



Martin, J.E., McKeegan, D.E.F., Sparrey, J., and Sandilands, V. (2016) Comparison of novel mechanical cervical dislocation and a modified captive bolt for on-farm killing of poultry on behavioural reflex responses and anatomical pathology. *Animal Welfare*, 25(2), pp. 227-241.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/119726/>

Deposited on: 10 June 2016

1 **Comparison of novel mechanical cervical dislocation and a modified captive**
2 **bolt for on-farm killing of poultry on behavioural reflex responses and**
3 **anatomical pathology**

4 Jessica E Martin^{1,2*}, Dorothy E F McKeegan², Julian Sparrey³ and Victoria Sandilands¹

5
6 ¹ Avian Science Research Centre, Animal and Veterinary Sciences Group, SRUC Auchincruive, Ayr, KA6 5HW,
7 UK

8 ² Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, College of Medical, Veterinary & Life
9 Sciences, University of Glasgow, G61 1QH, UK

10 ³ Livetec Systems Ltd., Building 52 Wrest Park, Silsoe, Bedford, Bedfordshire, MK45 4HS, UK

11
12 *Contact for correspondence: Jessica.Martin@sruc.ac.uk; Animal Behaviour and Welfare, Animal and Veterinary
13 Science Research Group, SRUC, Roslin Institute Building, Easter Bush, Edinburgh, EH25 9RG, UK

14
15 **Abstract**

16 An alternative emergency method for killing poultry on-farm is required following European
17 legislation changes (EU 1099/2009), which heavily restricts the use of manual cervical
18 dislocation. This study investigated the kill efficacy of two mechanical methods that conform to
19 the new legislation: (1) a novel mechanical cervical dislocation device; and (2) a modified
20 captive bolt device (Rabbit ZingerTM) and manual cervical dislocation (the control). Killing
21 treatments were applied to broilers and layers at two stages of production (broilers: 2-3 weeks

22 and 5 weeks of age; layers: 12-13 weeks and 58-62 weeks), with a total of 180 birds. Latency to
23 abolition of cranial and behavioural reflexes, as well as post-mortem analysis of the
24 physiological damage produced, were used to estimate time to unconsciousness and assess kill
25 efficacy. The novel mechanical cervical dislocation device was reliable and a practical method
26 for killing poultry on-farm, with 100% kill success ($F_{2,167} = 19.96, P < 0.001$) and cranial reflex
27 interval mean durations lasting for 0.0 - 103.0 s post-kill (jaw tone ($F_{2,150} = 13.34, P < 0.001$);
28 pupillary ($F_{2,150} = 101.66, P < 0.001$); nictitating membrane ($F_{2,150} = 1.61, P = 0.191$); and
29 rhythmic breathing ($F_{2,150} = 1.46, P = 0.235$) compared to the modified Rabbit ZingerTM (72% kill
30 success rate, 0.3-124.9 s cranial reflex mean durations) and manual cervical dislocation (100%
31 kill success rate, 0.0-119.3 s cranial reflex mean durations). The novel mechanical cervical
32 dislocation device resulted in consistent anatomical damage to the birds (e.g. high dislocation of
33 the neck and severing of the spinal cord) compared to the manual method, despite both having
34 100% success rate, while the modified Rabbit ZingerTM was difficult to operate and resulted in
35 varied anatomical damage. The novel mechanical cervical dislocation device showed promise as
36 a replacement kill method on farm for poultry.

37

38 **Keywords**

39 Animal welfare, captive bolt, cervical dislocation, killing, poultry, reflexes

40

41 **Introduction**

42 Determining the efficacy of on-farm killing methods for individual birds is essential to poultry
43 welfare in both commercial and non-commercial contexts. Poultry may need to be killed on farm
44 or in backyard flocks for several reasons (e.g. in an emergency for small-scale disease control or
45 injury, and for stock management). Emergency killing of large numbers of birds are often
46 controlled by whole-house or containerised gas methods, or birds may be transported for
47 slaughter and then slaughtered using gas or electrical waterbath stunning methods. However, for
48 individual birds on-farm, there are two key methods for killing poultry: (1) cervical dislocation,
49 which is designed to cause death by cerebral ischemia and extensive damage to the spinal cord
50 and brain stem (Bader *et al* 2014; Erasmus *et al* 2010a; Erasmus *et al* 2010b; Gregory & Wotton
51 1990; Ommaya & Gennarelli 1974); and (2) percussive devices designed to cause extensive
52 brain damage, resulting in brain death (Erasmus *et al* 2010a; Erasmus *et al* 2010b; Gregory &
53 Wotton 1990; HSA 2004; Mason *et al* 2009; Sparrey *et al* 2014).

54

55 Cervical dislocation methods can be divided into two categories: (1) manual – cervical
56 dislocation of the neck by hand (MCD); and (2) mechanical – cervical dislocation of the neck
57 with the aid of a tool (Gregory & Wotton 1990; HSA 2004; Mason *et al* 2009; Sparrey *et al*
58 2014). The most common method for despatching poultry on-farm is manual cervical dislocation
59 (MCD) (Mason *et al* 2009), as it is perceived to be humane by users, easy to learn and perform,
60 and does not require equipment. All cervical dislocation killing methods are designed to separate
61 the skull from the vertebral column of the bird (C0-C1 vertebral dislocation), resulting in
62 severing of the spinal cord and/or brain stem and the main blood vessels supplying the brain
63 (Cartner *et al* 2007; Gregory & Wotton 1990; Mason *et al* 2009; Parent *et al* 1992; Veras *et al*
64 2000). It has been suggested that optimal application also produces a concussive effect on the

65 bird due to trauma inflicted on the brain stem through the action of stretching and twisting
66 (Cartner *et al* 2007; Erasmus *et al* 2010a; Harrop *et al* 2001; Pryor & Shi 2006; Shi & Pryor
67 2002; Shi & Whitebone 2006). However, both methods of cervical dislocation (but MCD in
68 particular, perhaps because it is more common) have been the subject of welfare concern, as
69 research in the last 40 years has questioned their humaneness and consistency in poultry
70 (Erasmus *et al* 2010a; Gregory & Wotton 1986; Gregory & Wotton 1990), as well as other
71 species (Cartner *et al* 2007; Tidswell *et al* 1987). Some studies have indicated that animals,
72 including poultry, may be conscious for a significant period post-application of cervical
73 dislocation methods (Carbone *et al* 2012; Erasmus *et al* 2010a; Gregory & Wotton 1990) and it
74 has been noted that there is high variability in its application across different relevant groups
75 (e.g. poultry stock-workers, veterinarians, trained slaughtermen) (Mason *et al* 2009; Sparrey *et al*
76 2014). In response to these concerns, as of January 2013, the use of MCD has been restricted
77 through European legislation (EC 1099/2009) to a maximum of 70 birds/person/day and to birds
78 ≤ 3 kg in weight (European Council 2009). As a result, an alternative method for killing poultry
79 on-farm needs to be identified which conforms to the new legislation and is proven to be
80 effective and humane.

81

82 Assessing the effectiveness and humaneness of a kill method is achieved, in part, by determining
83 time to unconsciousness (insensibility) and brain death. Several studies have identified and
84 validated the loss of brain stem (e.g. corneal) and spinal (e.g. nociceptive) reflexes as an
85 indicator of loss of consciousness, and/or brain death in poultry (Erasmus *et al* 2010a;
86 McKeegan *et al* 2013; Sandercock *et al* 2014; Sparrey *et al* 2014), as well as in several other
87 species (Croft 1961; Hellyer *et al* 1991). The loss of pupillary reflex and jaw tone have both been

88 used as indicators of unconsciousness Some studies have also used the cessation of clonic
89 convulsions in poultry (e.g. wing-flapping and leg paddling) as an indication of brain death
90 (Dawson *et al* 2009; Dawson *et al* 2007), as well as cessation of rhythmic breathing (Blackmore
91 & Delany 1988; Erasmus *et al* 2010a; Grandin 1994). The loss of spinal and brain stem reflexes
92 can be attributed to physical trauma to these areas as well as the specific type and scale of trauma
93 and therefore the killing method employed will affect the time to brain death and loss of
94 consciousness (Close *et al* 2007; Shaw 2002).

