ivabradine versus 9% with placebo), 2 months (11% versus 12%), and 3 months (13% versus 14%) after the first heart failure hospitalisation.

**Discussion**

Our analysis showed that patients hospitalised for heart failure during the study were more severe as compared with their counterparts who had no heart failure hospitalisation with respect to clinical status, cardiac function, and comorbidities. In line with the more severe HF profile, these patients had more concomitant treatment with diuretics, digitalis, and mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists. Moreover, patients hospitalised for heart failure who had been randomised to ivabradine had a lower incidence of early recurrent hospitalisations following a first heart failure hospitalisation during the trial than those on placebo. This reduction of risk was significant when considering all-cause rehospitalisations, and ranged from 21% to 30% within the first 3 months after a first event of heart failure hospitalisation. A consistent trend for reduction in the same range of magnitude (from 21% to 34%) was observed for both cardiovascular and heart failure rehospitalisations. The favourable effect of ivabradine on early readmissions was unlikely to be influenced by a difference in death rates, as these were similar between the two groups.

Some data support the importance of early initiation of recommended heart failure therapies. One trial compared the effect of an in-hospital initiation of carvedilol with a later initiation of carvedilol performed in an outpatient setting. This trial was not powered to
assess the impact of the timing of beta-blockers initiation on outcome. However, it demonstrated that in-hospital initiation of carvedilol was associated with its higher use 90 days after discharge, supporting importance of early introduction of heart failure recommended therapies. Data from a registry similarly suggest that use of beta-blockers at hospital discharge was associated with better prognosis. A propensity score analysis suggested that discharge use of ACE inhibitor was associated with improvement of prognosis. A recent post hoc analysis from the Eplerenone in Mild Patients Hospitalization and Survival Study in Heart Failure (EMPHASIS-HF) trial demonstrated that eplerenone prevents readmission when initiated soon after a cardiovascular hospitalisation in patients with systolic heart failure and mild symptoms. Only a few randomised clinical trials have explored the effect of treatment during the vulnerable phase after hospitalised heart failure, by analysing outcomes early post discharge. In a randomised phase 2 clinical trial, tolvaptan did not demonstrate differences in worsening heart failure at 60 days compared with placebo. However, in a post hoc analysis, 60 day mortality was lower in tolvaptan-treated patients with renal dysfunction or severe systemic congestion. In the Acute Study of Clinical Effectiveness of Nesiritide in Decompensated Heart Failure (ASCEND-HF) trial, nesiritide had no effect on rehospitalisation or death within 30 days compared with placebo. Treatment of acute heart failure with the intravenous vasodilator serelaxin was associated with fewer deaths at day 180 although this was a post hoc analysis. Finally, the Aliskiren Trial on Acute Heart Failure Outcomes (ASTRONAUT) demonstrated that in hospitalized patients with heart failure, the initiation of the direct renin inhibitor aliskiren in addition to standard therapy did not reduce cardiovascular death or heart failure hospitalisation at 6 and 12 month after discharge.
We acknowledge the fact that our current analysis included patients who were chronically exposed to ivabradine from the time of randomisation and who experienced a first heart failure admission. In a previous analysis, we demonstrated that ivabradine reduced the total burden of heart failure hospitalisations by 25% during the full duration of the study (22.9 months). We here further extend our analysis by showing that this beneficial effect is observed during the high risk early post discharge vulnerable phase and applies to all-cause hospitalisations.

Prescription of standard heart failure treatment at discharge could help to mitigate immediate post discharge outcomes. This is supported by data of heart failure cohorts, where use of beta-blockers, ACE inhibitors, and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) were associated with a lower rate of readmission and/or mortality up to 90 days after discharge. Accordingly, ESC guidelines recommend the initiation of evidence-based therapy as soon as patients are stabilised after a hospitalisation for heart failure. The early introduction of treatments is critical, as patients not optimally managed at the time of discharge are often left untreated at a later stage. However, despite these recommendations, prescription rates of beta-blockers, ACE inhibitors and ARBs remain insufficient, especially in patients at highest risk. This is in line with the results of our analysis, which showed that patients with a more severe profile had a lower prescription rate of beta-blockers and ACE inhibitors than less severe patients. One of the obstacles limiting the early prescription or uptitration of standard care therapy after a heart failure hospitalisation is linked to the adverse effects of these drugs on blood pressure, in a period during which the haemodynamic status of patients is still unstable. Unlike beta-blockers, ivabradine is devoid of a negative inotropic effect. In addition, ivabradine does not share the blood pressure–lowering effects of beta-blockers, ACE inhibitors, and ARBs. This suggests that ivabradine might be a relatively manageable
treatment without undesired incremental collateral effects in the days or weeks following a heart failure hospitalisation. This is in line with the observations of a recent pilot trial in patients with decompensated heart failure in whom ivabradine appeared to be well tolerated with no haemodynamic deterioration when used in acutely ill patients.27

