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Abstract

Brown trout (Salmo trutta) broodstock from a single population were separated prior to spawning and exposed to two different holding environments: a ‘raceway system’ and a ‘tank system’. Eggs were stripped from females and 13 measures of egg quality were collected, analysed individually, combined by principle components analysis into an integrated egg quality score which was validated against egg survival. The multivariate egg quality score (PC1) differed for fish held in the tank and raceway systems. Egg survival, chorion breaking strength and chorion Se concentrations were higher in eggs produced by broodstock held in the tank system compared to those in the raceway system. In contrast, chorion concentrations of P and K were higher in eggs from fish held in the raceway system. The results suggest that brown trout broodstock reared in tank systems produce higher quality eggs compared to trout reared in raceways. Finally, this study also indicates that multivariate statistical analysis can be used to determine egg quality from multiple egg parameters.
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Introduction

The quality of eggs produced by farmed fish continues to be a significant factor limiting the expansion of the aquaculture industry (Kjorsvik et al., 1990). For example, species such as Atlantic halibut (Hippoglossus hippoglossus) have considerable potential for commercial production; however, hatching rates of less than 1% continue to obstruct the growth of the industry (Norberg et al., 1991; Mommens et al., 2011). Even in families such as the Salmonidae, where there has been considerable work on culture and incubation systems, egg mortality rates of up to 50% still occur (Bromage et al., 1992; Brooks et al., 1997; Bobe and Labbe, 2010).

Within aquaculture, egg quality is defined by the survival rate or the number of eggs which fertilise, reach the eyed stage of development, and hatch successfully (Brooks et al., 1997; Bobe and Labbe, 2010). However, while survival rates provide information on hatching success they fail to describe the intrinsic properties of the egg, which have influenced hatching success. Previous studies have suggested a number of characteristics may be used to determine egg quality; these include egg size, morphology, distribution of yolk components within the egg and the biochemistry of the ovarian fluid (Kjorsvik et al., 1990; Brooks et al., 1997; Bobe and Labbe, 2010).

Studies have also shown that there are a number of factors which may affect egg quality during various stages of its development (Bobe and Labbe, 2010). For example, the quality of an egg may be influenced by the intrinsic properties of the brood fish prior to, and during, ovulation (Brooks et al., 1997). Female rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) with elevated stress levels (as defined by increased blood cortisol concentrations) 9 months prior to spawning, produced eggs with significantly lower survival rates compared to fish with lower stress levels (Campbell et al., 1992). A diverse selection of dietary components, including lipids, fatty acids, protein and trace minerals have also been shown to influence egg quality (Brooks et al., 1997; Izquierda et al., 2001). For example, Washburn et al. (1990) found that rainbow trout fed a diet deficient in carbohydrates, produced eggs with a lower survival rate compared to co-specifics fed a high carbohydrate diet.

Once the egg is released for fertilisation, extrinsic factors such as the physio-chemical conditions of the water (temperature, salinity, and pH) may also affect egg quality (Brooks et al., 1997). Water temperature during incubation is particularly important as it may affect metabolism, development, and subsequently survival of the embryo (Kinne and Kinne, 1961). In salmonids, extremely high or low temperatures can significantly impact egg mortality at early stages of development (Brooks et al., 1997).

According to Ebeling and Timmons (2012) an adequate tank design should provide uniformity of rearing conditions, fast elimination of waste products (non-ingested feed and faeces) and uniform distribution of fish throughout the enclosure. Two systems, which meet these requirements and are currently used for salmonid farming are tanks and raceways (Bostock et al., 2010). Both systems provide a greater level of control over extrinsic factors compared to equivalent systems, such as earth ponds and sea cages (Pillay, 1993). Water supply and drainage in tank systems is commonly organised in such a way as to create a vortex, which sweeps most of the detritus and other waste material out of the system (Pillay, 1993). In comparison,
raceways are designed to provide a flow through system, which enables the rearing of much denser populations but also relies on an abundant flow of well oxygenated water (Pillay, 1993; Bostock et al., 2010). Tanks and raceways are often constructed from the same materials (i.e. concrete, marine plywood, metal, or fibreglass) but their intrinsic properties, such as water inlets and drainage pipes can create substantial hydrological differences between each system (Pillay 1993).

While many previous investigations have identified how intrinsic factors affect egg quality in pre-ovulating broodstock little is known about how extrinsic factors, such as the holding environment affect broodstock in a way that impacts upon egg quality (Kjorsvik et al., 1990; Brooks et al., 1997; Izquierdo et al., 2001). Therefore, in the current study, we address this question directly by examining extrinsic environmental effects on egg quality mediated through the female by rearing two groups of brown trout in two different rearing environments. In addition to utilising standard methods to define egg quality we also examined other novel measures of egg quality and how alternative data analysis can be used to test for differences in egg quality.

