

1 **Getting into the Groove: Opportunities to enhance the ecological value of**
2 **hard coastal infrastructure using fine-scale surface textures**

3 **Martin A. Coombes^{a*}** (Martin.Coombes@ouce.ox.ac.uk); **Emanuela Claudia**
4 **La Marca^b** (Emanuelaclaudia.lamarca@unipa.it); **Larissa A. Naylor^c**
5 (Larissa.Naylor@glasgow.ac.uk); **Richard C. Thompson^d**
6 (R.C.Thompson@plymouth.ac.uk)

7 ^aSchool of Geography and the Environment, University of Oxford, UK

8 ^bDepartment of Earth and Sea Sciences, University of Palermo, Italy

9 ^cSchool of Geographical and Earth Sciences, University of Glasgow, UK

10 ^dSchool of Marine Sciences and Engineering, Plymouth University, UK

11 *Corresponding Author: Martin A. Coombes, School of Geography and the
12 Environment, South Parks Road, Oxford, UK OX1 3QY

13
14 **Abstract**

15 Concrete flood defences, erosion control structures, port and harbour facilities,
16 and renewable energy infrastructure are increasingly being built in the world's
17 coastal regions. There is, however, strong evidence to suggest that these
18 structures are poor surrogates for natural rocky shores, often supporting
19 assemblages with lower species abundance and diversity. Ecological
20 engineering opportunities to enhance structures for biodiversity conservation
21 (and other management goals) are therefore being sought, but the majority of
22 work so far has concentrated on structural design features at the centimetre–
23 meter scale.

24 We deployed concrete tiles with four easily-reproducible fine-scale (millimetre)
25 textures (control, smoothed, grooved and exposed aggregate) in the intertidal
26 zone to test opportunities for facilitating colonisation by a dominant ecosystem
27 engineer (barnacles) relative to natural rock. Concrete texture had a significant
28 effect on colonisation; smoothed tiles supported significantly fewer numbers of
29 barnacles, and those with intermediate roughness (grooved concrete)
30 significantly greater numbers, after one settlement season.

31 The successful recruitment of early colonists is a critical stage in the
32 development of more complex and diverse macrobenthic assemblages,
33 especially those that provide physical habitat structure for other species. Our
34 observations show that this can be facilitated relatively simply for barnacles on
35 marine concrete by manipulating surface heterogeneity at a millimetre scale.
36 Alongside other larger-scale manipulation (e.g. creating holes and pools),
37 including fine-scale habitat heterogeneity in engineering designs can support
38 international efforts to maximise the ecological value of marine urban
39 infrastructure.

40 **Keywords**

41 Marine concrete; Ecological engineering; Ecosystem Engineers; Intertidal
42 ecology; Reconciliation ecology; Urbanization

43

44 **1. Introduction**

45 Rapid population growth in most of the world's coastal regions means that more
46 and more 'hard' structures such as sea walls and breakwaters are being built to
47 manage the risks of sea level rise and increased storminess (Firth et al. 2013a;
48 Pethick 2001) and to support sustained socio-economic growth (Airoldi and
49 Beck 2007). Structures built from rock and, in particular, concrete are also
50 increasingly being deployed in the near-shore and subtidal zones as part of
51 marine renewable energy schemes (Witt et al. 2012). While all of these
52 structures provide novel habitats for marine life (Bulleri 2006) there is strong
53 evidence to suggest that the conditions they provide and the assemblages they
54 support differ to natural rocky shores. Coastal structures, for example, typically
55 support fewer species with lower abundances, and consequently altered
56 competitive interactions among and between species (e.g. Bulleri 2005; Bulleri
57 and Chapman 2010; Bulleri et al. 2005; Jackson et al. 2008). As such, the
58 transformation of coastal habitats via urbanisation is a conservation issue of
59 global concern, particularly in the face of concurrent major drivers of change
60 including pollution and climate change (Hawkins 2012; Hawkins et al. 2008;
61 Thompson et al. 2002).

62 This creates a substantial management problem, given that the economic and
63 social justification for building hard structures is clear but is in conflict with
64 broader public interest and policy requirements to conserve biodiversity at a
65 national and international level (Naylor et al. 2012). In Europe, for example, the
66 Water Framework Directive (WFD) requires that careful environmental appraisal
67 is undertaken for all heavily modified water bodies (including ports, harbours

68 and defended coastlines, whether existing or new build) to identify measures for
69 maximising ecological potential (Bolton et al. 2009). As an approach to
70 engineering that explicitly considers ecological criteria in design, ‘ecological
71 engineering’ (sometimes called ‘reconciliation ecology’) has significant potential
72 to address this conflict of interests (Bergen et al. 2001; Lundholm and
73 Richardson 2010).

74 In the coastal zone, a growing amount of experimental work is being undertaken
75 globally to test manipulation of engineering designs for ecological gain (see
76 Chapman and Underwood 2011, Firth et al. 2013b, Firth et al. 2014, and Naylor
77 et al. 2011 for some recent discussions). The potential economic benefits of
78 facilitating the growth of commercially exploitable species (e.g. Martins et al.
79 2010) and organisms that may afford some level of protection to engineering
80 materials from marine weathering agents (e.g. Coombes et al. 2013) have also
81 been highlighted. Much of this work is founded upon the known importance of
82 physical habitat complexity for rocky shore species, and robust experimental
83 evidence demonstrating the influence of various engineering design features on
84 ecology, such as tidal position (e.g. Moschella et al. 2005) and the presence of
85 water-retaining features (e.g. Browne and Chapman 2014; Firth et al. 2013c).

86 Following pioneering work on the design and deployment of subtidal artificial
87 reefs (see Baine 2001 for a review), to date most ecological enhancement trials
88 in the intertidal zone have focused on increasing physical habitat complexity at
89 the centimetre–meter scale. This can be achieved either post-construction (e.g.
90 drilling holes in otherwise flat walls) or by retrofitting and (more rarely)
91 designing-in habitat ‘units’ during the build to provide refuge during low tide (e.g.

92 artificial rock pools) (Browne and Chapman 2011; Chapman and Blockley 2009;
93 Firth et al. 2014; Martins et al. 2010; Moschella et al. 2005). In comparison, very
94 little has been done to test enhancement opportunities at finer scales
95 (millimetres) simply by roughening the materials that structures are built from.
96 This is surprising given substantial experimental evidence of the importance of
97 fine-scale texture for the development of marine biofilms, the settlement of
98 invertebrate larvae and spores, recruitment of juveniles, and the nature of
99 community interactions on rocky substrata (e.g. Chabot and Bourget 1988;
100 Decho 2000; Hutchinson et al. 2006; Menge 2000; Walters and Wetthey 1996).
101 On artificial structures, existing fine-scale topographic features have been
102 shown to significantly influence the abundance of dominant organisms (e.g.
103 Moschella et al. 2005), but attempts to manipulate texture at this scale remain
104 noticeably absent.

