



Dominoni, D. M., Borniger, J. C., and Nelson, R. J. (2016) Light at night, clocks and health: from humans to wild organisms. *Biology Letters*, 12(2), 20160015. (doi:[10.1098/rsbl.2016.0015](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2016.0015))

This is the author's final accepted version.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/119856/>

Deposited on: 7 June 2016

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow
<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

**Light at night, clocks and health:
From humans to wild organisms**

Davide M. Dominoni¹, Jeremy C. Borniger², Randy J. Nelson²

¹ Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health and Comparative Medicine – University of
Glasgow, Glasgow, UK - davide.dominoni@glasgow.ac.uk

² Department of Neuroscience - The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center,
Columbus, USA

15 **ABSTRACT**

16 The increasing use of electric lights has modified the natural light environment
17 dramatically, posing novel challenges to both humans and wildlife. Indeed, several
18 biomedical studies have linked artificial light at night to the disruption of circadian
19 rhythms, with important consequences for human health, such as the increasing
20 occurrence of metabolic syndromes, cancer and reduced immunity. In wild animals, light
21 pollution is associated with changes in circadian behavior, reproduction and predator-
22 prey interactions, but we know little about the underlying physiological mechanisms and
23 whether wild species suffer the same health problems as humans. In order to fill this gap,
24 we advocate the need for integrating ecological studies in the field with chronobiological
25 approaches, to identify and characterize pathways that may link temporal disruption
26 caused by light at night and potential health and fitness consequences.

27

28 **KEYWORDS**

29 Health; physiology; light at night; clocks; circadian rhythms; urbanisation.

30 **1. INTRODUCTION**

31 Within the past century there has been a rapid and unprecedented increase in artificial
32 light at night (ALAN) that has modified both the indoor and outdoor light environment.
33 Given the ubiquitous role that light plays in daily and seasonal organization of behavior
34 and physiology, it is reasonable to expect that any perturbation of the light environment
35 could have far reaching effects. Indeed, biological rhythms are fundamental life
36 processes: organisms possess cell autonomous clocks that are directly or indirectly
37 responsive to light. Biomedical research is rapidly providing evidence that exposure to
38 ALAN in humans and model species can be harmful, and that circadian disruption might
39 be the underlying mechanism [1]. Several laboratory studies demonstrate that aberrant
40 light exposure can influence the circadian system, with downstream alterations in
41 immune, reproductive, cognitive, and metabolic function [2–4]. All of these systems are
42 critical to fitness. In the wild, animals can be subjected to several other ecological effects
43 of ALAN that are not linked to physiological changes but can still impact survival [5–7].
44 However, advancements in the understanding of the mechanisms underlying the health
45 effects of ALAN in humans and model species has not been paralleled by an equal
46 evidence from ecological research: whether wild populations suffer from the same health
47 consequences of ALAN remains a significant research objective. Here, we first review
48 the biomedical implications of ALAN, focusing on circadian disruption as main
49 underlying mechanism. We then highlight how the integration of chronobiology and
50 ecology can provide substantial help to understand the ultimate effects of ALAN.

51

52 **2. THE HEALTH EFFECTS OF ALAN**

53 Exposure to ALAN can have direct or indirect physiological effects. Direct physiological
54 effects often involve the disruption of circadian rhythms, and in particular the hormone
55 melatonin, which orchestrates changes in many physiological processes as a function of
56 day length, including body mass, metabolic rate, hormone synthesis, and immunity [1].
57 Well studied biomedical implications of ALAN are obesity and metabolic disruption.
58 Obesity can result from changes in caloric intake and energy expenditure, but time of
59 feeding can also play a significant role. Mice exposed to ALAN shift their normal
60 nocturnal time of food intake to their inactive part of the day, and despite equivalent daily
61 caloric intake to mice exposed to dark nights, they gain more weight and have impaired
62 glucose tolerance [4]. This has direct implications for human health: a recent cross-
63 sectional study on more than 100,000 British women reported a positive association
64 between obesity and exposure to ALAN [8]. Mistimed feeding may also have subsequent
65 fitness consequences, as it reduces reproductive health in flies [9]. Another postulated
66 consequence of ALAN is cancer. Indeed, ALAN seems to promote aging and tumor
67 growth in rats and to slow breast cancer therapy [10,11], and epidemiological data show
68 that ALAN correlates with breast cancer in women [12]. Although the mechanisms are
69 unclear, suppression of melatonin is likely involved. ALAN can also have indirect effects
70 on other physiological processes. For instance, as many core components of the immune
71 system possess circadian clocks [13], they are likely vulnerable to the effects of ALAN.
72 Indeed, ALAN suppresses T-cell mediated immunity in hamsters [2]. Other indirect
73 circadian effects of ALAN are associated with sleep deprivation, such as cardiovascular
74 disease and endocrine disruption [1].

