

Socio-demographic patterns of physical activity and sedentary behaviour in Chile: Results from the National Health Survey 2009-2010

AUTHORS

Carlos Celis-Morales^{1,2}, Carlos Salas³, Anas Alduhishy⁴, Ruth Sanzana⁵, María Adela Martínez⁶, Ana Leiva⁷, Ximena Diaz⁸, Cristian Martínez⁹, Cristian Álvarez¹⁰, Jaime Leppe¹¹, C. Alexandra Munro¹, Mario Siervo¹, Naomi D. Willis¹.

AFFILIATION

¹ Human Nutrition Research Centre, Institute of Cellular Medicine, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom.

² BHF Glasgow Cardiovascular Research Centre, Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Science, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom.

³ Department of Physical Education, University of Concepcion, Concepcion, Chile.

⁴ College of Applied Medical Sciences, University of Dammam, Dammam, Saudi Arabia.

⁵ Department of Social Science, INACAP, Valdivia, Chile.

⁶ Institute of Pharmacy, Faculty of Science, University Austral of Chile, Valdivia, Chile.

⁷ Institute of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, University Austral of Chile, Valdivia, Chile.

⁸ School of Physical Education, Faculty of Education and Humanity, University of Bio-Bio, Chillan, Chile.

⁹ Department of Physical Education, Sport and Recreation, University La Frontera, Temuco, Chile.

¹⁰ Centre for Family's Health (CESFAM), Los Lagos, Chile.

¹¹ School of Kinesiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Desarrollo, Santiago, Chile.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Carlos Celis-Morales

Human Nutrition Research Centre

Institute of Cellular Medicine

Newcastle University

Newcastle upon Tyne

United Kingdom

NE4 5PL

carlos.celis@ncl.ac.uk

+44 01912081141

ABSTRACT

Background

Surveillance of physical activity (PA) is essential for the development of health promotion initiatives. The aim of the present study was to examine the prevalence of PA and sedentary behaviour with respect to socio-demographic factors in Chile.

Methods

A representative sample of 5,434 adults aged ≥ 15 years (59% women) who participated in the Chilean National Health Survey (2009-2010) were included. Socio-demographic data (age, sex, environment, education level, income level and smoking status) were collected for all participants. PA levels were assessed using the Global Physical Activity Questionnaire.

Results

19.8% [95% CI 18.1 to 21.6] of the Chilean population did not meet PA recommendations (≥ 600 MET.min.week⁻¹). Prevalence of physical inactivity was higher in participants aged ≥ 65 years, compared to the youngest age groups and was higher in women than men. However, it was lower for participants with high, compared to low, education or income levels. The overall prevalence of sedentary risk behaviour (spending >4 hr sitting per day) was 35.9% [33.7 to 38.2].

Conclusion

Physical inactivity correlates strongly with socio-demographic factors such as age, gender and educational level. Results identify social and economic groups to which future public health interventions should be aimed to increase PA in the Chilean population.

Key words

Physical activity, sedentary behaviour, sitting time

INTRODUCTION

Nutritional and epidemiological transition in Latin America has been shaped by parallel processes of economic growth, migration and urbanisation which have led to a socio-demographic shift characterised by an increased life expectancy and burden of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) ^(1; 2; 3). For the last two decades cardiovascular disease has been the main cause of mortality in southern Latin American countries (LACs) ^(4; 5).

Compared to other LACs, the prevalence of risk factors for NCDs have tended to be higher in Chile than the average prevalence for the whole region ⁽³⁾. This could be explained by the rapid progression of nutritional transition in Chile ^(6; 7; 8; 9). Malnutrition, highly prevalent in the Chilean population in the 1970s was almost completely eradicated by the end of the 1980s. This was followed by an accelerated modernisation phase in the 1990s as a consequence of strong economic growth. The Chilean population's diet has become progressively westernized and is now characterized by high levels of processed food, fat, salt and sugar ^(6; 9). Concurrently, a decrease in PA levels has been observed due to urbanization and greater use of home appliances, cars and TVs ^(6; 7; 9). These changes have contributed to an increased prevalence of major risk factors for NCDs ^(6; 7; 9), placing Chile in a classical post-nutritional transition stage.

Strong evidence supports the link between increasing physical inactivity and the risk of many adverse health outcomes. Recent estimations place physical inactivity as the fourth leading cause of death ⁽¹⁰⁾, equating to 5.3 million annual deaths worldwide ^(11; 12). Lee *et al.* (2012) presented persuasive evidence that 6–10% of all deaths from NCDs worldwide can be attributed to physical inactivity ⁽¹²⁾ and this percentage is even higher for specific diseases (e.g. 30% for ischaemic heart disease) ⁽¹⁰⁾. Notwithstanding, a large proportion of the world's population (31.1%) remains physically inactive ⁽¹³⁾, presenting a major public health problem.