105 On natural rocky shores, fine-scale habitat heterogeneity (millimetres and less)
106 is created by weathering, involving the wetting and drying of rocks, salt
107 crystallisation, chemical breakdown, and biological weathering and erosion
108 (Coombes 2014). Whilst the rate that these processes create roughness is
109 largely dependent on rock type, one critical factor that artificial structures
110 generally lack in comparison to natural shores is time. Engineering materials
111 are subject to the same weathering processes as in situ rock (e.g. Coombes et
112 al. 2011) but they are inevitably 'newer', less weathered, and less physically
113 complex (at multiple spatial scales) than the rocks comprising rocky shores.
114 Consequently, artificial structures are comparatively lacking in fine-scale
115 complexity unless pre-weathered rock can be used or artificial texturing is

116 applied. The potential ecological significance of weathering processes in
117 altering substratum properties and hygro-thermal behaviour is also recognised
118 (Coombes and Naylor 2012). For example, weathering morphologies on
119 limestone—which develop relatively quickly in the intertidal zone—can support
120 rich species assemblages (Coombes 2014), as demonstrated on older historic
121 structures (see Firth et al. 2013c and Moschella et al. 2005 in reference to
122 Plymouth Breakwater).

123 Concrete, which can be cast in situ or used as precast units (Allen 1998; CIRIA
124 2010), typically lacks fine-scale topographic complexity when produced using
125 standard moulding techniques (Fig. 1). Furthermore, a disproportionately small
126 amount of experimental work has been done on the responses of intertidal
127 species using, specifically, marine-grade concrete (e.g. Anderson and
128 Underwood 1994; McGuinness 1989) and even less on concrete manipulation
129 at a sub-centimetre scale (e.g. Borsje et al. 2011; Perkol-Finkel and Sella
130 2014). This is a significant knowledge gap given that concrete is perhaps of
131 greatest applied relevance in a context of coastal urbanisation, habitat
132 homogenisation, and biodiversity conservation (Hawkins 2012). Certain
133 concrete chemistries may also limit (via exclusion and/or delay) the
134 development of epilithic communities, via pH effects and metal leaching for
135 example (Terlizzi and Faimali 2010; Wilding and Sayer 2002). More broadly, the
136 potential to generate novel ecosystem service flows using ecological
137 engineering techniques in urban environments, including biodiversity
138 maintenance, is underexplored in the marine realm (Gaston et al. 2013).

139 To address this gap we tested the hypothesis that the settlement and
140 recruitment of a dominant early colonist (barnacles) on marine-grade concrete
141 would vary between treatments with different fine-scale (millimetre) surface
142 textures. We focus on barnacles as they have been described as ‘ecosystem
143 engineers’ in the intertidal zone, having a facilitative role in the establishment
144 and maintenance of other species’ populations through the provision of physical
145 habitat structure (e.g. Harley 2006; Sueiro et al. 2011). For example, the
146 presence of empty barnacle shells (called ‘tests’) and within-test habitat has
147 significant impacts on community development, including the abundance and
148 diversity of algae, sessile and motile invertebrates, and fishes (e.g. Barnes
149 2000; Bros 1987; Farrell 1991; Harley and O’Riley 2011; Thompson et al.
150 1996). We therefore aimed to determine whether fine-scale textural
151 manipulation can be used to enhance concrete for barnacles and, as a
152 consequence, offers opportunities to support greater species richness.

153

154 **2. Materials and Methods**

155 Small settlement tiles (5 cm x 5 cm x 3 cm) of marine-grade concrete (BS EN
156 197-1) were cast specifically for purpose using a mix of Portland cement (350
157 kg/m³), sand (640 kg/m³), and crushed granite aggregate (nominal maximum
158 size = 40 mm, 1280 kg/m³). A free water cement ratio of 0.5 was used without
159 admixtures (Allen 1998; CIRIA 2010). The tiles were cast in a steel mould
160 coated with releasing fluid, vibrated, and cured for 7 days in a lime-water curing
161 tank at 21°C. Compressive strength at 28 days was 48 MPa (BS EN 12390-2).

162 Before the tiles had fully cured, four different textural finishes were applied: (1)
163 control (plain-cast with no additional treatment), (2) smoothed, (3) grooved and
164 (4) exposed aggregate, as described in Table 1. Representative surface profiles
165 of the treatments are shown in Fig. 2 for comparability.

166 In early May 2010, experimental plots were established at Mean Tide Level
167 (MTL) on two semi-horizontal rocky shores in South West England, UK, roughly
168 20 km apart (Fig. 3). Shore 1 (Tregear Point, near Porthleven) is south-west
169 facing and composed of Devonian age dark grey rocks of the Mylor Slate
170 Formation. Shore 2 (Gala Rocks, near Zennor) is north-west facing and is
171 composed of basaltic rocks with intrusions of granite and serpentines. Quadrat
172 sampling showed that Chthamalid barnacles occupied the majority of space at
173 MTL on both shores ($85 \pm 10\%$ at Tregear Point and $80 \pm 20\%$ at Gala Rocks,
174 two-sample $t(28) = 1.35$, $p = 0.19$). Distinction between the two dominant co-
175 occurring Chthamalid species on these shores (*C. montagui* Southward and *C.*
176 *stellatus* Poli) was not made for the purposes of this study, having overlapping
177 ranges in this area (Southward 2008). The cold-water, earlier-settling barnacle
178 *Semibalanus balanoides* (Linnaeus) also occurs at Gala Rocks in relatively low
179 numbers, but is largely absent at Tregear Point. Limpet densities indicated that
180 grazing pressure was higher at Gala Rocks, but comparable to Tregear Point
181 ($26 \pm 4 \text{ m}^{-2}$ and $24 \pm 3 \text{ m}^{-2}$, respectively, two-sample $t(28) = 1.83$, $p = 0.08$).

182 On each shore, 50 clearings were made by removing the existing cover of
183 barnacles with a paint scraper and wire brush, maintaining a spacing of at least
184 30 cm. A blowtorch was applied to the rock clearings to control for the possible

185 influence of biochemical cues (from biofilm and remains of conspecifics) on
186 larval settlement (e.g. Thompson et al. 1998). This was done before the
187 *Chthamalus* spp. settlement season, which begins in early-mid July in South
188 West England (Southward 2008). On each shore, ten replicates of the four
189 concrete treatments were randomly assigned to the clearings and fixed in place
190 using marine epoxy. The remaining ten clearings were used to monitor
191 colonisation of the natural rock, which had comparable surface roughness to the
192 'exposed aggregate' concrete (Fig. 2e–f).

193 **2.1. Settlement and recruitment**

194 Once the first Chthamalid larvae (cyprids) were detected (in mid-July) both
195 shores were visited periodically and digital photographs were taken of each
196 treatment. Between mid-July and early November Tregear Point was visited 16
197 times where settlement was heavy, and Gala Rocks was visited 4 times where
198 settlement was considerably lighter. The number of barnacle cyprids
199 (settlement) and metamorphosed juveniles (recruitment) were subsequently
200 counted on each treatment by superimposing a grid over the photographs using
201 ImageJ computer software. Counts were not made within 5 mm of the treatment
202 edges to avoid possible edge effects, giving a sampling area of 16 cm² in each
203 case. For the clearings on the natural rock, small stainless-steel tags glued to
204 the surface during installation were used as reference markers to ensure that
205 counts were made within the same area on each visit. Final counts of
206 established recruits were made in mid-November when settlement had finished.