75 Despite this evidence, potential long-term health consequences of ALAN are
76 difficult to experimentally demonstrate in humans, and model species have little or no
77 genetic variation that does not represent the complexity of the natural world, where
78 associated health costs of ALAN might be offset by other potential benefits. Indeed, male
79 songbirds breeding close to street lamps sang earlier in the morning and were thereby
80 able to increase extra-pair paternity gain [6], and shorebirds obtained more nighttime
81 foraging opportunities on light-polluted mudflats [7]. Thus, besides clear effects of
82 ALAN on immediate mortality [5], it remains unclear whether wild animals might suffer
83 from similar, ALAN-induced health problems that might compromise reproduction and
84 survival and eventually reduce fitness.

85

86 **3. HOW CHRONOBIOLOGY CAN HELP ECOLOGISTS**

87 Classic circadian theory can help ecologists to assess long-term effects of ALAN on
88 important circadian parameters. A recent study has found that songbirds living in light
89 polluted territories in urban areas had a shorter circadian period length than conspecifics
90 in dark, rural areas [14]. It is unclear whether this change is a consequence of masking
91 from light, after-effects of light exposure on the speed of the circadian clock, and/or
92 selection for shorter period lengths in urban areas. Also, what are the consequences of
93 faster circadian rhythms in the wild? A recent study showed that *tau* mutant mice with
94 shorter circadian period length had reduced survival and fecundity than heterozygous
95 mice [15], but evidence of links between naturally occurring variation in circadian
96 periodicity and fitness is scarce. In this context, an exciting avenue of research would be

97 to assess whether prolonged exposure to ALAN can produce evolution of circadian traits
98 in wild populations [16].

99 A great advantage of captive chronobiology studies is the possibility to record
100 activity patterns continuously and to obtain repeated physiological samples to assess
101 other circadian traits such as body temperature and melatonin secretion. Although this
102 might be complicated to do in the field, ecologists are starting to use such approaches.
103 For instance, while biotelemetry tools have been mostly used to assess animal movement
104 and migration strategies, these can also be used to record circadian activity. Indeed,
105 automatic radio-telemetry that allows continuous recording of activity has revealed links
106 between exposure to ALAN and changes in activity patterns of wild songbirds [17].
107 However, the physiological implications of such changes are mostly unknown. Given that
108 a substantial portion of the genome is under circadian regulation [18], to obtain a single
109 physiological sample from one wild animal will only provide limited information,
110 especially if the time of sampling is not controlled for in the subsequent analyses. Tools
111 usually deployed by ecologists or chronobiologists, such as radio-telemetry or next-
112 generation sequencing, could help resolve this issue. For instance, radio-telemetry can be
113 used to record body temperature at a fine scale and for multiple days, but such application
114 has been mostly applied to study circadian physiology of arctic mammals [19], but light
115 pollution studies might also benefit from it. Next-generation sequencing has been widely
116 used by chronobiologists to assess the effect of circadian disruption, with excellent
117 results [18,20]. If applied in mechanistic studies of light pollution, next-generation
118 sequencing will allow ecologists to characterize specific pathways affected by ALAN,
119 and thus identify potential physiological markers. For instance, recent studies revealed

120 that sleep deprivation can have profound effects on the circadian expression of the
121 transcriptome, and in particular of genes involved in immune and stress responses [18],
122 and that oxalate is a robust marker of sleep debt [20]. In the wild ALAN can disrupt sleep
123 [21], but the potential consequences are unknown. The integration of experiments in the
124 wild with metabolic profiling and targeted analysis of specific markers such as oxalate,
125 could provide evidence linking ALAN-induced sleep deprivation to health-related
126 changes in physiology.