Observation of population-level PA is necessary for the development of health promotion initiatives and public health policy formulation. Given the rapid epidemiological transition and high prevalence of NCDs in Chile, risk factor surveillance, including PA, is essential. The present study aimed to examine the prevalence of physical activity and sedentary behaviour by socio-demographic factors in Chile.

METHODS

Study Population

This cross-sectional study was based on data from participants aged ≥ 15 years from the 2009-2010 Chilean National Health Survey (CNHS). The CNHS is a large, nationally representative population-based study of risk factors, dietary status and health conducted every 6 years in Chile. Complex random stratified sampling was used to cover a nationally representative sample based on statistics from the 2002 Chilean National Census, which included strata from administrative regions (county) and urban/rural locations, as described in detail elsewhere⁽¹⁴⁾.

Data collection took place in two stages: the first stage (n=5,434) comprised face-to-face interviews to collect information on self-reported health, household characteristics and living conditions. In the second stage (n=4,956), anthropometric measurements and biological samples were collected. Response rate from the eligible population to the CNHS was 85%. In total, 5,276 participants (97%) provided data on PA behaviours collected with the Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ), version 2. Participants aged <18 years (n=224) were excluded from the current analysis (results will be reported elsewhere). In addition, 121 participants (3%) with PA data were excluded based on the GPAQ protocol for outlier detection (48% women and 83% urban). Complete data was available for 5,155 participants for the present analysis.

Ethics Approval

The study was funded by the Chilean Ministry of Health and led by the Department of Public Health, The Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. The CNHS followed international guidelines in its design^(15; 16) and was approved by the Ethics Research Committee of the Faculty of Medicine at the Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. All participants provided written informed consent.

Measurements

Socio-demographics

To ensure quality of data collection, standardised protocols were used and nurses and technicians underwent joint training sessions prior to the survey. Socio-demographic data was collected for all participants, including age, gender, education level (primary, secondary or

beyond secondary), years of schooling, monthly household income and smoking status (non-smoker, ex-smoker or smoker).

Anthropometrics

Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a portable stadiometer and weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using a digital scale (Tanita HD313) with participants removing their shoes and wearing light clothing. Body mass index was calculated as $[\text{weight}/\text{height}^2]$ and classified using the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria ($<18.5 \text{ kg.m}^{-2}$ – underweight, 18.5 to 24.9 kg.m^{-2} – normal, 25.0 to 29.9 kg.m^{-2} – overweight and $\geq 30 \text{ kg.m}^{-2}$ – obese) ⁽¹⁷⁾.

Physical activity

The GPAQ (version 2) was used to measure PA and sedentary behaviour in the CNHS. Developed by the WHO to measure population-level PA behaviours, the GPAQ uses standardised protocols shown to be valid and reliable and adaptable to incorporate cultural and other differences ^(18; 19). The GPAQ assesses sedentary behaviour (total time spent sitting) and 3 domains of PA: occupational (PA at work), active-commuting (PA from travel) and recreational (PA at leisure). Occupational, active-commuting and recreational PA were assigned a metabolic-equivalent value (MET) using recommendations made by the GPAQ protocol (4-METs was used for Moderate and transport-related activities and 8-METs for Vigorous activities) ⁽²⁰⁾. The GPAQ uses algorithms to categorize weekly PA into two categories: inactive individuals ($<600 \text{ MET.min.week}^{-1}$) and active individuals ($\geq 600 \text{ MET.min.week}^{-1}$) ⁽²⁰⁾. Sedentary behaviour was derived using the following question: How much time do you usually spend sitting or reclining on a typical day? The GPAQ specified that this question is about sitting or reclining at work or at home, getting to and from places, or with friends. It includes time spent sitting at a desk, sitting with friends, travelling in a car, bus or train, reading, playing cards or watching television, but does not include time spent sleeping ⁽²⁰⁾.

Statistical Analysis

Survey-weighted descriptive characteristics are presented as adjusted means with standard deviation (SD) for quantitative variables or as a proportion for categorical variables.

Quantitative data was checked for normality using skewness and kurtosis normality tests. For statistical analysis, age was stratified into 4 categories (18 to 24, 25 to 44, 45 to 64 and ≥ 65

years). Years of education were classified into 3 categories (<8, 8 to 12 and >12 years of formal education). Monthly household income was stratified into 4 categories: ≤ US \$247.00 (Lowest), US \$248.00 - US \$452.00 (Medium lowest), US \$453.00 - US \$1180.00 (Medium highest) and > US \$1180.00 (Highest). Medium lowest is equivalent to the individual minimum wage in Chile.

