Effect of elevated carbon dioxide on shoal familiarity and metabolism in a coral reef fish
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Atmospheric CO2 is expected to more than double by the end of the century. The resulting changes in ocean chemistry will affect the behaviour, sensory systems and physiology of a range of fish species. Although a number of past studies have examined effects of CO2 in gregarious fishes, most have assessed individuals in social isolation, which can alter individual behaviour and metabolism in social species. Within social groups, a learned familiarity can develop following a prolonged period of interaction between individuals, with fishes preferentially associating with familiar conspecifics because of benefits such as improved social learning and greater foraging opportunities. However, social recognition occurs through detection of shoal-mate cues; hence, it may be disrupted by near-future CO2 conditions. In the present study, we examined the influence of elevated CO2 on shoal familiarity and the metabolic benefits of group living in the gregarious damselfish species the blue-green puller (Chromis viridis). Shoals were acclimated to one of three nominal CO2 treatments: control (450 µatm), mid-CO2 (750 µatm) or high-CO2 (1000 µatm). After a 4–7 day acclimation period, familiarity was examined using a choice test, in which individuals were given the choice to associate with familiar shoal-mates or unfamiliar conspecifics. In control conditions, individuals preferentially associated with familiar shoal-mates. However, this association was lost in both elevated-CO2 treatments. Elevated CO2 did not impact the calming effect of shoaling on metabolism, as measured using an intermittent-flow respirometry methodology for social species following a 17–20 day acclimation period to CO2 treatment. In all CO2 treatments, individuals exhibited a significantly lower metabolic rate when measured in a shoal vs. alone, highlighting the complexity of shoal dynamics and the processes that influence the benefits of shoaling.
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Introduction

Atmospheric CO2 has risen to >400 ppm (Dlugokencky and Tans, 2016) because of human activity, higher than any time in the last 800 000 years (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2013). The partial pressure of CO2 (pCO2) in the world’s oceans is rising at approximately the same rate as in the atmosphere (Doney et al., 2009; Le Quéré et al., 2013). If current anthropogenic CO2 emissions continue unabated, average CO2 levels in the atmosphere and surface ocean will more than double from present-day levels by the year 2100 (Fabry et al., 2008; Collins et al., 2013). Furthermore, new models indicate that seasonal cycles in ocean pCO2 will be...
amplified in the future, meaning that marine organisms will experience extended periods of ocean pCO2 in excess of 1000µatm by the end of this century (McNeil and Sasse, 2016). Rising CO2 levels are predicted to affect a range of behavioural (Briffa et al., 2012; Nagelkerken and Munday, 2016) and physiological processes (Portner et al., 2004; Heuer and Grosell, 2014) in marine organisms, with potentially far-reaching effects on marine ecosystems (Wittmann and Portner, 2013).

Higher environmental CO2 levels can be a problem for marine organisms because they act to acidify the blood and tissues and thus affect pH-dependent physiological processes (Portner et al., 2004). Fish defend against acidosis in a high-CO2 environment by actively regulating acid–base–relevant ions in their blood and tissues (Heuer and Grosell, 2014). Consequently, they are able to maintain a pH suitable for cellular processes, even at very high ambient CO2 levels (Ishimatsu et al., 2008; Esbaugh et al., 2012, 2016). However, this acid–base regulation leads to changes in extracellular ion concentrations that may interfere with the function of neurotransmitter receptors (Nilsson et al., 2012). These neurological changes can lead to altered behaviour and impaired sensory systems. Behavioural effects of exposure to high CO2 include reduced learning ability (Jutfelt et al., 2013; Chiavers et al., 2014), altered activity levels (Munday et al., 2010; Ferrari et al., 2011a), higher anxiety (Hamilton et al., 2014), disrupted behavioural lateralization (Domenici et al., 2011; Jutfelt et al., 2013) and reduced predator avoidance behaviour (Dixon et al., 2010; Munday et al., 2010; Ferrari et al., 2011b). Behavioural responses to visual (Ferrari et al., 2012b; Chung et al., 2014), olfactory (Munday et al., 2009b) and auditory cues (Simpson et al., 2011; Rossi et al., 2016) are all affected, although one study found that visual cues were less affected than olfactory preferences at projected near-future CO2 levels (Lönnstedt et al., 2013). Some behavioural traits appear to be unaffected by elevated CO2, particularly foraging behaviour and swimming kinematics (Munday et al., 2009c; Nowicki et al., 2012; Maneja et al., 2015). In addition, some species, such as the Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua), exhibit tolerance to elevated CO2 in terms of behavioural effects (Jutfelt and Hedgärde, 2013, 2015). Even among closely related coral reef fish, there is substantial variability among species in the degree of behavioural effects in response to elevated CO2 (Ferrari et al., 2011a).