Materials and Methods

Samples and location

Forty female brown trout (Salmo trutta; age: 2+) from the Ae Fishery, Dumfries, were used in this study. All fish were from the same strain. Trout were transported to aquarium facilities at the Scottish Centre of Ecology and the Natural Environment (S.C.E.N.E.) University of Glasgow and held at these facilities from June 2008 until February 2009.

Broodstock holding environment: tank system

Broodstock were held in an 800L round, polyethylene tank with a continuous supply of water (ca. 25 L min⁻¹), provided by three inlet pipes equidistant around the circumference of the tank. Waste water was drained using a central stand-pipe covered by a 5 mm mesh screen. Fish were exposed to ambient water temperature and natural photoperiod. Industrial food pellets (EWOS Ltd) were dispensed daily into the tank by a clockwork belt feeder (Dryden Aqua Ltd) set to a 24 h continual feeding regime. The feed ration exceeded the recommended weight for salmonids. Mean water temperature for the duration of the experiment was 11°C.

Assessing reproductive status of broodstock

Reproductive maturation in individual trout was assessed, every second day, between October 2008 and February 2009, by anaesthetising fish in a benzocaine solution (Sigma Life Sciences) and checking visually for signs of abdominal distension and egg release. Broodstock that were not ovulating were placed in a 150 L recovery tank, before being returned to the holding tank. Ovulating fish were euthanized by exposure to a lethal dose of anaesthetic, followed by a sharp blow to the head (The Humane Killing of Animals (Schedule 1) Act, 1986; Humane, 1997). Fish were blotted dry and their eggs were stripped into clean dry plastic tubs by abdominal manipulation.

Egg survival

Approximately 500 eggs (estimated by weight) collected from each female were sub-divided into two replicates, and fertilised by a single male. Eggs were then water hardened and placed into individual custom-built incubation trays. Water hardening, in this context, occurs when eggs come into contact with water. The difference in osmolarity between the inside of the egg and the water causes an influx of water into the perivitelline space of the egg. This causes the eggs to swell in size and a cross-linking of chorion proteins (Rudy and Potts, 1969; Oppen-Berntsen et al., 1990). Incubation trays were constructed from plastic mesh (5 mm mesh diameter) wrapped round a solid square Perspex base and rim (10 x 10 x 15 cm). These trays were placed in a 200 L flow-through tank (5 L min⁻¹) containing two water filters (Fluval A460). The eggs in individual trays were checked every alternate day for mortalities. Dead eggs were identified by their white/opaque appearance, recorded and removed from the incubation system. The experiment was terminated when all eggs reached the eyed stage of development. Water temperature during egg incubation ranged between 5 and 14°C (mean = 8°C)

Chorion breaking strength

Ten unfertilised, non-water hardened and ten unfertilised, water hardened eggs from each female were selected to measure chorion strength. The breaking strength of each individual chorion was tested using a Lloyd LRX compression test instrument (Ametek Inc). With the following settings, 1mm diameter blunt ended probe, 5 mm min⁻¹ probe speed with a 5N load cell.

Chorion element concentrations

Four unfertilised, non-water hardened eggs from each female were gently punctured with a sterilised needle. The yolk was extruded by gentle
manipulation of the chorion. Each chorion was then placed in individually marked well-plates and dried at 37°C for 24 h. These were then mounted onto aluminium stubs using double sided sticky carbon tabs. Analysis of elemental concentrations was then carried out by energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (E.D.X.), using a Philips XL30 ESEM equipped with a Phoenix energy dispersive x-ray detector (operating voltage = 20 kv, working distance = 10 mm). Carbon (C), oxygen (O), sodium (Na), magnesium (Mg), phosphorus (P), sulphur (S), chlorine (Cl), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), and selenium (Se) were all consistently detected during analysis. The percentage concentration of each of these elements was derived for the chorion of each individual egg.

Data analysis

Principle components analysis (PCA) was used to reduce the number of egg quality variables into a single multivariate egg quality score (Turnbull et al., 2005; Adams et al., 2007). The egg quality variables were combined by means of a multivariate analysis. Principle components analysis (PCA) was conducted on individual egg variables and the components (with significant positive and negative loadings for all egg variables measured, Table 1) were used as a score of general egg quality. This principle component scores incorporated physical (chorion breaking strength) and biochemical (elemental concentrations) measures of egg quality. Therefore, factor scores produced by PCA provided a single integrated egg quality value, which increased with improving quality. To test the validity of using PCA scores to define egg quality, PCA scores were regressed on egg survival for each female. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was then used to examine the effects of broodstock holding environmental on this multivariate egg quality score. The effect of broodstock holding environment on individual egg quality variables was examined using ANOVA. All data presented as percentages were arcsine transformed prior to analysis. The Minitab®16 statistical software package was used for data analysis.