207 **2.2. Species richness**

208 The primary focus of this paper is the influence of fine-scale textural
209 manipulation of concrete on barnacle colonisation. However, supplementary
210 data were also collected to assess the potential significance of enhancing for
211 barnacles for biodiversity more broadly. For this, subsequent observations of
212 remaining tiles on both shores were made after three settlement seasons (in
213 January 2013), when the number of adult barnacles and associated invertebrate
214 species were recorded by functional group (e.g. Firth et al. 2014).

215 **2.3. Data analysis**

216 Cyprid counts were generally very low at Gala Rocks on the dates visited and
217 as such a meaningful analysis of these data was not possible. However, a
218 significant settlement event captured at Tregear Point on 13th August enabled
219 us to test the hypothesis that cyprid settlement would differ between texture
220 treatments on this shore. For this, a one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)
221 was performed using cyprid counts with ‘treatment’ as a fixed factor (five levels:
222 control concrete, smoothed concrete, grooved concrete, exposed aggregate
223 concrete and cleared rock).

224 The hypothesis that barnacle recruitment would differ between treatments was
225 tested across both shores by performing a two-way ANOVA on counts of
226 recruits present at the end of the settlement season (November). For this test
227 ‘shore’ was a random factor with two levels (Tregear Point and Gala Rocks) and
228 ‘treatment’ was a fixed factor with five levels, as above. A Cochran’s test was
229 used to check for data heterogeneity, which was corrected for using
230 transformation where appropriate. Post-hoc pairwise comparisons were

231 performed using Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) tests. All tests were performed
232 using GMAV5 software (Underwood et al. 1997).

233

234 **3. Results**

235 **3.1. Barnacle cyprid settlement**

236 An appreciable settlement of *S. balanoides* had occurred at Gala Rocks during
237 the period between the tiles being deployed in May and the first Chthamalid
238 cyprid counts on 25th July, but this was almost exclusively within the rock
239 clearings. At Tregear Point the first Chthamalid cyprids were recorded on 17th
240 July and, in comparison to Gala Rocks, settlement of *S. balanoides* was
241 negligible across all treatments on this shore.

242 Chthamalid cyprids were observed on each visit (on both shores) in July and
243 August, on every treatment except four smoothed concrete tiles at Gala Rocks.

244 An ANOVA performed using data for a heavy settlement event at Tregear Point
245 (13th August) showed that textural treatment had a significant influence on
246 cyprid settlement, $F(4, 45) = 17.51, p < 0.001$ (Table 2, Fig. 4). Here,
247 significantly fewer cyprids settled on smoothed concrete and significantly more
248 settled on grooved concrete compared to the other treatments, which were not
249 different.

250 **3.2. Barnacle recruitment**

251 Metamorphosed recruits were always observed first in association with the
252 particular textural features of each treatment. This included air holes in the

253 control concrete, the ridges of the grooved concrete, and the pits on the
254 naturally weathered rock. At Tregear Point, recruitment to these three
255 treatments was similar for the first three weeks of monitoring, after which a
256 marked relative increase was observed on the grooved tiles (Fig. 5). Grooved
257 concrete also had the highest numbers of recruits of all the treatments on each
258 visit to Gala Rocks. On both shores, smoothed concrete tiles consistently had
259 the lowest numbers of recruits on successive visits.

260 By the end of August, differences in recruitment between treatments were
261 pronounced, and these patterns persisted to the end of the settlement season
262 (Fig. 6). An ANOVA performed using final counts made in November showed
263 that the effect of 'treatment' was significant, but interaction between 'treatment'
264 and 'shore' indicated that the magnitude of this effect varied between locations
265 (Table 3). Smoothed concrete had fewer recruits than all other treatments at
266 Tregear Point, followed by control concrete and exposed aggregate concrete.
267 Clearings on the natural rock and the grooved concrete had significantly more
268 recruits than the other treatments on this shore, but were themselves not
269 different (Fig. 6). At Gala Rocks, lowest and highest numbers of barnacle
270 recruits also occurred on smoothed and grooved concrete, respectively. Here,
271 recruitment to the control concrete was comparable to clearings on the natural
272 rock, both of which had fewer barnacles than the other treatments (Fig. 6).
273 Overall, recruitment was significantly lower at Gala Rocks compared to Tregear
274 Point, $F(1,90) = 196.46$, $p < 0.001$ (Table 3).

275 **3.3. Species richness**

276 The vast majority of tiles were lost to waves between the last barnacle
277 monitoring visit (November 2010) and when the sites were revisited in January
278 2013 (after 32 months). However, counts of invertebrate species richness were
279 made on all remaining tiles ($n = 10$). After this time adult barnacle abundance
280 was strongly associated with invertebrate species richness, $R^2 = 0.90$, $p < 0.05$
281 (Fig. 7). The limitations of these data are recognised but nevertheless are
282 discussed in support of the likely positive influences of barnacles on community
283 diversity as previously reported in the literature (see Section 4).

284 The highest number of species (seven in addition to barnacles) was recorded
285 on a grooved tile that also had the highest barnacle abundance (95% cover).
286 Comparatively, three tiles with the lowest number of barnacles (two smoothed
287 and one plain-cast treatment) had ephemeral green algae (Chlorophyta) but no
288 additional invertebrate species. Gastropoda (*Patella* sp.) were common to most
289 of the remaining tiles and other organisms present included Insecta (*Anurida*
290 *maritima* Guérin), Malacostraca (*Bathyporeia elegans* Watkin), and juvenile
291 Bivalva (*Mytilus edulis* L.). Although macroalgae (*Fucus vesiculosus* L. and
292 *Ascophyllum nodosum* L.) were present within all of the rock clearings after 32
293 months—some being completely recolonised at Tregear Point—no macroalgae
294 were present on any of the remaining concrete tiles after this time.

295

296 **4. Discussion**

297 The settlement and recruitment of Chthamalid barnacles varied significantly
298 between concrete with different fine-scale surface textures, and between

299 concrete and naturally weathered rock. On two different shores, a significantly
300 greater number of barnacles colonised concrete with a grooved texture and
301 significantly fewer colonised smoothed concrete. At the end of the settlement
302 season tiles with a plain-cast finish (the control treatment) had fewer recruits
303 than all but the smoothed tiles, indicating that this standard surface finish is a
304 poor surrogate for natural rocky substrata, at least with respect to barnacle
305 recruitment.

306 Observed differences were likely the result of a combination of settlement and
307 post-settlement processes, which are mediated to varying degrees by
308 substratum physical properties (Connell 1985). Biochemical cues from biofilm
309 and the presence of conspecifics are particularly important for larval settlement
310 (Le Tourneux and Bourget 1988; Pendergast et al. 2009), but this was
311 controlled for here. Given that concrete tiles were made using the same mix, the
312 influences of physical substratum properties on settlement and post-settlement
313 survival, such as chemical composition, colour, hardness, and weatherability
314 (e.g. Herbert and Hawkins 2006), are also likely to be minimal. Rather,
315 substratum physical complexity is thought to have an overriding influence on the
316 settlement and subsequent recruitment and survival of barnacles, as well as
317 many other epibenthic organisms (e.g. Chabot and Bourget 1988; Savoya and
318 Schwindt 2010; Wetthey 1986).

