Jude, T.C. et al. (2014) *K+Λ and K+Σ0 photoproduction with fine center-of-mass energy resolution*. Physics Letters B, 735. pp. 112-118. ISSN 0370-2693

Copyright © 2014 The Authors

http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/100223/

Deposited on: 08 December 2014
$K^+\Lambda$ and $K^+\Sigma^0$ photoproduction with fine center-of-mass energy resolution

T.C. Jude a,*,1, D.I. Glazier a,2, D.P. Watts a,*, P. Aguar-Bartolomé b, L.K. Akasoy b, J.R.M. Annand c, H.J. Arends d, K. Bantawa d, R. Beck e, V.S. Bekrenev f, H. Berghäuser g, A. Braghiari h, D. Branford a, W.J. Briscoe i, J. Brudvik i, S. Cherepny j, B.T. Demissie i, M. Dieterle k, E.J. Downie b, l, L.V. Fil'kov l, R. Gregor g, E. Heid b, D. Hornidge i, I. Jaegle k, O. Jahn b, V.L. Kashevarov b, j, I. Keshelashvili k, l, R. Kondratiev m, M. Korolija n, A.A. Koulbardis j, S.P. Kruglov f, B. Krusche k, V. Lisin m, K. Livingston c, I.J.D. MacGregor c, Y. Maghrbi k, D.M. Manley d, Z. Marinides l, T. Mart e,  f, M. Martinez b, J.C. McGeorge e, E.F. McNicoll e, D.G. Middleton l, A. Mushkarenkov h, B.M.K. Nefkens i, A. Nikolaev e, V.A. Nikonorov f, M. Oberle k, M. Ostrick b, P.B. Otte b, B. Oussena b, i, P. Pedroni h, F. Pheron k, A. Polonski m, S. Prakhov l, J. Robinson c, G. Rosner c, T. Rostomyan h, a, V. Sarantsev e, S. Schumann b, M.H. Sikora a, D.I. Sober b, A. Starostin i, I. Strakovsky i, I.M. Suarez j, I. Supek n, M. Thiel g, A. Thomas e, M. Unverzagtb, D. Werthmüller k, L. Witthauer k, F. Zehr k.

a School of Physics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, UK
b Institut für Kernphysik, University of Mainz, D-55099 Mainz, Germany
c Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK
d Kent State University, Kent, OH 44242, USA
e Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik, University of Bonn, D-53115 Bonn, Germany
f Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, 188300 Gatchina, Russia
g Physikalisches Institut, University of Giessen, D-35392 Giessen, Germany
h INFN Sezione di Pavia, I-27100 Pavia, Italy
i The George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052, USA
j Lebedev Physical Institute, 119991 Moscow, Russia
k Institut für Physik, Universität Basel, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland
l Mount Allison University, Sackville, New Brunswick E4L3B5, Canada
m Institute for Nuclear Research, 125047 Moscow, Russia
n Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Depok 16424, Indonesia
o The Catholic University of America, Washington, DC 20064, USA

A R T I C L E   I N F O

Article history:
Received 10 October 2013
Received in revised form 24 April 2014
Accepted 6 June 2014
Available online 10 June 2014
Editor: V. Metag

Keywords:
Photoproduction

A B S T R A C T

Measurements of $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+\Lambda$ and $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+\Sigma^0$ cross-sections have been obtained with the photon tagging facility and the Crystal Ball calorimeter at MAMI-C. The measurement uses a novel $K^+$ meson identification technique in which the weak decay products are characterized using the energy and timing characteristics of the energy deposit in the calorimeter, a method that has the potential to be applied at many other facilities. The fine center-of-mass energy ($W$) resolution and statistical accuracy of the new data results in a significant impact on partial wave analyses aiming to better establish the excitation spectrum of the nucleon. The new analyses disfavor a strong role for quark–diquark dynamics in the nucleon.
1. Introduction

Establishing the excitation spectrum of a composite system has historically been one of the most effective ways to determine the detailed nature of the interactions between its constituents. Establishing the excitation spectrum of the nucleon; a complex bound system of valence quarks, sea quarks and gluons, is currently one of the highest priority goals of hadron and nuclear physics. The spectrum is a fundamental constraint on our understanding of the nature of QCD confinement in light quark systems. Recent advances in theory have linked the excitation spectrum to QCD via lattice predictions [1] and holographic dual theories [2]. These complement the phenomenological QCD-based models such as constituent quark models [3] and soliton models [4].

