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ABSTRACT

The asymmetries observed in the line profiles of solar flares can provide important diagnostics of the properties and dynamics of the flaring atmosphere. In this paper the evolution of the Hα and Ca ii 8542 Å lines are studied using high spatial, temporal and spectral resolution ground-based observations of an M1.1 flare obtained with the Swedish 1-m Solar Telescope. The temporal evolution of the Hα line profiles from the flare kernel shows excess emission in the red wing (red asymmetry) before flare maximum, and excess in the blue wing (blue asymmetry) after maximum. However, the Ca ii 8542 Å line does not follow the same pattern, showing only a weak red asymmetry during the flare. RADYN simulations are used to synthesise spectral line profiles for the flaring atmosphere, and good agreement is found with the observations. We show that the red asymmetry observed in Hα is not necessarily associated with plasma downflows, and the blue asymmetry may not be related to plasma upflows. Indeed, we conclude that the steep velocity gradients in the flaring chromosphere modifies the wavelength of the central reversal in the Hα line profile. The shift in the wavelength of maximum opacity to shorter and longer wavelengths generates the red and blue asymmetries, respectively.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is widely accepted that the vast majority of the solar flare radiative energy originates in the photosphere and chromosphere. The chromospheric radiation is dominated by hydrogen, calcium and magnesium lines, plus hydrogen continua, providing vital diagnostics on energy deposition rates in the lower atmosphere. One of the main characteristics of the flaring chromosphere is the centrally reversed Hα emission line profile with asymmetric red and blue wings (see the review by Berlicki 2007). Earlier observations have shown that the red asymmetry in the Hα line profile is more typical and is observed frequently during the impulsive phase of the flare (Svestka 1976; Tang 1983; Ichimoto & Kurokawa 1984; Wüser & Marti 1989; Falchi et al. 1997). High resolution spectroscopy indicates that the red asymmetry dominates over the ribbons during the flare (Asai et al. 2012; Deng et al. 2013; Huang et al. 2014). However, blue asymmetries have also been reported and these occur mainly in the early stages of the flare (Svestka et al. 1962; Severny 1968; Heinzel et al. 1994; Mein et al. 1997; Yu & Gan 2006). Furthermore, blue and red asymmetries at different positions in the same flare ribbon, and the reversal of asymmetry at a same position in the ribbon have also been reported (Canfield et al. 1990a; Ji et al. 1994; Mein et al. 1997). The Ca ii 8542 Å line profile, which goes into full emission during the flare, is also asymmetric (Li et al. 2007), but its asymmetric properties do not necessarily coincide with those detected simultaneously in Hα (Mein et al. 1997).

Despite a number of attempts to explain the observed asymmetries, their exact nature remains unclear. Theoretical line profiles based on static models of flare chromospheres do not show asymmetric signatures (Canfield & Gayley 1984; Fang et al. 1993; Cheng et al. 2006). This suggests that the asymmetries are related to the velocity field in the flaring atmosphere.

The red asymmetries observed in chromospheric lines during flares are usually attributed to the downflow of cool plasmas in the flaring atmosphere, a process also known as chromospheric condensation (Ichimoto & Kurokawa 1984; Wüser & Marti 1989; de la Beaujardière et al. 1992). As the flare energy is deposited in the chromosphere, a strong evaporation of the heated plasma occurs (e.g. Neupert 1968; Fisher et al. 1985; Graham & Cauzzi 2015). Conservation of momentum requires the formation of a downflow in the form of chromospheric condensation (Ichimoto & Kurokawa 1984; Carlsson et al. 1990b; Mulligan & Dennis 2009; Hudson 2011; Kerr et al. 2015). If the downflow occurs in the upper chromosphere, its effect would be a red-wing absorption which can lead to a blue asymmetry in the line profile (Gan et al. 1993; Heinzel et al. 1994). Ding & Fang (1996) have shown that both red and blue asymmetries in the Hα line can be caused by downward moving plasma at different heights in the solar chromosphere. This blue asymmetry has also been interpreted in terms of chromospheric evaporation and filament activation (Canfield et al. 1990a; Huang et al. 2014).