95

96 This study investigates the kill efficacy of two new or modified mechanical devices designed to
97 kill poultry and compares them with MCD, through assessment of duration of brain stem and
98 spinal reflexes post-application and physiological damage identified through post-mortem
99 examination.

100

101 **Materials and Methods**

102 *Animal housing and husbandry*

103 A total of 180 female chickens were used for the study. The birds were tested in two batches of
104 90 birds on separate days. In each batch 15 layers and 15 broilers, each divided into two age
105 classes (either 7 or 8 birds per type/age-class depending on the day tested, but always totalling 15
106 over the two days), were assessed for each of the three killing treatments (N=15). Further details
107 about the birds and their accommodation are provided in Table 1. The sample size was chosen to
108 allow significant differences to be identified in behavioural data which is prone to high

109 individual variation across two bird types and two bird age groups (within type) across three
110 killing treatments. A minimum of 12 birds were calculated to provide sufficient power in the
111 analysis (88%), however an additional 3 birds were used per treatment group in order to
112 compensate for any unsuccessful birds and therefore the loss of valid behavioural data.

113

114 Upon arrival all birds were individually weighed and wing-tagged. The birds were housed for a
115 minimum of one week prior to the experiment commencing in order to allow acclimatisation to
116 the new housing environment. All birds were housed in floor pens with wood-shavings litter at
117 lower than commercial stocking density in separate rooms per bird type and age group (Table 1),
118 in order to provide the recommended environmental climate (Aviagen 2009; Hy-Line 2012) for
119 each bird type as well as bespoke environmental enrichments (DEFRA 2002a; DEFRA 2002b).
120 Each pen was constructed from a wooden frame with wire-grid sides and roof (L 1.5 m x W 1.0
121 m x H 1.5 m); as a result all birds had both visual and auditory contact with other birds within the
122 same room. All birds had *ad libitum* access to feed and water. Temperature was checked daily
123 and all birds were inspected twice daily.

124

125 *Table 1*

126

127 ***Study design***

128 Two novel mechanical poultry killing devices, the Modified Rabbit Zinger (MZIN) and a novel
129 mechanical cervical dislocation gloved device (NMCD), were assessed for their kill efficacy in
130 comparison with each other and a control (MCD). The Rabbit ZingerTM (Pizzurro 2009a;

131 Pizzurro 2009b) is a penetrating captive bolt device originally designed to kill rabbits that uses
132 the stored energy in rubber tubes to drive a penetrating bolt into the head, causing death by
133 extensive irreversible brain damage (DEFRA 2014; Martin 2015) (Figure 1a). The device was
134 modified with permission of the original designer in order to adapt it to the new target species
135 (Figure 1b), however the original function and bolt mechanism of the device was retained. The
136 blue Power Tubes™ (Pizzurro 2009a) were used, which require 177 N to pull the bolt into the
137 cocked position (Sparrey *et al* 2014) and when fired the bolt delivered approximately 11.87 J of
138 kinetic energy. The modifications consisted of three aluminium appendages added to the base of
139 the device in order to secure the bird's head in place between them: two rested either side of the
140 bird's head (over the ears, or auricular feathers) and the third ran down the front of the bird's
141 face between the eyes and over the nostrils and beak (Figure 1c). The appendages were designed
142 to position the bird's head correctly in order to direct the bolt (0.6 mm diameter) into the bird's
143 brain and brain stem. Additional leather washers were added to the bolt, in order to reduce the
144 penetration depth from approximately 3.5 cm to 2.5 cm. The device was also weighted at the
145 bottom in order to counteract the top heaviness of the device when cocked.

146

147 *Figure 1*

148

149 The NMCD device (Figure 2) was designed to create a mechanical method for cervical
150 dislocation of poultry which mirrored the technique of the manual method. The device consisted
151 of a supportive glove (SHOWA 370 Multi-purpose Stable Glove™) designed to support the
152 wrist and hand (and therefore could reduce strain injury in the operator) and a moveable metal
153 insert. The metal insert fingers were designed to fit around the bird's head to create a secure grip,

154 and to move independently from side to side in order to allow adjustment for different sizes of
155 birds (Figure 3). The rounded shape of the metal fingers was designed to aid the twisting motion
156 required to dislocate the bird's neck by enhancing the "rolling action" of the hand. The blunt
157 edge between the two metal fingers (protruding < 1 mm from the fleshy area of skin between the
158 index and middle fingers) provided a hard edge to force between the back of the bird's head and
159 the top of the neck, designed to focalise the force into the desired area (i.e. a dislocation at C0-
160 C1) when the method was applied.

161

162 *Figure 2*

163

164 *Figure 3*

165

166 The MCD method was performed following the HSA's guidelines; with the bird held upside
167 down by both legs in one hand, and the bird's head held in the operator's palm with the neck
168 between the index and middle finger of the other hand (HSA, 2004). In one swift movement, the
169 operator pulled down on the bird's head, stretching the neck, while rotating the bird's head
170 upwards towards the back of the neck.

171

172 Before this trial commenced, the modified devices had been tested in two previous experiments
173 and were applied to 80 cadavers (10 birds per bird type x age for each killing treatment), and 80
174 anaesthetised birds (10 birds per bird type x age for each killing treatment) that were subject to
175 detailed electroencephalography (EEG) analysis of electrical brain activity, reflex and

176 behavioural duration analysis and post mortem examination. These confirmed that both the
177 MZIN and MMCD caused tissue damage in the expected way that would be likely to result in
178 death, as well as causing rapid and sustained unconsciousness post device application (Martin
179 2015).

180

181 The three killing treatments were tested on 180 live conscious birds across two bird types and
182 ages, resulting in 15 birds per bird type x age for each killing treatment. Across the two batches a
183 Latin-Square design was used to systematically randomise killing treatment, bird type x age and
184 kill order. Killing treatment was allocated to individual birds so not to confound killing treatment
185 with pen. Birds were killed over 5 days for each batch, with 18 birds killed per day. All killing
186 treatments and post-mortem assessments were applied by one trained and experienced operator.
187 A stepwise approach was in place with end points in place if killing treatments reached a level of
188 failure (< 70%). However, the number of kills which were unsuccessful occurred intermittently
189 throughout the two batches and therefore the pre-defined end point was never reached.

190

191 The efficacy of the devices was determined in two ways: (1) durations of reflexes post treatment
192 application; and (2) post mortem examination. Three cranial reflexes (pupillary (Croft 1961),
193 nictitating membrane (Erasmus *et al* 2010c; Heard 2000) and rhythmic breathing (Anil 1991;
194 Erasmus *et al* 2010a)) and four relevant involuntary behaviours (presence of jaw tone (Erasmus
195 *et al* 2010a; Sandercock *et al* 2014), cloacal movement (Erasmus *et al* 2010c), and clonic wing
196 flapping and leg paddling (Blackmore & Delany 1988; Erasmus *et al* 2010c; Gregory 1991))
197 (Table 2) were assessed as present or absent in 15 s intervals post killing treatments application,

198 until an uninterrupted 30 s of absence of all behaviours and reflexes was observed. Assessment
199 of the presence and absence of the behaviours and reflexes was conducted by two observers for
200 all birds: observer 1 assessed reflexes and behaviours associated with the bird's head, while
201 observer 2 assessed measures relating to the body and limbs of the bird. Measures were recorded
202 in a predetermined order for each observer, and using the 1-0 sampling technique (Martin &
203 Bateson 2007): if a reflex/behaviour was present during any point of a 15 s interval it was
204 defined as present for the entire interval, providing a conservative measure of reflex/behaviour
205 duration post killing treatment application. If a reflex or behaviour could not be recorded (e.g.
206 pupillary reflex – concealed due to damage to the eye) the data was recorded as missing.