To investigate whether PA levels differed between socio-demographic groups, the General Linear Model (GLM) was used. Increasing age, education and income level, and gender and environmental (rural vs. urban) differences were tested by fitting PA variables as a main outcome and socio-demographic factors as the ordinal exposure. All models were adjusted for age, gender, environment and education level, as appropriate. Bonferroni adjustment was used for multiple testing corrections.

To investigate differences in the prevalence of physical inactivity and sitting time between socio-demographic categories, GLM was used. 'Inactive' and 'active' individuals were categorised as described above and sedentary risk behaviour was defined as spending ≥4 hours per day sitting. Dichotomised PA and sedentary risk behaviour variables were used as a main outcome and socio-demographic groups were fitted into the model as the exposure. Wald Test was performed on model parameters. All models were adjusted for covariates. To account for the differential probability of selection, all percentages and means were weighted using the sample weights provided by CNHS⁽¹⁴⁾. Statistical analyses were conducted using STATA 13 (StataCorp; College Station, TX). A two-sided α -level of 0.05 was used and all analyses accounted for the complex sample design of CNHS data.

RESULTS

Socio-demographic characteristics

Descriptive characteristics, stratified by gender are presented in Table 1. The cohort comprised 5,155 adults aged 18 to 100 years (mean age 46.4 years [SD 18.6]); 87.1% were adults living in an urban setting and 59.6% were women. Education and income level were similar for men and women. Prevalence of overweight and obesity (BMI ≥25 kg.m⁻²) was similar for both men and women respectively (64.5% vs 64.3%). Current smokers were more frequent in men (43.3%) than women (37.3%).

Physical activity patterns

PA behaviours by socio-demographic factors are presented in Table 2. Of the study population 46.4% reported no moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) (women 44.2%, men 49.5%), while 36% of women and 32% of men reported no active-commuting PA. Overall, 70.6% of the population (women 74.8%, men 63.1%) reported no vigorous intensity PA. Levels of recreational and active-commuting MVPA represented only 8.3% and 19.3% of the total self-reported PA respectively. Overall 19.8% of the study population did not meet WHO PA recommendations of ≥ 600 MET.min.week⁻¹. Physical inactivity prevalence increased by age, was higher in women and in participants with lower education and income levels (Table 2).

PA levels differed significantly between age groups, with an important decline in occupational and recreational PA after the age of 65 years (Table 2). Men reported significantly higher levels of total PA, occupational and recreational MVPA and active-commuting PA than women. Compared to urban males, total PA and occupational MVPA amongst rural males were significantly higher. Similarly, total PA and occupational MVPA differed significantly between education and income groups, but not active-commuting or recreational PA. Highly-educated participants spent on average 33% less time on MVPA at work, whilst participants with a medium low income level reported more time on MVPA at work (ranging between 13% and 23%), compared to their counterparts in other income categories.

Sedentary behaviour

Total time spent sitting per day was lowest in the middle age groups (25 to 64 years) compared to the youngest (18 to 24 years) and oldest (≥ 65 years) participants; age-related differences in daily sitting time ranged from 26 – 32% (Table 3). Although there was a significant gender difference in sitting time, men reported only 9% more sitting time per day than women. The difference in sitting time per day between urban and rural based participants was significant, with urban participants reporting 29% more sitting time than rural participants. Similarly, increasing levels of education and income was associated with a significant increase in sitting time per day. These differences varied from 29% to 32% and 11% to 30% for education and income levels, respectively. Analysis of sedentary risk

behaviour showed that 35.9% of the population spend more than 4 hours sitting per day. The prevalence of sedentary risk behaviour by socio-demographic factors is presented in Table 3.

DISCUSSION

Main finding of this study

The main findings of this study are: i) 19.8% of the Chilean population did not meet international PA recommendations and ii) 35.9% spent more than 4 hours sitting per day. Physical inactivity and sitting time differed significantly by age, sex and education level. In addition, sitting time also differed significantly by environment (rural vs urban) and income.

What is already known on this topic?

The Chilean population shows a lower prevalence of physical inactivity than that estimated worldwide (31.1%) and for the Americas (43.3%), Eastern Mediterranean countries (43.2%), Europe (34.8%) and the Western Pacific (33.7%), but a similar prevalence to Africa (27.5%) and a higher prevalence than that reported in Southeast Asia (17.0%)⁽¹³⁾. Our results are similar to the average prevalence of physical inactivity reported for LACs (22.7%)⁽²¹⁾ and for countries experiencing an epidemiological transition (Paraguay 20.3%, Ecuador 22.6%, Peru 24.5%, Uruguay 26.6%, Brazil 27.8% and Mexico 16.8%), except Guatemala where physical inactivity is lower (3.9%) and Dominican Republic, where physical inactivity is higher (40.8%) than in Chile⁽²¹⁾. Prevalence of physical inactivity by gender in this study was lower than that estimated worldwide (27.9% and 33.9%)⁽¹³⁾ and to that estimated for LACs (21.0% and 24.8%)⁽²¹⁾ for men and women, respectively⁽¹³⁾.