The effects of elevated pCO2 and decreased pH on other physiological characteristics are unclear. Theoretically, the energetic cost of increased regulatory mechanisms (such as acid–base balance regulation) should manifest in higher overall energetic needs (Ishimatsu et al., 2008). However, studies measuring standard metabolic rate (SMR; the metabolic rate of a resting, fasting and non-stressed individual; a measure of basic energetic needs) of fishes under elevated pCO2 have found highly variable results (reviewed by Heuer and Grosell, 2014; Lefèvre, 2016), reporting increases (Munday et al., 2009a; Enzor et al., 2013), decreases (Rummer et al., 2013) and no effects of pCO2 on SMR (Degwechter et al., 2008; Melzner et al., 2009; Strobel et al., 2012; Couturier et al., 2013), suggesting that the effects may be species or context specific. However, another important consideration is that, although many studies have examined the effect of pCO2 on the metabolic rate of gregarious fish species (Munday et al., 2009a; Miller et al., 2012; Rummer et al., 2013), all have measured metabolic rate in solitary individuals, which can have effects on the measured metabolic rate because of the stress of isolation (Nadler et al., 2016). Therefore, how social context may modulate the effect of pCO2 on metabolic traits, such as SMR, remains unknown. Recent work found that the immediate social environment can have a significant impact on metabolic rate, with individuals tested in the presence of shoal-mate cues exhibiting a significantly lower minimal measured metabolic rate than individuals tested in social isolation (Nadler et al., 2016). One factor that is likely to contribute to this calming effect is a reduced need for individual vigilance, because animal groups exhibit improved threat detection by having ‘many eyes’ to scan for predators (Roberts, 1996; Ward et al., 2011). Individuals accustomed to a social environment may also exhibit reduced stress when allowed to associate with conspecifics (Hennessy et al., 2009). The importance of these benefits could increase in the presence of environmental stressors, such as rising pCO2, because having a reduced metabolic rate in shoaling conditions could aid in coping with the projected rise in energy demand associated with changing environmental conditions.

Group living is widespread among fish species and carries benefits for individuals with respect to predator avoidance, foraging opportunities and energy use (Shaw, 1978; Krause and Ruxton, 2002). A learned familiarity can be attained following a prolonged period of interaction between social individuals (reviewed by Ward and Hart, 2003), increasing the probability of reciprocal cooperation between members of an animal group (Granroth-Wilding and Magurran, 2013). This greater cooperation can have benefits for a range of fitness-enhancing processes and characteristics, including foraging, social learning, body condition and survival (Seppä et al., 2001; Swaney et al., 2001; Atton et al., 2014). As a result, fish prefer to shoal with familiar conspecifics (e.g. Magurran et al., 1994; Griffiths and Magurran, 1997; Bhat and Magurran, 2006; Edenbrow and Croft, 2012), with individual identification achieved primarily through olfactory stimuli (Partridge and Pitcher, 1980; Brown and Smith, 1994; Ward et al., 2002). As elevated pCO2 is known to impact behavioural traits and sensory abilities necessary for social recognition, the ability to recognize familiar shoal-mates may be compromised in future environmental conditions.

Elevated pCO2 may affect the calming effect and the ability of fish to recognize conspecifics owing to its effects on fish behaviour, sensory abilities or physiology. In the present study, we examined the effect of elevated pCO2 on familiarity and the calming effect in the blue-green puller, Chromis viridis (Cuvier, 1830), a common species of shoaling damselfish. Shoals were acclimated to one of the following three CO2 treatments: control (450 µatm), mid-CO2 (750 µatm) or high-CO2 (1000 µatm). Our first aim was to determine whether
elevated pCO2 modulated familiarity, using a choice test in which individuals were given the choice to associate with familiar shoal-mates or unfamiliar conspecifics. Our second aim was to explore whether the calming effect was altered by environmental pCO2, using an intermittent-flow respirometry methodology for social species. We hypothesized that familiarity would be disrupted by elevated pCO2. Given the known benefits of familiarity to shoaling fish (Seppä et al., 2001; Swaney et al., 2001; Atton et al., 2014), we also predicted that the calming effect on the minimal measured metabolic rate would be reduced if familiarity was disrupted at elevated pCO2.