319 Substratum roughness influences settlement, often involving active larval
320 searching behaviour (e.g. Thompson et al. 1998), as well as post-settlement
321 processes via influences on attachment strength and refuge provision (e.g.
322 Aldred et al. 2010; Walters and Wetthey 1996). At Tregear Point, recruitment

323 patterns can be explained at least partly by the influence of substratum texture
324 on cyprid settlement. Here, significantly more cyprids settled on grooved
325 concrete compared to the other treatments, which had the highest number of
326 recruits at the end of the settlement season. Similarly, smoothed concrete had
327 both fewest settlers and significantly fewer recruits at the end of the season.
328 However, no difference in cyprid settlement was found between the control and
329 exposed concrete tiles and the rock clearings, which indicates that settlement
330 patterns alone cannot explain relative differences in adult recruitment. Rather,
331 post-settlement and post-recruitment mortality may have also differed as a
332 function of substratum texture. For example, higher post-recruitment mortality
333 has been observed on the plain-cast (control) concrete compared with the other
334 treatments used in this study (Coombes 2011). This was attributed to
335 competition for space within the millimetre-scale air holes in which *Chthamalid*
336 cypris larvae preferentially settled. This means that whilst plain-cast concrete
337 may initially support comparable numbers of barnacle recruits as natural rock
338 (Fig. 5), numbers of established adults may ultimately be lower on concrete due
339 to higher post-recruitment mortality (Fig. 6).

340 By the end of the settlement season most recruits were counted not on the
341 roughest treatment (exposed aggregate concrete) but on tiles with intermediate
342 roughness (grooved concrete), on both shores. This may reflect the fact that
343 direct geometric measures of roughness (such as *Ra* in Fig. 2) do not
344 necessarily reflect favourable scales of roughness for colonists, which probably
345 relate more to the size of the settling body and its attachment structures
346 (Herbert and Hawkins 2006; Hills and Thomason 1996; Walters and Wethey

1996). For *Chthamalid* spp. cyprids, which have a length of around 0.5 mm, topographic elements in the order of 1 mm and less are likely to represent the most suitable settlement sites. In their study of *C. montagui*, Herbert and Hawkins (2006) found that natural substratum microtopography was an important factor in recruitment to different calcareous rocks in southern England, and for *S. balanoides* Hills and Thomason (1998) found a preference for fine scale (<0.5 mm) and medium scale (0.5–2.0 mm) roughness elements compared to smoother and rougher alternatives. In this study, the millimetre and sub-millimetre scale ridges of the grooved concrete (Fig. 2c) proved more favourable for Chthamalid cyprids than the coarser roughness of the exposed aggregate treatment. This was reflected by the typically uniform alignment of cyprids and juveniles along the ridges of this treatment observed in the field. Settlement on the control tiles also occurred first in the small (typically < mm) air holes present on their surfaces, and on the exposed aggregate concrete and rock clearings in association with pits, ridges and other weathering forms. In comparison, settlement and recruitment on the smoothed concrete (on which air holes were removed during the curing process) were correspondingly low. These results are not unexpected (e.g. Crisp and Barnes 1954), but our data demonstrate how increasing the availability of such fine-scale features artificially—by manipulating surface roughness—can have significant impact on early-stage colonisation of common engineering materials.

Our finding that the strength of the effect of texture on barnacle colonisation varied between shores (Table 3) is of particular interest, and may be explained by overall differences in barnacle supply. For example, Raimondi (1990)

371 suggests that spatial differences in the settlement of a different chthamalid
372 barnacle (*C. anisopoma*) on rocky shores in the Gulf of California occurred only
373 when settlement was relatively high, and thus when the availability of surface
374 pits and depressions became a limiting factor (a 'saturation' effect). In a similar
375 way, the comparatively low numbers of barnacles at Gala Rocks overall
376 probably meant that texture had less of an influence here compared to Tregear
377 Point, where settlement and recruitment were much higher. Furthermore,
378 barnacle settlement is gregarious (Bracewell et al. 2013; Southward 2008), so
379 that attracting initial colonists will probably favour subsequent settlement and
380 recruitment, reinforcing any initial textural influences to some degree.

381 Competition with the earlier-settling *S. balanoides* at Gala Rocks may also have
382 influenced Chthamalid recruitment here, through exclusion effects (Connell
383 1961). Indeed, some *S. balanoides* recruits were observed here within rock
384 clearings before *Chthamalus* spp. settlement had begun, and end-of-season
385 recruitment to this treatment was unexpectedly low relative to the concrete tiles
386 when compared to patterns at Tregear Point (Fig. 6).

387 **4.1. Implications for ecological enhancement of coastal structures**

388 The rate and success of larval settlement and recruitment of early colonists are
389 limiting factors in the development of more complex and diverse intertidal
390 assemblages (Anderson and Underwood 1994; Connell et al. 1987; Farrell
391 1991; Gaines and Roughgarden 1985). The exclusion of barnacles through a
392 lack of fine-scale settlement sites (as is likely on typically smooth engineered
393 structures) has important implications for the ecological potential of concrete
394 structures in the coastal zone. Barnacles are known to facilitate later arriving

395 invertebrates through the provision of biogenic habitat structure (e.g. Farrell
396 1991; Harley 2006; Thompson et al. 1996), and our supplementary
397 observations after 32 months support this (Fig. 7). As such, targeting early
398 colonists like barnacles by manipulating fine-scale surface texture offers
399 opportunities for enhancing the local biodiversity value of concrete structures
400 where they have to be built, and for supporting marine biodiversity conservation
401 more widely. This includes higher organisms such as some species of fish,
402 which are known to feed on invertebrate communities growing on marine
403 infrastructure (e.g. Wilhelmsson et al. 2006).

404 'Kick-starting' succession in this way could prove particularly important for
405 structures on which species may otherwise be excluded. This not only includes
406 those lacking suitable settlement sites (i.e. those that are smooth) but also
407 where colonists may be easily out-competed by dominant or invasive species,
408 and where the provision of physical refuge will be most important, such as at
409 the edges of species' vertical ranges. For relatively 'young' engineering
410 materials on which weathering morphologies are largely absent, applying fine-
411 scale roughness offers a way of compensating for the lack of natural physical
412 habitat structure.

413 These principles have broader implications for biodiversity conservation,
414 ecological enhancement, and restoration more generally, by demonstrating how
415 conservation/enhancement activities targeted towards key species, such as
416 other 'ecosystem engineers' and 'niche constructors' (Boogert et al. 2006;
417 Jones et al. 1994; Wright and Jones 2006), may be one effective strategy. This
418 may be especially true where resources and/or ecological potential are

419 generally limited, such as may be the case in some urban areas (McKinney
420 2006). Our data demonstrate that in the case of hard coastal infrastructure, a
421 fine, grooved texture can support comparable numbers of barnacles to naturally
422 weathered rock, and this is expected to lead to the faster establishment of a
423 greater range invertebrate species relative to smooth materials.

424 Where required, the potential for fine-scale textural manipulation to exclude
425 rather than promote 'fouling' organisms (Terlizzi and Faimali 2010) is also worth
426 highlighting, by using smooth concrete over rough for example. This is
427 especially the case where exclusion of invasives or species that are not
428 common to an area is a management objective. This may be the case in some
429 ports and harbours, or where little or no 'natural' hard-bottomed communities
430 exist (Hulme 2009).