Despite its importance, the spectrum of nucleon resonances remains poorly established with the basic properties (electromagnetic couplings, masses, widths) and even the existence of many excited states uncertain (for a review see Ref. [5]). In an attempt to address this shortcoming, real photon beams have been used to excite nucleon targets, providing accurate data to constrain partial-wave analyses (PWA) and reaction models used to extract information on the excitation spectrum [6–11]. This is the choice method for such studies, as the photon probe has a well-understood interaction (QED) and polarization degrees of freedom (linear and circular). A major program of measurements utilizing polarized photon beams, polarized targets and final-state nucleon polarimeters is currently underway with the goal to achieve a "complete", model-independent measurement of photoproduction reactions.

The process $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+\Lambda$ has the lowest energy threshold for photoproduction reactions with final-state particles containing strange valence quarks. This is a crucial channel as many models predict that some poorly established or "missing" resonances couple strongly to strange decay channels [12]. Isospin conservation demands that only $N^+$ and not $\Delta$ resonances contribute to the reaction, simplifying the interpretation of the data. The weak decay of the $\Lambda$ allows access to its polarization from the distribution of its decay particles and ensures that $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+\Lambda$ will be the first photoproduction reaction measured with a complete set of experimental observables, providing a benchmark channel for PWAs.

Recent measurements of $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+\Lambda$ have been obtained with the SAPHIR [13,14] and CLAS detectors [15,17]. Unfortunately the cross-section data have discrepancies that lead to significant differences in the PWA solutions when using either data set (see Ref. [18] for a review). Measurements of $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+\Sigma^0$ give better agreement albeit with discrepancies for backward Kaon angles.

$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+\Lambda$ data with fine center-of-mass energy ($W$) resolution would be an important constraint on the existence of narrow $N^*$ states [19,20]. A number of recent searches for narrow $N^*$ near 1700 MeV (Ref. [21] for example) were motivated by the prediction of a non-strange, nucleon-like, member of the anti-decuplet with strong photocoupling to the neutron [22]. In response to recent evidence, a speculative new $N^*$ state at 1685 MeV was included in the recent Particle Data Group listings [23]. However, alternative explanations for the narrow structures are also offered based on interference structures arising from known resonances [24] or coupled-channel effects [25]. Disentangling the cause of the narrow structure in this mass region is likely to require accurate cross-section and polarization observables for a range of reaction channels.

Fig. 1. The Crystal Ball in the Geant4 simulation. The shaded crystals have energy depositions following an incident $K^+$. The blue and red show the incident cluster and decay cluster respectively. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

2. The experiment

The data presented here were taken with the Crystal Ball detector [26] at the Mainz Microtron accelerator facility (MAMI-C) [27] in a beamtime of 430 hours. The energy tagged photon beam of $\sim 10^9 \gamma /\text{MeV}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ was produced by impinging the MAMI-C 1557.4 MeV electron beam on a thin copper radiator, with the photon energy ($E_\gamma$) determined by momentum analysis of the recoil bremsstrahlung electrons in the Glasgow Photon Tagger [28]. Photon energy resolutions in the range of 3–4 MeV were achieved, corresponding to resolutions in the center of mass energy, $W$, in the range of 1.0–2.4 MeV. The photon beam was incident on a 10 cm long liquid hydrogen target comprising $4.2 \times 10^{23}$ protons per cm$^2$.

The Crystal Ball (Fig. 1) is a segmented calorimeter of 672 NaI crystals covering 94% of $4\pi$ steradians. Each crystal has separate TDC and ADC readouts giving a time resolution of 2–3 ns and a fractional energy resolution of $(1.7/E_\gamma)^{0.4}$ GeV. A Particle Identification Detector (PID), consisting of 24 plastic scintillators forming a cylinder [29], surrounded the target and gave an energy signal for charged particles. The experimental trigger required a total energy deposit in the Crystal Ball crystals of 360 MeV and at least three of 45 geometric trigger sections to fire.