We observed that the prevalence of physical inactivity in the Chilean population increased with age, which is a pattern known to have a strong biological basis⁽²³⁾. Our findings are in agreement with physical inactivity and age trends reported worldwide⁽²¹⁾, where the prevalence of physical inactivity fluctuates from ~15% to ~38% in young adults (15-29 years) and from ~28% to ~60% in older adults (≥ 60 years)^(12; 13). Despite the linear association reported in all regions of the world by Hallal *et al.* (2012), heterogeneity was substantial across the regions⁽¹³⁾. The prevalence of physical inactivity between age groups <65 years in our study are similar to those reported by Guthold *et al.* (2008) in a survey performed in 51 countries worldwide⁽²¹⁾. Retirement age in Chile is 65 years and this could

explain differences around this age. Occupational PA accounts for 73% total PA reported by the population, reinforcing the suggestion that retirement explains the doubling of inactivity prevalence after the age of 65 years.

Education and income levels are a proxy of socio-economic status in the Chilean population. We found that prevalence of physical inactivity was highest for adults with low education or incomes. However, our results contrast with those reported in previous studies in Mexico⁽²⁴⁾ and Brazil⁽²⁵⁾ where higher socio-economic status (46.6%) was associated with a higher prevalence of physical inactivity compared to the lowest status (38.7%). These differences in the direction of the relationship could be explained by the hypothesis that social patterns are shifting, characterised by falling occupational PA (usually higher among lower income and education levels) and increasing recreational PA (more common among higher education and income levels).

Evidence suggests that adopting an active method of transport (such as walking or cycling) has important health benefits for all-cause mortality^(26; 27) and that these benefits could be increased by increasing the intensity of active-commuting⁽²⁸⁾. However, our study shows that 34% of the Chilean population reported no active-commuting. On average, men reported 54 minutes and women 46 minutes of daily active-commuting. The proportion of adults who reported active-commuting in Chile is above that reported in Australia (4.7%), Canada (7.8%), UK (14.5%), USA (10.4%), The Netherlands (37.9%) and China (46.1%)⁽¹³⁾. Unfortunately, data from other developing countries is scarce.

Another PA-related domain that has been extensively studied over the last decade is sedentary-related behaviour⁽²⁹⁾, which is usually defined as activities with an equivalent energy expenditure of ≤ 1.5 MET.min.day⁻¹ (i.e. sitting time). Sedentary behaviour has been defined previously⁽²⁹⁾ and it should be considered different to physical inactivity because physical inactivity refers to not meeting the PA guidelines (150 min of MVPA a week), while sedentary behaviour refers to sitting or reclining activities such as watching TV, sitting at a desk, etc.

Increasing sedentarism is strongly associated with increased risk of NCDs and mortality, independent of PA levels^(30; 31; 32; 33). Spending ≥ 4 hours a day sitting may be considered a proxy for the presence of sedentary risk behaviour detrimental for health⁽¹³⁾. In Chile, this

prevalence is slightly lower (35.9%) than that reported worldwide (41.5)⁽¹³⁾ and for Argentina (52.8%), but it is higher than that reported for Brazil (28.2%) and Colombia (27.2%)⁽³⁴⁾. The prevalence of sedentary risk behaviour by education and income level in Chile agrees with those found in Brazil, where adults with higher education and income spend more time sitting⁽³⁵⁾. Average self-reported total sitting time per day was higher in Brazil (288 min.day⁻¹)⁽³⁵⁾ but lower in Mexico (178 min.day⁻¹)⁽³⁶⁾ than in Chile (211.3 min.day⁻¹). The 20-country sitting time study reported an overall median [interquartile range] for sitting time of 300 [180-480] min.day⁻¹ and a country-specific median of 180 [90-300] min.day⁻¹ for Colombia, 300 [180-480] min.day⁻¹ for Argentina, and 180 [120-270] min.day⁻¹ for Brazil⁽³⁴⁾. The median sitting time for Brazil and Colombia is identical to that found in the Chilean population in the present study (180 [90 – 300]) which is lower than that reported for Argentina and the all-countries median.⁽³⁴⁾

Limitations of this study

Methodological issues related to the self-reported nature of the GPAQ questionnaire are noted. Our estimates were adjusted for covariates in order to reduce potential bias previously reported in the Chilean population⁽³⁷⁾. It is possible that measurement errors could be differential by education level within environment, however validation of the GPAQ in multi-ethnic cohorts^(18; 19), repeated interviewer training and standardisation of measurement protocols, mitigate against potential sources of bias. Although the results presented in this study can be generalised to the Chilean population, as the survey was applied in a representative sample of the country, we cannot make any inference or causal association regarding the results due to the cross-sectional nature of the survey.