431 As with any approach to ecological enhancement it is important to note that the
432 potential for design interventions to yield appreciable increases (or decreases)
433 in species abundance and diversity will be site dependent, as factors such as
434 tidal height and local larval supply will often have overriding control on
435 community development (Burcharth et al. 2007). This was demonstrated here
436 by a clear difference in the magnitude of the effect of texture on barnacle
437 colonisation between the two experimental shores. Ecological enhancement via
438 the manipulation of habitat structure is widely seen as having strong potential
439 for supporting conservation efforts in urbanised coastal environments
440 (Chapman and Underwood 2011; Firth et al. 2014; Moschella et al. 2005), but
441 requires careful consideration on a case-by-case basis.

442

443 **5. Conclusions**

444 Simple and inexpensive manipulation of concrete surface texture, at finer scales
445 than previously tested, can promote colonisation by intertidal barnacles. As a
446 key ecosystem engineer, this provides opportunities for enhancing the
447 conservation value of urban marine infrastructure, by facilitating the provision of
448 biogenic habitat. Several areas now need further research attention. First, the
449 influence of textural manipulation on the development of epibenthic
450 assemblages over longer periods of time needs to be assessed. Specifically,
451 whilst we found some evidence that enhancing concrete for barnacles was
452 associated with more invertebrate species after few years, it remains to be
453 tested whether this translates to appreciable increases in local biodiversity over
454 engineering timescales (decades–centuries). The ability of ‘enhanced’
455 structures to support biodiversity at the regional scale also needs more
456 attention. Greatest potential here exists in regions where urban structures are
457 particularly common, such as areas of the Adriatic Sea (Airoldi et al. 2005), and
458 where built structures represent possible refuge or stepping-stones for species
459 responding to climate change (Firth et al. 2013a; Hawkins et al. 2008). The
460 extent to which enhancing coastal structures may aid the dispersal of invasive
461 species is also an issue of on-going research priority (Bulleri and Airoldi 2005;
462 Glasby et al. 2007).

463 More broadly, further testing is needed of the potential for textural manipulation
464 (and other forms of ecological engineering) to contribute to management goals

465 at the coast, in addition to biodiversity conservation. This might include targeting
466 commercially valuable species (e.g. Martins et al. 2010) or those that may
467 provide protection from deteriorative marine agents in a context of engineering
468 durability (e.g. Coombes et al. 2013; Lv et al. 2015; Perkol-Finkel and Sella
469 2014). There is much potential here for incorporating concepts of
470 ‘multifunctionality’ and ecosystem services more fully into coastal planning and
471 engineering design, to support broader biodiversity conservation goals (Mander
472 et al. 2007). This said, many engineering questions remain as to the
473 implications of encouraging marine species on concrete, as well as other
474 construction materials, and these need to be addressed using experimental and
475 applied examples before widespread application can be expected (e.g.
476 Coombes et al. 2012). This includes issues of chloride ingress and salt attack,
477 drag coefficients and hydrokinetic loading, thermal decay, aesthetics, and
478 whole-life performance (CIRIA 2010). Epilithic organisms likely have both
479 positive and negative impacts in these respects, all of which warrant further
480 attention.

481 Pragmatically, the feasibility of reproducing ecologically favourable textures
482 during the manufacturing process needs to be examined. This will necessarily
483 involve developing novel moulding techniques, for example, alongside the
484 incorporation of larger-scale habitat features in pre-cast units and during on-site
485 construction. These options are already receiving promising attention as viable
486 possibilities (see Perkol-Finkel and Sella 2014). In practice, the incorporation of
487 physical heterogeneity at a range of spatial scales offers the greatest potential
488 for ecological enhancement in coastal engineering. Fine-scale (millimetre–

489 centimetre) textures like those tested here can facilitate (or conversely exclude,
490 if required) settlement and recruitment by sedentary organisms such as
491 barnacles, while larger-scale (centimetre–meter) water-retaining features such
492 as holes and pools provide refuge for motile species that may otherwise be
493 absent. ‘Multi-scale ecological engineering’ is therefore likely to prove the most
494 successful approach to maximising the ecological potential of hard marine
495 infrastructure, and for supporting biodiversity conservation in urbanised coastal
496 regions.

497

498 **Acknowledgements**

499 We wish to thank Stephen Roast for cooperation in the conception and
500 development of the project; Steve Pendray and Leslie Randle for assistance
501 with sample preparation; William Allsop for valuable early discussions relating to
502 engineering aspects of the work; Adam Townsend for field assistance; and
503 Stuart and Samantha Smith for access to Tregear Point. Natural England are
504 thank for permissions to undertake research on both shores. MAC was in
505 receipt of a studentship held at the University of Exeter funded by Great
506 Western Research and the Environment Agency (Science Project SC060096)
507 during completion of this research. Additional support was provided by the
508 Esmée Fairbairn Foundation during completion of the manuscript. Two
509 anonymous reviewers are thanked for their positive and highly constructive
510 comments on an earlier draft of the paper.

511 **References**

- 512 Airoldi, L., Abbiati, M., Beck, M.W., Hawkins, S.J., Jonsson, P.R., Martin, D.,
513 Moschella, P.S., Sundelöf, A., Thompson, R.C., Åberg, P., 2005. An
514 ecological perspective on the deployment and design of low-crested and
515 other hard coastal defence structures. *Coastal Engineering* 52, 1073–
516 1087.
- 517 Airoldi, L., Beck, M.W., 2007. Loss, status and trends for coastal marine
518 habitats of Europe. *Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review*
519 45, 345–405.
- 520 Aldred, N., Scardino, A., Cavaco, A., de Nys, R., Clare, A.S., 2010. Attachment
521 strength is a key factor in the selection of surfaces by barnacle cyprids
522 (*Balanus amphitrite*) during settlement. *Biofouling* 26, 287–299.
- 523 Allen, R.T.L. ed., 1998. *Concrete in Coastal Structures*. Thomas Telford,
524 London.
- 525 Anderson, M.J., Underwood, A.J., 1994. Effects of substratum on the
526 recruitment and development of an intertidal estuarine fouling
527 assemblage. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 184,
528 217–236.
- 529 Baine, M., 2001. Artificial reefs: a review of their design, application,
530 management and performance. *Ocean & Coastal Management* 44, 241–
531 259.
- 532 Barnes, M., 2000. The use of intertidal barnacle shells. *Oceanography and*
533 *Marine Biology* 38, 157–187.
- 534 Bergen, S.D., Bolton, S.M., Fridley, J.L., 2001. Design principles for ecological
535 engineering. *Ecological Engineering* 18, 201–210.
- 536 Bolton, L., Veal, A., Taylor, L., 2009. The Water Framework Directive and the
537 management of physical habitats in estuaries and coasts, in: N.W.H.
538 Allsop (Ed.), *Proceedings of the ICE Conference on Coasts, Marine*
539 *Structures & Breakwaters Vol. 2*. Thomas Telford, London, pp. 282–291.

540 Boogert, N.J., Paterson, D.M., Laland, K.N., 2006. The Implications of niche
541 construction and ecosystem engineering for conservation biology.
542 *BioScience* 56, 570–578.