Abbett & Hawley (1999) computed time-dependent Hα and Ca ii K line profiles with the radiative-hydrodynamic code (RADYN; Carlsson & Stein 1997) for weak (F10) and strong (F11) flare runs, and showed that asymmetries could be produced by the strong velocity gradients generated during the flare. The velocity gradients create differences in the opacity between the red and blue wings of Hα, and the sign of the gradient determines whether the asymmetric emission appears to the blue or red side of the line profile. This process was first reported in the pioneering work of Carlsson & Stein (1997), who studied the effects of acoustic shocks on the formation of
the Ca H line in a non-flaring atmosphere. More recently, Rubio da Costa et al. (2015) made a direct comparison between observations and RADYN simulations of an M3.0 flare. Their observed and synthesised Hα and Ca II 8542 Å line profiles are asymmetric, especially during the early stages of the flare.

In this paper we present high temporal, spatial and spectral resolution imaging spectroscopy of an M1.1 solar flare. We study the evolution of the Hα and Ca II 8542 Å line profiles of the flare kernel, and compare these with synthesised line profiles obtained with a radiative hydrodynamic simulation. The line contribution functions and the velocity field in the simulated atmosphere allow us to disentangle the nature of the observed line asymmetries.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

The observations presented in this paper were undertaken between 16:27 and 17:27 UT on 2014 September 6 with the CRisp Imaging SpectroPolarimeter (CRISP; Scharmer 2006) instrument, mounted on the Swedish 1 m Solar Telescope (SST; Scharmer et al. 2003a) on La Palma. Adaptive optics were used throughout the observations, consisting of a tip-tilt mirror and a 85-electrode deformable mirror setup that is an upgrade of the system described in Scharmer et al. (2003b). The observations comprised of spectral imaging in the Hα 6563 Å, Ca II 8542 Å lines and Stokes V maps in Fe i 6302 at ∼ 0.048 Å. All data were reconstructed with Multi-Object Multi-Frame Blind Deconvolution (MOMFBD; van Noort et al. 2005). We applied the CRISP data reduction pipeline as described in de la Cruz Rodriguez et al. (2015). Our spatial sampling is 0′′.057 pixel−1 and the spatial resolution is close to the diffraction limit of the telescope for many images in the time series. The Hα line scan consists of 15 positions symmetrically sampled with 0.2 Å steps from line core, with the Ca II 8542 Å scan consisting of 25 line positions with 0.1 Å steps. A full spectral scan had an acquisition time of a 11.54 s, which is the temporal cadence of the time series. We made use of CRISPEx (Visser & van der Voort 2012), a versatile widget-based tool for effective viewing and exploration of multi-dimensional imaging spectroscopy data.

The flare was also observed by the Reuven Ramaty High Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager (RHESSI; Lin et al. 2002) and Fermi/GBM (Meegan et al. 2009). In Section 4 we describe the analysis of RHESSI data, noting that similar results were found from Fermi/GBM. The HXR spectral analysis was performed using OSPEX (Schwartz et al. 2008) to estimate the power Pnth deposited in the chromosphere by the non-thermal electrons, assuming a thick-target model.

3. Hα & CA II 8542 Å LINE ASYMMETRIES

The two ribbon M1.1 flare was observed in active region NOAA 12157 located at heliocentric coordinates ∼ (−732′′, −302′′). Figure 1 shows the flare images in Hα and Ca II 8542 Å line core and wing positions, together with a photospheric Fe i 6302 − 0.048 Å running difference image. An examination of the temporal evolution of the flare ribbons suggests that they remain stationary in the image plane during the flare. We identified a bright kernel in the CRISP images, highlighted with the red boxes in Figure 1, which is co-spatial with the footpoint of the coronal loops observed in AIA 94 Å images (Figure 1). Lightcurves of the region show that the emission from the Hα and Ca II 8542 Å line wings and the photospheric Fe i line between 16:54 and 16:56 UT increases, peaking at 16:55:24 (Figure 2). We find no evidence for time delays between the different wavelengths in excess of the 11.54 s temporal resolution element.