3. $K^+$ identification in the Crystal Ball

The extraction of $K^+\Lambda$ and $K^+\Sigma^0$ channels is complicated by the much larger yields from non-strange channels. This work pioneers a new method of identifying $K^+$ in which its weak decay products are characterized by using the energy and timing characteristics of the detector hits in a segmented calorimeter. The two dominant decay modes are $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+\nu_\mu$ (muonic) and $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$ (pionic), with branching ratios of 64% and 21% respectively. The validity of the new technique was tested extensively by comparing a full Geant4 [31] simulation of the apparatus with the experimental data. The main results of these studies are presented in Figs. 2 and 3 and discussed below.

Each cluster of hit crystals produced from a charged particle event in the Crystal Ball was separated into two “sub-clusters”. The “incident-cluster” (IC) comprised those crystals having a timing coincidence within $\pm 3\sigma$ of the timing of the photoreaction in the target, where $\sigma$ is the achievable coincidence timing resolution ($\sim 3$ ns). Only events with a summed IC energy above 25 MeV and consisting of only one or two crystals were retained. The crystals with coincidence times at least 10 ns later than the photoreaction were assumed part of the “decay-cluster” (DC) from the decay of
Fig. 2. Decay-cluster characteristics for experimental and simulated data (black and magenta respectively): (a) Decay cluster summed energy. (b) Time difference between the incident cluster and decay cluster. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Fig. 3. Decay cluster parameters to select the $K^+$ decay mode. (a) Experimental data, with blue (red) selection cuts to select muonic (pionic) decay modes. (b) Simulated data, with the muonic (pionic) mode shown in blue (red). (c) The decay cluster linearity for experimental data (black) and simulated data for muonic (pionic) in blue (red). (d) The decay energy localization for experimental data (black) and simulated data for muonic (pionic) in blue (red). The simulated data has been scaled to the integral of the experimental data. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

The stopped $K^+$. A minimum summed DC energy of 75 MeV and at least 4 crystals in the DC was required. A cluster pattern for a typical muonic decay event visualized in the Geant4 simulation is presented in Fig. 1.

Fig. 2 shows the energy spectrum for the DC, exhibiting a peak at 150 MeV consistent with the energy of the $\mu^+$ from $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ v_\mu$ decay at rest. A shoulder extends to 350 MeV, which is the maximum energy deposition for the pionic decay ($K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$). Fig. 2(b) is the time difference between the IC and DC. An exponential fit gives a lifetime in agreement with the accepted $K^+$ lifetime of approximately 12 ns.

To separate events into the two dominant $K^+$ decay modes, two parameters were used: The fractional energy in the furthest crystal in the DC with respect to the total energy in the DC (the decay energy localization), and the average difference in angle between each crystal in the DC and the IC (the decay cluster linearity). Fig. 3 shows these parameters plotted for both experimental and simulated data. Good agreement between data and simulation is observed, with small deviations only evident in regions where the pionic decay mode dominates. The pionic decays have an increased sensitivity to the systematics of the modeling of the low energy thresholds in the CB crystals in the simulation. For this reason, and also because the shower shape gave an improved $K^+$ momentum reconstruction, only the dominant muonic decay events were retained for further analysis by applying the two dimensional selection cuts in Fig. 2(a). A small proportion of pionic decay events and other decay modes (such as $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu$ with a branching ratio of 5%) were expected to remain in the yield. The IC summed energy was then utilized in a $\Delta E - E$ analysis with the $\Delta E$ provided by the signal in the PID and used to reconstruct the momentum of the $K^+$.

This new $K^+$ identification technique enables $K^+$ detection without the need for large scale spectrometers or Cerenkov detectors and has wide applicability to other facilities. The technique has already been incorporated into the BGO-OD experiment [30] and will be the basis of a new online $K^+$ trigger at MAMI, significantly increasing future $K^+$ yields. The technique is also a viable method for identifying $K^+$ in fast timing environments such as in laser plasma based accelerators.

4. Extracting $K^+\Lambda$ and $K^+\Sigma^0$ differential cross-sections

A new technique to cleanly separate $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+\Lambda$ and $K^+\Sigma^0$ yields was used, via the identification of the decay $\Sigma^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$ in the Crystal Ball. Fig. 4(a) shows the energy of neutral particles detected in coincidence with the $K^+$, boosted into the rest frame of the hyperon. The peak at 77 MeV is from the detection of the $\gamma$ from the $\Sigma^0$ decay, having an energy corresponding to the $\Sigma^0-\Lambda$ mass difference. Events with energies between 55–95 MeV were selected as decay-$\gamma$ candidate events for $\Sigma^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$. From Fig. 4(a) it is clear a background of additional uncharged events is also present, arising from photons or neutrons from $\Lambda$ decays. The decay-$\gamma$ detection efficiency was determined with simulated data to behave linearly with $E_\gamma$ and to be approximately 60%. The false decay-$\gamma$ detection efficiency from $K^+\Lambda$ events was approximately 8% (Fig. 4(b)).