207

208 *Table 2*

209

210 Post-mortem assessment was performed on every bird immediately after all behaviours and
211 reflexes had ceased and the bird was confirmed dead. Specific post-mortem measures were
212 obtained for particular killing treatments as their target areas were different causing damage in
213 different body regions. For all killing treatments, binary yes/no measures were recorded for the
214 presence/absence of the skin being broken, external blood loss and subcutaneous hematoma.

215

216 For MZIN, seven specific post-mortem measures were recorded: skull penetration location (see
217 Figure 4 for classified skull regions); a four-scale grading of damage (Table 3) to the left

218 forebrain, right forebrain, cerebellum, midbrain and brainstem; and a binary measure (yes/no) of
219 the presence of an internal brain cavity hematoma.

220

221 *Figure 4*

222

223 *Table 3*

224

225 For cervical dislocation killing treatments, seven specific post-mortem measures were assessed.
226 Four binary measures (yes/no) were recorded for dislocation of the neck, vertebra damage (e.g.
227 intra-vertebra dislocation/break), damage to neck muscle, and whether the spinal cord was
228 severed. The level of cervical dislocation was recorded (e.g. between C0-C1, C1-C2, C2-C3,
229 etc.), as well as a measurement of the length (cm) of the gap between the dislocated cervical
230 vertebra. The number of carotid arteries severed (0, 1, or 2) was also noted.

231

232 Kill success was defined as only one application attempt with no signs of recovery (e.g.
233 sustained and/or return of rhythmic breathing and jaw tone, for example). If any signs of
234 recovery continued for 15 s (i.e. 1 interval measure) the bird was immediately emergency
235 euthanised; the method of euthanasia was killing treatment dependent in order to prevent post
236 mortem examination data being voided (e.g. for MCD and NMCD it was by the CASH Poultry
237 Killer .22 (CPK 200 – 1 grain (65 mg) gunpowder cartridge) (Accles & Shelvoke 2010); for
238 MZIN it was by MCD. Device success was defined as the killing treatments producing the

239 optimal trauma to the bird, specific to the treatment's design. For example, the MZIN penetrating
240 the skull and causing more than one region of the brain a minimum of "mid" range damage, as
241 pilot work established this was sufficient to result in a successful kill. For the MCD and NMCD,
242 device success was defined as full dislocation of the neck at C0-C1, the spinal cord and both
243 carotid arteries severed and no tears or breaks to the skin (HSA 2004).

244

245 ***Ethical statement***

246 This project was performed under Home Office (UK) authority via Project and Personal
247 Licences and underwent review and approval by SRUC's ethical review committee. All routine
248 animal management procedures were adhered to by trained staff. To protect bird welfare,
249 emergency euthanasia endpoints were in place and adhered to if required.

250

251 ***Statistical Analysis***

252 All data was summarised in Microsoft Excel (2010) spreadsheets and analysed using Genstat
253 (14th Edition). Statistical significance was termed by a threshold of 5% level and based on F
254 tests. A *P* value ranging from >0.05 - <0.10 was defined as a statistical trend. Summary graphs
255 and statistics were produced at the bird level. Statistical comparisons for kill success and device
256 success were conducted via Generalised Linear Mixed Models (GLMMs), using the logit link
257 function and binomial distribution.

258

259 Post-mortem measures were divided into neck damage methods (i.e. NMCD and MCD) and head
260 damage methods (MZIN) and analysed separately. Statistical comparisons were performed on
261 sub-sets of data to remove failure birds (i.e. kill success “no”) in order to prevent data skewing.
262 All post-mortem binary measures (e.g. skin break yes/no) and categorised measures (e.g. brain
263 damage grade) were analysed via GLMMs using logit link function and binomial distribution.
264 Device success was used as a fixed effect within all the models.

265

266 For the reflex/behaviour durations, statistical comparisons were performed on successfully killed
267 birds only, in order to prevent data skewing. The presence/absence of each reflex and behaviour
268 was summarised into interval counts (e.g. present in 0-15 s = 1 count), therefore summarising the
269 data into means of the maximum interval counts at the bird level for each reflex, which were then
270 converted back into the time dimension (s). GLMMs with logit link function and Poisson
271 distributed errors were fitted to the interval counts. Overall statistical comparisons across the
272 killing treatments were conducted. Further analysis involved sub-setting the data into two
273 groups: (1) NMCD and MCD; and (2) MZIN, which allowed post-mortem effects to be fitted
274 into the models as factors. Device success was used as a fixed effect within all the models.

275

276 For all models the random effects included the batch, date and the bird ID. All fixed effects were
277 treated as factors and classed as categorical classifications and all interactions between factors
278 were included in maximal models.

279

280 Results

281 A total of 163 out of 180 birds were killed successfully by one of the three methods. Kill success
282 ($F_{2,167} = 19.96, P < 0.001$) and device success ($F_{2,167} = 7.33, P < 0.001$) were affected by killing
283 treatments, with NMCD and MCD achieving $100.0 \pm 0.0\%$ kill success rate and the MZIN
284 achieving $71.7 \pm 5.9\%$ (i.e. 17 birds were not killed successfully by the MZIN) . Device success
285 rates were NMCD = $41.7 \pm 6.4\%$; MZIN = $70.0 \pm 6.0\%$; and MCD = $26.7 \pm 5.8\%$. Kill order had
286 no effect on kill or device success. Bird type had an effect on device success ($F_{1,167} = 9.55, P =$
287 0.002), with device success being higher in broilers compared to layer birds, but there was also
288 an interaction between bird type and killing method ($F_{1,167} = 4.23, P = 0.036$) with device success
289 higher in the MZIN applied to broilers (Figure 5). Bird type had no effect on kill success,
290 although there was a significant interaction between killing treatments and bird type for kill
291 success ($F_{2,167} = 3.29, P = 0.040$) with the lowest kill success for layer type birds killed by MZIN
292 compared to broiler types, and with remaining killing treatments equally successful for killing
293 (100%), irrespective of bird type. Bird age, kill weight and all other interactions had no
294 significant effects on kill success or device success.

295

296 *Figure 5*

297

298 Of the birds killed successfully, means of the maximum duration times for cranial reflexes are
299 shown in Figure 6. Figures 6a and 6c demonstrate that there were no significant differences
300 between killing treatments in relation to mean of the maximum durations for nictitating
301 membrane and rhythmic breathing, but there was for pupillary reflex ($F_{1,150} = 101.66, P < 0.001$)

302 (Figure 6b), in which MZIN showed shorter maximum durations compared to NMCD and MCD
303 birds. Bird type ($F_{1,150} = 4.82$, $P = 0.030$), and bird age ($F_{1,150} = 6.10$, $P = 0.015$) had an affect on
304 maximum pupillary durations, with layer (33.5 ± 2.5 s) and older (40.2 ± 5.7 s) birds showing
305 higher maximum pupillary durations compared to broilers (27.0 ± 2.2 s) and younger (22.5 ± 3.8
306 s) birds. Device success (yes or no) had an effect on pupillary maximum duration times (yes:
307 20.1 ± 2.5 s; no: 39.0 ± 1.8 s) ($F_{1,150} = 6.10$, $P = 0.015$) and a tendency to affect nictitating
308 membrane maximum durations (yes: 2.3 ± 1.0 s; no: 3.6 ± 0.9 s) ($F_{1,150} = 3.86$, $P = 0.051$), with
309 both showing shorter maximum duration times for birds in which device success was achieved.
310 Nictitating membrane maximum durations were also affected by bird weight ($F_{1,150} = 5.09$, $P =$
311 0.025); and interactions between killing treatments and bird type ($F_{2,150} = 5.19$, $P = 0.007$); and
312 bird age and bird weight ($F_{2,150} = 7.04$, $P < 0.001$), with heavier (3.3 ± 1.0 s), older (1.96 ± 1.1 s)
313 and layer (3.6 ± 1.0 s) birds showing longer maximum durations compared to lighter (2.7 ± 1.0
314 s), younger (0.0 ± 0.0 s) and broiler (2.8 ± 1.0 s) birds.