543 Borsje, B.W., van Wesenbeeck, B.K., Dekker, F., Paalvast, P., Bouma, T.J., van
544 Katwijk, M.M., de Vries, M.B., 2011. How ecological engineering can serve
545 in coastal protection. *Ecological Engineering* 37, 113–122.

546 Bracewell, S.A., Robinson, L.A., Firth, L.B., Knights, A.M., 2013. Predicting free-
547 space occupancy on novel artificial structures by an invasive intertidal
548 barnacle using a removal experiment. *PLoS ONE* 8(9), e74457.

549 Bros, W.E., 1987. Effects of removing or adding structure (barnacle shells) on
550 recruitment to a fouling community in Tampa Bay, Florida. *Journal of*
551 *Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 105, 275–296.

552 Browne, M.A., Chapman, M.G., 2011. Ecologically informed engineering
553 reduces loss of intertidal biodiversity on artificial shorelines. *Environmental*
554 *Science & Technology* 45, 8204–8207.

555 Browne, M.A., Chapman, M.G., 2014. Mitigating against the loss of species by
556 adding artificial intertidal pools to existing seawalls. *Marine Ecology*
557 *Progress Series* 497, 119–129.

558 Bulleri, F., 2005. Role of recruitment in causing differences between intertidal
559 assemblages on seawalls and rocky shores. *Marine Ecology Progress*
560 *Series* 287, 53–65.

561 Bulleri, F., 2006. Is it time for urban ecology to include the marine realm?
562 *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 21, 658–659.

563 Bulleri, F., Airoldi, L., 2005. Artificial marine structures facilitate the spread of a
564 nonindigenous green algae, *Codium fragile* ssp. *tomentosoides*, in the
565 North Adriatic Sea. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 42, 1063–1072.

566 Bulleri, F., Chapman, M.G., 2010. The introduction of coastal infrastructure as a
567 driver of change in marine environments. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 47,
568 26–35.

569 Bulleri, F., Chapman, M.G., Underwood, A.J., 2005. Intertidal assemblages on
570 seawalls and vertical rocky shores in Sydney Harbour (Australia). *Austral*
571 *Ecology* 30, 655–667.

572 Burcharth, H.F., Hawkins, S.J., Zanuttigh, B., Lamberti, A., 2007. Environmental
573 Design Guidelines for Low Crested Coastal Structures. Elsevier, London.

574 Chabot, R., Bourget, E., 1988. Influences of substratum heterogeneity and
575 settled barnacle density on the settlement of cypris larvae. *Marine Biology*
576 97, 45–56.

577 Chapman, M.G., Blockley, D.J., 2009. Engineering novel habitats on urban
578 infrastructure to increase intertidal biodiversity. *Oecologia* 161, 625–635.

579 Chapman, M.G., Underwood, A.J., 2011. Evaluation of ecological engineering
580 of “armoured” shorelines to improve their value as habitat. *Journal of*
581 *Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 400, 302–313.

582 CIRIA, 2010. The use of Concrete in Maritime Engineering - A good practice
583 guide (C674). Construction Industry Research and Information
584 Association, London.

585 Connell, J.H., 1961. The influence of inter-specific competition and other factors
586 on the distribution of the barnacle *Chthamalus stellatus*. *Ecology* 42, 710–
587 723.

588 Connell, J.H., 1985. The consequences of variation in initial settlement vs. post-
589 settlement mortality in rocky intertidal communities. *Journal of*
590 *Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 93, 11–45.

591 Connell, J.H., Noble, I.R., Slatyer, R.O., 1987. On the mechanisms producing
592 successional change. *Oikos* 50, 136–137.

593 Coombes, M.A., 2011. Biogeomorphology of Coastal Structures: Understanding
594 interactions between hard substrata and colonising organisms as a tool for
595 ecological enhancement. PhD Thesis, Geography, College of Life and
596 Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, 591 pp.
597 <http://hdl.handle.net/10036/3103>

598 Coombes, M.A., 2014. Weathering and biogenic processes on rock coasts in
599 the British Isles, in: D. Kennedy, W. Stephenson, L. Naylor (Eds.), *Rock*
600 *Coast Geomorphology: A Global Synthesis*. *GSL Memoirs Vol. 40*.
601 Geological Society of London, London, pp. 57–76.

602 Coombes, M.A., Naylor, L.A., 2012. Rock warming and drying under simulated
603 intertidal conditions, part II: weathering and biological influences on

604 evaporative cooling and near-surface micro-climatic conditions as an
605 example of biogeomorphic ecosystem engineering. *Earth Surface*
606 *Processes and Landforms* 37, 100–118.

607 Coombes, M.A., Naylor, L.A., Jackson, J., Thompson, R.C., 2012. Shaldon and
608 Ringmore Tidal Defence Scheme: Ecological enhancement monitoring
609 report (18 months post-construction). Report to the UK Environment
610 Agency, University of Exeter and University of Plymouth, UK.

611 Coombes, M.A., Naylor, L.A., Thompson, R.C., Roast, S.D., Gómez-Pujol, L.,
612 Fairhurst, R.J., 2011. Colonization and weathering of engineering
613 materials by marine microorganisms: an SEM study. *Earth Surface*
614 *Processes and Landforms* 36, 582–593.

615 Coombes, M.A., Naylor, L.A., Viles, H.A., Thompson, R.C., 2013. Bioprotection
616 and disturbance: Seaweed, microclimatic stability and conditions for
617 mechanical weathering in the intertidal zone. *Geomorphology* 202, 4–14.

618 Crisp, D.J., Barnes, H., 1954. The orientation and distribution of barnacles at
619 settlement with particular reference to surface contour. *The Journal of*
620 *Animal Ecology* 23, 142–162.

621 Decho, A.W., 2000. Microbial biofilms in intertidal systems: an overview.
622 *Continental Shelf Research* 20, 1257–1273.

623 Farrell, T.M., 1991. Models and mechanisms of succession: an example from a
624 rocky intertidal community. *Ecological Monographs* 61, 95–113.

625 Firth, L.B., Mieszkowska, N., Thompson, R.C., Hawkins, S.J., 2013a. Climate
626 change and adaptational impacts in coastal systems: the case of sea
627 defences. *Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts* 15, 1665–1670.

628 Firth, L.B., Mieszkowska, N., Thompson, R.C., Hawkins, S.J., 2013b. Ecological
629 engineering in coastal marine environments. *Environmental Science:*
630 *Processes & Impacts* 15, 1665–1670.

631 Firth, L.B., Thompson, R.C., White, F.J., Schofield, M., Skov, M.W., Hoggart,
632 S.P.G., Jackson, J., Knights, A.M., Hawkins, S.J., 2013c. The importance
633 of water-retaining features for biodiversity on artificial intertidal coastal
634 defence structures. *Diversity and Distributions* 19, 1275–1283.

635 Firth, L.B., Thompson, R.C., Bohn, K., Abbiati, M., Airoidi, L., Bouma, T.J.,
636 Bozzeda, F., Ceccherelli, V.U., Colangelo, M.A., Evans, A., Ferrario, F.,
637 Hanley, M.E., Hoggart, S.P.G., Jackson, J., Moore, P., Morgan, E.H.,
638 Perkol-Finkel, S., Skov, M.W., Strain, E.M., van Belzen, J., Hawkins, S.J.,
639 2014. Between a rock and a hard place: environmental and engineering
640 considerations when designing coastal defence structures. *Coastal*
641 *Engineering* 87, 122–135.