In Figure 3 we show the Hα and Ca II 8542 Å line profiles averaged over the ∼2′′×2′′ area marked with the red boxes.
in Figure 1. Shortly after the flare onset the flaring chromosphere produces a wide Hα emission profile with a central reversal. The temporal evolution of the centrally reversed Hα profiles shows excess emission in the red wing (red asymmetry) with nearly unshifted line center before the flare maximum (top left panel of Figure 3). However, after the flare maximum the blue peak becomes higher than the red peak and hence a blue asymmetry is formed (top right panel of Figure 3). Furthermore, the line centers of these blue asymmetric Hα profiles are shifted to longer wavelengths (top right panel of Figure 3 and Figure 4).

To quantify the asymmetry of the Hα line profiles we use a technique similar to that described in Mein et al. (1997) and De Pontieu et al. (2009). We identify the central wavelength of the red and blue peaks and compute the intensities in these positions integrated over a ±0.2 Å interval (left panel of Figure 4). These intensities are given as

\[
I_B = \sum_{\lambda_{\text{BB}}-0.4}^{\lambda_{\text{BB}}+0.4} I_{\lambda}, \quad I_R = \sum_{\lambda_{\text{RR}}-0.4}^{\lambda_{\text{RR}}+0.4} I_{\lambda},
\]

where \(\lambda_{\text{BB}}\) and \(\lambda_{\text{RR}}\) are the centroids of the blue and red peaks, respectively, and \(\delta \lambda\) the wavelength range over which the intensities are integrated. The ratio \(I_B/I_R\) allows us to study the evolution of the asymmetries as a function of time (right panel of Figure 4), and is normalised to the mean intensity ratios of the non-flaring atmospheric profile computed over the same wavelength range, such that \(I_B/I_R \approx 1\) corresponds to no asymmetries in the Hα line profile. Figure 2 (right panel) shows that at around 16:50 UT a strong red asymmetry begins to appear, increasing to the flare maximum (16:55 UT). The red asymmetry then decreases very quickly (within about 2 minutes) with the blue asymmetry appearing and maintained until the end of the observations.

The Ca II 8542 Å line has a slightly redshifted emission profile of about 0.1 Å (corresponding to a velocity ~ 3.5 km s\(^{-1}\)), and in contrast to Hα does not reveal the asymmetry reversal during the flare (bottom panels of Figure 5).

4. HARD X-RAYS

Hard X-ray emission is a typical signature for the presence of accelerated electrons in flares. Assuming that the electron distribution \(F(E)\) has a power-law form \(AE^{-\delta}\) (electrons s\(^{-1}\) keV\(^{-1}\)), where \(A\) is the normalisation factor (proportional to the total electron rate), \(E_C\) is the low energy cutoff, and \(\delta\) is the spectral index, a lower limit for the total power contained in the distribution can be estimated from:

\[
P_{\text{nth}}(E \geq E_C) = \int_{E_C}^{\infty} EF(E)dE \text{ ergs}^{-1}
\]

We fitted the HXR spectrum obtained by RHESSI with a isothermal plus thick-target model (as described above, using the OSPEX function thicke2_vnorm), and calculated \(P_{\text{nth}}\) throughout the impulsive phase, integrating the counts in bins of 12 seconds. RHESSI front detectors 1, 3, 6, 8 and 9 were employed, and the fitting results for \(A, \delta, E_C\) and \(P_{\text{nth}}\) are shown in Figure 5. We found \(P_{\text{nth}} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{28}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) at the time of maximum of HXR emission, between 16:55:18 and 16:55:30 UT. To obtain the energy flux (erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\)) deposed into the chromospheric source, one divides the power of the non-thermal electrons \(P_{\text{nth}}\) by the footprint area. The reconstructed images for the HXR emission (using CLEAN, Hurford, 2002, detectors 3–8, beam width factor of 1.5) show three main HXR sources in good association with the main locations of the optical and UV emission, as can be seen in Figure 5. However, the HXR sources are unresolved and the area obtained from the RHESSI images would be an overestimate of the actual sizes of those regions. The area of the ribbons was hence determined by contouring a region with intensity above 30% of the maximum of the Hα-1.4 Å image near the HXR peak (Figure 5). We obtained an area of \(0.7 \times 10^{17}\) cm\(^2\). Thus, at the time of the maximum HXR emission, which also coincides with the maximum of the emission in Hα, Ca ii, Fe i (see Figure 2), the average energy flux delivered by the electrons into the chromosphere is around \(1.9 \times 10^{11}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\).