315

316 *Figure 6*

317

318 For birds killed successfully, treatment affected the maximum durations of leg paddling, and
319 cloacal movement, but not wing flapping (which ranged 99-113 s). For leg paddling and cloacal
320 movement the NMCD device had the shortest mean of the maximum duration times (97.5 ± 5.6
321 s, 103.0 ± 6.1 s respectively) compared to the MCD (115.8 ± 6.8 s, 119.3 ± 6.9 s) and MZIN
322 (112.7 ± 7.1 s, 124.9 ± 6.3 s). Leg paddling, wing flapping and cloacal movement were all
323 affected by bird type and bird age (Table 4), with broilers and younger birds having shorter

324 maximum duration times compared to layers and older birds (Table 5). For cloacal movement
325 duration, bird weight also had an effect, with heavier birds exhibiting longer durations ($113.5 \pm$
326 7.5 s) compared to lighter birds (96.1 ± 9.8 s).

327

328 *Table 4*

329

330 *Table 5*

331

332 MZIN (0.3 ± 0.3 s) had significantly the shortest jaw tone duration compared to the NMCD and
333 MCD (8.8 ± 1.3 s; and 6.8 ± 1.3 s, respectively) (Table 4), but there was no significant difference
334 between the MCD and NMCD. Device success, bird type, bird age and bird weight did not
335 significantly affect jaw tone maximum durations. However, the interactions between kill
336 treatment and bird type; kill treatment and bird age; and bird age and kill weight were shown to
337 have an effect. The key differences relating to the interaction between kill treatment and bird
338 type were that the MZIN and NMCD showed that broilers had shorter jaw tone durations ($6.5 \pm$
339 1.7 s) compared to layers (11.0 ± 1.8 s), but the MCD showed no differences between bird types
340 (broiler = 6.5 ± 1.7 s; layer = 7.0 ± 1.9 s). The interaction between bird age and kill treatment
341 demonstrated that for the MCD and MZIN there were no differences between different bird ages
342 on jaw tone maximum durations. For the NMCD broiler chicks had the shortest jaw tone
343 durations (3.0 ± 1.6 s versus 8-14 s), but layer pullets were shown to have the longest durations

344 (14.0 ± 3.1 s), while broilers (slaughter age) and layer hens had no significant differences (range
345 8-10 s).

346

347 The percentage of successfully-killed birds that exhibited various reflexes and involuntary
348 behaviours varied by killing treatments, although the MCD and NMCD were similar (Table 6).
349 For nictitating membrane and pupillary reflexes, both the MCD and NMCD had numerically
350 higher percentages of birds displaying these reflexes post-kill compared to MZIN, but these were
351 not significant. However, the MZIN was the only killing treatment in which a single bird showed
352 rhythmic breathing following a successful kill. In all killing treatments the majority of birds
353 displayed convulsive behaviours post-application (e.g. wing flapping and leg paddling) and the
354 last behaviour to cease was cloacal movement. Cloacal movement was not observed in a small
355 number of birds (7 birds of successful kills), however this was due to the birds defecating and the
356 movement being hidden as a result.

357

358 Table 6

359

360 Both the NMCD and the MCD caused subcutaneous hematomas in the neck, damage to the neck
361 muscle, cervical dislocation and spinal cord severance in 100% of successfully-killed birds (n =
362 60). A small proportion of birds showed minor tears to the skin (MCD – 6.7%; NMCD – 8.3%),
363 with fewer exhibiting external blood loss from the wounds (both 5%). There were no significant
364 effects of killing treatments on skin tears ($F_{1,103} = 0.12$, $P = 0.732$) or external blood loss ($F_{1,103} =$

365 0.00, $P = 0.978$). There was no significant difference between the NMCD and MCD in terms of
366 dislocation position ($F_{1,103} = 0.79$, $P = 0.376$), with a C0-C1 dislocation level achieved in 85% of
367 birds for NMCD and 80% for MCD. The MCD attained the lowest break at C3-C4 in one bird.
368 Bird type ($F_{1,103} = 32.00$, $P < 0.001$) and bird age ($F_{1,103} = 32.14$, $P < 0.001$) had significant
369 effects on dislocation level, with layers and older birds more likely to be subject to lower
370 dislocations (\geq C1-C2) compared to broilers and younger birds. Dislocation level had no effect
371 on the maximum durations for all reflexes and behaviours.

372

373 The NMCD caused 0% vertebrae damage as a result of the dislocation, but the MCD caused
374 damage in 3.3% of birds, however the difference was not significant ($F_{1,103} = 2.02$, $P = 0.158$).
375 There was an interaction between killing treatments and bird age ($F_{2,103} = 4.43$, $P = 0.038$), with
376 two hens killed by the MCD method receiving damage to their vertebra.

377

378 Gap distance between the two points of dislocation was significantly affected by killing
379 treatments ($F_{1,103} = 7.65$, $P = 0.007$) and bird weight ($F_{1,103} = 25.39$, $P < 0.001$). The NMCD
380 method was more likely to result in a larger gap distance compared to the MCD (6.29 ± 0.27 cm
381 and 5.47 ± 0.21 cm respectively). Heavier birds were more likely to have large neck gap
382 distances compared to lighter birds (6.8 ± 0.38 cm and 4.9 ± 0.41 cm respectively). Bird type,
383 bird age, dislocation level and all interactions did not affect gap distances (data not shown). The
384 maximum neck gap sizes for each killing treatments were 9.0 cm for MCD and 10.0 cm for
385 NMCD.

386

387 The number of carotid arteries severed was affected by killing treatments ($F_{1,103} = 4.58$, $P =$
388 0.030), with the NMCD more likely to sever ≥ 1 carotid arteries compared to the MCD (means:
389 NMCD = 1.22 ± 0.11 ; MCD = 0.90 ± 0.11). The NMCD resulted in 71.7% of birds having ≥ 1
390 carotid arteries severed, compared to the MCD where only 58.3% of birds had ≥ 1 carotid arteries
391 severed. The number of carotid arteries severed was also affected by neck gap distance ($F_{1,103} =$
392 22.05, $P < 0.001$), with larger neck gap distances being positively associated with more carotid
393 arteries being severed. Bird type, age, weight and dislocation level did not affect the number of
394 carotid arteries severed (data not shown). The number of carotid arteries severed did not have a
395 significant effect on maximum durations of any of the reflexes and behaviours measured, apart
396 from having a tendency to affect jaw tone ($F_{2,102} = 2.53$, $P = 0.095$), in which severing zero or
397 one carotid artery did not affect maximum jaw tone durations (0 carotid arteries severed: MCD
398 7.2 ± 2.0 s and NMCD 9.7 ± 2.2 s; 1 carotid artery severed: MCD 8.4 ± 2.3 s and NMCD $12.6 \pm$
399 2.3 s), but if two were severed there was a reduction in maximum jaw tone duration (MCD $4.7 \pm$
400 2.3 s and NMCD 6.5 ± 2.3 s).

401

402 MZIN caused trauma to the head of the bird rather than the neck, therefore comparisons of post-
403 mortem trauma with NMCD and the MCD are not relevant. Kill success did not have significant
404 effect on broken skin, external bleeding and subcutaneous hematomas, with over 88% of birds
405 displaying these factors irrespective of kill success (Table 7). There was an effect of kill success
406 on skull damage ($F_{1,43} = 3.21$, $P = 0.024$), with more damage caused with successful kills, but
407 there was no effect in terms of where the skull was penetrated by the bolt ($F_{1,43} = 0.19$, $P =$
408 0.664). Device success had an affect on the location of bolt penetration into the skull, with birds
409 which achieved device success being more likely to have their skulls penetrated at locations CB

410 and CM (Figure 4); 79.1% of birds had damage in these two areas of the skull. The bird type,
411 age, weight and all interactions did not have an affect on the skull penetration area (data not
412 shown).

413

414 *Table 7*

415

416 Irrespective of kill success, 64% of birds sustained an internal brain cavity hematoma after
417 application of MZIN (Table 7). Kill success had an affect on the presence of an internal brain
418 cavity hematoma ($F_{1,43} = 5.57$, $P = 0.018$), with successfully killed birds more likely to have
419 bleeding within the skull. Device success, bird type and all interactions did not have significant
420 effects. Bird age ($F_{1,43} = 16.47$, $P < 0.001$) and weight ($F_{1,43} = 19.09$, $P < 0.001$) had effects on
421 tissue damage, with heavier and older birds more likely to have internal brain cavity hematomas,
422 compared to lighter and younger birds.