What this study adds

Rapid Latin American urbanization has contributed to an increasing burden of NCDs⁽³⁸⁾. This has resulted in important changes in modes of daily transportation, in particular a major shift from public to individual motorised transport systems⁽⁴⁰⁾. This has played a role in reducing PA in the region^(13; 21). Surveillance data on PA (socio-demographically patterned) in Chile will further increase understanding of the potential health burden the country may face in the future, as well as strengthen the evidence already available on PA patterns in LACs and worldwide. In addition, our results could help the national authorities in Chile to implement tailored PA programs tackling inequalities related to socio-demographic factors in

order to promote healthy and active lifestyles in sections of the population who are most in need of it.

In conclusion, 19.8% of the population in Chile did not meet international PA recommendations and more than one third of the Chilean population spend ≥ 4 hours sitting per day, which is a strong risk factor for NCDs and all-cause mortality. Our findings suggest that a PA transition is already underway. Given the known impact of low PA on the risk of developing NCDs and the strong association between urbanization and reduced PA / increased sedentary behaviour reported in this study, we suggest that Chile could face an increasing burden of NCDs if no clear population-level PA policies are implemented.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank all participants for their co-operation and the Chilean Health Ministry and Department of Public Health, The Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile for designing and conducting the second National Health Survey 2009-2010.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

This study was funded by the Chilean Health Ministry as part of the second health surveillance in Chile.

COMPETING INTERESTS

None

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CCM analysed the data. CCM and NDW wrote the paper. CS, AA, RZ, MAM, AL, XD, CM, CA, JL, CAM and MS critically revised the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Uauy R, Monteiro CA (2004) The challenge of improving food and nutrition in Latin America. *Food and nutrition bulletin* **25**, 175-182.
2. Rivera JA, Barquera S, Gonzalez-Cossio T *et al.* (2004) Nutrition transition in Mexico and in other Latin American countries. *Nutrition Reviews* **62**, S149-S157.
3. Jaime Miranda J, Herrera VM, Chirinos JA *et al.* (2013) Major Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Latin America: A Comparison with the United States. The Latin American Consortium of Studies in Obesity (LASO). *Plos One* **8**.
4. Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K *et al.* (2012) Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* **380**, 2095-2128.
5. Murray CJL, Lopez AD (1997) Mortality by cause for eight regions of the world: Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet* **349**, 1269-1276.
6. Albala C, Vio F, Kain J *et al.* (2002) Nutrition transition in Chile: determinants and consequences. *Public Health Nutrition* **5**, 123-128.
7. Albala C, Vio F, Kain J *et al.* (2001) Nutrition transition in Latin America: The case of Chile. *Nutrition Reviews* **59**, 170-176.
8. Barria P RM, Amigo C H (2006) Nutrition transition: a review of Latin American profile. *Archivos Latinoamericanos De Nutricion* **56**, 3-11.
9. Vio F, Albala C, Kain J (2008) Nutrition transition in Chile revisited: mid-term evaluation of obesity goals for the period 2000-2010. *Public Health Nutrition* **11**, 405-412.
10. WHO (2009) *Global Health Risk: mortality and burden of disease attributable to selected major risk* World Health Organization.
11. Kohl HW, III, Craig CL, Lambert EV *et al.* (2012) The pandemic of physical inactivity: global action for public health. *Lancet* **380**, 294-305.
12. Lee IM, Shiroma EJ, Lobelo F *et al.* (2012) Effect of physical inactivity on major non-communicable diseases worldwide: an analysis of burden of disease and life expectancy. *Lancet* **380**, 219-229.
13. Hallal PC, Andersen LB, Bull FC *et al.* (2012) Global physical activity levels: surveillance progress, pitfalls, and prospects. *Lancet* **380**, 247-257.
14. MINSAL (2009) *Encuesta Nacional de Salud 2009-2010*. Santiago, Chile: Ministerio de Salud.
15. Lee LW, Griffith J, Zenick H *et al.* (1995) Human tissue monitoring and specimen banking: opportunities for exposure assessment, risk assessment, and epidemiologic research. *Environmental health perspectives* **103 Suppl 3**, 3-8.
16. Pappas G, Hyder AA (2005) Exploring ethical considerations for the use of biological and physiological markers in population-based surveys in less developed countries. *Globalization and Health* **1**.
17. WHO (2000) Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO consultation. *World Health Organization technical report series* **894**, i-xii, 1-253.
18. Hoos T, Espinoza N, Marshall S *et al.* (2012) Validity of the Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) in Adult Latinas. *Journal of Physical Activity & Health* **9**, 698-705.
19. Bull FC, Maslin TS, Armstrong T (2009) Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ): Nine Country Reliability and Validity Study. *Journal of Physical Activity & Health* **6**, 790-804.
20. WHO (2009) *Global Physical Activity Questionnaire: GPAQ version 2.0*. World Health Organization.
21. Guthold R, Ono T, Strong KL *et al.* (2008) Worldwide variability in physical inactivity a 51-country survey. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* **34**, 486-494.
22. Creber RMM, Smeeth L, Gilman RH *et al.* (2010) Physical activity and cardiovascular risk factors among rural and urban groups and rural-to-urban migrants in Peru: a cross-sectional study. *Revista Panamericana De Salud Publica-Pan American Journal of Public Health* **28**, 1-8.
23. Ingram DK (2000) Age-related decline in physical activity: generalization to nonhumans. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* **32**, 1623-1629.