127

128 **4. HOW ECOLOGY CAN HELP CHRONOBIOLOGISTS**

129 Given the widespread presence of circadian rhythms in virtually all organisms,
130 chronobiologists have often assumed that possessing functional circadian clocks must be
131 adaptive. However, the few experiments performed in semi-natural settings using
132 transgenic mice have shown contrasting effects with often surprising results. Indeed,
133 while *tau* mutant mice showed reduced survival and reproductive success [15], *Per2-null*
134 mice did not have lower survival than heterozygous individuals, and in the latter study
135 mice did not show the predominantly nocturnal behavior they display in the lab [22]. This
136 suggests that unexpected features and consequences of circadian behavior may be
137 widespread in natural populations. This should be of interest of chronobiologists whose
138 aim is to understand the adaptive nature of circadian rhythms. Therefore, we advocate the
139 need of experimental study systems in the wild where longitudinal physiological samples
140 can be obtained, circadian activity of individual animals monitored, and fitness measured.
141 Examples of these systems are already being used to study the ecological effects of

142 ALAN, and thus could offer important insights into the fitness consequences of circadian
143 disruption [23].

144 As ecologists can use biotelemetry tools to gain insight into the circadian behavior
145 of wild species, chronobiologists can benefit from using these techniques to bring their
146 research into the wild [24]. In particular, the integration of accelerometers with GPS
147 loggers is the most promising technique currently available [25]. The greatest advance
148 allowed by this technology is the possibility to identify specific behavioral states of
149 tagged animals, while simultaneously recording their exact position in space, which
150 would be crucial to understand how activity may vary between dark or light polluted
151 areas. Important circadian behaviors such as foraging and resting could be easily
152 quantified and, if integrated with measurements of reproductive success and survival, will
153 offer unique insights into the adaptive function of circadian rhythms. Some chrono-
154 ecologists have already started to follow this path [6,24], but more studies are needed.
155 Moreover, collaboration between ecologists and chronobiologists will ensure that state-
156 of-the-art tools are deployed to analyze biotelemetry data with respect to biological
157 rhythms [15,24].

158

159 **5. CONCLUSIONS**

160 It is essential that we learn about the effects of modern lighting on health, so that we
161 might appropriately manage them. Many different non-visual responses, including
162 circadian responses, have different spectral sensitivity, peaking at short wavelengths
163 between 450 and 490 nm [1]. The recent shift from sodium lamps (~589 nm) to
164 fluorescent and LED lights of considerable shorter wavelengths has highlighted the need

165 to trade-off economic and health benefits, as the latter types are increasingly used both
166 indoor and outdoor. Thus, it may be of interest to compare wild populations in areas
167 where sodium lamps or LED street lighting are present.

168 Besides a few sparse examples, research on the effects of ALAN has been marked
169 by a lack of connection between biomedical studies on the circadian effects of ALAN in
170 the laboratory and ecological studies in the field. We envision merging the mechanistic
171 approach of chronobiologists with the possibility of sampling animals in the wild,
172 including measuring their health and longevity, as the way forward for scientists
173 interested in the proximate mechanisms as well as in the ultimate consequences of
174 ALAN. We believe that researchers in both fields have much to offer to each other;
175 ecologists will understand HOW light pollution can affect wild species, while circadian
176 biologists will appreciate WHY circadian disruption matters in the real world.

177

178 **References**

- 179 1 Foster, R.G. and Kreitzmann, L. (2004) *Rhythms of life: The biological clocks that*
180 *control the daily lives of every living thing*, Yale University Press.
- 181 2 Bedrosian, T.A. *et al.* (2011) Chronic exposure to dim light at night suppresses
182 immune responses in Siberian hamsters. *Biol. Lett.* 7, 468–471
- 183 3 LeGates, T. a *et al.* (2013) Aberrant light directly impairs mood and learning
184 through melanopsin-expressing neurons. *Nature* 491, 594–598
- 185 4 Fonken, L.K. *et al.* (2010) Light at night increases body mass by shifting the time
186 of food intake. *PNAS* 107, 18664–18669
- 187 5 Rich, C. and Longcore, T. (2006) *Artificial Night Lighting*, Island Press.
- 188 6 Kempenaers, B. *et al.* (2010) Artificial night lighting affects dawn song, extra-pair
189 siring success, and lay date in songbirds. *Curr. Biol.* 20, 1735–1739
- 190 7 Dwyer, R.G. *et al.* (2012) Shedding light on light: benefits of anthropogenic
191 illumination to a nocturnally foraging shorebird. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 82, 478–485
- 192 8 McFadden, E. *et al.* (2014) The Relationship Between Obesity and Exposure to
193 Light at Night: Cross-Sectional Analyses of Over 100,000 Women in the