423

424 More than 80% of birds killed successfully with the MZIN had damage (low mid or max) to all
425 main areas of the brain (Table 7 and Figure 7), excluding the brain stem, which was damaged in
426 just over 50% of birds. Kill success affected whether or not a brain region was damaged and the
427 grade of the damage. Damage to both sides of the forebrain, the cerebellum, and brain stem was
428 not affected by other factors (e.g. bird type, age, weight, interactions). Bird type had an effect on
429 damage to the midbrain, with layers more likely to sustain damage than broilers ($F_{1,43} = 6.03$, P
430 $= 0.014$). Only in successfully-killed birds did the highest grade of damage occur (max), with the

431 cerebellum sustaining the highest proportion of maximum damage. Following unsuccessful kills,
432 less than 45% of birds sustained brain damage and the brain stem was never damaged.

433

434 **Discussion**

435 This study evaluated the kill efficacy of three killing methods (MCD, NMCD, and MZIN) on
436 broilers and layers at two stages of production. Determining the kill efficacy of on-farm killing
437 methods involves three main considerations: reliability, humaneness and practicality. The
438 NMCD device and the MCD had kill success rates of 100%, compared to the 72% success rate of
439 the MZIN, and therefore were deemed the most reliable methods in this study. Other studies
440 have also demonstrated the high kill success rate in cervical dislocation methods (Erasmus *et al*
441 2010a; Erasmus *et al* 2010b; Gregory & Wotton 1990). Erasmus and colleagues (2010a) showed
442 that 100% of turkey hens (N = 26) were successfully killed by mechanical cervical dislocation,
443 re-enforcing the reliability of this method for killing poultry on-farm, but all of those birds
444 displayed a nictitating membrane reflex immediately post device application and maintained this
445 reflex for a mean of 106 s. However, the authors used a Burdizzo (a mechanical cervical
446 dislocation device), which is different to MCD and the NMCD, as it causes dislocation via
447 crushing, not through stretching and twisting (Erasmus *et al* 2010a). Crushing injury caused by
448 mechanical cervical dislocation methods is a cause for welfare concern as birds may die of
449 asphyxiation rather than cerebral ischemia, resulting in signs of consciousness for longer
450 (Gregory *et al* 1990). The use of the nictitating membrane as an indicator of insensibility has
451 been questioned, but it has been shown to be a more reliable indicator of complete brain death
452 (Anil 1991; Heard 2000; Sandercock *et al* 2014). Here, no more than 10% of birds ever showed

453 this reflex for any of the three killing treatments and the mean duration of those that did was > 5
454 s, suggesting that brain death occurred rapidly post-killing treatment application. Whether this is
455 rapid enough to be deemed humane is open to debate.

456

457 When the NMCD and MCD were applied, they did not require precision aiming, unlike the
458 MZIN, which meant that a kill success was easier to achieve. MCD does not require any
459 equipment and once trained is relatively simple to apply on birds under 3 kg (HSA 2004). The
460 NMCD glove provided the correct position to hold the bird's head in place to perform the stretch
461 and twisting action, which for an inexperienced individual may be beneficial. Therefore the
462 presence of the glove did not hinder the application of the technique, as both MCD and NMCD
463 had 100% kill success rate. All birds that underwent MCD or NMCD immediately wing flapped
464 and leg paddled vigorously post-application and an obvious internal gap in the neck, between
465 two cervical vertebrae could be felt.

466

467 Despite the optimal kill success rate for the MCD and the NMCD, the device success rates were
468 significantly lower compared to that of the MZIN. With the MZIN, only 43/60 (72%) of birds
469 were successfully killed but 42 of those birds also achieved device success, therefore when the
470 method was applied correctly, it achieved an optimal effect on the bird. However, unsuccessful
471 killing of 28% of birds by the MZIN means that, despite its device success when it does kill, it is
472 an unacceptable method for killing poultry. Device success was greatly reduced for layer-type
473 birds compared to broilers for both the MCD and NMCD, which may be due to the more mature
474 skeleton and anatomy of the layer birds compared to the broilers, which would have made it

475 more difficult to dislocate the neck at higher points (e.g. C0-C1 or C1-C2), and therefore more
476 difficult to sever the spinal cord and carotid arteries, as with increasing age these vertebrae
477 become fused to the base of the skull and there is development of fibrous connective tissue
478 around it (McLeod *et al* 1964). MCD performed worst in terms of device success (27%) due to
479 the lower percentage of birds having both carotid arteries severed and fewer birds showing a
480 dislocation level of C0-C1 compared to the NMCD. Severing of one or more carotid arteries
481 causes a reduction in blood flow to the brain (Aslan *et al* 2006; Perry *et al* 2012; Whittow 2000)
482 and results in a reduction of arterial pressure and eventual cerebral ischemia and/or hypoxia
483 (Gregory & Wotton 1986; Gregory & Wotton 1990). However, even if the carotid arteries were
484 not completely severed, the stretching trauma results in narrowing and occlusion of the carotid
485 arteries which may have the same effect as severing them (LeBlang & Nunez 2000a; Whittow
486 2000). Both NMCD and MCD caused trauma to both carotid arteries, although did not always
487 sever them. This suggests that blood supply to the brain would be rapidly and significantly
488 reduced (LeBlang & Nunez 2000b; Perry *et al* 2012; Weir *et al* 2002), resulting in inability in the
489 brain to function correctly and the onset of neurogenic shock (Dumont *et al* 2001a), which could
490 be inferred as the bird not being fully conscious or suffering vasovagal episodes, as seen in
491 human cases of severe blood loss or restriction (Day *et al* 1982). Previous work has also
492 demonstrated that the higher up the carotid arteries are severed (e.g. at C0-C1 rather than C3-
493 C4), the less likely that false aneurysm formations and early arrested blood flow occurs (Gregory
494 *et al* 2012), both which could elongate the time to brain death. Several studies have also
495 highlighted the importance in severing both carotid arteries in exsanguination methods for
496 poultry as well as other livestock species in order to minimise the duration of brain activity
497 (Blackman *et al* 1986; Gregory *et al* 2012; Raj *et al* 2006). The same trauma should also reduce

498 the blood supply to the top of spinal cord, which causes functional impairment and could result
499 in neurogenic shock (Dumont *et al* 2001a; Dumont *et al* 2001b). The requirement to sever both
500 carotids may not be necessary to ensure that the ‘device’ or method can be considered successful,
501 providing sufficient stretching and twisting occurs, resulting in blood flow reduction to the brain.
502 The aim to achieve dislocation of the neck at C0-C1 was to ensure the damage and severing of
503 the spinal cord occurred very near to or at the brain stem, enhancing the likelihood of concussion
504 resulting in disruption to brain stem function and localised temporary or permanent biochemical
505 changes within the neural axons (Brieg 1970; Erasmus *et al* 2010b; Freeman & Wright 1953;
506 Krause *et al* 1988; Povlishock *et al* 1992; Takahashi *et al* 1981). More than 80% of birds killed
507 with both MCD and NMCD achieved a C0-C1 dislocation, so the likelihood of trauma to the
508 brain stem was high. Gregory & Wotton (1990) demonstrated that 6/8 birds culled by manual
509 cervical dislocation with dislocation at C0-C1 displayed a reduction in their visual evoked
510 responses, suggesting a loss of consciousness . The results of this study have demonstrated the
511 importance of attempting to sever both carotid arteries and dislocating as near to the skull as
512 possible (e.g. C0-C1), but that the stretch and twist damage was sufficient to kill the bird and
513 minimise the duration of consciousness-indicating reflexes post application (e.g. jaw tone,
514 nictitating membrane, and rhythmic breathing). Therefore the requirements for ‘device success’
515 may have been too strict in terms of resulting in a humane death, but could be be used as
516 guidance (i.e. gold standard) for optimal performance.