**Materials and methods**

**Fish collection and maintenance**

Experiments were conducted at the Lizard Island Research Station in the northern Great Barrier Reef (14°40′08″S; 145°27′34″E). Shoals of *C. viridis* (standard length, 3.22 ± 0.03 cm; body mass, 1.29 ± 0.04 g; mean values ± SEM) were collected from reefs in the lagoon adjacent to the Lizard Island Research Station using hand nets and barrier nets. *Chromis viridis* is an abundant, live coral-associated shoaling species found on coral reefs throughout the Indo-Pacific region in groups ranging in size from a few to hundreds of individuals (Randall et al., 1997). Fish were placed into groups composed of eight individuals and housed in replicate 30 litre aquaria in a flow-through seawater system. All experimental shoals were held together for a minimum of 15 days to ensure that they exhibited a uniform degree of familiarity (Ward et al., 2003). Fish were fed to satiation twice daily with INVE Aquaculture pellets and newly hatched *Artemia* sp.

**Carbon dioxide treatments and administration**

Shoals were acclimated to one of the following three CO2 treatments: 450 µatm (ambient control), 750 µatm or 1000 µatm (4–7 days for behaviour experiments and 17–20 days for physiology experiments; seawater chemistry summarized in Table 1). These elevated-CO2 treatments were chosen based on the range of CO2 levels projected for the year 2100 (Collins et al., 2013; McNeil and Sasse, 2016). The CO2 administration methodologies followed standard procedures for ocean acidification research (Gattuso et al., 2010). The only deviation from this prescribed methodology was the use of single header tanks for each CO2 treatment (Cornwall and Hurd, 2015), as space limitations in the field prevented us from having multiple header tanks for each CO2 treatment. Seawater was pumped directly from the ocean into each 60 litre header tank. Elevated-CO2 seawater treatments were achieved by dosing CO2 to a set pH, using a pump placed into each header tank through which CO2 was diffused. This pump aided in rapid dissolution of CO2 and vigorous stirring of water in the header tank. A pH controller (Aqua Medic, Germany) attached to each CO2 treatment header tank maintained pH at the desired level. In control header tanks, air was diffused through sump pumps. Equilibrated seawater was then pumped at a rate of ~700 ml/min to each of the replicate 30 litre experimental tanks. For each of these replicate tanks, seawater pHNBS (pH measured on the NBS scale; Mettler Toledo SevenGo Pro) and temperature (Comark C22) were recorded daily. Seawater CO2 was confirmed with *in situ* CO2 measurements, using a portable CO2 equilibrator and non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) sensor (Vaisala GMP343; Hari et al., 2008; Munday et al., 2014). For experiment 1, *in situ* CO2 measurements were conducted once weekly in the control and 1000 µatm treatments to confirm CO2 levels based on pH measurements. During experiment 2, these measurements were conducted on each treatment at least three times weekly, during which CO2 measures were recorded. These measurements are detailed in Table 1 and confirm our calculated pCO2. Salinity was measured by an automated float in the Lizard Island lagoon (Bainbridge, 2015). Water samples were taken twice weekly and analysed for total alkalinity by Gran titration (888 Titrand, Metrohm, Switzerland) to within 1% of certified reference material (Professor A. Dickson, Scripps Institution of Oceanography). Average pCO2 was calculated with the program CO2SYS, from measured pHNBS, temperature,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Experiment no.</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Salinity (psu)</th>
<th>pHNBS</th>
<th>Total alkalinity [µmol (kg seawater)]</th>
<th>pCO2 (calculated, µatm)</th>
<th>pCO2 (in situ, µatm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control CO2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.8 (±0.2)</td>
<td>35.5 (±0.01)</td>
<td>8.15 (±0.010)</td>
<td>2284 (±1)</td>
<td>442 (±9)</td>
<td>465 (±13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28.9 (±0.3)</td>
<td>35.0 (±0.03)</td>
<td>8.13 (±0.002)</td>
<td>2309 (±8)</td>
<td>461 (±2)</td>
<td>449 (±11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid CO2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29.1 (±0.2)</td>
<td>35.5 (±0.01)</td>
<td>7.96 (±0.001)</td>
<td>2285 (±12)</td>
<td>734 (±5)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>29.0 (±0.3)</td>
<td>35.0 (±0.03)</td>
<td>7.96 (±0.001)</td>
<td>2296 (±8)</td>
<td>753 (±2)</td>
<td>766 (±11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High CO2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.8 (±0.2)</td>
<td>35.5 (±0.01)</td>
<td>7.86 (±0.001)</td>
<td>2296 (±2)</td>
<td>963 (±7)</td>
<td>981 (±17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28.8 (±0.3)</td>
<td>35.0 (±0.03)</td>
<td>7.87 (±0.001)</td>
<td>2297 (±11)</td>
<td>952 (±3)</td>
<td>983 (±15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The estimated partial pressure of CO2 (Estimated pCO2) was calculated in the program CO2SYS using the other measured parameters. *In situ* pCO2 was measured using a portable CO2 equilibrator with non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) sensor. Seawater pH was measured on the NBS (National Bureau of Standards) scale (pHNBS). Error is SEM.
salinity and total alkalinity, using constants from Mehrbach et al. (1973) refitted by Dickson and Millero (1987) and Dickson (1990) for KHSO₄.