The exact mechanism underlying the beneficial effects of ivabradine suggested by our analysis during the vulnerable period after an episode of worsening heart failure remains to be elucidated. At discharge, elevated heart rate occurs in a large proportion of patients,28 and is associated with an increased risk of death and rehospitalisation in the early post discharge period.29-31 In a cohort study of heart failure patients with heart rate ≥75 bpm at discharge, each 10-bpm increment was associated with an increase of 30% in risk of all-cause death, and 13% in risk of rehospitalisations during the 30-day period following hospital discharge.30 Outside this window, the correlation between high heart rate at discharge and worse outcome was lessened (16% increased risk for all-cause death per 10-bpm increment; and no increase in risk for all-cause rehospitalisations). These data are in agreement with the favourable effect of heart rate reduction with ivabradine on early outcomes observed in our study. Decreasing heart rate with ivabradine at discharge may improve myocardial energetics and oxygen consumption, and reduce total afterload,32 thereby lessening the risk of relapse after hospital discharge. Recent data from the OPTIMIZE-HF (Organized Program To Initiate lifesaving treatMent In hospitaliZed patients with heart failure) registry found that a large proportion of heart failure patients (71%) had a heart rate ≥70 bpm at hospital discharge, despite being treated with beta-blockers. Overall, the authors estimated that approximately 40% of hospitalised patients for heart failure could qualify for initiation of ivabradine at time of discharge.28
The reduction in readmissions in the ivabradine group was observed as early as 1 month after first hospitalisation. This early effect is in line with the immediate improvement in haemodynamic parameters (increase in stroke volume with maintained cardiac output) observed after an acute administration of ivabradine in heart failure patients with severely depressed left ventricular function.\textsuperscript{33} This rapid stabilising effect is further supported by the short-term reduction in N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide and improvement in NYHA class provided by ivabradine, which were achieved after only 3 months of treatment on top of standard care in heart failure patients.\textsuperscript{34} Similar findings were reported in a separate study after just 4 months of treatment with ivabradine.\textsuperscript{35} Altogether, these data suggest that patients chronically treated with ivabradine could rapidly stabilise patients after a hospitalisation for heart failure, by preventing degradation of left ventricular function and clinical status.

However our current analysis does not provide information on the potential benefit of in-hospital or early post discharge initiation of ivabradine since patients were exposed to the drug from the randomisation.

There are some limitations to our analysis. The present data are based on a post hoc analysis of a trial including chronic, stable heart failure patients, and the original study was not designed to investigate the effect of treatment in patients hospitalised for heart failure. Therefore, we cannot assess the respective role of exposure to ivabradine before versus after hospitalisation in the observed effects on early readmissions. IRR were adjusted using prognostic factors which may no longer be representative of the patient’s risk, as they were collected at the time of inclusion in the SHIFT study, and not at the time of the first HF hospitalisation during the study. On the other hand, this is the first analysis that describes the effect of a treatment on repeated hospitalisation during the critical 3 month-period after a hospitalisation for heart failure. Our analysis is based on the date of hospitalisation for heart
failure, which, in contrast to the date of discharge, was adjudicated in SHIFT, and thus more reliable. However, the statistical method does not take into account the treatment effect on the first heart failure hospitalisation, which had been shown to be reduced by ivabradine. This might have produced an imbalance between the placebo and ivabradine groups, and does not preserve the randomisation planned in the original design. Although a beneficial trend in favour of ivabradine was observed on heart failure and on cardiovascular rehospitalisations, this did not reach a significant threshold. This may be due to the limited number of events observed here and therefore a lack of power. It should however be noticed that the vast majority (86%) of all-cause rehospitalisations during the vulnerable phase was due to cardiovascular cause, and 61% were due to heart failure.

Conclusion

Development of new therapeutic strategies to prevent early recurrent hospitalisations is a major goal for heart failure management. Here, we demonstrated that chronic exposure to ivabradine is associated with a decrease in all-cause hospitalisation during the critical 3 months after a hospitalisation for heart failure. Further studies are needed to investigate if in hospital or early post discharge initiation of ivabradine could be useful to improve early outcomes in hospitalised heart failure patients.
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1186 patients with at least one hospitalisation for HF

514 ivabradine

131 patients rehospitalised for any cause within 3 months after first HF hospitalisation

104 had 1 rehospitalisation
27 had ≥2 rehospitalisations

109 patients for cardiovascular cause

93 had 1 rehospitalisation
16 had ≥2 rehospitalisations

78 patients for HF

71 had 1 rehospitalisation
7 had ≥2 rehospitalisations

672 placebo

203 patients rehospitalised for any cause within 3 months after first HF hospitalisation