Fig. 4(c) shows the reconstructed missing-mass of the system recoiling from the $K^+$ over a restricted kinematic range. The $\Sigma^0$ and $\Lambda$ masses are clearly visible, with the relative contribution of the $\Sigma^0$ enhanced with the requirement of a decay-$\gamma$ candidate. The yield of coincident decay-$\gamma$ events (filled violet line) has been scaled according to the decay-$\gamma$ detection efficiency. This efficiency corrected yield was used to subtract the $\Sigma^0$ contribution from the data for each kinematic bin. The remaining yield attributed
to \( K^+ \Lambda \) after this subtraction is shown by the filled shaded thick black line in Fig. 4(c).

The yield of simulated \( K^+ \Lambda \) events for the same kinematic bin is shown in Fig. 4(d). The simulation reproduces the shape of the \( K^+ \Lambda \) distribution observed in the experiment. The relative contribution of misidentified decay-\( \gamma \) events to the yield, arising from the \( \Lambda \) decay products, is shown by the filled violet line under the \( \Lambda \) mass peak. These misidentified events reduce the extracted \( K^+ \Lambda \) yield by approximately 5%. This loss in yield however cancels out in the cross-section calculation as the same analysis procedure is applied to the simulated data which is used to determine the detection efficiency.

Fig. 4(e,f) shows the same experimental and simulated missing mass data, however the yield of non-coincident decay-\( \gamma \) events has been scaled according to the false decay-\( \gamma \) detection efficiency from \( K^+ \Lambda \) events (thin solid red line). This corrected yield was used to subtract \( K^+ \Lambda \) contributions to leave only contributions attributed to \( K^+ \Sigma^0 \) events (filled shaded thick black lines).

To determine the systematic error in separating \( K^+ \Lambda \) and \( K^+ \Sigma^0 \) yields, a method of fitting Gaussian functions to the total missing-mass spectra was also used, giving an agreement with the above method to better than 4%.

Detection efficiencies were obtained by analyzing Geant4-simulated \( K^+ \Lambda \) and \( K^+ \Sigma^0 \) events including appropriate timing and energy resolutions and using angular distributions from the SAID PWA [9]. Experimental trigger conditions were implemented as described in Ref. [32]. A maximum detection efficiency of approximately 10% was achieved.

The modeling of \( K^+ \) hadronic interactions in the Crystal Ball gave a maximum systematic uncertainty of 4% to the yield, increasing with \( E_\gamma \). This was assessed from comparisons of different physics models in the simulation, and by switching off hadronic interactions. Contamination from other channels passing the selection cuts (dominantly \( \gamma p \to p\pi^+\pi^- \)) gave an uncertainty typically less than 4% in the \( K^+ \Lambda \) yield and only at very backward angles. The required identification of the decay-\( \gamma \) for \( K^+ \Sigma^0 \) rendered
contamination in the $K^+ \Sigma^0$ yield from other channels negligible. Systematic effects from the modeling of the experimental trigger in the simulation (estimated from a 10 MeV variation of the Crystal Ball energy sum threshold) were typically 4% near threshold for $K^+ \Lambda$ and reducing with $E_p$. For $K^+ \Sigma^0$, the uncertainty was 2–3% near threshold and only at very backward angles. Systematic errors from non-hydrogen components of the target cell, target cell length and PID efficiency were each less than 1%.

5. Results and interpretation

The quality of the new Crystal Ball data is illustrated in Figs. 5 and 6, where cross-sections for $K^+ \Sigma^0$ and $K^+ \Lambda$ as a function of $W$ are shown for selected center-of-mass $K^+$ polar angle bins ($\theta_{CM}^K$), compared with previous SAPHIR [13] and CLAS [15–17] data. For clarity, the data are rebinned by a factor of two; however the attainable $W$ resolution of the new data is a factor of 4 to 10 improvement over previous data. After normalizing for the different widths in binning, the statistical accuracy of the new data is typically a factor of 1.5 better than previous data, except at forward $K^+$ angles where the accuracy is comparable. The kinematic range the new data cover is shown in Fig. 7.