**Experiment 1: effect of elevated CO₂ on familiarity**

Nine experimental C. viridis shoals, each composed of eight fish, were acclimated to each CO₂ treatment for a period of 4–7 days before experimentation. This time period is sufficient for elevated CO₂ to induce behavioural changes in reef fishes, and previous studies indicate that longer acclimation periods do not change results (Munday et al., 2013a, 2014a; Welch et al., 2014). Two individuals per group were chosen randomly for testing for shoal association preferences (n = 18 individuals per treatment). These individuals were distinguished from each other and their shoal-mates using unique visible implant elastomer (VIE) tags (Hoey and McCormick, 2006). The VIE tags were administered 24–48 h before placement in the CO₂ treatment. Shoaling preference was established using a choice test, using methodology adapted from Griffiths and Magurran (1997). An elongate testing tank (Fig. 1a) was filled to a depth of 20 cm with seawater at the same CO₂ level as the relevant treatment. Two 1 litre plastic containers (height, 24 cm × diameter, 10 cm) were placed at each end of the tank, 6 cm from the side-wall. The plastic containers were transparent and made porous to olfactory cues by holes drilled around the circumference (50.5 mm holes per container). Shoals composed of 7 fish of either the familiar or an unfamiliar group were placed in these bottles. The location of the familiar shoal (right or left bottle) was randomized. The shoal used as unfamiliar was also randomized, to ensure that each shoal within a treatment was used as the unfamiliar shoal a uniform number of times and that a different unfamiliar shoal was used when testing each of the two focal fish from a shoal. The focal fish was placed in a clear, porous container in the centre of the tank. This container

![Figure 1](http://conphys.oxfordjournals.org/)

**Figure 1:** Schematic diagrams of the two experimental set-ups. (a) Choice test tank used in experiment 1 (90 cm length × 30 cm width × 30 cm height). The dark ovals on either end of the tank represent the shoal holding containers, and the dark oval in the centre of the tank illustrates the container used for the focal fish during the pre-trial acclimation period. White dots represent the porosity of the containers (each container contained 50.5 mm holes). (b) Side view of the respirometry chamber. The experimental set-up was composed of an inner respirometry chamber (length, 13.5 cm; inner diameter, 3.24 cm; volume of chamber and associated gas-impermeable tubing, 100 ml) and an outer shoal-mate holding chamber (length, 12.0 cm; inner diameter, 11.4 cm; volume of chamber, 1.10 litres). Arrows indicate the direction of water flow through tubing. Each X indicates a water pump used for mixing the inner chamber and flushing both chambers. The outer shoal-mate holding chamber was flushed with its own pump. The outflow port for this outer chamber was connected to the flush pump for the inner respirometry chamber, to provide olfactory cues of shoal-mates to the focal individual. In order to ensure proper mixing in the inner respirometry chamber, a pump ran continuously in a closed loop. Deoxygenated water in the inner chamber was discarded during on phases of the flush pump. All focal individuals were tested in both an alone-testing treatment and a shoal-testing treatment (with six shoal-mates).
sat over a small coral shelter, and the bottom 3 cm of the container was opaque to allow the fish to take shelter. All fish were left to acclimate in this container for 15 min, which was a sufficient time period for all fish to calm down after handling. The container surrounding the focal fish was then lifted using a pulley system so that the focal fish would not be disturbed by visual cues of the observer. Trials lasted 15 min and were video-recorded (Canon Powershot D10). Pilot trials were conducted with food colouring to estimate the degree of olfactory cue mixing throughout the choice test tank during the 30 min trial (including both the 15 min acclimation period and the 15 min testing period). While there was olfactory mixing in the trial (including both the 15 min acclimation period and the 15 min measurement period). The water used to flush the chamber between measurement periods was maintained at the same pH and pCO2 as the focal fishes’ treatment. Chambers were immersed in separate, temperature-controlled water baths (29 ± 0.5°C). Temperature was maintained through a combination of air conditioning and controlling ambient water flow to the water bath. The metabolic rate of each focal fish was recorded in an alone-testing treatment (no shoal-mates in the outer chamber) and a group-testing treatment (six shoal-mates in the outer chamber). The order of testing trials (testing of the alone or group treatment first) was randomized. All focal fish were given 48 h between testing trials.