24. Medina C, Janssen I, Campos I *et al.* (2013) Physical inactivity prevalence and trends among Mexican adults: results from the National Health and Nutrition Survey (ENSANUT) 2006 and 2012. *Bmc Public Health* **13**.
25. Hallal PC, Victoria CG, Wells JCK *et al.* (2003) Physical inactivity: Prevalence and associated variables in Brazilian adults. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* **35**, 1894-1900.
26. Andersen LB, Schnohr P, Schroll M *et al.* (2000) All-cause mortality associated with physical activity during leisure time, work, sports, and cycling to work. *Archives of Internal Medicine* **160**, 1621-1628.
27. Matthews CE, Jurj AL, Shu X-o *et al.* (2007) Influence of exercise, walking, cycling, and overall nonexercise physical activity on mortality in Chinese women. *American Journal of Epidemiology* **165**, 1343-1350.
28. Williams PT, Thompson PD (2013) The Relationship of Walking Intensity to Total and Cause-Specific Mortality. Results from the National Walkers' Health Study. *Plos One* **8**.
29. Pate RR, O'Neill JR, Lobelo F (2008) The evolving definition of "sedentary". *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews* **36**, 173-178.
30. Bennie JA, Chau JY, van der Ploeg HP *et al.* (2013) The prevalence and correlates of sitting in European adults - a comparison of 32 Eurobarometer-participating countries. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* **10**.
31. Dunstan DW, Howard B, Healy GN *et al.* (2012) Too much sitting - A health hazard. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice* **97**, 368-376.
32. Matthews CE, George SM, Moore SC *et al.* (2012) Amount of time spent in sedentary behaviors and cause-specific mortality in US adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* **95**, 437-445.
33. Owen N, Healy GN, Matthews CE *et al.* (2010) Too Much Sitting: The Population Health Science of Sedentary Behavior. *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews* **38**, 105-113.
34. Bauman A, Ainsworth BE, Sallis JF *et al.* (2011) The Descriptive Epidemiology of Sitting A 20-Country Comparison Using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ). *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* **41**, 228-235.
35. Suzuki CS, de Moraes SA, de Freitas ICM (2010) Sitting-time means and correlates in adults living in Ribeirao Preto-SP, Brazil, in 2006: OBEDIARP project. *Revista brasileira de epidemiologia = Brazilian journal of epidemiology* **13**, 699-712.
36. Maria Gomez L, Hernandez-Prado B, del Carmen Morales M *et al.* (2009) Physical activity and overweight/obesity in adult Mexican population. The Mexican National Health and Nutrition Survey 2006. *Salud Publica De Mexico* **51**, S621-S629.
37. Celis-Morales CA, Perez-Bravo F, Ibanez L *et al.* (2012) Objective vs. Self-Reported Physical Activity and Sedentary Time: Effects of Measurement Method on Relationships with Risk Biomarkers. *Plos One* **7**.
38. Barreto SM, Miranda JJ, Figueroa JP *et al.* (2012) Epidemiology in Latin America and the Caribbean: current situation and challenges. *International Journal of Epidemiology* **41**, 557-571.
39. UN-HABITAT (2012) *Estado de las Ciudades de América Latina y el Caribe (State of the Latin America and the Caribbean Cities report)*, *Rumbo a una nueva transición urbana*. UN-HABITAT.
40. Jacoby E, Bull F, Neiman A (2003) Rapid changes in lifestyle make increased physical activity a priority for the Americas. *Revista Panamericana de Salud Pública* **14**, 226-228.