The logistical constraints and financial demands of multiple holding unit replicates, particularly at the commercial site made holding unit replication in this study impossible. This is a common problem for studies involving commercial partners that has been recognised in many other studies. Oksanen (2001) argued that when the cost of replication is very high, experiments involving un-replicated treatments may be the only option, cautious use of inferential statistic may be acceptable. In the context of the design of this current study one assumption made is that the response of individual females is independent of others in the tank. Given that we are examining the physiological and bio-allocation responses of individual females, this is both a logical and reasonable assumption.

Table 1. PCA coefficients applied to each variable measured and the total variation explained (%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>PC1</th>
<th>PC2</th>
<th>PC3</th>
<th>PC4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-water hardened chorion</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water hardened chorion</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se chorion</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C chorion</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O chorion</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na chorion</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg chorion</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P chorion</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S chorion</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl chorion</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K chorion</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca chorion</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance explained (%)</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

Multivariate egg quality score and egg survival

The first principle component (PC 1) of the PCA analysis of 12 putative egg quality variables accounted for 37.8% of the total variance of the PCA analysis and showed high negative coefficients for non-hardened and hardened chorion breaking strengths, O and Se chorion concentrations, opposed with high positive coefficients for P, S and K chorion concentrations (Table 1). The second, third and fourth components (PC2, PC3 and PC4 respectively) also accounted for a considerable amount of variation (22.3, 14.6, and 12.0%, respectively). PC2 showed a high negative coefficient for C chorion concentrations and high positive coefficients for Cl and Ca chorion concentrations, while PC3 showed a high negative coefficient for O chorion concentrations and high positive coefficients for Se and Na chorion concentrations (Table 1).

PC1 scores were negatively correlated with egg survival across females ($F_{[1,15]} = 9.97; R^2 = 0.399; P = 0.007$), while PC3 scores were positively correlated to egg survival across females ($F_{[1,15]} = 4.88; R^2 = 0.399; P = 0.043$). There was no significant correlation for PC2 ($F_{[1,15]} = 0.27; R^2 = 0.018; P = 0.609$) or PC4 ($F_{[1,15]} = 2.67; R^2 = 0.151; P = 0.123$). Therefore, PC1 provides a useful index of increasingly poor egg quality (higher PC1 score; lower egg quality) and PC3 of increasing higher egg quality.
quality (higher PC3 score; higher egg quality). PC2 and PC4 scores do not appear to reflect elements of egg quality that affect survival and thus were not considered further.

**Multivariate egg quality index (PC1 and PC3) and broodstock holding environment**

PC1 egg quality scores were significantly lower in eggs from fish reared in the tank system compared to the raceway system ($F_{[1,15]} = 18.95; R^2 = 0.558; P = 0.001$; Fig. 1), indicating that eggs from broodstock held in the tank had higher chorion breaking strength (water hardened and non-water hardened) and chorion O concentrations and had lower P, S and K chorion concentrations. There was no difference in PC3 egg quality scores of eggs between holding sites ($F_{[1,15]} = 3.06; R^2 = 0.169; P = 0.101$; Fig. 1).

![Figure 1. Differences in PC egg quality scores between Raceway and Tank systems. PC1, raceway (1.85 ± 1.91) and tank systems (-1.29 ± 1.07), and PC3, raceway (-0.63 ± 1.21) and tank systems (0.44 ± 1.27). (mn ± std dev).](image)