517

518 The damage caused by the MZIN to the bird’s head resulted in primary and secondary brain
519 injuries; causing brain contusions, haemorrhaging and axonal damage, all of which disrupt brain
520 function and can cause brain death (Claassen *et al* 2002; Kushner 1998; White & Krause 1993).

521 Successful kills by the MZIN resulted in extensive trauma to the forebrain and the cerebellum.
522 This affected the functioning of several systems e.g. motor systems (unconscious and conscious),
523 cognition, respiration and reflexes (Whittow 2000). The extent of axonal damage is correlated
524 with the amount of the brain damaged (Krause *et al* 1988; White & Krause 1993), therefore the
525 more extensive the brain damage, the more axons are damaged. Axonal damage has also been
526 linked to the length of concussion and unconsciousness (Kushner 1998; White & Krause 1993).
527 Skill was required to aim the device and successful judgment in applying reasonable force in
528 order to prevent the device re-coiling, as well as securing the bird's head in place. If this was not
529 achieved there was a reduction in the penetration depth of the bolt, which resulted in insufficient
530 brain damage to cause death. This is highlighted by the result that approximately 42% of birds
531 which were unsuccessfully killed by the device did not sustain any skull damage, as the head was
532 either missed completely or only a glancing blow was sustained, which caused only soft tissue
533 damage to the neck or eyes; or recoil resulted in insufficient power to penetrate the skull. The
534 MZIN required two operators, one to hold the bird, and other to cock and aim the device, as well
535 as a hard surface to rest the bird on, which could be deemed impractical in an on-farm situation.
536 There was also a health and safety concern with the device, as it is a captive bolt and therefore
537 great care is required during its use, and as such safety equipment must be worn (e.g. gloves,
538 safety goggles) (Pizzurro 2009a; Pizzurro 2009b). However, the primary issue with the MZIN
539 device was its low kill success rate of 72%, which is not reliable enough for a routine on-farm
540 killing method.

541

542 Durations of reflexes have been used and validated for inferring consciousness in killing
543 assessments of several animals, including poultry (Erasmus *et al* 2010a; Erasmus *et al* 2010b;

544 McKeegan *et al* 2013; Sandercock *et al* 2014). There were no significant differences between
545 killing methods on durations of rhythmic breathing and nictitating membrane and both were lost
546 within 3.4 s post-kill, suggesting both brain death and therefore unconsciousness occurred
547 rapidly for all killing methods. Loss of pupillary reflex is used as a conservative measure for
548 brain death and complete insensibility (Erasmus *et al* 2010c; Heard 2000; Sandercock *et al*
549 2014), and the MZIN had the shortest durations for pupillary reflex compared to NMCD and the
550 MCD, however this only occurred in birds killed successfully with the MZIN which was low.
551 Such low reliability of successful kills means that the MZIN cannot be considered to be humane.
552 The shorter duration of the pupillary reflex for the MZIN may be explained by the type and
553 location of trauma the kill treatment caused. The bolt of the MZIN damaged the midbrain in
554 more than 80% of birds; the midbrain is reported to be the area within the brain that controls the
555 nictitating membrane, as well as the pupillary reflex (Solomon 1990; Whittow 2000), therefore
556 direct trauma to it would result in impairment of these reflexes. Damage to the surrounding areas
557 of the brain could also cause indirect trauma to the midbrain (e.g. contrecoup damage) and
558 therefore impair reflexes (Drew & Drew 2004; White & Krause 1993). Mature layer hens
559 (irrespective of age) exhibited longer durations for pupillary reflex when killed with MZIN
560 compared to broilers, which could be attributed to their larger size and more mature anatomy
561 (e.g. fused skulls) of these birds (Hogg 1982), therefore more extensive trauma may be required
562 to cause rapid loss of reflexes. Furthermore, the pupillary reflex is affected by disruption to the
563 blood supply of the retina (e.g. severing of carotid arteries), therefore observed dilation and
564 constriction of the pupil may not be due to a genuine reflex to the light, and thus the pupillary
565 reflex durations for the NMCD and the MCD may be inadvertently elongated (Bilello *et al* 2003;
566 Gregory & Wotton 1990; Perry *et al* 2012; Sharma *et al* 2005). However, it is important to note

567 that more than 75% of all birds across all killing methods showed pupillary reflex in the first 15 s
568 post-application of a kill treatment, suggesting that none of the devices caused immediate brain
569 death.

570

571 The MZIN was associated with significantly shorter jaw tone durations than NMCD or MCD,
572 which has been used as an indicator of consciousness (Croft 1961; Erasmus *et al* 2010a; Erasmus
573 *et al* 2010c), suggesting that MZIN caused birds to lose consciousness faster than the other two
574 killing methods, when successful. In broilers, NMCD resulted in shorter jaw tone durations
575 compared to MCD and there was a significant effect of bird age (which was confounded with
576 bird type, as all broilers were less than 5 weeks of age, despite being heavier than mature layer
577 hens). This could be explained by the fact that late production broilers and mature layer hens
578 were heavier birds and therefore have a greater volume of blood and larger blood vessels, which
579 could make it more difficult to stop or minimise blood flow to the brain stem, which controls jaw
580 tone (Solomon, 1990; Whittow, 2000). MCD and NMCD did cause sufficient damage to the
581 brain stem across all birds, demonstrated by short mean durations for jaw tone, as well as less
582 than 40% of birds ever showing the reflex. Sandercock and colleagues (2014) showed that
583 unconsciousness induced by anesthetic was associated with loss of jaw tone in layers and turkeys
584 and was a consistent measure of loss of consciousness in this context. For birds which did not
585 lose jaw tone immediately post device application, there is concern that the birds may be
586 conscious, however the absence of other reflexes alongside (e.g. nictitating membrane and
587 rhythmic breathing) would suggest this may not be the case, and the presence of jaw tone may be
588 indicative of damage to the larynx (Cors *et al* 2015; Silvano *et al* 1996), which can result in
589 spontaneous “gagging” or perceived “gasping” behaviours and resulting in perceived jaw tone.

590 These behaviours are not indicative of consciousness and are present in the absence of auditory
591 evoked potentials (Cors *et al* 2015).

592

593 The ceasing of clonic death-related behaviours (e.g. leg paddling and wing flapping) has been
594 used as an indicator of time of death for poultry which are killed by CO₂ gas stunning (Gerritzen
595 *et al* 2007), and based on this, all three killing methods were shown to kill birds in similar time
596 periods, despite small differences attributed to bird type and age, which may be indicative of
597 variation in bird nutrition and available muscle glycogen (Debut *et al* 2015; Petracci *et al* 2010).
598 The majority of birds showed convulsive wing flapping and leg paddling, which has been
599 observed in several other studies of killing with various methods (Abeyesinghe *et al* 2007;
600 Lambooij *et al* 1999; McKeegan *et al* 2007). The onset of cloacal movement, where visible, was
601 the last reflex observed before all movements ceased, which may highlight it as a conservative
602 indicator of death.

603

604 **Conclusion and Animal Welfare Implications**

605 The NMCD was effective at killing layers and broilers of various ages and weights reliably and
606 causing loss of reflexes within a short period of time. The NMCD maintained the kill success of
607 MCD, but improved the technique and consistency of its application. After application of
608 NMCD, birds were likely to become unconscious rapidly due to extensive trauma to the brain
609 stem and/or spinal cord (highlighted by immediate loss of reflexes in the majority of birds which
610 indicate consciousness) and die from cerebral ischemia due to severing of carotid arteries. The
611 MZIN device had a kill success rate of only 72%, making it unsuitable for use despite rapid loss

612 of reflexes when it was successful. Only NMCD and MCD can be considered to be the most
613 humane of the three methods tested here due to their 100% success rate and inducement of rapid
614 reflex loss; indeed a high proportion of birds never showed reflexes at all post-application.
615 Collectively, these results suggest that NMCD is the most promising device in terms of kill
616 success rate (reliability), humaneness and consistency of the methods tested here.