Dissolved oxygen concentration in the inner, focal chamber was measured every 2 s and logged using a Fire-Sting fibre-optic oxygen meter (Pyroscience, Germany), connected to a computer. The oxygen-sensing optode was mounted in the recirculation loop in a flow-through cell, to ensure that flow was sufficient for a fast response time of the sensor (Svendsen et al., 2016). Focal fish were fasted for 24–26 h before experimentation to ensure that they were in a post-absorptive state and were left undisturbed in the respirometers for 17–19 h overnight, as C. viridis is quiescent at night. A dim light remained on through the night in the laboratory to simulate moonlight, allowing the focal fish to see their shoal-mates in group testing trials. Activity was recorded during daylight hours using a webcam (H264 Webcam software) and was measured by counting the number of 180° turns for 10 min/h of testing (from which turns/min was calculated). Activity was recorded to ensure that any measured effects of CO2 on oxygen uptake were not attributable to changes in activity level during CO2 treatments. Slopes (s) were calculated from plots of oxygen concentration vs. time using linear least-squares regression (LabChart v6) and converted to the rate of oxygen uptake (MΩ2; in milligrams of O2 per hour). For all trials, background respiration was measured in empty chambers for three measurement periods both before and after trials. Microbial respiration was then subtracted from all fish respiratory measurements, assuming a linear increase in microbial respiratory rate per time (Rodgers et al., 2016).

Once focal individuals had completed both the alone- and group-testing trials, maximum metabolic rate (MMR) was measured in separate trials, so that each individual’s aerobic scope (AS) could be calculated. The AS is an individual’s aerobic metabolic capacity, which indicates the available energy that an individual has for all aerobic processes.
Beyond basic maintenance (Farrell, 2016). The MMR was measured using the chase protocol, in which individuals are exercised to exhaustion through manual chasing (Roche et al., 2013). Although this method may not always provide the highest estimates of MMR (Roche et al., 2013), it is an accepted and repeatable method for determining a relative value for MMR between individuals. Fish were considered exhausted when they no longer responded to chasing by burst swimming. Fish were then air exposed for 30 s to ensure that they had depleted all endogenous oxygen stores. Individuals were then transferred to their respective respirometer chambers, and oxygen uptake was measured for 8–10 min (this time frame was used to ensure that oxygen saturation in the water remained >80% air saturation; Hughes, 1973). This method elicits anaerobic exercise in individuals, and maximal rates of oxygen uptake were measured during subsequent recovery. The MMR was measured for all fish in an alone-testing treatment. These oxygen uptake slopes were measured at 3 min intervals, with the greatest oxygen uptake during this period taken as MMR.

Three measures of metabolic rate were analysed. First, the minimal measured metabolic rate in fish exposed to each treatment (MR\textsubscript{min}) was estimated using the protocol typically employed to measure SMR in the literature. This was accomplished by taking MR\textsubscript{min} as the lowest 10th percentile of all MO\textsubscript{2} measurements (Killen, 2014; Chabot et al., 2016), and comparisons were drawn between individuals tested alone and with a group. Second, routine metabolic rate (MR; the metabolic rate of an undisturbed animal, including costs of random activity) was calculated as the mean MO\textsubscript{2} excluding the first 5 h in the respirometer, and differences between fish tested alone (MR\textsubscript{alone}) and fish tested in groups (MR\textsubscript{group}) were assessed (Killen et al., 2011). These 5 h were excluded from RMR calculations because pilot trials determined that MO\textsubscript{2} in C. viridis takes an average of 5 h to stabilize (SS. Killen, LE. Nadler, MI. McCormick, unpublished data). Third, individuals’ response to stress was also determined by using the first slope (FS) of each alone- and group-testing trial, following transfer to the respirometer. The stress response was calculated in the context of AS (AS = MMR - MR\textsubscript{min}), in order to determine the proportion of AS that fish were using in response to stress (the stressor in this case being handling stress during transfer to the respirometer). The initial stress response (ISR) was therefore calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{ISR} = \frac{(FS - MR_{\text{min}})}{AS}
\]

The MO\textsubscript{2} is commonly used as an indicator of stress and reaction to threats, such as predation, because of the previously established link between oxygen uptake and stress hormones, including cortisol and epinephrine, with oxygen uptake increasing as the concentration of stress hormones rises (e.g. Brown et al., 1982; Morgan and Iwama, 1996). In the present study, the stressor was the handling stress induced during transfer to the respirometer and any stress of being in isolation.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was conducted in the R Statistical Environment (v. 3.2.4) using the packages ‘nlme’, ‘multcomp’, ‘lme4’ and ‘car’ (Bates and Maechler, 2009; R Development Core Team, 2015; Pinheiro et al., 2016). For experiment 1, three separate models were conducted, to determine the preference for the familiar shoal within each treatment (as measured by the proportion of time spent with the familiar shoal). As the null hypothesis is 0.5 (which would indicate no preference for either shoal), the deviation from 0.5 for each observation was used as the response variable, and differences in deviation from 0 were assessed in general linear mixed-effects models (LMMs), with shoal number as a random effect (so that each individual was nested within their experimental shoal). Differences in activity (total shoal visits) between treatments were tested using an LMM, with CO\textsubscript{2} treatment as a fixed effect and shoal number as a random effect. To ensure that all assumptions were met, homogeneity of variance and normality were assessed through visual inspection of the residual and quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots, respectively. No transformations were necessary to meet assumptions. Initial shoal choice was tested using an LMM with a binomial distribution, with CO\textsubscript{2} treatment as a fixed effect and shoal number as a random effect.