**Table 2. The effect of broodstock holding environment on physical egg quality parameters and chorion element concentrations (means ± std dev).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Raceway</th>
<th>Tank</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Egg survival (%)</td>
<td>17.4 ± 19.6</td>
<td>58.6 ± 10.6</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-water hardened chorion breaking strength (N)</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water hardened chorion breaking strength (N)</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>20.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se chorion conc. (%)</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.8</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca chorion conc. (%)</td>
<td>48.8 ± 1.8</td>
<td>47.7 ± 2.7</td>
<td>0.369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C chorion conc. (%)</td>
<td>48.8 ± 1.8</td>
<td>47.7 ± 2.7</td>
<td>0.369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O chorion conc. (%)</td>
<td>38.4 ± 2.5</td>
<td>39.5 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na chorion conc. (%)</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg chorion conc. (%)</td>
<td>5.6 ± 4.6</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P chorion conc. (%)</td>
<td>5.1 ± 1.7</td>
<td>5.1 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S chorion conc. (%)</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl chorion conc. (%)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 1.1</td>
<td>3.6 ± 4.2</td>
<td>0.918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K chorion conc. (%)</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.4</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca chorion conc. (%)</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3.0 ± 3.2</td>
<td>0.598</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Egg survival was significantly greater in eggs produced by females reared in the tank system compared to those held in the raceway ($F_{[1,15]} = 31.8; R^2 = 0.66; P < 0.001$; Table 2). Univariate analysis of individual measures of putative egg quality showed that eggs produced by female broodstock held in the tank had a higher chorion breaking strength for both non-water hardened and water hardened eggs compared to those held in the raceway (non-water hardened; $F_{[1,15]} = 201.3; R^2 = 0.93; P < 0.001$, water hardened; $F_{[1,15]} = 125.0; R^2 = 0.89; P < 0.001$; Table 2). The chorion of the eggs produced by female broodstock held in the tank system had a higher percentage concentration of Se ($F_{[1,15]} = 5.1; R^2 = 0.20; P = 0.040$; Table 2), whilst those from female broodstock held in the raceway system had significantly higher levels of both P and K ($P, F_{[1,15]} = 6.3; R^2 = 0.25; P = 0.024$, K, $F_{[1,15]} = 11.5; R^2 = 0.40; P = 0.004$; Table 2).
Fish reared in the tank system produced eggs with increased concentrations of chorionic Se, suggesting that eggs produced by broodstock held in the tank system were better protected from oxidative stress compared to eggs produced by raceway broodstock. Selenium plays a pivotal role against oxidative cellular injury (Rider et al., 2009). Of the 30 or so selenoproteins identified in mammals, a similar number of homologues have been recognised in fish (Kryukov and Gladyshev, 2000). The most studied of these are the enzymes glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), thioredoxin reductase (Trx-R), catalase, and superoxide dismutase. These are important molecules involved in intracellular antioxidant defence (Halliwell 1999; Arthur, 2000; Arteel and Sies, 2001). All broodstock diets contain some form of Se, which is usually stored and metabolised in the liver. This organ is also where the chorion proteins are manufactured before being transported to the ovary and overlaid onto the developing oocyte (Arukwe and Goksoyr, 2003; Rider et al., 2010).

Chorion concentrations of P and K were significantly higher in eggs produced by broodstock held in the raceway compared to the tank system. The elevated chorion concentrations of P and K may indicate poor egg development prior to and during ovulation. Craik and Harvey (1984) observed that protein linked phosphorus levels were higher during unsuccessful oocyte hydration compared to when oocytes successfully hydrated. Potassium ions are also essential during volume increase and water uptake of maturing oocytes although elevated levels of K are indicative of osmoregulatory failure in salmonids (Cardelhac et al., 1979; Redding and Schreck, 1983; Bjornsson et al., 1989; Greeley et al., 1991; Liebert and Schreck, 2006). Phospholipids, inorganic phosphate and potassium are universally major components of living cells (Craik, 1982; Craik and Harvey, 1984, 1986; Lafleur and Thomas, 1991), however, in terms of their function within the chorion, this is most likely to be associated with egg hydration.

The most likely factor which may have affected the physiological status of the fish, and thus egg quality, was the hydrology within the holding systems. Although fish in both treatments experienced similar volumes of water passing through the tank, the way water entered the tanks and raceways was different. Water entering the tanks entered side on from three pipes, positioned equidistantly around the circular holding system, this resulted in the formation of a current, which the fish were regularly observed swimming against. Alternatively, water entering the raceway was supplied via an overhead pipe, which caused no such current to form. Previous studies have shown that increasing the current within a system causes fish to actively swim in order to maintain their position within the water column. Subsequently this has been shown to decrease physiological and behavioural stress responses in farmed fish by exercising fish and reducing attacks by conspecifics (Adams et al., 1995; Cutts et al., 1998; Damsgard and Arnesen, 1998). Stress is an energy demanding process and the fish have to mobilise...
energy substrates to metabolically cope with stress (Pottinger, 1998). It is possible that the current within the tanks may have allowed broodstock to devote more energy to reproduction and subsequently increased the quality of eggs produced.

In conclusion, results of both the integrated measures of chorion quality, individual chorion quality parameters, and egg survival rates suggest that the rearing environment had an effect on egg quality mediated through the broodstock. Eggs produced by brown trout reared in the tank system were of significantly higher quality compared to eggs produced by trout reared within the raceway. Welfare is an important aspect of aquaculture. However, information regarding the effect of holding environment on broodfish maturation and egg quality requires further research in order to understand the complex biological interactions.
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