5. SIMULATED LINE PROFILES

The short duration of photospheric enhancements (Figure 3) and the stationary nature of the flare ribbon makes this event a good candidate for a comparison with a dynamic model.

We use the radiative-electrodynamic code RADYN to compute Hα and Ca ii 8542 Å line profiles (Carlsson & Stein 1997, Allred et al. 2005). The simulation was performed for a strong beam with \(F = 10^{15}\) ergs cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (also known as F11 flare) and an isotropic pitch angle distribution in the forward hemisphere with the Fokker-Planck solution to the non-thermal electron distribution (Allred et al. 2015). A constant heating flux was applied for 20 sec, and the atmosphere was allowed to relax for 40 additional seconds. We used a power-law index and a low energy cut-off of \(\delta=4.2\) and \(E_C = 25\) keV, respectively. The beam parameters used in the model are very close to the ones estimated from the HXR data (Section 4).

Figure 7 shows the temporal evolution of the synthesised Hα profiles from the F11 flare model, which indicates a behaviour similar to that in the observations. Increased emission in the red side of the profile (i.e. red asymmetry) occurs when the Hα line centre is shifted to the blue, whereas during the blue asymmetry the line centre is shifted to the red.
Figure 3. The temporal evolution of the Hα and Ca ii 8542 Å line profiles before the flare maximum (left panels) and after the flare maximum (right panels) for the region outlined with the red boxes in Figure 1.

Figure 4. The left panel shows the same Hα line profile presented in the top left panel of Figure 2 with green line. The dashed red line shows the double-Gaussian fit. The right panel shows the evolution of line profile asymmetry, I_B/I_R, calculated by dividing the intensities of the blue and red peak centroid positions integrated over the ±0.2 Å wavelength range (red and blue areas shown on the left panel). Values of I_B/I_R are normalised by the mean intensity ratios of the non-flaring atmospheric profile, such that I_B/I_R = 1 corresponds to no asymmetries in the Hα spectra.

Figure 5. The left panel of Figure 3 with green line. The dashed red line shows the double-Gaussian fit. Figure 4. The left panel shows the same Hα line profile presented in the top left panel of Figure 2 with green line. The dashed red line shows the double-Gaussian fit. The right panel shows the evolution of line profile asymmetry, I_B/I_R, calculated by dividing the intensities of the blue and red peak centroid positions integrated over the ±0.2 Å wavelength range (red and blue areas shown on the left panel). Values of I_B/I_R are normalised by the mean intensity ratios of the non-flaring atmospheric profile, such that I_B/I_R = 1 corresponds to no asymmetries in the Hα spectra.

right panel of Figure 7 shows the evolution of the line profile asymmetry, I_B/I_R, calculated using the method described in Section 3. Simulated Ca ii 8542 Å line profiles are in emission during the flare with an extended blue wing and a slightly blueshifted center (Figure 10).

To understand the formation of the asymmetric line profiles, we need to examine the line contribution functions (Carlsson & Stein 1997). These are the intensities emitted in specific wavelengths as a function of height. Carlsson & Stein (1997) introduced a formal solution of the radiative transfer equation for the emergent intensity in terms of the contribution function, C_I, as

$$I_{\nu} = \int_{0}^{\infty} C_{I} dz = \int_{0}^{\infty} S_{\nu} \tau_{\nu} e^{-\tau_{\nu}} \chi_{\nu} / \tau_{\nu} dz,$$

(3)

where z is the atmospheric height, and S_{\nu}, \tau_{\nu} and \chi_{\nu} are the source function, optical depth and opacity (linear extinction coefficient), respectively. Traditionally, this function is adjusted to the three frequency (\nu) dependent physically meaningful terms: S_{\nu}, \tau_{\nu} e^{-\tau_{\nu}} and \chi_{\nu} / \tau_{\nu}, where S_{\nu} is defined as the ratio of the emissivity over the opacity of the atmosphere. The \tau_{\nu} e^{-\tau_{\nu}} term expresses an attenuation caused by optical depth and peaks near \tau = 1, while the ratio \chi_{\nu} / \tau_{\nu} is large where there are many emitting particles at low optical depths.