For experiment 2, differences in the MR\textsubscript{min}, ISR and activity were analysed using an LMM, with CO\textsubscript{2} treatment and testing treatment (alone or group) as fixed effects, body mass as a covariate (to account for differences in size between individuals), and individual as a random effect. In statistical analysis, whole-animal metabolic rate values were used. In figures, metabolic rate values were mass corrected by plotting the residual values for each measure from the relationship between the logarithm of body mass (in grams) and the logarithm of metabolic rate (in milligrams of O\textsubscript{2} per hour). Each residual was added to the fitted value for mass = 1.29 g, the mean mass of all fish used in the study. Significant differences in CO\textsubscript{2} treatments (which had three levels) discovered using LMM were investigated further using Tukey’s multiple comparisons post hoc tests. Differences in MMR and AS with CO\textsubscript{2} treatment were examined using a generalized linear model (GLM), with body mass as a covariate. For these models, assumptions of homogeneity and normality were again checked through visual inspection of residual and Q-Q plots. No transformations were necessary to conform to these assumptions.

**Results**

**Experiment 1: effect of elevated CO\textsubscript{2} on social recognition**

Individuals exhibited a significant preference for the familiar shoal in control conditions, but this preference was lost in both elevated-CO\textsubscript{2} treatments (Fig. 2a; 450 µatm, F\textsubscript{1,10} = 6.10, P = 0.033; 750 µatm, F\textsubscript{1,10} = 0.660, P = 0.438; and 1000 µatm, F\textsubscript{1,10} = 0.001, P = 0.991). Trends in initial
shoal choice matched those found for shoal preference, but the effect of CO2 treatment on initial shoal choice was not statistically significant (Fig. 2b; $\chi^2 = 0.8103, P = 0.368$). Total shoal visits were not significantly different between the CO2 treatments (Fig. 2c; $F_{2,25} = 0.1138, P = 0.893$), with 26 out of 30 individuals exhibiting an overall mean of 44.7 shoal visits per trial (range, 2–133 shoal visits per trial).

**Experiment 2: effect of elevated CO2 on the calming effect**

The MR$_{\text{min}}$ tested in a group was significantly lower than MR$_{\text{min}}$ tested alone (Fig. 3a; $F_{1,26} = 29.01, P < 0.001$), regardless of CO2 treatment (Fig. 3a; $F_{2,27} = 0.37, P = 0.698$), with 26 out of 30 fish tested exhibiting an average reduction in MR$_{\text{min}}$ of 22.8% (the remaining four fish exhibited an average increase in MR$_{\text{min}}$ of 10.5% when tested in a group; these four fish were included in all statistical analyses). The interaction between testing and CO2 treatment was not significant ($F_{2,26} = 0.71, P = 0.501$); however, the magnitude of the
calming effect was higher in both elevated-CO₂ treatments than it was in control conditions (450 µatm, 13.9 ± 5.6%; 750 µatm, 21.4 ± 4.2%; and 1000 µatm, 19.8 ± 7.3%; Fig. 3a). Elevated-CO₂ treatments produced a trend towards higher ISR (Fig. 3b; \( F_{2,27} = 2.94, P = 0.069 \)), with differences attributable to a significant increase in ISR from the control to the high-CO₂ treatment (Tukey’s test: 450 vs. 1000 µatm, \( P = 0.028 \); for all other comparisons, \( P > 0.05 \)). The ISR was not affected by testing treatment (\( F_{1,26} = 0.27, P = 0.606 \)).

The RMR_{group} was significantly lower than RMR_{alone} (Fig. 4a; \( F_{1,26} = 33.84, P < 0.001 \)). Respirometry treatment had a comparable effect on RMR in individuals from all CO₂ treatments (\( F_{2,27} = 0.73, P = 0.490 \)), and there was no significant interaction between testing and CO₂ treatment (\( F_{2,26} = 0.714 \)). Neither testing (\( F_{1,26} = 0.31, P = 0.583 \)) nor CO₂ treatment (\( F_{2,27} = 0.32, P = 0.732 \)) exerted a significant effect on activity (Fig. 4b), with individuals exhibiting an overall mean of 9.5 turns/min during daylight hours (range, 0.5–55.7 turns/min). The MMR (\( F_{1,27} = 1.15, P = 0.294 \)) and AS (\( F_{1,27} = 1.93, P = 0.176 \)) were not significantly different between CO₂ treatments (Fig. 5).