642 Gaines, S., Roughgarden, J., 1985. Larval settlement rate: A leading
643 determinant for structure in an ecological community of the marine
644 intertidal zone. *Ecology* 82, 3707–3711.

645 Gaston, K.J., Ávila-Jiménez, M.L., Edmondson, J.L., 2013. Managing urban
646 ecosystems for goods and services. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 50, 830–
647 840.

648 Glasby, T., Connell, S., Holloway, M., Hewitt, C., 2007. Nonindigenous biota on
649 artificial structures: could habitat creation facilitate biological invasions?
650 *Marine Biology* 151, 887–895.

651 Harley, C.D.G., 2006. Effects of physical ecosystem engineering and herbivory
652 on intertidal community structure. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 317,
653 29–39.

654 Harley, C.G., O’Riley, J., 2011. Non-linear density-dependent effects of an
655 intertidal ecosystem engineer. *Oecologia* 166, 531–541.

656 Hawkins, S.J., 2012. Marine conservation in a rapidly changing world. *Aquatic*
657 *Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 22, 281–287.

658 Hawkins, S.J., Moore, P.J., Burrows, M.T., Poloczanska, E.S., Mieszkowska,
659 N., Herbert, R.J.H., Jenkins, S.R., Thompson, R.C., Genner, M.J.,
660 Southward, A.J., 2008. Complex interactions in a rapidly changing world:
661 responses of rocky shore communities to recent climate change. *Climate*
662 *Research* 37, 123–133.

663 Herbert, R.J.H., Hawkins, S.J., 2006. Effect of rock type on the recruitment and
664 early mortality of the barnacle *Chthamalus montagui*. *Journal of*
665 *Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 334, 96–108.

- 666 Hills, J.M., Thomason, J.C., 1996. A multi-scale analysis of settlement density
667 and pattern dynamics of the barnacle *Semibalanus balanoides*. Marine
668 Ecology Progress Series 138, 103–115.
- 669 Hills, J.M., Thomason, J.C., 1998. The effect of scales of surface roughness on
670 the settlement of barnacle (*Semibalanus balanoides*) cyprids. Biofouling
671 12, 57–69.
- 672 Hulme, P.E., 2009. Trade, transport and trouble: managing invasive species
673 pathways in an era of globalization. Journal of Applied Ecology 46, 10–18.
- 674 Hutchinson, N., Nagarkar, S., Aitchison, J.C., Williams, G.A., 2006. Microspatial
675 variation in marine biofilm abundance on intertidal rock surfaces. Aquatic
676 Microbial Ecology 42, 187–197.
- 677 Jackson, A.C., Chapman, M.G., Underwood, A.J., 2008. Ecological interactions
678 in the provision of habitat by urban development: whelks and engineering
679 by oysters on artificial seawalls. Austral Ecology 33, 307–316.
- 680 Jones, C.G., Lawton, J.H., Shachak, M., 1994. Organisms as Ecosystem
681 Engineers. Oikos 69, 373–386.
- 682 Le Tourneux, F., Bourget, E., 1988. Importance of physical and biological
683 settlement cues used at different spatial scales by the larvae of
684 *Semibalanus balanoides*. Marine Biology 97, 57–66.
- 685 Lundholm, J.T., Richardson, P.J., 2010. Habitat analogues for reconciliation
686 ecology in urban and industrial environments. Journal of Applied Ecology
687 47, 966–975.
- 688 Lv, J., Mao, J., Ba, H., 2015. Influence of marine microorganisms on the
689 permeability and microstructure of mortar. Construction and Building
690 Materials 77, 33–40.
- 691 Mander, Ü., Helming, K., Wiggering, H., 2007. Multifunctional land use: meeting
692 future demands for landscape goods and services, in: Ü. Mander, H.
693 Wiggering, K. Helming (Eds.), Multifunctional Land Use. Springer Berlin
694 Heidelberg, pp. 1-13.
- 695 Martins, G.M., Thompson, R.C., Neto, A.I., Hawkins, S.J., Jenkins, S.R., 2010.
696 Enhancing stocks of the exploited limpet *Patella candei* d'Orbigny via

697 modifications in coastal engineering. *Biological Conservation* 143, 203–
698 211.

699 McGuinness, K.A., 1989. Effects of some natural and artificial substrata on
700 sessile marine organisms at Galeta Reef, Panama. *Marine Ecology*
701 *Progress Series* 52, 201–208.

702 McKinney, M.L., 2006. Urbanization as a major cause of biotic homogenization.
703 *Biological Conservation* 127, 247–260.

704 Menge, B.A., 2000. Recruitment vs. postrecruitment processes as determinants
705 of barnacle population abundance. *Ecological Monographs* 70, 265–288.

706 Moschella, P.S., Abbiati, M., Åberg, P., Aioldi, L., Anderson, J.M., Bacchiocchi,
707 F., Bulleri, F., Dinesen, G.E., Frost, M., Gacia, E., Granhag, L., Jonsson,
708 P.R., Satta, M.P., Sundelöf, A., Thompson, R.C., Hawkins, S.J., 2005.
709 Low-crested coastal defence structures as artificial habitats for marine life:
710 Using ecological criteria in design. *Coastal Engineering* 52, 1053–1071.

711 Naylor, L.A., Coombes, M.A., Venn, O., Roast, S.D., Thompson, R.C., 2012.
712 Facilitating ecological enhancement of coastal infrastructure: the role of
713 policy, people and planning. *Environmental Science and Policy* 22, 36–46.

714 Naylor, L.A., Venn, O., Coombes, M.A., Jackson, J., Thompson, J.C., 2011.
715 Including Ecological Enhancements in the Planning, Design and
716 Construction of Hard Coastal Structures: A process guide.
717 ([http://therrc.co.uk/MOT/References/EA_Ecological_Enhancements_Plann](http://therrc.co.uk/MOT/References/EA_Ecological_Enhancements_Planning_Design_Construction_Hard_Coastal_Structures.pdf)
718 [ing_Design_Construction_Hard_Coastal_Structures.pdf](http://therrc.co.uk/MOT/References/EA_Ecological_Enhancements_Planning_Design_Construction_Hard_Coastal_Structures.pdf), accessed 13-03-
719 2014)

720 Pendergast, G.S., Zurn, C.M., Bers, V.A., Head, R.M., Hansson, L.J.,
721 Thompson, J.C., 2009. The relative magnitude of the effects of biological
722 and physical settlement cues for cypris larvae of the acorn barnacle,
723 *Semibalanus balanoides* L. *Biofouling* 25, 35–44.

724 Perkol-Finkel, S., Sella, I., 2014. Ecologically active concrete for coastal and
725 marine infrastructure: innovative matrices and designs, in: W. Allsop, K.
726 Burgess (Eds.), *Proceeding of the 10th ICE Conference: from Sea to*
727 *Shore – Meeting the Challenges of the Sea*. ICE Publishing, London, pp.
728 1139–1150.