The new $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ \Sigma^0$ data (Fig. 5) are consistent with the world data over most of the angular range, demonstrating that systematic errors for the new detection techniques are well understood. At backward angles for $W$ below 1.85 GeV there is a divergence between the previous measurements, with the CLAS data showing higher cross sections than SAPHIR. The new data give better agreement with the SAPHIR data [13]. The $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ \Lambda$ data show general agreement with the previous data and confirm the existence of the broad peak like structure centered around 1670 MeV for backward $K^+$ angles.

The data are compared to predictions from PWAs in the Kaon Maid (KM) [8] and Bonn–Gatchina (BnGa) [10] framework, which are constrained by the various combinations of data sets indicated.
in the figure captions. The main quoted systematic error in extracting resonance properties in the BnGα PWA framework is the existence of two solutions (BG2011-01 and BG2011-02) which give a similarly low \( \chi^2 \) when fitted to the world database. The solutions have different resonance contributions and helicity couplings [for a detailed description see [10]]. The addition of the new \( K^+ \Sigma^0 \) and \( K^+ \Lambda \) data resolve these solutions. Only BG2011-02 can fit the new data and the world dataset with a satisfactorily low \( \chi^2 \) of 1.3 and 1.2 for \( K^+ \Sigma^0 \) and \( K^+ \Lambda \) respectively [35]. Before fitting to the new data the BG2011-02 solution described the new data with a \( \chi^2 \) of 1.9 for both reaction channels.

The most significant difference between the BG2011-1 and BG2011-2 solutions is that the latter supports the need for two \( P_{13} \) nucleon resonances close in mass: a \( P_{13}(1900) \) and \( P_{13}(1975) \). Despite constituent quark models (CQM) predicting the existence of two 3/2\(^+\) nucleon states in the region 1850−2000 MeV, it is difficult to explain two such states in the framework of a quark−diquark model or under the assumption of chiral symmetry restoration. The new experimental data therefore provide new constraints on the dynamics of quarks within the nucleon [10].

The well defined structure in the \( K^+ \Lambda \) cross-section around 1670 MeV at backward \( K^+ \) angles provides a valuable constraint on the existence and width of the disputed \( P_{13}(1710) \) resonance. To fit the structure a 30\% reduction in the resonance width was necessary in the BnGα analysis. Interestingly this produces a width now consistent with the other sightings of this resonance [5].

The improved statistical accuracy and \( W \) resolution of the new data allows constraints on the existence of structures in the cross-section arising from narrow resonance states, interfaces between resonances or coupled channel effects. There are indications of structure between 1650−1700 MeV and around 1740 MeV which are not described by any of the PWA models.

The total cross-section for \( \gamma p \to K^+ \Lambda \) has been debated in the literature where structure around 1900 MeV has been largely interpreted as evidence for a missing \( D_{13} \) resonance (for example [18] and references therein). Constraints from the new data lead to revised extrapolated total cross-sections as shown in Fig. 8. The cross-sections extrapolated using the BnGα 2011-02 solution are reduced below 1900 MeV with the inclusion of the new data. The cross-sections extrapolated from the KM model show a reduction mainly in the region around the first peak in the cross-section at 1700 MeV. The structure at 1900 MeV is still evident in the revised extrapolations.

6. Conclusions

Precision measurements of the \( \gamma p \to K^+ \Lambda \) and \( \gamma p \to K^+ \Sigma^0 \) differential cross-section have been obtained with a new \( K^+ \) iden-

![Fig. 7. The kinematic range of the new \( K^+ \Lambda \) data (blue) and \( K^+ \Sigma^0 \) data (red). The markers are offset in angle to avoid overlap. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image)

![Fig. 8. Total \( \gamma p \to K^+ \Lambda \) cross-section versus \( W \). The thin black dashed line is the current BnGα 2011-2 solution [11] and the thick solid line is the BnGα 2011-02 solution including the new \( K^+ \Lambda \) and \( K^+ \Sigma^0 \) data [33]. The thin red dashed line is the current KM model constrained by SAPHIR data [34], the thin blue dashed line is the current KM model constrained by CLAS data, and the thick red line is the KM model constrained by CLAS data and this current \( K^+ \Lambda \) data [37]. The shaded bands show the estimated systematic errors. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image)
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