**Discussion**

Elevated CO₂ disrupted familiarity, but not the calming effect, in *C. viridis*. As familiarity is important for a range of processes in shoaling fish (Ward and Hart, 2003), many of the benefits of group living may be altered in changing environmental conditions. However, the calming benefit of shoaling on metabolic rate was maintained in high-CO₂ conditions, indicating that the benefits of group living on overall metabolic demand will be likely to persist under projected future pCO₂.
The loss of familiarity with elevated CO₂ could have occurred as a result of a number of possible mechanisms. First, social recognition may have been disrupted if fish lost the sensory abilities necessary for identifying individuals, particularly by olfactory cues (Partridge and Pitcher, 1980; Brown and Smith, 1994; Ward et al., 2002; Munday et al., 2009b). The changes in shoal-mate association found with rising CO₂ in the present study are consistent with previous work that tested for preferences between conspecifics from different reefs (home vs. foreign reef site) in the cardinalfish, Cheilodipterus quinquelineatus (Devine et al., 2012). In that study, fish lost the association for conspecifics from their home reef under elevated CO₂, suggesting that association preferences generally may be altered. Alternatively, individuals may still be able to recognize familiar shoal-mates, but may simply have lost the preference to shoal with familiar rather than unfamiliar individuals. Many previous studies have established that shoaling fish prefer to group with familiar conspecifics (e.g. Magurran et al., 1994; Griffiths and Magurran, 1997; Bhat and Magurran, 2006; Edenbrow and Croft, 2012), but few have investigated what factors may cause this preference to be lost (Granroth-Wilding and Magurran, 2013). Neural circuitry is likely to contribute to the development of social behaviour and preferences in fish species (Dreosti et al., 2015). As neurotransmitter function may be impaired by elevated pCO₂ conditions (Nilsson et al., 2012; Heuer and Grosell, 2014), this effect may account for the loss of preferential association with familiar shoal-mates. In addition, memory and learning play an integral role in familiarity, by allowing individuals to learn about their shoal-mates and remember their identity. Although it is known that learning is interrupted by elevated CO₂ (Ferrari et al., 2012a; Chivers et al., 2014), no studies have yet examined effects on fish memory. Nevertheless, a disruption to memory could account for the loss of association preference found here in the high-CO₂ treatments.

These mechanisms of familiarity disruption could have a number of ecological implications. If social recognition is disrupted, as a result of either a loss of sensory abilities or a loss of memory, a number of important processes may be affected. First, social learning may be impaired as individuals are unable to distinguish between informed and naive shoal-mates (Swaney et al., 2001). Second, Galhardo et al. (2012) found that personality traits, such as exploratory behaviour and boldness, decrease in fishes in unfamiliar shoals, suggesting that disruption to social recognition could impact fishes’ personality traits. Third, defensive behaviours may become less effective, as unfamiliar shoals are slower to react to a predator threat than familiar shoals (Griffiths et al., 2004). Alternatively, if only the preference for the familiar shoal is lost, a range of traits related to shoaling dynamics could be impacted. First, shoal fidelity may decrease, because, without the preference for the familiar shoal, the trade-offs of staying with the familiar shoal vs. migrating to a more suitable, unfamiliar shoal may shift (Muleta and Schausberger, 2013). Second, cooperation between shoal-mates may decrease, because individuals’ perception of shoal-mates could shift from that of a collaborator to a competitor in this different social context as the reliability of reciprocal cooperation may be compromised (Granroth-Wilding and Magurran, 2013; Engelmann and Herrmann, 2016).

Given the benefits of familiarity to a range of important shoaling processes, including foraging and social learning (Seppä et al., 2001; Swaney et al., 2001; Atton et al., 2014), we expected the magnitude of the calming effect to suffer under elevated CO₂. However, unlike familiarity, the calming effect was maintained, and even enhanced, under high CO₂. This surprising result implies that familiarity and the calming effect may rely on different mechanisms. Previous studies have highlighted the central role of olfactory sensing abilities in social recognition of familiar shoal-mates (Partridge and Pitcher, 1980; Brown and Smith, 1994; Ward et al., 2002), which appear to be more vulnerable to the effects of elevated CO₂ than the visual system (Lönnstedt et al., 2013). Therefore, unlike familiarity, the calming effect may be able to compensate for olfactory impairments using visual cues, as has previously been found for anti-predator behaviours (Lönnstedt et al., 2013). The importance of shoaling to energy budgets could increase in the presence of environmental stressors, as evidenced by the increasing magnitude of the calming effect with higher pCO₂. Any reduction in metabolic demands (like those induced by shoaling) could aid in coping with the projected increase in energy demand associated with changing environmental conditions. In addition, no effect of CO₂ was found on any of the metabolic traits measured (including MRmin, RMR, MMR and AS). Although some studies have indicated an effect of CO₂ on metabolism, most have not, indicating that the results presented here are consistent with many of the studies in the literature (Lefèvre, 2016).