In Figures 8, 9 and 10 we present line contribution functions for Hα and Ca ii 8542 Å using the classic diagrams introduced by Carlsson & Stein (1997). The diagrams are plotted in inverse gray scale with darker shades showing higher intensities. Line profiles are shown as dark lines in the bottom right panels. Red lines in the diagrams show the height at \tau = 1 while the vertical velocity structure of the plasma is plotted as a blue dashed line. We note that positive values for velocities correspond to plasma upflows. The source functions (green lines) and Planck functions (brown lines) at line center are overplotted in the bottom left panels to show the height of non-LTE decoupling in the atmosphere.

Figure 8 contains a snapshot of the parameters that contribute to the Hα line profile and the formation of the red asymmetry 3 s into the F11 flare run. It shows that the line...
Figure 5. Fitting results of RHESSI HXR data: normalisation factor $A$, spectral index $\delta$, low energy cutoff $E_c$, and the total power contained in the electron distribution $P_{nth}$, given by Eq. 2. The vertex dashed line indicates the time of the maximum HXR emission.

Wings and line core are formed at 0.9 - 1.0 and 1.1 - 1.18 Mm, respectively. The line source function has a local maximum near the wing formation height, and decreases towards the upper layers where the line core is formed. This leads to increased emission in the line wings, relative to the line core, hence the centrally reversed line profiles. The velocity structure of the flaring atmosphere shows that the region of Hα wing formation has a weak downflow velocity of around $1 - 3 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$. However, the region of core formation has a moderately strong upflow with a peak value of $\sim 15 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ at 1.17 Mm (Figure 8). This upflow shifts the line core of the centrally reversed Hα profile to the blue (bottom right panel). Therefore, the central wavelength of maximum opacity is also shifted to the blue. Consequently, the $\tau = 1$ layer is formed higher and the $\chi_c/\tau_c$ is smaller in the blue wing, and hence blue wing photons are absorbed more easily compared to their red wing counterparts.

Figure 9 shows similar diagrams 14 s into the F11 flare. Now the Hα line core is formed deeper into the atmosphere, indicating that the chromosphere is compressed by the transition region which moves downward from 1.18 Mm to 1.08 Mm over about 10 s (see Figures 8, 9). At the core formation height (at around 1.08 Mm) the atmosphere has developed a moderately strong downflow (condensation) of about $-18 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ (downward velocities are negative) with a negative velocity gradient. This shifts the central reversal (maximum opacity) to the red side (Figure 9). The higher lying plasma of the line core absorbs red photons, increasing the opacity in the red wing. Therefore, the red wing photons produced between 0.9-1.05 Mm can no longer escape as readily as at $t=3 \, \text{s}$ because the $\tau = 1$ curve has shifted to the red due to the downflowing material above. As a result, the $\tau = 1$ curve is formed higher in the atmosphere at the red wing and $\chi_c/\tau_c$ is larger at the blue side of the core. This makes the contribution function, and hence the emission, larger in the blue wing and the blue asymmetry is established (bottom right panel of Figure 9).

Figure 10 shows a similar diagram for the Ca ii 8542 Å line 14 s into the F11 flare. This line is much narrower and formed deeper into the atmosphere (below 1 Mm) than Hα. The velocity field along this height is very weak ($\sim \pm 1 - 2 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$) during the flare, and the line source function has a maximum at the height of core formation ($\sim 0.9 \, \text{Mm}$) (bottom left panel), so the higher emission originates from the line core. An upflow velocity field below $\sim 0.8 \, \text{Mm}$ produces a slightly extended blue wing and creates a blue asymmetry. However, a weak downflow at the height of core formation ($\sim 0.9 \, \text{Mm}$) shifts the line center to the red.

6. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The temporal evolution of the Hα line profile of the flare kernel revealed excess emission in the red wing with unshifted line core before flare maximum, and excess emission in the blue wing with a red shifted line core after flare maximum. Numerical simulations show that in an atmosphere without velocity fields, the centrally reversed Hα profile is symmetric with respect to the line core (Canfield & Gayley 1984; Fang et al. 1993; Cheng et al. 2006). However, the dynamic models take into account the mass motions associated with the pro-
Figure 7. The temporal evolution of the synthesised H\(\alpha\) profiles during an F11 flare. The vertical dotted lines indicate the line center. The right panel shows the evolution of line profile asymmetry, \(I_B/I_R\), calculated with the method described in Section 3.

