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Opening statement:

‘Effective, meaningful and successful STEM education should be **synergistic** for learners at the classroom level.’
Overview

• Considerations: Philosophical Level

• Considerations: Practical Level
  – Challenges & Contexts
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Science

• Description & explanation of natural world
• High degree of objectivity
• High degree of epistemic consistency
• Established systems for validating scientific knowledge
Philosophical Considerations

Mathematics

• Demonstration of proof.
• Abstract and symbolic world.
• High degree of objectivity
• High degree of epistemic consistency
• Established systems for validating scientific knowledge
Philosophical Considerations

Engineering & Technology?

• Both relate to man-made world.

• Engineering – closer ties to Maths & Science (analysis/application)

• Technology:
  – Subjective and objective.
  – Difficult to validate technological knowledge.
Philosophical Considerations

• How are constituent subjects defined and understood within policy and by teachers?
• How is consistency in this understanding achieved?
• How can this effectively shape instructional & pedagogical design?
• How might this shape what STEM learning looks like within classrooms?
Philosophical Considerations

ITEEA Definitions Example

Science: Study of natural world.
Technology: Modifying natural world to meet the needs and wants of society.
Engineering: Applying maths and science to create technology.
Mathematics: System of Numbers, patterns, relationships that tie S, T and E together.
Philosophical Considerations

ITEEA Definitions Example

Integrative STEM:
Purposely integrates S, T, E & M through inquiry-based methods to resolve problems and address human needs.
Challenges & Contexts

• STEM: Form of **interdisciplinary learning**.
• Nature of learning is shaped by structure and context of the wider system and learning environment.

• Must consider things from the perspective of the learner also.
• **No consensus of understanding STEM-IDL.**
Challenges & Contexts
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- Compartmentalised learning.
- Context masking.
Challenges & Contexts

- Compartmentalised learning.
- Context masking.
- Difficult to make links.
Work of Scottish School Pupil: 12 Years of Age.

Diagram:
- Metal
- The fold line (Box folder) (Hammer)
- Notcher
- File (to make a curved shape in the metal)
- Wood
- File (But it will take a while)

Instructions:
- You could get the notcher and cut basic bits out of the curve and then use the file to make it curved.
Challenges & Contexts

- Compartmentalised learning.
- Context masking.
- Difficult to make links.
- Teacher assumed ‘transfer’.
- IDL: Knowledge vs process.
- Mastery > a ‘way of thinking’.
Promotion of thinking in a more integrated way.
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Challenges & Contexts

- Fully integrated STEM departments
  - Maximal opportunity to shape success
- Long term cross-subject projects
  - Require dependency to avoid split subject learning.
- STEM Weeks
  - Often poor links back to subject learning, low educational return for investment of time.
**Challenges & Contexts**

- How might school systems and the physical environment be structured to support STEM?
- In early stages, how might the teachers make links between areas?
- How can tasks be designed to move beyond links and maximise the possibility of autonomous ‘integration’ at a later stage?
Challenges & Contexts

• How can teachers ultimately cultivate a capacity for ‘interdisciplinary thinking’ in STEM?
• What are the implications for Initial Teacher Education.
• What findings from research and practise are relevant to shaping an effective approach to Teaching STEM?
Challenges & Contexts

‘Effective, meaningful and successful STEM education should be synergistic for learners at the classroom level.’

Learning in STEM should ultimately be more than the sum of its parts.
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