729 Pethick, J.S., 2001. Coastal management and sea-level rise. *Catena* 42, 307–
730 322.

731 Raimondi, P.T., 1990. Patterns, mechanisms, consequences of variability in
732 settlement and recruitment of an intertidal barnacle. *Ecological*
733 *Monographs* 60, 283–309.

734 Savoya, V., Schwindt, E., 2010. Effect of the substratum in the recruitment and
735 survival of the introduced barnacle *Balanus glandula* (Darwin 1854) in
736 Patagonia, Argentina. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and*
737 *Ecology* 382, 125–130.

738 Southward, A.J., 2008. Barnacles - Keys and notes for the identification of
739 British Species. The Linnean Society of London and The Estuarine and
740 Coastal Services Association, London.

741 Sueiro, M., Bortolus, A., Schwindt, E., 2011. Habitat complexity and community
742 composition: relationships between different ecosystem engineers and the
743 associated macroinvertebrate assemblages. *Helgoland Marine Research*
744 65, 467–477.

745 Terlizzi, A., Faimali, M., 2010. Fouling on artificial substrata, in: S. Dürr, J.
746 Thomason (Eds.), *Biofouling*. Wiley-Blackwell, London, pp. 170–184.

747 Thompson, R.C., Crowe, T.P., Hawkins, S.J., 2002. Rocky intertidal
748 communities: past environmental changes, present status and predictions
749 for the next 25 years. *Environmental Conservation* 29, 168–191.

750 Thompson, R.C., Norton, T.A., Hawkins, S.J., 1998. The influence of epilithic
751 microbial films on the settlement of *Semibalanus balanoides* cyprids - a
752 comparison between laboratory and field experiments. *Hydrobiologia* 375–
753 376, 203–216.

754 Thompson, R.C., Wilson, B.J., Tobin, M.L., Hill, A.S., Hawkins, S.J., 1996.
755 Biologically generated habitat provision and diversity of rocky shore
756 organisms at a hierarchy of spatial scales. *Journal of Experimental Marine*
757 *Biology and Ecology* 202, 73–84.

758 Underwood, A.J., Chapman, M.G., Richards, S.A., Sage, M.B., 1997. GMAV for
759 Windows. Institute of Marine Ecology, University of Sydney, Australia.

- 760 Walters, L.J., Wethey, D.S., 1996. Settlement and early post-settlement survival
761 of sessile marine invertebrates on topographically complex surfaces: the
762 importance of refuge dimensions and adult morphology. *Marine Ecology*
763 *Progress Series* 137, 161–171.
- 764 Wethey, D.S., 1986. Ranking of settlement cues by barnacle larvae: influence of
765 surface contour. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 39, 393–400.
- 766 Wilding, T.A., Sayer, M.D.J., 2002. The physical and chemical performance of
767 artificial reef blocks made using quarry by-products. *ICES Journal of*
768 *Marine Science* 59(suppl.), S250–S257.
- 769 Wilhelmsson, D., Malm, T., Öhman, M.C., 2006. The influence of offshore
770 windpower on demersal fish. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 63, 775–
771 784.
- 772 Witt, M.J., Sheehan, E.V., Bearhop, S., Broderick, A.C., Conley, D.C., Cotterell,
773 S.P., Crow, E., Grecian, W.J., Halsband, C., Hodgson, D.J., Hosegood, P.,
774 Inger, R., Miller, P.I., Sims, D.W., Thompson, R.C., Vanstaen, K., Votier,
775 S.C., Attrill, M.J., Godley, B.J., 2012. Assessing wave energy effects on
776 biodiversity: the Wave Hub experience. *Philosophical Transactions of the*
777 *Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 370,
778 502–529.
- 779 Wright, J.P., Jones, C.G., 2006. The concept of organisms as ecosystem
780 engineers ten years on: progress, limitations, and challenges. *BioScience*
781 56, 203–209.

782

783 **Table 1.** Texture treatments applied to marine concrete

	Production method	Surface roughness	Indicative roughness (R)*
Control (plain-cast)	Standard casting and curing procedures (see Section 2) – no further manipulation was applied.	Smooth surface with the exception of small holes (a few millimetres in diameter Fig. 2a) formed from air bubbles settling out of the mixture whilst curing. Comparable to the surfaces of precast armour units (e.g. tetrapod units) and precast/site-cast structures.	R = 1.09 (R = 1.31 including air holes)
Smoothed	Tiles wiped with a fabric cloth during the curing process, whilst semi-dry.	Slightly more undulating than the control treatment, but without the presence of air holes.	R = 1.12
Grooved	Tiles wiped with a course wire brush during the curing process, whilst semi-dry.	A millimetre-scale texture, with a regular grooved finish.	R = 1.52
Exposed aggregate	Upper layer of cement washed away during the curing process using a water jet.	A millimetre–centimetre scale, spatially-variable texture. Most comparable in texture to the naturally weathered rock on both experimental shores.	R = 1.92

784 *R = Tr/Tt, where Tr = length of profile trace and Tt = measurement distance (*n*
 785 = 10); representative surface profiles of each treatment are shown in Fig. 2.

786

787 **Table 2.** ANOVA result for numbers of cyprids counted on textured concrete
 788 and rock clearings for a heavy settlement event at Tregear Point, 13th August
 789 2010 ($n = 10$)

790

791	Source of variation	d.f.	MS	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>
792	Treatment	4	6.40	17.51	0.001
793	RES	45	0.37	–	–
794	Total	49	–	–	–

795 **Table 3.** ANOVA result for numbers of *Chthamalus* spp. recruits counted at the
 796 end of the settlement season (November 2010) on textured concrete and
 797 natural rock on two shores in Cornwall, UK ($n = 10$)

798	Source of variation	d.f.	MS	F	p
799	Shore = Sh	1	595.95	196.46	0.001
800	Treatment = Tr	4	389.82	13.49	0.014
801	Sh x Tr	4	28.89	13.49	0.001
802	RES	90	3.03	–	–
803	Total	99	–	–	–

804

805 **Figure Captions**

806 **Fig. 1.** Concrete coastal structures with typically vertical, relatively smooth
807 surfaces often have limited ecological value

808 **Fig. 2.** Representative surface profiles for all experimental treatments

809 **Fig. 3.** Location of experimental shores in South West England, UK

810 **Fig. 4.** Mean (+SE, $n = 10$) number of barnacle cyprids counted on each
811 treatment for a heavy settlement event at Tregear Point on 13th August (for
812 post-hoc comparisons ' $<$ ' denotes $p = 0.05$, ' $<<$ ' denotes $p = 0.01$, and '='
813 denotes no significant difference)

814 **Fig. 5.** Mean (+SE, $n = 10$) number of metamorphosed *Chthamalus* spp.
815 recruits counted on all treatments in July and August 2010 at Tregear Point,
816 Porthleven (points have been shifted slightly for clarity)

817 **Fig. 6.** Mean (+SE, $n = 10$) number of *Chthamalus* spp. recruits counted on all
818 treatments at the end of the settlement season (November 2010) at Porthleven
819 (black bars) and Gala Rocks (white bars). For post-hoc comparisons ' $<$ ' denotes
820 $p = 0.05$, ' $<<$ ' denotes $p = 0.01$, and '=' denotes no significant difference)

821 **Fig. 7.** Invertebrate species richness and barnacle abundance on remaining
822 concrete tiles after 3 seasons (32 months)