Figure 8. Components of the intensity contribution function for the H\(\alpha\) line together with the contribution function itself after 3 s of F11 flare heating. The diagrams are plotted in inverse gray scale so that darker shades indicate higher intensities. The line profile is overplotted in the contribution function diagram (bottom right panel) as a black line. Red lines indicate the height at which \(\tau = 1\). The vertical velocity structure of the plasma is overplotted as blue dashed lines. Positive velocity correspond to plasma upflows. The source function (green line) and Planck function (brown line) at the line center are overplotted in the bottom left panels.

We emphasize that the analysis presented here has shown that the red asymmetry observed in the H\(\alpha\) line profile is not necessarily associated with plasma downflows, and the blue asymmetry may not be related to plasma upflows. Thus, using these line asymmetries as a direct measure of Doppler velocities can lead to inaccurate results.

Motivated by the close match between simulations and observations, we analysed components of intensity contribution functions for the H\(\alpha\) and Ca \(\text{ii} 8542\ \text{Å}\) lines (based on the approach presented in Carlsson & Stein 1997; Abbett & Hawley 1999). We find that in the early stages of the flare the line core of the centrally reversed H\(\alpha\) profile is blueshifted due to the strong upflows at the height of core formation (bottom right panel of Figure 8). The velocity decreases downward toward the wing formation regions, producing a steep positive velocity gradient. This gradient modifies the optical depth of the atmosphere in a way that higher lying (core) atoms absorb photons with shorter wavelengths (blue wing photons) and the red asymmetry is formed (Figure 8). In the later stages the velocity field becomes dominated by the downflows due to the plasma condensation with strong negative velocity gradients (Figure 9). This shifts the absorption peak (wavelength of maximum opacity) to the red (bottom right panel of Figure 9), so the higher lying core plasma now absorbs red photons and produces a blue asymmetry.

The Ca \(\text{ii} 8542\ \text{Å}\) line in the F11 model is in emission and formed deeper into the atmosphere than H\(\alpha\). The weak velocity field along its formation height produces an extended blue wing in the line profile (bottom right panel of Figure 10), in agreement with the observations. The simulated profile shows a weak downflow at the height of core formation which shifts the line center to the red (bottom right panel of Figure 10).
This is not detected in the observed spectra.

The main difference between the simulations and the observations presented in this work is that the core of the observed H\(\alpha\) profiles remains unshifted when the red asymmetry is detected (top left panel of Figure [8]). This may be due to the presence of a much more complex velocity field with several unresolved condensation/evaporation patterns, which can produce an effectively unshifted or unresolved line core. The simulations show that when the red asymmetry is formed, there are weak downflows in the region between the core and wing formation heights (see velocity field in Figure [8]). However, these downflows are not strong enough to have a significant effect on the line profiles.

It should be noted that the red asymmetry in H\(\alpha\) begins at 16:50 (right panel of Figure [4]), whereas RHESSI hard X-ray emission starts to increase after 16:54 UT (top panel of Figure [2]). This suggests that the H\(\alpha\) is responding to pre-impulsive phase heating. In the pre-impulsive phase flare reconnection can produce direct heating instead of non-thermal particle heating. That could drive gentle, rather than explosive, chromospheric evaporation which can produce an enhanced red-wing emission in a manner similar to the one presented in Figure [8]. However, the difference between simulations and observations could be related to that the red asymmetry in simulations is a result of explosive evaporation produced by non-thermal particle heating, whereas in the observations the red asymmetry is suggested to be produced with a more gentle evaporation at the pre-impulsive phase heating.

Furthermore, the evolution timescale of the observed H\(\alpha\) line profile is longer (~5 min) than that of the simulated line profile (~20 s). Additional direct comparisons of the improved spectral resolution observations and more realistic simulations are necessary to understand the formation of spectral line asymmetries in the flaring solar chromosphere.

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community’s Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no. 606862 (F-CHROMA). The Swedish 1-m Solar Telescope is operated on the island of La Palma by the Institute for Solar Physics.
(ISP) of Stockholm University in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias.
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