Table 1. Characteristics of the Chilean National Health Survey cohort

Variable	Total	Females	Males
N	5,155	3,073	2,082
Age group (%)			
<25 years	21.6	21.2	22.0
25 to 44 years	37.5	36.2	39.0
45 to 64 years	28.9	29.3	28.6
≥65 years	11.9	13.3	10.4
Environment			
Urban	87.1	87.5	86.6
Rural	12.9	12.5	13.4
Education (%)			
Up to Primary (≤8 years)	18.6	20.3	16.8
Up to secondary (≤12 years)	56.8	54.9	58.9
Beyond secondary	24.6	24.8	24.3
Income (%)			
Lowest group	15.7	18.6	12.6
Medium lowest	33.7	34.2	33.3
Medium highest	37.0	35.9	38.1
Highest	13.6	11.3	16.0
Smoking Status (%)			
Never	36.5	41.5	31.1
Ex-smoker	23.4	21.2	25.6
Current smoker	40.2	37.3	43.3
BMI categories (%)			
<18.5 kg.m ⁻²	1.8	2.4	1.1
18.5 to 24.9 kg.m ⁻²	33.8	33.3	34.4
25.0 to 29.9 kg.m ⁻²	39.3	33.6	45.3
≥30.0 kg.m ⁻²	25.1	30.7	19.2

Data presented as frequency proportions (%). No formal comparisons were made for the categorical variables.

1 Table 2. Physical activity patterns by socio-demographic factors

Variable	n	Total PA (MET.min.day ⁻¹)		MVPA at work* (MET.min.day ⁻¹)		MVPA at leisure time* (MET.min.day ⁻¹)		Transport PA (MET.min.day ⁻¹)		Prevalence of physical inactivity †	
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	%	(95% CI)
<i>Total</i>	5155	1014.1	17.2	732.5	15.8	84.9	3.3	196.5	4.7	19.8	(18.1 to 21.6)
Age group (years)											
18 to 24 ^[a]	781	956.3 ^[b,c,d]	47.9	614.2 ^[b,c,d]	44.0	103.4 ^[d]	9.8	238.6 ^[c,d]	13.7	13.4	(10.7 to 16.7)
25 to 44 ^[b]	1685	1298.9 ^[a,d]	34.8	998.1 ^[a,d]	31.9	90.6 ^[d]	7.1	210.1 ^[d]	9.9	17.2	(14.4 to 20.4)
45 to 64 ^[c]	1691	1168.1 ^[a,d]	34.4	874.0 ^[a,d]	31.6	89.9 ^[d]	7.0	204.2 ^[a,d]	9.8	19.0	(16.2 to 22.3)
≥65 ^[d]	998	581.7 ^[a,b,c]	45.9	366.5 ^[a,b,c]	42.2	63.8 ^[a,b,c]	9.4	151.3 ^[a,b,c]	13.1	37.2	(32.2 to 42.4)
<i>p</i> -value (age)		<0.0001		<0.0001		0.016		<0.0001		<0.0001	
Sex											
Men ^[a]	2082	1310.3 ^[b]	32.4	997.3 ^[b]	29.9	96.4 ^[b]	6.5	216.4 ^[b]	9.1	15.4	(13.1 to 18.0)
Women ^[b]	3073	841.2 ^[a]	28.6	577.2 ^[a]	26.3	77.9 ^[a]	5.8	186.0 ^[a]	8.1	22.9	(20.7 to 25.4)
<i>p</i> -value (sex)		<0.0001		<0.0001		0.007		0.002		<0.0001	
Environment											
Rural ^[a]	762	1180.8 ^[b]	45.5	888.4 ^[b]	41.9	87.7	9.2	204.6	12.8	20.4	(16.5 to 24.9)
Urban ^[b]	4393	970.6 ^[a]	20.0	686.1 ^[a]	18.4	86.6	4.1	197.8	5.6	19.1	(17.3 to 21.0)
<i>p</i> -value (environment)		0.0002		<0.0001		0.912		0.621		0.797	
Education											
Up to Primary (≤8 years) ^[a]	1368	1107.0 ^[b]	37.8	838.9 ^[b,c]	34.8	76.1	7.6	191.9	10.6	27.9	(24.2 to 31.8)
Up to secondary (≤12 years) ^[b]	2805	1228.9 ^[a,c]	29.8	934.4 ^[a,c]	27.4	89.4	6.1	204.9	8.4	15.3	(13.5 to 17.2)
Beyond secondary (>12 years) ^[c]	982	891.3 ^[b]	45.5	588.5 ^[a,b]	41.9	95.9	9.2	206.8	12.8	21.6	(17.4 to 26.5)
<i>p</i> -value (education)		<0.0001		<0.0001		0.211		0.559		<0.0001	
Income †											
Lowest group ^[a]	1026	981.1 ^[b,c]	43.3	689.3 ^[b,c]	39.7	96.6	8.7	207.9	12.1	22.9	(19.2 to 27.1)
Medium lowest ^[b]	1725	1203.8 ^[a,c,d]	35.8	901.6 ^[a,c,d]	32.8	89.3	7.2	201.8	9.9	17.1	(14.8 to 19.7)
Medium highest ^[c]	1630	1072.9 ^[a,b]	37.8	782.3 ^[a,b]	34.6	84.4	7.6	201.7	10.5	18.4	(15.6 to 21.6)
Highest ^[d]	536	1050.4 ^[b]	59.3	771.8 ^[b]	54.2	80.0	11.9	205.0	16.5	22.0	(16.7 to 28.2)