145 had 1 rehospitalisation
58 had ≥2 rehospitalisations

177 patients for cardiovascular cause

140 had 1 rehospitalisation
37 had ≥2 rehospitalisations

126 patients for HF

106 had 1 rehospitalisation
20 had ≥2 rehospitalisations
Cumulative incidence of all-cause hospitalisations following first hospitalisation for heart failure (mean number of events/patient)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ivabradine/placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients at risk</td>
<td>514/672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of events</td>
<td>0/0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IRR=0.79 (0.63-0.99)

Placebo

IRR=0.70 (0.50-1.00)

Ivabradine

IRR=0.75 (0.58-0.98)

Time (months)

0 1/2/3
Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients with at least one heart failure hospitalisation, patients rehospitalised for any cause within 3 months after the first heart failure hospitalisation, and patients with no heart failure hospitalisation during the SHIFT study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>At least one heart failure hospitalisation (n=1186)</th>
<th>No hospitalisation for heart failure (n=5319)</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readmission for any cause within 3 months (n=334)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>62.2±11.5</td>
<td>62.1±12.0</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60.0±11.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>901 (76%)</td>
<td>263 (79%)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4069 (76%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>191 (16%)</td>
<td>51 (15%)</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>927 (17%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.8±5.3</td>
<td>27.7±5.4</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.0±5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (bpm)</td>
<td>82.5±11.2</td>
<td>83.0±11.7</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>119.0±16.7</td>
<td>118.5±17.9</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>74.3±9.9</td>
<td>73.4±10.0</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular ejection fraction</td>
<td>27.6±5.4</td>
<td>27.3±5.5</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II</td>
<td>445 (38%)</td>
<td>148 (44%)</td>
<td>2724 (51%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III</td>
<td>707 (60%)</td>
<td>178 (53%)</td>
<td>2516 (47%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class IV</td>
<td>34 (3%)</td>
<td>8 (2%)</td>
<td>77 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (mL/min/1.73m²)</td>
<td>69.8±23.6</td>
<td>70.3±26.6</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75.8±22.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of heart failure (years)</td>
<td>4.3±4.6</td>
<td>4.7±5.1</td>
<td>3.3±4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic cause of heart failure</td>
<td>813 (69%)</td>
<td>217 (65%)</td>
<td>3605 (68%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td>869 (73%)</td>
<td>236 (71%)</td>
<td>3863 (73%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>680 (57%)</td>
<td>175 (52%)</td>
<td>2986 (56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal failure</td>
<td>129 (11%)</td>
<td>39 (12%)</td>
<td>291 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>769 (65%)</td>
<td>200 (60%)</td>
<td>3545 (67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>427 (36%)</td>
<td>130 (39%)</td>
<td>1552 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>125 (11%)</td>
<td>37 (11%)</td>
<td>398 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>133 (11%)</td>
<td>36 (11%)</td>
<td>389 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment at randomisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blockers</td>
<td>1023 (86%)</td>
<td>279 (84%)</td>
<td>4797 (90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50% target dose</td>
<td>473 (47%)</td>
<td>109 (39%)</td>
<td>2708 (58%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitors</td>
<td>900 (76%)</td>
<td>258 (77%)</td>
<td>4216 (79%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB</td>
<td>186 (16%)</td>
<td>53 (16%)</td>
<td>741 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Group 1 (X%)</td>
<td>Group 2 (Y%)</td>
<td>Group 3 (Z%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineralocorticoid receptor</td>
<td>824 (69%)</td>
<td>215 (64%)</td>
<td>3098 (58%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics</td>
<td>1079 (91%)</td>
<td>303 (91%)</td>
<td>4335 (82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digitalis</td>
<td>377 (32%)</td>
<td>107 (32%)</td>
<td>1039 (20%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means±SD or numbers (%) of patients. *p-values comparing patients with at least one heart failure hospitalisation versus patients with no heart failure hospitalisation (Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables, or $\chi^2$ test for categorical variables). ACE=angiotensin-converting enzyme; ARB=angiotensin receptor blocker; eGFR=estimated glomerular filtration rate; NYHA=New York Heart Association.
Table 2. Incidence rate ratios for cardiovascular and heart failure hospitalisations up to 3 months after a first hospitalisation for worsening heart failure during the SHIFT study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cumulative number of events</th>
<th>Incidence rate ratio (95% CI) (adjusted for prognostic factors)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ivabradine (N=514)</td>
<td>Placebo (N=672)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hospitalisations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 months</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hospitalisations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 months</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of events corresponds to the total number of readmissions within the indicated timeframe after a first heart failure hospitalisation. CI=confidence interval.