- 194 Breakthrough Generations Study. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 180, 245–250
- 195 9 Xu, K. *et al.* (2011) The circadian clock interacts with metabolic physiology to
196 influence reproductive fitness. *Cell Metab.* 13, 639–54
- 197 10 Dauchy, R.T. *et al.* (2014) Circadian and melatonin disruption by exposure to light
198 at night drives intrinsic resistance to tamoxifen therapy in breast cancer. *Cancer*
199 *Res.* 74, 4099–110
- 200 11 Vinogradova, I.A. *et al.* (2009) Circadian disruption induced by light-at-night
201 accelerates aging and promotes tumorigenesis in rats. *Aging* 1, 855–65
- 202 12 Stevens, R.G. (2009) Light-at-night, circadian disruption and breast cancer:
203 assessment of existing evidence. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 38, 963–970
- 204 13 Arjona, A. *et al.* (2012) Immunity’s fourth dimension: Approaching the circadian-
205 immune connection. *Trends Immunol.* 33, 607–612
- 206 14 Dominoni, D.M. *et al.* (2013) Clocks for the city: circadian differences between
207 forest and city songbirds. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 280, 20130593
- 208 15 Spoelstra, K. *et al.* (2015) Natural selection against a circadian clock gene
209 mutation in mice. *PNAS Early Ed.*
- 210 16 Swaddle, J.P. *et al.* (2015) A framework to assess evolutionary responses to
211 anthropogenic light and sound. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 30, 550–560
- 212 17 Dominoni, D.M. *et al.* (2014) Individual-based measurements of light intensity
213 provide new insights into the effects of artificial light at night on daily rhythms of
214 urban-dwelling songbirds. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 83, 681–692
- 215 18 Moller-Levet, C.S. *et al.* (2013) Effects of insufficient sleep on circadian
216 rhythmicity and expression amplitude of the human blood transcriptome. *PNAS*
217 110, E1132–E1141
- 218 19 Williams, C.T. *et al.* (2012) Daily body temperature rhythms persist under the
219 midnight sun but are absent during hibernation in free-living arctic ground
220 squirrels. *Biol. Lett.* 8, 31–4
- 221 20 Weljie, A.M. *et al.* (2015) Oxalic acid and diacylglycerol 36:3 are cross-species
222 markers of sleep debt. *PNAS* 112, 2569–2574
- 223 21 Raap, T. *et al.* (2015) Light pollution disrupts sleep in free-living animals. *Sci.*
224 *Rep.* 5, 13557
- 225 22 Daan, S. *et al.* (2011) Lab Mice in the Field: Unorthodox Daily Activity and
226 Effects of a Dysfunctional Circadian Clock Allele. *J. Biol. Rhythms* 26, 118–129
- 227 23 Spoelstra, K. *et al.* (2015) Experimental illumination of natural habitat - direct and
228 indirect ecological consequences of artificial light of different spectral
229 composition. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London B* 370, 20140129
- 230 24 Greives, T.J. *et al.* (2015) Costs of sleeping in: circadian rhythms influence
231 cuckoldry risk in a songbird. *Funct. Ecol.* 29, 1300–1307

232 25 Nams, V.O. (2014) Combining animal movements and behavioural data to detect
233 behavioural states. *Ecol. Lett.* 17, 1228–1237

234

235 Ethics

236 No animals were used in this study.

237

238 Data Accessibility

239 This study contains no data.

240

241 Competing interests

242 We declare we have no competing interests.

243

244 Authors' contributions

245 DMD and RJN conceived the paper. DMD, JB and RJN wrote the paper. All authors
246 agree to be held accountable for the content therein and approve the final version of the
247 manuscript.

248

249 Funding

250 Preparation of this opinion article was supported by the College of Medical, Veterinary
251 and Life Sciences of the University of Glasgow (DMD), US NSF Grant IOS-11-18792
252 (RJN), as well as US NIH Grants R01 NS092388 (RJN) and R21 CA191846 (RJN). JCB
253 was supported by an Ohio State University Presidential Fellowship and Pelotonia
254 Graduate Fellowship.

255

256 **Acknowledgements**

257 We thank Barbara Helm and three anonymous referees for comments on this manuscript

258 that have considerably helped us to improve its quality.