The initial physiological reaction to stress increased with high CO₂. This result is consistent with greater incidences of anxious behaviour in fish exposed to elevated CO₂ (Hamilton et al., 2014). In social species, such as C. viridis, this amplified stress response could stem from the mechanisms presented above for familiarity. If social recognition or memory were lost, individuals may have perceived their shoal-mates to be unfamiliar, owing to the inability to distinguish between individuals, although this effect was not evident between the alone- and group-testing treatments. Stress hormones, such as cortisol, increase when individuals are exposed to an unfamiliar shoal (Yue et al., 2006), which could account for the greater acute stress response that was measured with high CO₂. Conversely, the increased metabolic stress response may have contributed to the loss of preference for familiar shoal-mates. Shoaling motivation increases with stress and predation risk (Croft et al., 2009; Stier et al., 2013); therefore, the desire to shoal may outweigh the strategic choice to shoal with familiar fish in elevated-CO₂ conditions. No matter what the underlying mechanism is, these results indicate that shoaling may become even more important in altered environmental conditions.
conditions, with the potential to be used as a behavioural compensatory mechanism (Connell and Ghedini, 2015).

Overall activity (total shoal visits and number of 180° turns) did not vary in either experiment in response to CO₂ treatment, indicating that differences in activity cannot explain the results found. Previous studies have reported a range of findings on the effect of CO₂ on activity. For instance, Munday et al. (2014a) reported an increase in the activity of reef fish species, and Regan et al. (2016) found a reduction in the activity of a river catfish species. In contrast, Nowicki et al. (2012) found no effect of elevated CO₂ on general activity in clownfish, and Munday et al. (2016) measured no effect in larvae of a pelagic kingfish species. These trends imply that CO₂ may have variable effects on activity depending on a range of traits, such as the natural mobility of the study organism, ontogenetic stage and environmental conditions.

As with all ocean acidification research, these results must be viewed in the context in which the study was conducted. This type of study must be conducted in the laboratory in order to expose fish to controlled, elevated-CO₂ conditions. Although every effort is made to make these conditions as realistic as possible, the laboratory setting may impart unknown effects on our results. Importantly, fishes will incrementally reach projected CO₂ conditions over a period of many decades, so there may be the potential for acclimation or adaptation over this time period (Munday et al., 2013b). A longer exposure period to elevated CO₂ does not appear to ameliorate impairments to a number of relevant behavioural traits and sensory systems (Welch et al., 2014), but whether adaptation could reduce the behavioural effects of high CO₂ over longer time frames is unknown.

Future research should work to tease apart which mechanism (social recognition, preference for familiarity or memory) is more likely to be causing the effect of CO₂ on familiarity. Familiarity is important for many aspects of shoaling dynamics (Swayne et al., 2001; Griffiths et al., 2004), so its disruption may create further carry-over effects on a range of processes. The maintenance of the calming effect in the presence of high CO₂, however, highlights the complexity of shoal dynamics and illustrates that many processes, in addition to familiarity, influence the benefits of shoaling.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Lizard Island Research Station staff, Ross Barrett, Paloma Matis, Ana Guerra, Laura Smith, Carly Giosio and Stephen Brown for logistical support and Rhondda Jones for statistical advice. This research was conducted under James Cook University Animal Ethics approval number A2103. We also thank three anonymous reviewers for their valuable feedback on an earlier version of the manuscript. The data from this manuscript are publicly available at the Tropical Data Hub Research Data repository (http://dx.doi.org/10.4225/28/58080af2157bf).

Funding

Funding was provided by an Australian Postgraduate Award, International Postgraduate Research Scholarship, Lizard Island Reef Research Foundation Doctoral Fellowship, Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority Science for Management Award and James Cook University Graduate Research Scheme to L.E.N., a NERC Advanced Fellowship (NE/J019100/1) to S.S.K., ARC Future Fellowship to P.L.M., ARC Discovery Grant to M.I.M. and ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies funding to M.I.M. and P.L.M.

References


Shaw E (1978) Schooling fishes: the school, a truly egalitarian form of organization in which all members of the group are alike in influence, offers substantial benefits to its participants. *Amer Sci* 66: 166–175.