617

618 **References**

619

620 **Abeyesinghe S M, McKeegan D E F, McLeman M A, Lowe J C, Demmers T G M, White R**
621 **P, Kranen R W, Van Bommel H, Lankhaar J A C and Wathes C M** 2007 Controlled
622 atmosphere stunning of broiler chickens. I. Effects on behaviour, physiology and meat quality in
623 a pilot scale system at a processing plant. *British Poultry Science* 48: 406-423

624 **Accles and Shelvoke** 2010 Cash Poultry Killer Model CPK200 Product Data Sheet. Accles &
625 Shelvoke : UK

626 **Anil M H** 1991 Studies on the return of physical reflexes in pigs following electrical stunning.
627 *Meat Science* 30: 13-21

628 **Aslan K, Atalgin H, Kurtul I and Bozkurt E U** 2006 Patterns of the internal and cerebral
629 carotid arteries in avrious avian species: a comparative study. *Revue Med Vet* 157: 621-624

630 **Aviagen** 2009 Ross 308 Broiler: Management Manual.

631 **AVMA** 2007 AVMA guidelines on euthanasia. pp 669-696. AVMA: United States

632 **Bader S, Meyer-Kühling B, Günther R, Breithaupt A, Rautenschlein S and Gruber A D**
633 2014 Anatomical and histologic pathology induced by cervical dislocation following blunt head
634 trauma for on-farm euthanasia of poultry. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research* 23: 546-556

635 **Bilello J F, Davis J W, Cunningham M A, Groom T F, Lemaster D and Sue L P** 2003
636 Cervical Spinal Cord Injury and the Need for Cardiovascular Intervention. *Arch Surg* 138: 1127-
637 1129

638 **Blackman N L, Cheetham K and Blackmore D K** 1986 Differences in blood supply to the
639 cerebral cortex between sheep and calves during slaughter. *Research in Veterinary Science* 40:
640 252-254

- 641 **Blackmore D K and Delany M W** 1988 Slaughter of Stock. A Practical Review and Guide. pp
642 1-134. Veterinary Continuing Education, Massey University: New Zealand
- 643 **Brieg A** 1970 Overstretching of and Circumscribed Pathological Tension in the Spinal Cord - A
644 Basic Cause of Symptoms in Cord Disorders. *J.Biomechanics* 3: 7-9
- 645 **Carbone L G, Carbone E T, Yi E M, Bauer D B, Lindstrom K A, Parker J M, Austin J A,
646 Seo Y, Gandhi A D and Wilkerson J D** 2012 Assessing cervical dislocation as a humane
647 euthanasia method for mice. *Journal of the American Association for Laboratory Animal Science*
648 51: 352-356
- 649 **Cartner S C, Barlow S C and Ness T J** 2007 Loss of Cortical Function in Mice After
650 Decapitation, Cervical Dislocation, Potassium Chloride Injection, and CO₂ Inhalation.
651 *Comparative Medicine* 57: 570-573
- 652 **Claassen J, Carhuapoma J R, Kreiter K T, Du E Y, Connolly E S and Mayer S A** 2002
653 Global cerebral edema after subarchnoid hemorrhage: frequency, predictors, and impact on
654 outcome. *Stroke* 33: 1225-1232
- 655 **Close B, Banister K, Baumans V, Bernoth E, Bromage N, Bunyan J, Erhardt W, Flecknell
656 P, Gregory N G, Hackbarth H, Morton D and Warwick C** 2007 Recommendations for
657 euthanasia of experimental animals: Part 2. *Laboratory Animals* 31: 1-32
- 658 **Cors J C, Gruber, A D, Günther R, Leyer-Kühling B, Esser K H, and Rautenschlein S** 2015
659 Electroencephalographic evaluation of the effectiveness of blunt trauma to induce loss of
660 consciousness for on-farm killing of chickens and turkeys. *Poultry Science* 00: 1-9
- 661 **Croft P G** 1961 The photomotor reflex as an indicator of consciousness in the immobilized dog.
662 *Journal of Small Animal Practise* 2: 206-214
- 663 **Dawson M D, Johnson K J, Benson E R, Alphin R L, Seta S and Malone G W** 2009
664 Determining cessation of brain activity during depopulation or euthanasia of broilers using
665 accelerometers. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research* 18: 135-142
- 666 **Dawson M D, Lombardi M E, Benson E R, Alphin R L and Malone G W** 2007 Using
667 accelerometers to determine the cessation of activity in broilers. *Journal of Applied Poultry
668 Research* 16: 583-591
- 669 **Day S, Cook E F, Funkenstein H and Goldman L** 1982 Evaluation and outcome of emergency
670 room patients with transient loss of consciousness. *The American Journal of Medicine* 73: 15-23
- 671 **Debut M, Berri C, Arnould C, Guemené D, Santé-Lhoutellier V, Sellier N, Baéza E, Jehl N,
672 Jégo Y, Beaumont C, and Le Bihan-Duval E** 2015 Behavioural and physiological responses of
673 three chicken breeds to pre-slaughter shackling and acute head stress. *British Poultry Science*
674 46(5):527-535
- 675 **DEFRA** 2002a Code of recommendations for the welfare of livestock: laying hens. Defra
676 Publications: London

- 677 **DEFRA** 2002b Codes of recommendations for the welfare of livestock:Meat chickens and
678 breeding chickens. Defra Publications: London
- 679 **DEFRA** 2014 DEFRA (MH0145) Welfare costs and benefits of existing and novel on-farm
680 culling methods for poultry. In:McKeegan, D E F, Martin, J E, Sandilands, V, Sandercock, D A,
681 Sparrey, J M and Sparks, N H C (eds) DEFRA Publications: UK
- 682 **Drew L B and Drew W E** 2004 The Contrecoup-Coup Phenomenon. *Neurocritical Care 1:*
683 385-390
- 684 **Dumont R J, Okonkwo D O, Verma S, Hurlbert R J, Boulos P T, Ellegala D B and Dumont**
685 **A S** 2001a Acute Spinal Cord Injury, Part I: Pathophysiologic Mechanisms. *Clinical*
686 *Neuropharmacology 24:* 254-264
- 687 **Dumont R J, Verma S, Okonkwo D O, Hurlbert R J, Boulos P T, Ellegala D B and Dumont**
688 **A S** 2001b Acute Spinal Cord Injury, Part II: Contemporary Pharmacotherapy. *Clinical*
689 *Neuropharmacology 24:* 265-279
- 690 **Erasmus M A, Lawlis P, Duncan I J H and Widowski T M** 2010a Using time insensibility
691 and estimated time of death to evaluate a nonpenetrating captive bolt, cervical dislocation, and
692 blunt trauma for on-farm killing of turkeys. *Poultry Science 89:* 1345-1354
- 693 **Erasmus M A, Turner P V, Nykamp S G and Widowski T M** 2010b Brain and skull lesions
694 resulting from use of percussive bolt, cervical dislocation by stretching, cervical dislocation by
695 crushing and blunt trauma in turkeys. *Veterinary Record 167:* 850-858
- 696 **Erasmus M A, Turner P V and Widowski T M** 2010c Measures of insensibility used to
697 determine effective stunning and killing of poultry. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research 19:*
698 288-298
- 699 **European Council** 2009 European Council Regulation (EC) 1099/2009 of 24 September 2009
700 on the protection of animals at the time of killing.
- 701 **Freeman L W and Wright T W** 1953 Experimental Observations of Concussion and
702 Contusions of the Spinal Cord. *Annals of Surgery 137:* 433-443
- 703 **Gerritzen M A, Lambooj H, Reimert H, Stegeman A and Spruijt B** 2007 A note on
704 behaviour of poultry exposed to increasing carbon dioxide concentrations. *Applied Animal*
705 *Behaviour Science 108:* 179-185
- 706 **Grandin T** 1994 Public veterinary medicine: food safety and handling. Euthanasia and slaughter
707 of livestock. *Journal of American Veterinary Medicine Association 212:* 39
- 708 **Gregory N G** 1991 Humane Slaughter. *Outlook on Agriculture 20:* 95-101
- 709 **Gregory N G, Schuster P, Mirabito L, Kolesar R and McManus T** 2012 Arrested blood flow
710 during false aneurysm formation in the carotid arteries of cattle slaughtered with and without
711 stunning. *Meat Science 90:* 368-372