<i>p</i> -value (income)		0.0003		<0.0001		0.639		0.967		0.313	
--------------------------	--	--------	--	---------	--	-------	--	-------	--	-------	--

2 Data is presented as survey-weighted means (SD) for continuous variables and as prevalence (95%CI) for categorical variables. Adjusted means
3 comparison of continuous physical activity variables between categories for each socio-demographic factor (age group, sex, environment,
4 education and income) were tested with General Linear Model. Main effect *p*-values are given for each socio-demographic factor and post-hoc
5 Bonferroni test was used for assessing differences within categories for each of the socio-demographic factors. Differences are denoted with
6 ^[a,b,c,d]. Unadjusted prevalence for sedentary risk behaviour are presented and Walt test was used to estimate significant trends.
7 * Moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) was estimated based on the GPAQ protocol and expressed as MET.min.day⁻¹.
8 ‡ Physically inactive individuals were identified as participants with total physical activity <600 MET.min.week⁻¹ as suggested by the GPAQ
9 protocol.
10 † Income data was only available for 4,917 participants.
11 Significance differences were accepted at *p*<0.05.
12

13 Table 3. Sitting time patterns by socio-demographic factors.

Variable	n	Time Spent Sitting (min.day ⁻¹)		Prevalence of sedentary risk behaviour†	
		Mean	SD	%	(95% CI)
<i>Total</i>	5155	211.3	2.4	35.9	(33.7 to 38.2)
Age group (years)					
18 to 24 ^[a]	781	236.3 ^[b,c]	7.0	45.3	(40.4 to 50.3)
25 to 44 ^[b]	1685	188.1 ^[a,c,d]	5.1	33.2	(29.4 to 37.2)
45 to 64 ^[c]	1691	179.8 ^[a,b,d]	5.1	30.2	(26.2 to 34.4)
≥65 ^[d]	998	237.4 ^[b,c]	6.7	41.2	(36.0 to 46.7)
<i>p</i> -value (age)		<0.0001		<0.0001	
Sex					
Men ^[a]	2082	219.7 ^[b]	4.7	37.2	(33.7 to 40.7)
Women ^[b]	3073	201.2 ^[a]	4.2	34.7	(31.9 to 37.6)
<i>p</i> -value (sex)		0.0001		0.329	
Environment					
Rural ^[a]	762	183.8 ^[a]	6.6	21.3	(17.3 to 26.0)
Urban ^[b]	4393	237.0	3.0	38.1	(35.6 to 40.6)
<i>p</i> -value (environment)		<0.0001		<0.0001	
Education					
Up to Primary (≤8 years) ^[a]	1368	188.6 ^[c]	5.4	28.1	(24.4 to 32.2)
Up to secondary (≤12 years) ^[b]	2805	193.1 ^[c]	4.4	33.9	(31.0 to 36.9)
Beyond secondary (>12 years) ^[c]	982	249.5 ^[a,b]	6.7	46.9	(41.6 to 52.3)
<i>p</i> -value (education)		<0.0001		<0.0001	
Income †					
Lowest group ^[a]	1026	183.5 ^[c,d]	6.2	26.1	(21.8 to 30.9)
Medium lowest ^[b]	1725	187.8 ^[c,d]	5.1	28.0	(24.6 to 31.6)
Medium highest ^[c]	1630	215.3 ^[a,b,d]	5.4	41.7	(37.8 to 45.7)
Highest ^[d]	536	238.6 ^[a,b,c]	8.5	50.2	(43.3 to 57.0)
<i>p</i> -value (income)		<0.0001		<0.0001	

14 Data is presented as survey-weighted means (SD) for continuous variables and as prevalence
 15 (95%CI) for categorical variables. Adjusted means comparison of continuous physical
 16 activity variables between categories for each socio-demographic factor (age group, sex,

17 environment, education and income) were tested with General Linear Model. Main effect p -
18 values are given for each socio-demographic factor and post-hoc Bonferroni test was used for
19 assessing differences within categories for each of the socio-demographic factors.
20 Differences are denoted with ^[a,b,c,d]. Unadjusted prevalence of sedentary risk behaviour is
21 presented and Wald test was used to estimate significant trends.
22 † Risk sedentary behaviour was defined as reporting ≥ 4 hours of sitting time per day.
23 ‡ Only 4,917 participants have income data available.
24 Significance differences were accepted at $p < 0.05$.