- 712 **Gregory N G and Wotton S B** 1986 Effect of slaughter on the spontaneous and evoked activity
713 of the brain. *British Poultry Science* 27: 195-205
- 714 **Gregory N G and Wotton S B** 1990 Comparison of neck dislocation and percussion of the head
715 on visual evoked responses in the chicken's brain. *Veterinary Record* 126: 570-572
- 716 **Harrop J, Sharan A, Vaccaro A R and Przybylski G J** 2001 The Cause of Neurologic
717 Deterioration After Acute Cervical Spinal Cord Injury. *Spine* 26: 340-346
- 718 **Heard D** 2000 Perioperative supportive care and monitoring. *Vet.Clin.North*
719 *Am.Exot.Anim.Pract.* 3: 587-615
- 720 **Hellyer P W, Freeman L C and Hubbell J A E** 1991 Induction of Anesthesia with Diazepam-
721 Ketamine and Midazolam-Ketamine in Greyhounds. *Veterinary Surgery* 20: 143-147
- 722 **Hogg D A** 1982 Fusions occurring in the postcranial skeleton of the domestic fowl. *J Anat* 135:
723 501-512
- 724 **HSA** 2004 Practical Slaughter of Poultry: A Guide for the Small Producer. Humane Slaughter
725 Association:
- 726 **Hy-Line** 2012 Hy-Line Brown Performance Standards Manual. *2th edition*
- 727 **Krause G S, White B C and Aust S D** 1988 Brain cell death after ischemia and reperfusion: A
728 proposed biochemical sequence. *Crit Care Med* 16: 714-726
- 729 **Kushner D** 1998 Mild traumatic brain injury. *Arch Intern Med* 158: 1617-1624
- 730 **Lambooj E, Gerritzen M A, Engel B, Hillebrand S J W, Lankhaar J and Pieterse C** 1999
731 Behavioural responses during exposure of broiler chickens to different gas mixtures. *Applied*
732 *Animal Behaviour Science* 62: 255-265
- 733 **LeBlang S D and Nunez D B** 2000a Noninvasive imaging of cervical vascular injuries. *AJR*
734 *174*: 1269-1278
- 735 **LeBlang S D and Nunez D B** 2000b Noninvasive inaging of cervical vascular injuries.
736 *American Journal of Roentgenology* 174: 1269-1278
- 737 **Martin J E** 2015 Humane mechanical methods to kill poultry on-farm. *PhD Thesis* University of
738 Glasgow, UK
- 739 **Martin P and Bateson P** 2007 Measuring Behaviour. An Introductory Guide. *Cambridge*
740 *University Press* 92
- 741 **Mason C, Spence J, Bilbe L, Hughes T and Kirkwood J** 2009 Methods for dispatching
742 backyard poultry. *Veterinary Record* 164: 220
- 743 **McKeegan D E F, Abeyesinghe S M, McLeman M A, Lowe J C, Demmers T G M, Whiting**
744 **T L, Kranen R W, Van Bommel H, Lankhaar J A C and Wathes C M** 2007 Controlled

- 745 atmosphere stunning of broiler chickens. II. Effects on behaviour, physiology and meat quality in
746 a commercial processing plant. *British Poultry Science* 48: 430-442
- 747 **McKeegan D E F, Reimert H G M, Hindle V A, Boulcott P, Sparrey J M and Gerritzen M**
748 **A** 2013 Physiological and behavioural responses of poultry exposed to gas filled high expansion
749 foam. *Poultry Science* 92: 1145-1154
- 750 **McLeod W M, Trotter D M and Lumb J W** 1964 Avian Anatomy. pp 19-20. Burgess
751 Publishing Company: United States of America
- 752 **Ommaya A K and Gennarelli T A** 1974 Cerebral concussion and traumatic unconsciousness.
753 *Brain* 97: 633-654
- 754 **Parent A, Harkey L H, Touchstone D A, Smit E E and Smith R R** 1992 Lateral Cervical
755 Spine Dislocation and Vertebral Artery Injury. *Neurosurgery* 31: 501-509
- 756 **Petracci M, Bianchi M, and Cavani C** 2010 Pre-slaughter handling and slaughtering factors
757 influencing poultry product quality. *World's Poultry Science Journal* 66(1): 17-26
- 758 **Perry M O, Snyder W H and Thal E R** 2012 Carotid artery injuries caused by blunt force
759 trauma. *Carotid Artery Injuries* 192: 74-77
- 760 **Pizzurro S** 2009a About us - expectation of order fulfilment.
- 761 **Pizzurro S** 2009b Zinger Stun GunsTM - The Rabbit ZingerTM, (TRZ001).
- 762 **Pryor J D and Shi R** 2006 Electrophysiological changes in isolated spinal cord white matter in
763 response to oxygen deprivation. *Spinal Cord* 44: 653-661
- 764 **Raj A B M, O'Callaghan M and Knowles T G** 2006 The effects of amount and frequency of
765 alternating current used in water bath stunning and of slaughter methods on
766 electroencephalograms in broilers. *Animal Welfare* 15: 7-18
- 767 **Sandercock D A, Auckburally A, Flaherty D, Sandilands V and McKeegan D E F** 2014
768 Avian reflex and electroencephalogram responses in different states of consciousness.
769 *Physiology & Behavior* 133: 252-259
- 770 **Sharma B R, Singh V P and Harish D** 2005 Neck Structure Injuries in Hanging - Comparing
771 Retrospective and Prospective Studies. *Med.Sci.Law* 45: 321-330
- 772 **Shaw N A** 2002 The neurophysiology of concussion. *Progress in Neurobiology* 67: 344
- 773 **Shi R and Pryor J D** 2002 Pathological Changes of Isolated Spinal Cord Axons in Respnse to
774 Mechanical Stretch. *Neuroscience* 110: 765-777
- 775 **Shi R and Whitebone J** 2006 Conduction Deficits and Membrane Disruption of Spinal Cord
776 Axons as a Function of Magnitude and Rate of Strain. *J Neurophysiol* 95: 3384-3390

- 777 **Silvano C, Gemma M, De Vitis A, Piccoli S, Frascoli C, and Beretta L** 1996 Difficult
778 Diagnosis of Laryngeal Blunt Trauma. *Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care* 40(5):
779 845-846
- 780 **Solomon** 1990 *Human Anatomy and Physiology*. pp 400-539. Saunders College Publishing:
- 781 **Sparrey J M, Sandercock D M, Sparks N H C and Sandilands V** 2014 Current and novel
782 methods for killing poultry individually on-farm. *World's Poultry Science Journal* 70(4) 737-758
- 783 **Takahashi H, Manaka S and Sano K** 1981 Changes of extracellular potassium concentration in
784 the cortex and brain stem during acute phase of experimental closed head injury. *No To Shinkei*
785 33: 365-376
- 786 **Tidswell S J, Blackmore D K and Newhook J C** 1987 Slaughter methods:
787 Electroencephalographs (EEG) studies on spinal cord section, decapitation and gross trauma of
788 the brain in lambs. *New Zealand Veterinary Journal* 35: 46-49
- 789 **Veras L, Pedraza-Gutiérrez S, Castellanos J, Capellades J, Casamitjana J and Rovira-**
790 **Cañellas A** 2000 Vertebral Artery Occlusion After Acute Cervical Spine Trauma. *Spine* 25:
791 1171-1177
- 792 **Weir C J, Zivin J A and Lyden P D** 2002 Inter-relationships between spinal cord blood flow,
793 neuronal death and neurological function in rabbit spinal cord ischemia. *Brain Research* 946: 43-
794 51
- 795 **White B C and Krause G S** 1993 Brain injury and repair mechanisms: the potential for
796 pharmacologic therapy in closed-head trauma. *Annals of Emergency Medicine* 22: 970-979
- 797 **Whittow G C** 2000 *Sturkie's Avian Physiology*. pp 71-80. Academic Press: UK